TEX4D: ZERO-SHOT 4D SCENE TEXTURING WITH VIDEO DIFFUSION MODELS

Anonymous authors Paper under double-blind review

000

001

002 003 004

026

027

028

029

031

032

034

039

040

041

042

043

044

045

046

048

006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 monster dancing in 014 a mysterious jungle 015 016 **3D** Animation 017 018 019 021 a Stormtrooper 023 swimming in the sea 024 025

Figure 1. Given an untextured mesh sequence and a text prompt as inputs (Left), **Tex4D** generates multi-view, temporally consistent textures along with a dynamic background. On the right, we show renderings of the textured meshes from two different perspectives. Zoom in to view the texture details.

ABSTRACT

3D meshes are widely used in computer vision and graphics because of their efficiency in animation and minimal memory footprint. They are extensively employed in movies, games, AR, and VR, leading to the creation of a vast number of mesh sequences. However, creating temporally consistent and realistic textures for these mesh sequences to model the appearance transformations remains laborintensive for professional artists. On the other hand, video diffusion models have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in text-driven video generation, enabling users to create countless video clips based solely on their imagination. Despite their strengths, these models often lack 3D geometry awareness for fine-grained video control and struggle with achieving multi-view consistent texturing for 3D mesh sequences. In this work, we present **Tex4D**, a zero-shot approach that integrates inherent 3D geometry knowledge from mesh sequences with the expressiveness of video diffusion models. Given an untextured mesh sequence and a text prompt as inputs, our method enhances multi-view consistency by synchronizing the diffusion process across different views through latent aggregation in the UV space. To ensure temporal consistency such as lighting changes, wrinkles, and appearance transformations, we leverage prior knowledge from a conditional video generation model for texture synthesis. However, straightforwardly combining the video diffusion model and the UV texture aggregation leads to blurry results. We analyze the underlying causes and propose a simple yet effective modification to the DDIM sampling process to address this issue. Additionally, we introduce a reference latent texture to strengthen the correlation between frames during the denoising process. To the best of our knowledge, Tex4D is the first method specifically designed for 4D scene texturing. Extensive experiments demonstrate its superiority in producing multi-view and multi-frame consistent videos based on untextured mesh sequences.

1 INTRODUCTION

055 056

3D meshes are widely used in modeling, computer-aided design (CAD), animation, and computer
 graphics due to their low memory footprint and efficiency in animation. Visual artists, game design ers, and movie creators build numerous animated mesh sequences for visual applications. However,
 creating vivid videos involves complex post-processing steps, such as lighting controls and appear ance transformations. These steps are labor-intensive and require specialized expertise by artists.

061 On the other hand, recent advancements in generative models have democratized content creation 062 and demonstrated impressive performance in image and video synthesis. For instance, video genera-063 tion models (Ho et al., 2022; Esser et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023; He et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2023a; Zhou 064 et al., 2022; Hong et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2023b; Xing et al., 2023; Chen et al., 065 2023c; 2024) trained on large-scale video datasets (Bain et al., 2021; Schuhmann et al., 2021) allow users to create realistic video clips from various inputs such as text prompts, images, or geometric 066 conditions. However, these text-to-video generation models, which are trained solely on 2D data, 067 often struggle with spatial consistency when applied to multi-view image generation (Tang et al., 068 2023; Shi et al., 2023b; Liu et al., 2023a; Weng et al., 2023; Long et al., 2023; Shi et al., 2023a; 069 Kwak et al., 2023; Tang et al., 2024; Voleti et al., 2024) or 3D object texturing (Cao et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023b; Richardson et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023b; Huo et al., 2024). 071

To address these limitations, two main approaches have been developed. One approach (Richardson 072 et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023b; Cao et al., 2023) focuses on resolving multi-view inconsistency in 073 static 3D object texturing by synchronizing multi-view image diffusion processes and enforcing UV 074 space consistency. While these methods produce multi-view consistent textures for static 3D objects, 075 they do not address the challenge of generating temporally consistent textures for mesh sequences. 076 Another approach (Guo et al., 2023a; Lin et al., 2024; Peng et al., 2024) aims to generate temporally 077 consistent video clips based on the rendering (e.g., depth, normal or UV maps) of an untextured mesh sequence. To encourage temporal consistency, these methods modify the attention mechanism 079 in 2D diffusion models and utilize inherent correspondences in a mesh sequence to facilitate feature synchronization between frames. Although these techniques can be adapted for multi-view image 081 generation by treating camera pose movement as temporal motion, they usually produce inconsistent 3D texturing due to insufficient exploitation of 3D geometry priors.

083 In this paper, we introduce a novel task: 4D scene texturing. Given an animated untextured 3D mesh 084 sequence and a text prompt, our goal is to generate textures that are both temporally and multi-view 085 consistent. Our objective is to texture 4D scenes while capturing temporal variations, such as lighting changes and wrinkles, to produce vivid visual results—a key requirement in downstream tasks 087 like character generation. Different from existing works, we fully leverage 3D geometry knowledge 088 from the mesh sequence to enforce multi-view consistency. Specifically, we develop a method that synchronizes the diffusion process from different views through latent aggregation in the UV space. 089 To ensure temporal consistency, we employ prior knowledge from a conditional video generation 090 model for texture synthesis and introduce a reference latent texture to enhance frame-to-frame cor-091 relations during the denoising process. However, naively integrating the UV texture aggregation into 092 the video diffusion process causes the variance shift problem, leading to blurry results. To resolve 093 this issue, we propose a simple yet effective modification to the DDIM (Song et al., 2020) sampling 094 process by uniformly transforming the equation. Additionally, we propose to synthesize a dynamic 095 background along with the textures of the given mesh sequences, which not only creates a complete 096 4D scene but also fully exploits the prior knowledge embedded in the video diffusion model. Our 097 method is computationally efficient thanks to its zero-shot nature. The textured mesh sequence can 098 be rendered from any camera view, thus supporting a wide range of applications in content creation.

099 100

101

102

We evaluate our method on various mesh sequences with key contributions as follows:

• We present **Tex4D**, a zero-shot pipeline for generating high-fidelity textures that are temporally and multi-view consistent, utilizing text-to-video diffusion models and mesh sequence controls.

- We develop a simple and effective modification to the DDIM sampling process to address the variance shift issue caused by multi-view texture aggregation.
- We introduce a reference UV blending mechanism to establish correlations during the denoising steps, addressing self-occlusions, and synchronizing the diffusion process in invisible regions.
- Our method is not only computationally efficient, but also demonstrates comparable if not superior performance to various state-of-the-art baselines.

108 2 RELATED WORK

109

110 Video Stylization and Editing Text-to-video diffusion models have shown remarkable perfor-111 mance in the field of video generation. These models learn motions and dynamics from large-scale 112 video datasets using 3D-UNet to create high-quality, realistic, and temporally coherent videos. Al-113 though these approaches show compelling results, the generated videos lack fine-grained control, 114 inhibiting their application in stylization and editing. To solve this issue, inspired by Control-115 Net (Zhang et al., 2023a), SparseCtrl (Guo et al., 2023a) trains a sparse encoder from scratch using 116 frame masks and sparse conditioning images as input to guide a pre-trained video diffusion model. CTRL-Adapter (Lin et al., 2024) proposes a trainable intermediate adapter to efficiently connect the 117 features between ControlNet and video diffusion models. 118

119 Meanwhile, Tumanyan et al. (2023) observed that the spatial features of T2I models play an influ-120 ential role in determining the structure and appearance, Text2Video-Zero (Khachatryan et al., 2023) 121 uses a frame-warping method to animate the foreground object by T2I models and Wu et al. (2023); 122 Ceylan et al. (2023); Qi et al. (2023) propose utilizing self-attention injection and cross-frame attention to generate stylized and temporally consistent video using DDIM inversion (Song et al., 123 2020). Subsequently, numerous works (Zhang et al., 2023c; Cai et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2023; 124 Geyer et al., 2023; Eldesokey & Wonka, 2024) generate temporally consistent videos utilizing T2I 125 diffusion models by spatial latent alignment without training. However, the synthesized videos usu-126 ally show flickerings due to the empirical correspondences, such as feature embedding distances and 127 UV maps, which are insufficient to express the continuous content in the latent space. Another line 128 of work (Singer et al., 2022; Bar-Tal et al., 2022; Blattmann et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2024; Guo et al., 129 2023b) is to train additional modules on large-scale video datasets to construct feature mappings, 130 for example, Text2LIVE (Bar-Tal et al., 2022) applies test-time training with the CLIP loss, and 131 MagicAnimate (Xu et al., 2024) introduced an appearance encoder to retain intricate clothes details. 132

Texture Synthesis With the rapid development of foundation models, researchers have focused on applying their generation capability and adaptability to simplify the process of designing textures and reduce the expertise required. To incorporate the result 3D content with prior knowledge, earlier works (Khalid et al., 2022; Michel et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022) jointly optimize the meshes and textures from scratch with the simple semantic loss from the pre-trained CLIP (Radford et al., 2021) to encourage the 3D alignment between the generated results and the semantic priors. However, the results show apparent artifacts and distortion because the semantic feature cannot provide finegrained supervision during the generation of 3D content.

140 DreamFusion (Poole et al., 2022) and similar models (Lin et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023; Po & 141 Wetzstein, 2024; Metzer et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2023a) distill the learned 2D diffusion priors 142 from the pre-trained diffusion models (Rombach et al., 2021) to synthesize the 3D content by Score 143 Distillation Sampling (SDS). These methods render 2D projections of the 3D asset parameters and 144 compare them against reference images, iteratively refining the 3D asset parameters to minimize the 145 discrepancy of the target distribution of 3D shapes learned by the diffusion model. Although these 146 approaches enable people without expertise to generate detailed 3D content by textual prompt, their results are typically over-saturated and over-smoothed, hindering their application in actual cases. 147 Another line of optimization-based methods (Yu et al., 2023b; Zeng et al., 2024; Bensadoun et al., 148 2024) turned to fuse 3D shape information, such as vertex positions, depth maps and normal maps, 149 with the pre-trained diffusion model by training separate modules on 3D datasets. Still, they require 150 a specific UV layout process to achieve plausible results. 151

Recently, TexFusion (Cao et al., 2023) and numerous zero-shot methods (Liu et al., 2023b; Richardson et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023b; Huo et al., 2024) have shown significant success in generating
globally consistent textures without additional 3D datasets. Based on depth-aware diffusion models,
they sequentially inpaint the latents in the UV domain to ensure the spatial consistency of latents
observed across different views. Then, they decode the latents from multiple views and finally synthesize the RGB texture through backprojection.

However, these methods primarily focus on generating static 3D assets and do not account for temporal changes in the final visual presentation, such as in videos. Our work introduces a zero-shot
framework that enables multi-view consistent video generation based on animated meshes, which is
effective in capturing temporal variations. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first approach to
synthesize multi-view and multi-frame consistent textures for mesh sequences.

¹⁶² 3 PRELIMINARIES

163 164

Video Diffusion Prior. In this paper, we adopt CTRL-Adapter (Lin et al., 2024) as our prior model 165 to provide dynamic information. CTRL-Adapter aims to adapt a pre-trained text-to-video diffusion 166 model to condition various types of images such as depth or normal map sequences. The key idea 167 behind CTRL-Adapter is to leverage a pre-trained ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023a) and to align its 168 latents with those of the video diffusion model through a learnable mapping module. Intuitively, the 169 video diffusion model generates temporally consistent video frames that capture dynamic elements 170 like character motions and environmental lighting, while the ControlNet further enhances this capa-171 bility by allowing the model to condition on geometric information, such as depth and normal map 172 sequences. This makes CTRL-Adapter particularly effective in providing a temporally consistent texture prior for our 4D scene texturing task. Specifically, we leverage the depth-conditioned CTRL-173 Adapter model. Given a sequence of depth images denoted as $\{D_1, ..., D_K\}$ and a text prompt \mathcal{P} , 174 CTRL-Adapter (denoted as \mathcal{C}) synthesizes a frame sequence F by $F = \mathcal{C}(\{D_1, ..., D_K\}, \mathcal{P})$. 175

DDIM Sampling. DDIM (Song et al., 2020) is a widely used sampling method in diffusion models due to its superior efficiency and deterministic nature compared to DDPM (Ho et al., 2020). To enhance numerical stability and prevent temporal color shifts in Video Diffusion Models (VDMs), numerous models (Zhang et al., 2023b; Ho et al., 2022) employ a learning-based sampling technique known as v-prediction (Salimans & Ho, 2022). At each denoising step, the DDIM sampling process for the latents (denoted as z_t) can be described as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t-1}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_0(\boldsymbol{z}_t) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t-1}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{z}_t), \tag{1}$$

186 187

188

189

190

191 192 193

194

195 196 197

182

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_0(\boldsymbol{z}_t) = \frac{\boldsymbol{z}_t - \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\theta}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\theta(\boldsymbol{z}_t) = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\theta, \tag{2}$$

where α_t represents the noise variance at time step t, ϵ_{θ} is the estimated noise from the U-Net denoising module, which is expected to follow $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{I})$, and $\hat{z}_0(z_t)$ denotes the predicted original sample (i.e., the latents at timestep 0). After the v-parameterization, the predicted original sample $\hat{z}_0(z_t)$ and the predicted epsilon $\epsilon_{\theta}(z_t)$ are computed as follows:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_0(\boldsymbol{z}_t) = \sqrt{\alpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_t - \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{z}_t) = \sqrt{\alpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_t.$$
(3)

In this paper, we leverage an enhanced DDIM sampling process in video diffusion models, along with a multi-view consistent texture aggregation mechanism to synthesize 4D textures.

4 Method

198 199

> Given an untextured mesh animation and a text prompt, our goal is to generate multi-view and multi-frame consistent texture for each mesh that aligns with both the text description and motion cues, meanwhile capturing the dynamics from video diffusion models. To optimize computational efficiency, instead of processing all video frames, we uniformly sample K key frames from the video and synthesize textures specifically for these key frames. The textures for the remaining frames are then generated by interpolating the key frame textures. Formally, given K animated meshes at the key frames ($\{M_1, ..., M_K\}$), along with a text description \mathcal{P} , our method produces a sequence of temporally and spatially consistent UV maps denoted as $\{UV_1, ..., UV_K\}$, in a zero-shot manner.

> 207 Previous texture generation methods (Richardson et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2023b; Cao et al., 2023) 208 typically inpaint and update textures sequentially using pre-defined camera views in an incremental 209 manner. However, these approaches rely on view-dependent depth conditions and lack global spatial 210 consistency, often resulting in visible discontinuities in the assembled texture map. This issue arises 211 from error accumulation during the autoregressive view update process, as noted by Bensadoun et al. 212 (2024). To resolve these issues, rather than processing each view independently, recent methods (Liu 213 et al., 2023b; Huo et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024) propose to generate multi-view textures simultaneously through diffusion, and then aggregate them in the UV space at each diffusion step. In this 214 work, we similarly leverage the UV space as an intermediate representation to ensure multi-view 215 consistency during texture generation.



Figure 2. **Overview of our pipeline.** Given a mesh sequence and a text prompt as inputs, Tex4D generates a UV-parameterized texture sequence that is both globally and temporally consistent, aligning with the prompt and the mesh sequence. We sample multi-view video sequences using a depth-aware video diffusion model. At each diffusion step, latent views are aggregated into UV space, followed by multi-view latent texture diffusion to ensure global consistency. To maintain temporal coherence and address self-occlusions, a Reference UV Blending module is applied at the end of each step. Finally, the latent textures are back-projected and decoded to produce RGB textures for each frame.

4.1 OVERVIEW

241

242

243

244

245

246 247

248

As shown in Fig. 2, given a sequence of K meshes, we start by rendering the mesh at Vpredefined, uniformly sampled camera poses to obtain multi-view depth images (denoted as $\{D_{1,1}, ..., D_{1,K}, D_{2,1}..., D_{V,K}\}$), which serve as the geometric conditions. To generate textures for each mesh, we initialize $V \times K$ noise images sampled from a Normal distribution (denoted as $\{z^{1,1}, ..., z^{1,K}, z^{2,1}, ..., z^{V,K}\}$). Additionally, we initialize an extra noise map sequence $\{z_b^1, ..., z_b^K\}$ for the backgrounds learning. This noise map corresponds to the texture of a plane mesh that is composited with the foreground object at each diffusion step (See Sec. 4.3). Next, for each view $v \in \{1, ..., V\}$, we apply the video diffusion model (Lin et al., 2024) discussed in Sec. 3 to simultaneously denoise all learns and obtain multi-frame consistent images as $\{L_{1,v}^{1,v}, L_{1,v}^{K,v}\}$

256 leach view $v \in \{1, ..., V\}$, we apply the video diffusion model (Lin et al., 2024) discussed in Sec. 3 257 to simultaneously denoise all latents and obtain multi-frame consistent images as $\{I^{1,v}, ..., I^{K,v}\} =$ 258 $\mathcal{C}(\{D_{1,v}, ..., D_{K,v}\}, \mathcal{P})$, where \mathcal{P} is the provided text prompt. Finally, we un-project and aggregate 259 all denoised multi-view images for each mesh to formulate temporally consistent UV textures.

However, applying the video diffusion model independently to each camera view often results in 261 multi-view inconsistencies. Inspired by (Liu et al., 2023b; Huo et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024), 262 we aggregate the multi-view latents of each mesh in the UV space to merge observations across 263 different views at each denoising step. We then render latent from the latent texture to ensure multi-264 view consistency. To simultaneously generate a dynamic background and fully exploit prior in the 265 video diffusion model, we composite the rendered foreground latents with the background latents 266 at each diffusion step. This aggregation process is discussed in detail in Sec. 4.2. Nonetheless, 267 such a simple aggregation method introduces blurriness in the final results. In Sec. 4.3, we analyze the underlying causes and propose a simple yet effective method to enhance the denoising process. 268 Additionally, we create and leverage a reference UV to handle self-occlusions and further improve 269 temporal consistency in Sec. 4.4.



Figure 3. Ablation studies on the multi-view denoising algorithm and backgrounds. (a) Aggregating $\hat{z}_0(z_t)$, $\epsilon_\theta(z_t)$ in Eq. 1 into UV space. (b) Aggregating z_{t-1} in Eq. 1 into UV space. (c) Replacing learnable background with white background. (d) Our results. See Sec. 5.3 for details.

4.2 MULTI-VIEW LATENTS AGGREGATION IN THE UV SPACE

We describe how to aggregate multi-view latent maps in the UV space. Taking frame $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ as an example, we aggregate the multi-view latents $\{z^{1,k}, \ldots, z^{V,k}\}$ in the UV space by:

$$\mathcal{T}^{k}\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{k}\right) = \frac{\sum_{v=1}^{V} \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{z}^{v,k}, c_{v}) \odot \cos\left(\theta^{v}\right)^{\alpha}}{\sum_{v=1}^{V} \cos\left(\theta^{v}\right)^{\alpha}},\tag{4}$$

where \mathcal{R}^{-1} represents the inverse rendering operator that un-projects the latents to the UV space, thus $\mathcal{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{z}^{v,k}, \boldsymbol{c}_{v})$ produces a partial latent UV texture from view $v, \cos(\theta^{v})$ is the cosine map buffered by the geometry shader, recording the cosine value between the view direction and the surface normal for each pixel, α is a scaling factor, and \boldsymbol{c}_{v} denotes one of the predefined cameras. After multi-view latents aggregation, we obtain multi-view consistent latents by rendering the aggregated UV latent map using $\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}^{v,k} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}^{k}; \boldsymbol{c}_{v})$, where \mathcal{R} is the rendering operation.

4.3 MULTI-FRAME CONSISTENT TEXTURE GENERATION

The aggregation process discussed above yields multi-view consistent latents $\{\tilde{z}^{v,k}\}$ for the subsequent denoising steps. However, this simple aggregation and projection strategy leads to a blurry appearance as shown in Fig. 3(b). This issue arises primarily because the aggregation process depicted in Eq. 4 derails the DDIM denoise process. Specifically, the estimated noise $\epsilon_{\theta}(z_t)$ for each step in Eq. 1 is expected to follow $\mathcal{N}(0,\mathcal{I})$, but Eq. 4 indicates that after aggregating multi-view latents, the expected norm of variance of the noise distribution would be less than \mathcal{I} . We denote this as the "variance shift" issue caused by the texture aggregation.

To resolve this issue, we rewrite the estimated noise ϵ_{θ} for a latent as the combination of the t-step latent z_t and the estimated latent $\hat{z}_0(z_t)$ at step 0, thus the v-paramaterized predicted epsilon $\epsilon_{\theta}(z_t)$ in Eq. 3 can be equally expressed as follow:

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon_{ heta}} = \left(\sqrt{lpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_t - \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_0(\boldsymbol{z}_t)
ight)/\sqrt{1-lpha_t}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ heta}(\boldsymbol{z}_t) = \sqrt{a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{z}_t) &= \sqrt{\alpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_t \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t}} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\alpha_t} \boldsymbol{z}_t - \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_0(\boldsymbol{z}_t)\right) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_t. \end{aligned}$$
(5)

315 316 317

313

314

284

285

286 287

288 289

290

291 292 293

295

296

297

298

299

300 301

302

In practice, we carry out this denoising technique in the UV space. Specifically, we first compute the original texture map (i.e., texture map at step 0, denoted as $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_0$) by aggregating the predicted original multi-view image latents through Eq. 4. The noisy latent texture map at time step t (denoted as \mathcal{T}_t) can be similarly computed. We then run one desnoising step by:

$$\mathcal{T}_{t-1} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t-1}} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{T}}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t-1}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t}} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\alpha_t} \mathcal{T}_t - \hat{\mathcal{T}}_0 \right) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \cdot \mathcal{T}_t \right).$$
(6)

324 Through experimentation, we observe that background optimization plays a crucial role in fully ex-325 ploiting the prior within the video diffusion model. As shown in Fig. 3(c), using a simple white back-326 ground leads to blurry results. This may be attributed to a mismatch between the white-background 327 images and the training dataset, which likely contains fewer such examples, affecting the denoising 328 process. To resolve this issue, we compute the final latents as the combination of the foreground latent \tilde{z}_{t-1} projected from the aggregated UV latents and the residual background latent $z_{b,t-1}$ de-329 noised by diffusion models. Specifically, we composite the estimated latents in the t-1 step as 330 follows: 331

$$\boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_{t-1} \odot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{fg}} + \boldsymbol{z}_{b,t-1} \odot (1 - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{fg}}), \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{fg}} = \mathcal{R} \left(\mathcal{T}_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{c}_{v} \right),$$
(7) where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{fg}}$ represents the foreground mask of the mesh.

To summarize, our diffusion process starts with $K \times (V + 1)$ randomly initialized noise maps sampled (i.e., $\{\boldsymbol{z}_T^{1,k}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_T^{V,k}\}$, for foreground, $\{\boldsymbol{z}_b^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_b^K\}$ for background) and denoise them into images simulaneously. At each denoising step t with the key frame k, we derive the estimated noises $\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1}^{1,k}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1}^{V,k}\}$ using the video diffusion model and calculate the estimated original latent $\{\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_0^{1,k}, \ldots, \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_0^{V,k}\}$ by Eq. 2. Then, we use Eq. 4 to aggregate the latents onto UV space. Next, we utilize Eq. 6 to take the diffusion step in the UV space, and render the synchronized latents $\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_{t-1}^{1,k}, \ldots, \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_{t-1}^{V,k}\}$ from latent UVs $\{\mathcal{T}_{t-1}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{t-1}^K\}$ to ensure multi-view consistency. Finally, we composite the denoised latent with the latents at step t - 1 according to foreground masks by Eq. 7.

343 344

357 358

359

360

361 362

363

377

332 333

4.4 REFERENCE UV BLENDING

While the video diffusion model ensures temporal consistency for latents from each view, consistency can sometimes diminish after aggregation in the texture domain. This issue primarily stems from the view-dependent nature of the depth conditions and the limited resolution of latents, which can lead to distortions when features from different camera angles are combined onto the UV texture. Additionally, self-occlusion during mesh animation often results in a loss of information in invisible regions.

To address these challenges, we introduce a reference UV map to provide additional correlations between latent textures across frames. Specifically, the reference UV map is constructed by sequentially combining latent textures over time, with each new texture filling only the empty texels of the reference UV map. Then, each texture is blended using the reference UV T_{UV} with a mask M_{UV} that labels the visible region:

$$\mathcal{T}_{t}^{k} = \left((1-\lambda) \cdot \mathcal{T}_{t}^{k} + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{UV}} \right) \odot \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{UV}}^{k} + \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{UV}} \odot \left(1 - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{UV}}^{k} \right), \tag{8}$$

where λ is the blending weight for the reference UV in the visible region, while the invisible region is simply replaced with the reference texture. We empirically set the blending weight to 0.2 during our experiments.

5 EXPERIMENTS

364 **Datasets.** We sourced our datasets from two primary repositories: human motion diffusion out-365 puts and the Mixamo¹ and Sketchfab² websites. We employed the text-to-motion diffusion model 366 (HDM) (Tevet et al., 2023) to compare our approach with LatentMan (Eldesokey & Wonka, 2024), 367 as LatentMan requires the SMPL model (Loper et al., 2015) to get corresponding features. For com-368 parison with Generative Rendering (Cai et al., 2024), we obtained animated characters from the Mix-369 amo platform and rendered them with different motions. Specifically, we first used Blender Com-370 munity (2024) to extract meshes, joints, skinning weights, and animation data from the FBX files. 371 Then, we applied linear blend skinning to animate the meshes. For meshes without UV maps, we utilized XATLAS to parameterize the mesh and unwrap the UVs. 372

¹https://www.mixamo.com/

²https://sketchfab.com/



Text2Video-Zero (Khachatryan et al., 2023), PnP-diffusion (Tumanyan et al., 2023), TokenFlow (Geyer et al., 2023), Gen-1 (Esser et al., 2023), LatentMan (Eldesokey & Wonka, 2024), and Generative Rendering (Cai et al., 2024). We generate videos in the front view and the side view (gray box) on SMPL model data (left column) and Mixamo dataset (right column). Our method manages to generate vivid videos that align with the textual prompts while preserving spatial and temporal consistency.

417 418

415

416

depth maps) according to their configurations to serve as baselines. These baselines include DDIM 419 inversion-based video stylization methods and video generation methods with different control 420 mechanisms, including PnP-Diffusion (Tumanyan et al., 2023), Text2Video-Zero (Khachatryan 421 et al., 2023), TokenFlow (Geyer et al., 2023), Generative Rendering (Cai et al., 2024), Latent-422 Man (Eldesokey & Wonka, 2024), and Gen-1 (Esser et al., 2023). PnP-Diffusion is an image style 423 transfer method that is conditioned on the attention feature of the input image by DDIM inversion. 424 We extended the method to stylize videos on a frame-by-frame basis for comparison, aligning with 425 previous work (Geyer et al., 2023). Built upon cross-frame attention, Text2Video-Zero guides the 426 video by warping latents to implicitly enhance video dynamics, and we utilized their official exten-427 sion, which supports depth control. TokenFlow, Generative Rendering, and LatentMan study frame 428 relations in latent space and establish feature correspondences through nearest neighbor matching, 429 UV maps, and DensePose features, respectively. Gen-1 is a video-to-video model that learns the structure of input videos and transforms the input content (untextured mesh renders) into stylized 430 outputs. Given the availability of the source code for Generative Rendering, we utilize the experi-431 mental results presented in their video demos for qualitative comparison.

432 Table 1. Quantitative evaluation. We present FVD values and a comparison highlighting the percentage of 433 user preference for our approach against other methods. Our method shows the best spatio-temporal consistency 434 as measured by the FVD metric (Unterthiner et al., 2018). Users consistently favored Tex4D over all baselines.

435	Method	FVD (\downarrow)	Appearance Quality	Spatio-temporal Consistency	Consistency with Prompt
436	Text2Video-Zero	3078.94	89.33%	91.78%	91.55%
407	PnP-Diffusion	1390.04	86.42%	87.18%	89.74%
437	TokenFlow	1330.43	92.31%	86.84%	93.42%
438	Gen-1	3114.26	70.27%	75.00%	77.78%
400	LatentMan	2811.23	86.57%	86.57%	81.82%
439	Ours	1303.14	-	-	-



Prompt: "a machinery swimming in the sea

Figure 5. Qualitative results. Our method generates multi-view consistent foreground objects with a diverse set of styles and prompts. We highlight the temporal changes in the green boxes.

Evaluation Metric. Quantitatively assessing multi-view consistency and temporal coherence is 465 still an unresolved problem. We perform a user study to assess overall performance, including 466 the appearance, temporal coherence and spatial consistency, and the fidelity to prompt based on human preference. In addition, we compute the multi-view coherence via Fréchet Video Distance (FVD) (Unterthiner et al., 2018), a video-level metric that assesses temporal coherence, as utilized 469 in previous approaches (Li et al., 2024; Xie et al., 2024). 470

471 5.1 **OUALITATIVE RESULTS** 472

440 441 442

445

447

449

451

454

457 458

461

462

463

464

467

468

473 We present qualitative evaluation in Fig. 4. LatentMan (Eldesokey & Wonka, 2024), Generative 474 Rendering (Cai et al., 2024), TokenFlow (Geyer et al., 2023), and Text2Video-Zero (Khachatryan 475 et al., 2023), which are based on T2I diffusion models with cross-frame attention mechanisms, ex-476 hibit significant flickering compared to other methods. This issue arises in part from the empirical 477 and implicit correspondence mapping used to encourage the interframe latent consistency, and the correspondences in the latent space may not exactly match the RGB space. In contrast, our approach 478 interpolates the frames between key frame textures in RGB space, effectively eliminating artifacts 479 caused by latent manipulation. PnP-Diffusion (Tumanyan et al., 2023), which edits frames inde-480 pendently, generates detailed and sophisticated appearances but suffers from poor spatio-temporal 481 consistency due to the loss of temporal correlations in the latent space. While Gen-1 (Esser et al., 482 2023) (fifth row) produces high-quality videos, it exhibits a jitter effect on the foreground and lacks 483 spatio-temporal consistency. 484

Furthermore, we present additional multi-view results showcasing a variety of styles and prompts 485 in Fig. 5. Our denoising algorithm, driven by video diffusion models, effectively captures temporal



Figure 6. Ablation study on the reference UV blending module. Without this module, the generated textures may lose consistency over time as highlighted in the red boxes.

variations over time. For instance, as highlighted in the green boxes in Fig. 5, our method accurately represents cloth wrinkles (Row 1) and changes in lighting (Rows 2 and 3).

5.2 **QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION**

501 To quantitatively assess the effectiveness of our proposed method, we follow prior research (Eldes-502 okey & Wonka, 2024; Gever et al., 2023; Esser et al., 2023) and conduct a comprehensive A/B user 503 study. Our study involved 67 participants who provided a total of 1104 valid responses based on six 504 different scenes drawn from six previous works, with each scene producing videos from two different views. During each evaluation, participants were presented with rendered meshes and depth 505 conditions viewed from two angles, serving as motion references. They were shown a pair of videos: 506 one generated by our approach and the other from a baseline method. Participants were asked to 507 select the method that exhibited superior performance in three criteria: 1) appearance quality, 2) 508 spatial and temporal consistency, and 3) fidelity to the prompts. Table 1 summarizes the preference 509 percentage of our method over the baseline methods. Our method significantly surpasses state-of-510 the-art methods by a large margin. In addition, our method achieves lower FVD that demonstrates 511 better multi-view consistency in generated video clips. 512

513 5.3 ABLATION STUDY 514

515 **Ablation for texture aggregation.** In Fig. 3 (a) and (b), we present two alternative texture aggre-516 gation methods. In Fig. 3 (a), we un-project $\hat{z}_0(z_t)$ and $\epsilon_{\theta}(z_t)$ into UV space for aggregation. In Fig. 3 (b), we map z_{t-1} to the UV space. Both these two approaches show inferior results compared 517 to our method, which verifies the effectiveness of the proposed texture aggregation algorithm. 518

519 Ablation for UV blending module. In Sec. 4.4, we propose a reference UV blending schema to 520 resolve the temporal inconsistency caused by latent aggregation. To validate the effectiveness of this 521 mechanism (See Sec. 4.4), we conduct an ablation study by disabling the reference UV blending 522 module (setting λ to 0). As shown in Fig. 6, without the UV blending module, our method generates textures with noticeable distortions on the Joker's face over time. 523

524 Ablation for background priors. Sec. 4.3 discusses the importance of including a plausible back-525 ground and proposes to learn a dynamic background through diffusion. To verify the effectiveness of 526 this design, we replace the learnable background latents with an all-white background while keeping 527 all other parts unchanged. Fig. 3 (c) illustrates that this ablation experiment produces significantly 528 blurrier textures compared to our full method, highlighting the importance of background learning.

529 530

494

495 496

497

498 499

500

- 6 **CONCLUSIONS**
- 531

532 In this paper, we present a zero-shot approach that generates multi-view, multi-frame consistent 533 textures for untextured, animated mesh sequences based on a text prompt. By incorporating texture 534 aggregation in the UV space within the diffusion process of a conditional video diffusion model, we ensure both temporal and spatial coherence in the generated textures. To address the variance 536 shift introduced by texture aggregation, we propose a simple yet effective modification to the DDIM 537 sampling algorithm. Additionally, we enhance temporal consistency by introducing a reference UV map and develop a dynamic background learning framework to produce fully textured 4D scenes. 538 Extensive experiments show that our method can synthesize realistic and consistent 4D textures, demonstrating its superiority against state-of-the-art baselines.

540 REFERENCES

553

554

555

556

559

565

566

567

571

572

573

574

577

578

579 580

- 542 Max Bain, Arsha Nagrani, Gül Varol, and Andrew Zisserman. Frozen in time: A joint video and image encoder for end-to-end retrieval. In *ICCV*, 2021. 2
- Omer Bar-Tal, Dolev Ofri-Amar, Rafail Fridman, Yoni Kasten, and Tali Dekel. Text2live: Text-driven layered image and video editing. In *ECCV*, pp. 707–723, 2022. 3
- Raphael Bensadoun, Yanir Kleiman, Idan Azuri, Omri Harosh, Andrea Vedaldi, Natalia Neverova, and Oran Gafni. Meta 3d texturegen: Fast and consistent texture generation for 3d objects. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2407.02430, 2024. 3, 4
- Andreas Blattmann, Robin Rombach, Huan Ling, Tim Dockhorn, Seung Wook Kim, Sanja Fidler, and Karsten Kreis. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *CVPR*, 2023. 3
 - Shengqu Cai, Duygu Ceylan, Matheus Gadelha, Chun-Hao Huang, Tuanfeng Wang, and Gordon. Wetzstein. Generative rendering: Controllable 4d-guided video generation with 2d diffusion models. In *CVPR*, 2024. 3, 7, 8, 9
- Tianshi Cao, Karsten Kreis, Sanja Fidler, Nicholas Sharp, and KangXue Yin. Texfusion: Synthesiz ing 3d textures with text-guided image diffusion models. In *ICCV*, 2023. 2, 3, 4, 15
- Duygu Ceylan, Chun-Hao Huang, and Niloy J. Mitra. Pix2video: Video editing using image diffusion. In *ICCV*, 2023. 3
- Dave Zhenyu Chen, Haoxuan Li, Hsin-Ying Lee, Sergey Tulyakov, and Matthias Nießner. Scenetex:
 High-quality texture synthesis for indoor scenes via diffusion priors, 2023a. 3
 - Dave Zhenyu Chen, Yawar Siddiqui, Hsin-Ying Lee, Sergey Tulyakov, and Matthias Nießner. Text2tex: Text-driven texture synthesis via diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11396, 2023b. 2, 3, 4, 17
- Haoxin Chen, Menghan Xia, Yingqing He, Yong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoshu Yang, Jinbo Xing,
 Yaofang Liu, Qifeng Chen, Xintao Wang, Chao Weng, and Ying Shan. Videocrafter1: Open
 diffusion models for high-quality video generation, 2023c. 2
 - Haoxin Chen, Yong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Menghan Xia, Xintao Wang, Chao Weng, and Ying Shan. Videocrafter2: Overcoming data limitations for high-quality video diffusion models, 2024.
- Yongwei Chen, Rui Chen, Jiabao Lei, Yabin Zhang, and Kui Jia. Tango: Text-driven photorealistic
 and robust 3d stylization via lighting decomposition. *NeurIPS*, 35:30923–30936, 2022. 3
 - Blender Online Community. *Blender a 3D modelling and rendering package*. Blender Foundation, Stichting Blender Foundation, Amsterdam, 2024. URL http://www.blender.org. 7
 - Abdelrahman Eldesokey and Peter Wonka. Latentman: Generating consistent animated characters using image diffusion models. In *CVPR*, pp. 7510–7519, 2024. 3, 7, 8, 9, 10
- Patrick Esser, Johnathan Chiu, Parmida Atighehchian, Jonathan Granskog, and Anastasis Germanidis. Structure and content-guided video synthesis with diffusion models. In *ICCV*, pp. 7346–7356, 2023. 2, 8, 9, 10
- Michal Geyer, Omer Bar-Tal, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Tokenflow: Consistent diffusion features
 for consistent video editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10373*, 2023. 3, 8, 9, 10
- Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Maneesh Agrawala, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Sparsectrl: Adding sparse controls to text-to-video diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16933*, 2023a. 2, 3
- Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Zhengyang Liang, Yaohui Wang, Yu Qiao, Maneesh
 Agrawala, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models without specific tuning, 2023b. 3

597

598

602

609

625

626

627

628

- Yingqing He, Tianyu Yang, Yong Zhang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng Chen. Latent video diffusion models for high-fidelity long video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.13221*, 2022. 2
 - Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *NeurIPS*, 33: 6840–6851, 2020. 4
- Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P
 Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J Fleet, et al. Imagen video: High definition
 video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303*, 2022. 2, 4
- Wenyi Hong, Ming Ding, Wendi Zheng, Xinghan Liu, and Jie Tang. Cogvideo: Large-scale pretraining for text-to-video generation via transformers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.15868, 2022.
 2
- Dong Huo, Zixin Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Zhihao Shi, Juwei Lu, Peng Dai, Songcen Xu, Li Cheng, and
 Yee-Hong Yang. Texgen: Text-guided 3d texture generation with multi-view sampling and re sampling. *ECCV*, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 5, 15
- Levon Khachatryan, Andranik Movsisyan, Vahram Tadevosyan, Roberto Henschel, Zhangyang Wang, Shant Navasardyan, and Humphrey Shi. Text2video-zero: Text-to-image diffusion models are zero-shot video generators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13439*, 2023. 3, 8, 9
- Nasir Mohammad Khalid, Tianhao Xie, Eugene Belilovsky, and Popa Tiberiu. Clip-mesh: Generat ing textured meshes from text using pretrained image-text models. *SIGGRAPH Aisa*, December 2022. 3
- Jeong-gi Kwak, Erqun Dong, Yuhe Jin, Hanseok Ko, Shweta Mahajan, and Kwang Moo Yi. Vivid-1-to-3: Novel view synthesis with video diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.01305*, 2023. 2
- Bing Li, Cheng Zheng, Wenxuan Zhu, Jinjie Mai, Biao Zhang, Peter Wonka, and Bernard Ghanem.
 Vivid-zoo: Multi-view video generation with diffusion model, 2024. 9
- Kin Li, Wenqing Chu, Ye Wu, Weihang Yuan, Fanglong Liu, Qi Zhang, Fu Li, Haocheng Feng, Errui Ding, and Jingdong Wang. Videogen: A reference-guided latent diffusion approach for high definition text-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00398*, 2023. 2
 - Chen-Hsuan Lin, Jun Gao, Luming Tang, Towaki Takikawa, Xiaohui Zeng, Xun Huang, Karsten Kreis, Sanja Fidler, Ming-Yu Liu, and Tsung-Yi Lin. Magic3d: High-resolution text-to-3d content creation. In *CVPR*, 2023. 3
- Han Lin, Jaemin Cho, Abhay Zala, and Mohit Bansal. Ctrl-adapter: An efficient and versatile
 framework for adapting diverse controls to any diffusion model, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 5, 15
- Yuan Liu, Cheng Lin, Zijiao Zeng, Xiaoxiao Long, Lingjie Liu, Taku Komura, and Wenping Wang.
 Syncdreamer: Generating multiview-consistent images from a single-view image. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.03453*, 2023a. 2, 16
- Yuxin Liu, Minshan Xie, Hanyuan Liu, and Tien-Tsin Wong. Text-guided texturing by synchronized
 multi-view diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12891*, 2023b. 2, 3, 4, 5, 15, 16
- Kiaoxiao Long, Yuan-Chen Guo, Cheng Lin, Yuan Liu, Zhiyang Dou, Lingjie Liu, Yuexin Ma, Song-Hai Zhang, Marc Habermann, Christian Theobalt, et al. Wonder3d: Single image to 3d using cross-domain diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.15008*, 2023. 2
- Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J. Black.
 SMPL: A skinned multi-person linear model. *ACM Transactions on Graphics, (Proc. SIGGRAPH Asia)*, 34(6):248:1–248:16, October 2015. 7
- Gal Metzer, Elad Richardson, Or Patashnik, Raja Giryes, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Latent-nerf for
 shape-guided generation of 3d shapes and textures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07600*, 2022. 3
- 647 Oscar Michel, Roi Bar-On, Richard Liu, Sagie Benaim, and Rana Hanocka. Text2mesh: Text-driven neural stylization for meshes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.03221*, 2021. 3

648 649 650	Bohao Peng, Jian Wang, Yuechen Zhang, Wenbo Li, Ming-Chang Yang, and Jiaya Jia. Controlnext: Powerful and efficient control for image and video generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06070</i> , 2024. 2
652 653	Ryan Po and Gordon Wetzstein. Compositional 3d scene generation using locally conditioned dif- fusion. In 2024 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV), pp. 651–663. IEEE, 2024. 3
654 655	Ben Poole, Ajay Jain, Jonathan T. Barron, and Ben Mildenhall. Dreamfusion: Text-to-3d using 2d diffusion. <i>arXiv</i> , 2022. 3
657 658 659	Chenyang Qi, Xiaodong Cun, Yong Zhang, Chenyang Lei, Xintao Wang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng Chen. Fatezero: Fusing attentions for zero-shot text-based video editing. <i>arXiv:2303.09535</i> , 2023. 3
660 661 662	Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In <i>ICML</i> , pp. 8748–8763, 2021. 3
663 664 665	Elad Richardson, Gal Metzer, Yuval Alaluf, Raja Giryes, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Texture: Text- guided texturing of 3d shapes. In <i>SIGGRAPH</i> , pp. 1–11, 2023. 2, 3, 4
666 667	Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models, 2021. 3
668 669 670	Tim Salimans and Jonathan Ho. Progressive distillation for fast sampling of diffusion models. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2202.00512, 2022. 4
671 672 673	Christoph Schuhmann, Richard Vencu, Romain Beaumont, Robert Kaczmarczyk, Clayton Mullis, Aarush Katta, Theo Coombes, Jenia Jitsev, and Aran Komatsuzaki. Laion-400m: Open dataset of clip-filtered 400 million image-text pairs. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.02114</i> , 2021. 2
674 675 676	Ruoxi Shi, Hansheng Chen, Zhuoyang Zhang, Minghua Liu, Chao Xu, Xinyue Wei, Linghao Chen, Chong Zeng, and Hao Su. Zero123++: a single image to consistent multi-view diffusion base model. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.15110</i> , 2023a. 2
678 679	Yichun Shi, Peng Wang, Jianglong Ye, Long Mai, Kejie Li, and Xiao Yang. Mvdream: Multi-view diffusion for 3d generation. <i>arXiv:2308.16512</i> , 2023b. 2
680 681 682	Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792</i> , 2022. 3
683 684 685	Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2010.02502, 2020. 2, 3, 4
686 687 688	 Shitao Tang, Fuyang Zhang, Jiacheng Chen, Peng Wang, and Yasutaka Furukawa. Mvdiffusion: Enabling holistic multi-view image generation with correspondence-aware diffusion. <i>arXiv</i>, 2023. 2
690 691 692 693	Shitao Tang, Jiacheng Chen, Dilin Wang, Chengzhou Tang, Fuyang Zhang, Yuchen Fan, Vikas Chandra, Yasutaka Furukawa, and Rakesh Ranjan. Mvdiffusion++: A dense high-resolution multi-view diffusion model for single or sparse-view 3d object reconstruction. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12712</i> , 2024. 2
694 695 696	Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yoni Shafir, Daniel Cohen-or, and Amit Haim Bermano. Human motion diffusion model. In <i>The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Repre-</i> <i>sentations</i> , 2023. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=SJ1kSy02jwu. 7
697 698 699	Narek Tumanyan, Michal Geyer, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Plug-and-play diffusion features for text-driven image-to-image translation. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 1921–1930, June 2023. 3, 8, 9
700 701	Thomas Unterthiner, Sjoerd Van Steenkiste, Karol Kurach, Raphael Marinier, Marcin Michalski, and Sylvain Gelly. Towards accurate generative models of video: A new metric & challenges. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.01717</i> , 2018. 9

702 Vikram Voleti, Chun-Han Yao, Mark Boss, Adam Letts, David Pankratz, Dmitrii Tochilkin, Chris-703 tian Laforte, Robin Rombach, and Varun Jampani. SV3D: Novel multi-view synthesis and 3D 704 generation from a single image using latent video diffusion. In ECCV, 2024. 2 705 Zhengyi Wang, Cheng Lu, Yikai Wang, Fan Bao, Chongxuan Li, Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. Prolific-706 dreamer: High-fidelity and diverse text-to-3d generation with variational score distillation. In NeurIPS, 2023. 3 708 709 Haohan Weng, Tianyu Yang, Jianan Wang, Yu Li, Tong Zhang, CL Chen, and Lei Zhang. 710 Consistent123: Improve consistency for one image to 3d object synthesis. arXiv preprint 711 arXiv:2310.08092, 2023. 2 712 Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, 713 Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion 714 models for text-to-video generation. In ICCV, pp. 7623-7633, 2023. 3 715 716 Yiming Xie, Chun-Han Yao, Vikram Voleti, Huaizu Jiang, and Varun Jampani. SV4D: Dynamic 3d content generation with multi-frame and multi-view consistency. arXiv preprint 717 arXiv:2407.17470, 2024. 9 718 719 Jinbo Xing, Menghan Xia, Yong Zhang, Haoxin Chen, Xintao Wang, Tien-Tsin Wong, and Ying 720 Shan. Dynamicrafter: Animating open-domain images with video diffusion priors. arXiv preprint 721 arXiv:2310.12190, 2023. 2 722 Zhongcong Xu, Jianfeng Zhang, Jun Hao Liew, Hanshu Yan, Jia-Wei Liu, Chenxu Zhang, Jiashi 723 Feng, and Mike Zheng Shou. Magicanimate: Temporally consistent human image animation 724 using diffusion model. In CVPR, 2024. 3 725 726 Shuai Yang, Yifan Zhou, Ziwei Liu, , and Chen Change Loy. Rerender a video: Zero-shot text-727 guided video-to-video translation. In SIGGRAPH Aisa, 2023. 3 728 Zhuoyi Yang, Jiayan Teng, Wendi Zheng, Ming Ding, Shiyu Huang, Jiazheng Xu, Yuanming Yang, 729 Wenyi Hong, Xiaohan Zhang, Guanyu Feng, et al. Cogvideox: Text-to-video diffusion models 730 with an expert transformer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06072, 2024. 2 731 732 Sihyun Yu, Kihyuk Sohn, Subin Kim, and Jinwoo Shin. Video probabilistic diffusion models in 733 projected latent space. In CVPR, pp. 18456–18466, 2023a. 2 734 Xin Yu, Peng Dai, Wenbo Li, Lan Ma, Zhengzhe Liu, and Xiaojuan Qi. Texture generation on 3d 735 meshes with point-uv diffusion. In ICCV, pp. 4206-4216, 2023b. 3 736 737 Xianfang Zeng, Xin Chen, Zhongqi Qi, Wen Liu, Zibo Zhao, Zhibin Wang, Bin Fu, Yong Liu, and 738 Gang Yu. Paint3d: Paint anything 3d with lighting-less texture diffusion models. In CVPR, pp. 739 4252-4262, 2024. 3 740 Longwen Zhang, Ziyu Wang, Qixuan Zhang, Qiwei Qiu, Anqi Pang, Haoran Jiang, Wei Yang, Lan 741 Xu, and Jingyi Yu. Clay: A controllable large-scale generative model for creating high-quality 3d 742 assets. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 2024. 4, 5 743 744 Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In CVPR, pp. 3836–3847, 2023a. 3, 4 745 746 Shiwei Zhang, Jiayu Wang, Yingya Zhang, Kang Zhao, Hangjie Yuan, Zhiwu Qing, Xiang Wang, 747 Deli Zhao, and Jingren Zhou. I2vgen-xl: High-quality image-to-video synthesis via cascaded 748 diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04145, 2023b. 2, 4, 15 749 Yabo Zhang, Yuxiang Wei, Dongsheng Jiang, Xiaopeng Zhang, Wangmeng Zuo, and Qi Tian. Con-750 trolvideo: Training-free controllable text-to-video generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13077, 751 2023c. 3 752 753 Daquan Zhou, Weimin Wang, Hanshu Yan, Weiwei Lv, Yizhe Zhu, and Jiashi Feng. Magicvideo: 754 Efficient video generation with latent diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11018, 2022. 755 2

A MORE IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

758 A.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

760 We utilize the CTRL-Adapter (Lin et al., 2024), trained on the video diffusion model I2VGen-761 XL (Zhang et al., 2023b), as the backbone for generation, with the denoising steps set to T = 50. 762 Initially, we center the untextured mesh sequence and pre-define six different viewpoints around the Y-axis in the XZ-plane, uniformly sampled in spherical coordinates, along with an additional 763 764 top view with an elevation angle of zero and an azimuth angle of 30° . For latent initialization, we first sample Gaussian noise on the latent textures and then render 2D latent samples for each 765 view to improve the coherence of the generated outputs. During denoising, we upscale the latent 766 resolution to 96×96 to reduce aliasing. We empirically set the blending coefficient to 0.2. It takes 767 approximately 30 minutes to generate a video with 24 key frames taken on a RTX A6000 Ada GPU. 768 We decode the denoised latents in key frames to RGB images, and then un-project and aggregate 769 these images to transform the latent UV maps to RGB textures as previous works (Liu et al., 2023b; 770 Cao et al., 2023; Huo et al., 2024). Finally, we interpolate the textures of the key frames at intervals 771 of 3 to synthesize the final video clips. 772

A.2 DENOISING ALGORITHM OF OUR METHOD

⁷⁷⁵ We present the complete workflow of our method in Algorithm 1. The reference UV map \mathcal{T}_{UV} is ⁷⁷⁶ constructed by progressively combining latent textures over time, with each new texture filling only ⁷⁷⁷ the unoccupied texels in the reference UV map.

Algorithm 1 Tex4D

778 779

804

805

780 **Input:** UV maps $UV = \{UV_1, ..., UV_K\}$; depth maps $\mathcal{D} = \{D_{1,1}, ..., D_{1,V}, D_{2,1}, ..., D_{K,V}\}$; text prompt 781 \mathcal{P} ; CTRL-Adapter model \mathcal{C} ; rendering operation \mathcal{R} ; unproject operation \mathcal{R}^{-1} ; cameras c; T diffusion steps; 782 \mathcal{T} latent textures (including foreground and background); λ blending weight; k key frames 783 $\mathcal{T}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathcal{I})$ 784 // Sample noise in UV space $egin{aligned} \tilde{m{z}_T}, m{\mathcal{M}}_{ ext{fg}} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_T; m{c}) \ m{z}_{b,T} &\sim \mathcal{N}(m{0}, \mathcal{I}) \end{aligned}$ 785 786 $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{z}_T = \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_T \odot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{fg}} + \boldsymbol{z}_{b,T} \odot (1 - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{fg}})$ // Composite latents 787 For t = T, ..., 1 do 788 $egin{aligned} & m{z}_{b,t-1} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}(m{z}_{b,t}; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{P}) \ & m{\epsilon}_{ heta} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}(m{z}_t; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{P}) \end{aligned}$ 789 // Estimate noise from C790 $\hat{oldsymbol{z}}_0(oldsymbol{z}_t) = \sqrt{lpha_t} \cdot oldsymbol{z}_t - \sqrt{1 - lpha_t} \cdot oldsymbol{\epsilon}_ heta$ 791 $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_0, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{UV}} \leftarrow \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_0; \boldsymbol{c}, \mathcal{UV})$ // Bake textures by Eq. 4 792 $\mathcal{T}_{UV} = \text{Combine}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_0; \mathcal{M}_{UV})$ 793 For k in 1, ..., K do 794 $\mathcal{T}_{t-1}^{k} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t-1}} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{0}^{k} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t-1}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{t}}{1 - \alpha_{t}}} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\alpha_{t}} \mathcal{T}_{t}^{k} - \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{0}^{k} \right) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t}} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{t}^{k} \right) / \text{Denoise Eq. 6}$ $\mathcal{T}_{t-1}^{k} = \left((1-\lambda) \cdot \mathcal{T}_{t-1}^{k} + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{UV}} \right) \odot \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{UV}}^{k} + \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{UV}} \odot \left(1 - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{UV}}^{k} \right) \quad \text{// Blend textures by Eq. 8}$ 796 797
$$\begin{split} \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{fg}} &= \mathcal{R}\left(\mathcal{T}_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{c}, \mathcal{U} \mathcal{V}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1} &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{z}}_{t-1} \odot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{fg}} + \boldsymbol{z}_{b,t-1} \odot \left(1 - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{fg}}\right) \end{split}$$
798 // Composite latents by Eq. 7 799 $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1}$ 800 Output: z 801 802

B MORE QUALITATIVE RESULTS

B.1 MULTI-VIEW RESULTS

In Fig. 12, we present additional characters generated by Tex4D, showcasing the method's effectiveness and its ability to generalize across a diverse array of styles and prompts. We also evaluate Tex4D on non-human character animations in Fig. 13, demonstrating its robust generalization capa-



Figure 7. **Visualization of generated textures for mesh sequences.** Our method effectively incorporates temporal changes, such as lighting variations, wrinkles, and appearance transformations, directly into the textures, eliminating the need for post-production by artists.

bilities across various types of mesh sequences. In each case, we provide two different view to show that our method can ensure the multi-view consistency.

To emphasize the temporal changes in the generated textures, we also design some prompts, for example, 'flashed a magical light', 'dramatic shifts in lighting', 'cyberpunk style' in our experiments.
As shown in Fig. 13, the results of 'ghost', 'King Boo' and 'Snowman' validate the effectiveness of our method in generating different level of temporal changes by a variety of textual prompts, while maintaining the consistency both spatially and temporally. Additionally, we provide a supplementary video that includes baseline comparisons and multi-view results for all examples.

B.2 TEXTURE RESULTS

In this section, we present the texture sequences which are the intermediate results of our pipeline. Our method utilizes XATLAS to unwrap the UV maps from meshes without human labors. XAT-LAS is a widely used library for mesh parameterization and UV unwrapping, commonly integrated into popular tools and engines, facilitating efficient texture mapping in 3D graphics applications. As shown in Fig. 7, our method seamlessly bakes temporal changes, including lighting variations, wrinkles, and appearance transformations, directly into the textures, removing the need for manual post-production by artists.

C MORE ABLATION RESULTS

Ablation on Background To show the effects of various background latent initialization strategies, we provide additional examples, including the approach used in the texture synthesis method (Liu et al., 2023b) and a background that contrasts sharply with the foreground object, as shown in Fig. 8. In detail, (Liu et al., 2023a) encodes the backgrounds with alternative random solid color images. For the high contrast background experiment, we use the latents obtained from the DDIM inversion of highly contrast foreground and background to initialize our latents.

Ablation on Reference UV Blending We present an additional ablation study to illustrate how our
 UV blending module enhances temporal consistency across frames. As shown in Fig. 9, the absence
 of UV blending results in noticeable distortions, underscoring the importance of this module in maintaining visual coherence.



In this section, we highlight the differences between our method and traditional approaches, demonstrating the effectiveness of 4D texturing in capturing temporal variations (e.g., lighting and wrinkles) within mesh sequences to produce vivid visual results. Traditional methods typically involve texturing a base mesh (often referred to as a clay mesh) and animating it using skinning techniques. This animated sequence is then refined by technical artists who control scene lighting or simulate cloth dynamics to achieve the final visual presentation. This process is labor-intensive and demands specialized expertise in cinematic production and technical engines.

In contrast, our method offers a streamlined alternative by directly integrating complex temporal changes into mesh sequences. As shown in Fig. 5, 12 and 13, our approach effectively captures intricate temporal effects such as cloth wrinkles, dynamic lighting, and evolving appearances using textual prompts, significantly simplifying the workflow while maintaining high-quality results.

910

915 We demonstrate the limitations of traditional textured mesh animation in handling complex temporal
916 changes in Fig. 10. Specifically, we employ the Text2Tex (Chen et al., 2023b) to generate the texture
917 for the input mesh in T-pose and render it from multiple viewpoints. To ensure a fair comparison, we composite the rendered results with the background generated by our method. Notably, the 'ghost'



Prompt: "a spirit in neon tilts its head, cyberpunk style."

Figure 10. Results of textured mesh animation (Text2Tex).

and 'snowman' examples exhibit visible seams during animation due to self-occlusions are common appeared in dynamic poses but cannot be accurately predicted during T-pose texture generation. This results in empty texels and disrupts the visual continuity of the animation.

Ε LIMITATIONS AND DISCUSSION

One limitation of our method is the lack of seamless integration between the generated textures and the background, resulting in a disjointed appearance where the foreground and background elements may seem artificially stitched together. This issue arises due to the absence of a comprehensive scene-level dataset. Alternatively, our approach relies on a shared background mesh across different views, which disrupts overall consistency. Addressing scene-level 4D texturing remains an open challenge that we aim to explore in future work. In addition, we notice that our method is relatively computational intensive compared with other texture synthesis methods. The computation time of our method primarily depends on the foundation model (CTRL-Adapter), which takes approximately 5 minutes to generate a 24-frame video. We anticipate significant efficiency improvements with advancements in conditioned video diffusion models, further enhancing the practicality of our approach.





