

A Regret Analysis

A.1 Preliminaries

Lemma 2 (Hoeffding’s inequality (Hoeffding, 1963)). *For a Bernoulli trail with success rate p , let S_n be the total reward of n trails, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}(S_n \geq np + a) &\leq \exp\left(\frac{-2a^2}{n}\right) \\ \mathbf{P}(S_n \leq np - a) &\leq \exp\left(\frac{-2a^2}{n}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 (Thompson Sampling near-optimal regret (Agrawal & Goyal, 2017)). *For standard bandit problem without considering cool-down periods, under Thompson Sampling, for any $k = 2, \dots, M$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $L_k(T) = \frac{(1+\epsilon)\log T}{K(p^{(k)}, p^{(1)})}$, there exists a constant $c(\epsilon) = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2})$, such that*

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{P}(a(t) = k, n_k(t) > L_k(T)) \leq c(\epsilon).$$

Proof. The lemma is a direct consequence of (Agrawal & Goyal, 2017, Lemmas 2.10, 2.11) and the analysis in (Agrawal & Goyal, 2017, Lemma 2.12) by setting $x_k \in (p^{(k)}, p^{(1)})$ in the statement such that $K(x_k, p^{(1)}) = \frac{K(p^{(k)}, p^{(1)})}{1+\epsilon}$ and $y_k \in (x_k, p^{(1)})$ in the statement such that $K(x_k, y_k) = \frac{K(p^{(k)}, p^{(1)})}{(1+\epsilon)^2}$. ■

A.2 Notations

For any $k \in \{1, \dots, M\}$, let $\mathcal{T}_k^a(t) = \{\tau \leq t : k \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)\}$ be the collection of time instances such that arm k is active, let $\mathcal{T}_k^o(t) = \{\tau \leq t : k = \arg \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} p^{(i)}\}$ be the collection of time instance $\tau \leq t$ such that arm k is the actual optimal active arm at time t . Let $\mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(t)$ be the sequence of the time instances when the agent does distribution exploration on arm k till time t , $\mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(t)$ be the sequence of the starting time of each CD exploration on arm k till time t and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(t)$ be the sequence of the time instances when the agent enters the confirmation step of arm k . Let $m^{(k)}(t)$ be the number of samplings in CD exploration of arm k till time t , we have $|\mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(t)| \leq m^{(k)}(t)$. Moreover, from Lemma 4, it holds that

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(t)| \geq m^{(k)}(t) - c_2^{(k)}. \quad (1)$$

For any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, let $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(T)$ be the collection of time instances over total time T such that both arm i and j are active, and $\mathcal{T}_{1:i,j}(T)$ be the collection of time instances over total time T such that at least one of arms in $\{1, \dots, i\}$ is active, and arm j is active. Let $N_{i,j}(T), M_{i,j}(T)$ be the size of the collection of time t over total time T such that $a(t) = j$, $a^*(t) \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ and the agent enters the “urgent” cool-down exploration (line 12 in Algorithm 2) for arm j respectively, and $\tilde{N}_{i,j}(T), \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)$ be the size of the collection of time t over total time T such that $a(t) = j$, $a^*(t) = i$ and the agent selects arm j following the Thompson sampling rule (line 14 i Algorithm 2) for distribution exploration and cool-down exploration respectively. Specifically, when the cool-down durations are known to the agent, $N_{i,j}(T)$ (resp. $\tilde{N}_{i,j}(T)$) simply refers to the accumulated number of times over T that the agent selects arm j when the optimal arm is in $\{1, \dots, i\}$ (resp. is $\{i\}$).

A.3 Known Cool-Down Duration

We start with the proof of Lemma 1, which provides a property of the important arm set defined in Section 3.1

Proof of Lemma 1: Let $S(t)$ be the stack of $S_k(t)$, which stands for the remaining cool-down time for each arm $k = 1, \dots, M$ to become active. When $k \in \mathcal{A}(t)$, $S_k(t) = 0$. Then $S(t) = 0$ represents for the state that all the arms are active at time t . From the definition of $\mathcal{I}$, if $S(t) = 0$, then for any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, with probability at least $p_1 \triangleq \prod_{k \in \mathcal{P}} p^{(k)}$, $i = a^*(\tau)$ for some $\tau = t, \dots, t + |\mathcal{P}| - 1$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is defined in Section 3.1. Besides, for any state $S(t)$, if all the trial fail starting from t , then there exists a $\tau \in [t, t + D]$, such that $S(\tau) = 0$. This

implies that for any $S(t)$, with probability at least $p_2 \triangleq \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} p^{(i)} (p^{(M)})^{D-|\mathcal{I}|}$, the state becomes 0 within D steps. Combining the above results yields that for any $t \leq T - D - |\mathcal{P}| + 1$ and $i \in \mathcal{I}$, with probability at least $p_1 p_2$, there exists a $\tau \in [t, t + D + |\mathcal{P}| - 1]$, such that $a^*(t) = i$. This implies that for any $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and integer c , it holds that

$$\mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{T}_i^o(t)| < c) \leq F(c), \quad (2)$$

where F stands for the cumulative distribution function of $B(\lfloor \frac{T}{D+|\mathcal{P}|} \rfloor, p_1 p_2)$, here $B(n, p)$ represents for the binomial distribution of the number of successful trials over n trials with success rate p . For any n, p in the domain, it holds for all $w \in (0, 1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} F(n^w) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n^w} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \\ &\leq n^w n^{n^w} (1-p)^{n-n^w}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\log F(n^w) \leq (w + n^w) \log n + (n - n^w) \log(1-p) = O(-n)$, and therefore $F(n^w) \leq O(e^{-n})$. Setting $n = \lfloor \frac{T}{D+|\mathcal{P}|} \rfloor$, $p = p_1 p_2$ and $c = t^w$ and substituting the above result to equation 2 yield that for any $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $w \in (0, 1)$, there holds

$$\mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{T}_i^o(t)| < t^w) \leq O(e^{-w}),$$

which completes the first part of the proof.

From the definition of $\mathcal{I}$, for any $j \notin \mathcal{I}$, the only chance that $j = a^*(t)$ is when the agent selects a sub-optimal arm at some time $t' < t$ and $S(\tau) \neq 0$ for all $\tau = t' + 1, \dots, t$. Let $n_s(t)$ denote the total number of sub-optimal selects over time t . Using the Pigeonhole principle, for any $j \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $w \in (0, 1)$, if $|\mathcal{T}_j^o(t)| > t^w$, there exists a $t_1 < T$, such that the agent selects a sub-optimal arm at time t_1 , and $S(\tau) \neq 0$ for all $\tau = t_1 + 1, \dots, t_1 + \lceil \frac{t^w}{n_s} \rceil$, then

$$\mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{T}_j^o(t)| > t^w) \leq (1 - p_2)^{\lceil \frac{t^w}{n_s(t)} \rceil}.$$

Set $a = \frac{w}{2}$ in the lemma statement, then there exists a c_w , such that $n_s(t) \leq c_w t^{\frac{w}{2}}$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{T}_j^o(t)| > t^w) \leq (1 - p_2)^{\lceil \frac{t^{\frac{w}{2}}}{c_w} \rceil} \leq \left((1 - p_2)^{\frac{1}{c_w}} \right)^{t^{\frac{w}{2}}} = O(e^{-t^{\frac{w}{2}}}),$$

which completes the proof. ■

Then we are able to prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 1: For any arm $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $t > 0$, similar to the analysis in (Lai et al., 1985, Theorem 2), for any $\epsilon > 0$, it holds that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(N_{j-1,j}(t) \geq \frac{(1-\epsilon) \log t}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(a^*(t))})} \right) = 1.$$

For any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, let $T_i = \arg \max_{t \leq T} a^*(t) = i$, then for any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, \min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|)$, there holds

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(N_{j-1,j}(T) \geq \frac{(1-\epsilon) \log T_i}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(i)})} \right) = 1.$$

Combining the above inequality with Lemma 1, for any $\epsilon > 0, w \in (0, 1), j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, \min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|)$, it holds that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left(N_{j-1,j}(T) \geq \frac{w(1-\epsilon) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(i)})} \right) = 1,$$

which implies that for any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, \min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|)$, it holds that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}(N_{j-1,j}(T))}{\log T} \geq \frac{1}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(i)})}.$$

From Lemma 1, for any $i \notin \mathcal{I}$, it holds that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}(\tilde{N}_{i,j}(T))}{\log T} = o(1),$$

where we also make use of the property that $|\mathcal{T}_i^o| \geq \tilde{N}_{i,j}(T)$. Then for any $j = 2, \dots, M$, it holds that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}(N_{\min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|), j}(T))}{\log T} \geq \frac{1}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(i)})}.$$

Since $K(p, q)$ is increasing in terms of q for $q > p$, for any $j = 2, \dots, M$, it holds that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}(N_{\min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|), j}(T))}{\log T} \geq \frac{1}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|)})}. \quad (3)$$

The regret $R(T)$ satisfies that

$$R(T) \geq \sum_{j=2}^M \mathbf{E}(N_{\min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|), j}(T)) (p^{(\min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|))} - p^{(j)}).$$

Substituting equation 3 to the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(T)}{\log T} \geq \sum_{j=2}^M \frac{p^{(\min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|))} - p^{(j)}}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(j-1, |\mathcal{I}|)})},$$

which completes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 2: For any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, let

$$L_{i,j}(T) = \frac{(1 + \epsilon) \log(|\mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)|)}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(i)})}.$$

Similar to the analysis of Lemma 3, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, it holds that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)} \mathbf{1}(a(t) = j, n_j(t) > L_{i,j}(T))\right) \leq c(\epsilon).$$

From Lemma 1, for $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $j = i+1, \dots, M$, it holds that

$$L_{i,j}(T) \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(i)})},$$

and for $i \notin \mathcal{I}$, and $j = i+1, \dots, M$, it holds that

$$L_{i,j}(T) \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon) \log(|\mathcal{T}_i^o(T)|)}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(i)})} = o(\log T).$$

Then for any $j = 2, \dots, M$, $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c_1(\epsilon) = O(1)$, such that when $T \geq c_1(\epsilon)$, for any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, it holds that

$$L_{i,j}(T) \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})} \triangleq \tilde{L}_{i,j}(T).$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) \leq \mathbf{E}\left(N_{i,j}(T), n_j(T) \leq \max_{i < j} L_{i,j}(T)\right) + \mathbf{E}\left(N_{i,j}(T), n_j(T) > \max_{i < j} L_{i,j}(T)\right).$$

For any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, $N_{i,j}(T) \leq n_j(T)$ from definition, the former term is upper bounded by $\max_{i < j} L_{i,j}(T) \leq \max(\tilde{L}_{i,j}(T), c_1(\epsilon))$, and the latter term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\left(N_{i,j}(T), n_j(T) > \max_{i < j} L_{i,j}(T)\right) &\leq \mathbf{E}\left(N_{i,j}(T), n_j(T) > L_{i,j}(T)\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{t \in (\cup_{k=1}^i \mathcal{T}_k^o) \cap \mathcal{T}_j^a} \mathbf{1}(a(t) = j, n_j(T) > L_{i,j}(T))\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)} \mathbf{1}(a(t) = j, n_j(T) > L_{i,j}(T))\right) \\ &\leq ic(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{c}_1^{(i)}(\epsilon) = c_1(\epsilon) + ic(\epsilon)$ for any arm $k = 1, \dots, M$, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) \leq \tilde{L}_{i,j}(T) + c_1(\epsilon) + ic(\epsilon) = \tilde{L}_{i,j}(T) + \tilde{c}_1^{(i)}(\epsilon) \quad (4)$$

Since regret $R(T)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} R(T) &= \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{N}_{i,j}(T))(p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}) \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T))(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}), \end{aligned}$$

Substituting equation 4 to the above inequality, we obtain that

$$R(T) \leq (1 + \epsilon) \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})}) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{c}_1^{(i)}(\epsilon)(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}).$$

Let $C(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{c}_1^{(i)}(\epsilon)(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)})$, we have $C(\epsilon) = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2})$, which completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

A.4 Unknown Cool-Down Duration

A.4.1 Basic Properties

The exploration of cool-down durations for each arm k comprises two phases: the quick jump stage, where the agent employs a bisection algorithm to promptly update the cool-down parameters, and the confirmation stage, where the agent conducts Bernoulli trials to verify the accuracy of $L_u^{(k)}(t)$. For $c \in \{l^{(k)}, \dots, D\}$, we define a time sequence as a c -run of arm k if it represents the collection of time instances t satisfying $L_u^{(k)}(t) = c$. Note that a c -run might not be a consecutive sequence. Additionally, throughout the entire process, c might not traverse all values in $l^{(k)}, \dots, D$ for each arm $k = 1, \dots, M$. We start with examining the characteristics of the quick jump stage.

Lemma 4. *For each arm $k = 1, \dots, M$, the accumulated number of selects on arm k in the quick jump stage is at most $c_2^{(k)} \triangleq \frac{(D+l^{(k)})(D-l^{(k)}+1)}{2}$.*

Proof. For each arm $k = 1, \dots, M$, suppose the agent is at the quick jump step in c -run of arm k , where $c \in \{l^{(k)}, \dots, D\}$. If the agent obtains a 1-reward, then the agent updates the estimated CD upper bound and breaks c -run, and thus never enters the confirmation step of c -run. And if the agent obtains a 0-reward, then it continues the quick jump step until it has sampled for $L_u^{(k)}(t) - L_{test}^{(k)}(t)$ times. With this in mind, whenever the agent enters a c -run for cool-down exploration on arm k , it at most samples $c - L_{test}^{(k)}(t)$ times, within

which, the quick jump stages contains at most c time steps as it is a bisection process. Since $c \in \{l^{(k)}, \dots, D\}$, then the total number of samplings in the quick jump steps in all runs is lower bounded by

$$\sum_{c=l^{(k)}}^D c \leq \frac{(D + l^{(k)})(D - l^{(k)} + 1)}{2},$$

which completes the proof. ■

The following lemma provides an evaluation of the accuracy of the estimated success rate $\tilde{p}^{(k)}(t)$ for each $k = 1, \dots, M$.

Lemma 5. *For any $k = 1, \dots, M$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c_3^{(k)}(\epsilon) = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2})$, such that*

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, a(t) = k\right) \leq c_3^{(k)}(\epsilon).$$

Proof. Let

$$g_\epsilon = (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} - (1 - p),$$

it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} g_\epsilon &= (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \left(1 - (1 - p)^{\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}\right) \\ &\geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} p \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} = O(\epsilon) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

From Lemma 2, for any arm $k = 1, \dots, M$, it holds that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, a(t) = k\right) \leq \exp(-2g_\epsilon^2 |\mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(t)|).$$

Since for any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, a(t) = k\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(T)\right) + \mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)\right), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, a(t) = k\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(T)} \exp(-2g_\epsilon^2 |\mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(t)|) + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)} \exp(-2g_\epsilon^2 |\mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(t)|). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

For each $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)$, let x_τ be the number of successive steps of the cool-down exploration starting from τ . Specially, we let $x_\tau = 0$ for $\tau \notin \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)$. Note that for any $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)$,

$$\max\{t < \tau : a(t) = k\} \in \mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(T). \tag{7}$$

Then for any $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)$, $f : \tau \rightarrow \arg \max\{t < \tau : a(t) = k\}$ is an injection. Applying this property to equation [6](#), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, a(t) = k\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{h=1}^{|\mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(T)|} \exp(-2g_\epsilon^2 h) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)} \exp(-2g_\epsilon^2 |\mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(f(\tau))|) x_\tau \\ & \leq \sum_{h=1}^{|\mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(T)|} \exp(-2g_\epsilon^2 h) (1 + \tilde{x}_h), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{x}_h$ denotes the number of time instances the agent spends on cool-down exploration between the h th and $(h+1)$ th distribution explorations. Note that $\tilde{x}_h > 1$ only when the agent enters the quick jump stage for arm k between the h th and $(h+1)$ th distribution explorations. From Lemma [4](#), the quick jump stage for arm k takes at most $c_2^{(k)}$ instances, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, a(t) = k\right) \\ & \leq \exp(-2g_\epsilon^2) (1 + c_2^{(k)}) + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{|\mathcal{C}_1^{(k)}(T)|} \exp(-2g_\epsilon^2 h). \end{aligned}$$

Then combining the fact that $\sum_{t=1}^\infty e^{-ct} = O(\frac{1}{c})$ for any positive constant c and equation [5](#) to the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, a(t) = k\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right).$$

This implies that there exists a constant $c_3^{(k)}(\epsilon) = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2})$, such that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{P}\left(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, a(t) = k\right) \leq c_3^{(k)}(\epsilon),$$

which completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

A.4.2 Insufficient Cool-Down Exploration

As discussed in Section [1.3](#), sub-linear regret can arise if the optimal active arm is erroneously classified as inactive (not included in the belief arm set $\mathcal{B}(t)$) at each time t . In this section, we analyze the likelihood of this occurrence. We investigate this scenario under two distinct conditions: firstly, when $\text{waitlist}^{(k)}(t) = 0$, i.e., when the agent does not pause the cool-down exploration of k at time t , and secondly, when $\text{waitlist}^{(k)}(t) = 1$, i.e., when the agent has paused the cool-down exploration of k at time t .

Lemma 6. *For any arm $k = 1, \dots, M$, it holds that*

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(\text{waitlist}^{(k)}(t) = 0, k \notin \mathcal{B}(t)) \leq \frac{(M+1)(D - l^{(k)})}{p^{(k)}}.$$

Proof. For any arm $k = 1, \dots, M$ and time t , if the agent enters the cool-down exploration for arm k at time t and $c = L_u^k(t) > L^{(k)} \geq l^{(k)}$, then arm k must be active at time τ , i.e., $t \in \mathcal{T}_k^a(T)$. Thus with probability $p^{(k)}$, the agent breaks c -run for arm k , and consequently $L_u^k(t+1) < L_u^k(t)$. Since there are at

most $D - l^{(k)}$ runs before $L_u^{(k)}(t)$ reaches $L^{(k)}$, then the expected number of rounds of cool-down exploration is upper bounded by $\frac{D - l^{(k)}}{p^{(k)}}$. Note that after the agent executes a distribution exploration at time t , there exists a time $t' \in (t, t + D)$, such that $CD_Explore^{(k)}(t) = L_{test}^{(k)}(t) - L_u^{(k)}(t) + 1$ whenever $waitlist^{(k)}(t) = 0$. Then from the decision-making process, there must be one cool-down exploration for arm k after at most M distribution explorations for arm k . Let $a(t) = 0$ if the agent does not enter the decision-making process at time t . Then

$$\mathbf{E}(|\{t : waitlist^{(k)}(t) = 0, L_u^{(k)}(t) > L^{(k)}\}|) \leq \frac{(M+1)(D - l^{(k)})}{p^{(k)}}.$$

From the definition of $L^{(k)}$, it holds for any $k = 1, \dots, M$ that

$$\{t : k \in \mathcal{A}(t) \cap \mathcal{B}(t)^c\} \subseteq \{t : L_u^{(k)}(t) > L^{(k)}\}. \quad (8)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(waitlist^{(k)}(t) = 0, k \notin \mathcal{B}(t)) &\leq \mathbf{E}(|\{t : waitlist^{(k)}(t) = 0, L_u^{(k)}(t) > L^{(k)}\}|) \\ &\leq \frac{(M+1)(D - l^{(k)})}{p^{(k)}}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

Lemma 7. For any arm $k = 1, \dots, M$, there exist constants $c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon) = O(\frac{-\log \epsilon}{\epsilon^2})$, $\tilde{c}_5^{(k)}(\epsilon) = O(1)$, such that

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(waitlist^{(k)} = 1, k \notin \mathcal{B}(t), t \geq c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon)) \leq \log \log T + \tilde{c}_5^{(k)}(\epsilon).$$

Proof. Note that $waitlist^{(k)}(t) = 1$ is equivalent to that the agent has sampled $check^{(k)}(t)$ times in the confirmation step of the $L_u^{(k)}(t)$ -run without receiving a 1-reward. Note that if $L_u^{(k)}(t) > l^{(k)}$, then $L_{test}^{(k)}(t) = L_u^{(k)}(t) - 1 \geq l^{(k)}$, implying that arm k is active for any time t on the confirmation stage of an any c -run satisfying $c > l^{(k)}$. Consequently

$$\mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) > l^{(k)}, waitlist^{(k)} = 1) = (1 - p^{(k)})^{check^{(k)}(t)}, \quad (9)$$

where $check^{(k)}(t)$ satisfies that

$$(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))^{check^{(k)}(t)} \leq \frac{1}{t \log t}.$$

We consider the following two cases.

(1) If $p \geq \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t)$, then it holds that

$$(1 - p^{(k)})^{check^{(k)}(t)} \leq (1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))^{check^{(k)}(t)} \leq \frac{1}{t \log t}. \quad (10)$$

(2) If $p < \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - p^{(k)})^{check^{(k)}(t)} &\leq (1 - p^{(k)})^{\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))}} \\ &= (1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))^{\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))}} \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) - p^{(k)}}{1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t)}\right)^{\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))}}. \end{aligned}$$

set a in Lemma 2 as $\sqrt{t \log t}$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq p^{(k)} + \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{t^2},$$

implying that for any t , with probability $1 - \frac{1}{t^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-p)^{check^{(k)}(t)} &\leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}} / (1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))\right)^{\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))}} (1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))^{\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))}} \\
&\leq \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}} / (1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))\right)^{\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))}} / t \log t \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}}}{1 - p^{(k)} - \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}}}\right)^{\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})}} / t \log t.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sqrt{\log t/t}$ converges to 0 when t goes to infinity and that $(1+x)^c \rightarrow 1+cx$ for any $0 < x < 1$ and $c \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any ϵ , there exists a constant $c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon) = O(\frac{-\log \epsilon}{\epsilon^2})$, such that when $t \geq c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon)$, there holds

$$\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}}}{1 - p^{(k)} - \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}}}\right)^{\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})}} \leq 1 + \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - p^{(k)}} \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}} \frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})}.$$

Combining the above results together, when $p < \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, given $t \geq c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon)$, with probability $1 - \frac{1}{t^2}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-p)^{check^{(k)}(t)} &\leq \frac{1}{t \log t} + \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - p^{(k)}} \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{t}} \frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})} \frac{1}{t \log t} \\
&= \frac{1}{t \log t} + \frac{1 + \epsilon}{-(1 - p^{(k)}) \log(1 - p^{(k)})} \frac{\log t + \log \log t}{t \sqrt{t \log t}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Along with equation [10](#), we obtain that for any $\epsilon > 0$, given $t \geq c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon)$, with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{t^2}$, it holds that

$$(1-p)^{check^{(k)}(t)} \leq \frac{1}{t \log t} + \frac{1 + \epsilon}{-(1 - p^{(k)}) \log(1 - p^{(k)})} \frac{\log t + \log \log t}{t \sqrt{t \log t}}.$$

Then from equation [9](#), there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) > l^{(k)}, \text{waitlist}^{(k)} = 1, t \geq c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon)) \\
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{t \log t} + \frac{1 + \epsilon}{-(1 - p^{(k)}) \log(1 - p^{(k)})} \frac{\log t + \log \log t}{t \sqrt{t \log t}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\log t + \log \log t}{t \sqrt{t \log t}} = O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\log t})$, it holds that $\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\log t + \log \log t}{t \sqrt{t \log t}} = O(1)$. Then, there exists a constant $c_5^{(k)}(\epsilon) = O(1)$, such that

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) > l^{(k)}, \text{waitlist}^{(k)} = 1, t \geq c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon)) \leq \log \log T + c_5^{(k)}(\epsilon).$$

Then from equation [8](#), it holds that

$$\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(\text{waitlist}^{(k)} = 1, k \notin \mathcal{B}(t), t \geq c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon)) \leq \log \log T + c_5^{(k)}(\epsilon),$$

which completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

A.4.3 Sampling Times in Cool-Down Exploration

In this section, we study the expected number of samplings in the cool-down exploration for each arm k .

The following lemma provides the upper bound of the number of time instances in the cool-down exploration of arm k for $l^{(k)}$ -run.

Lemma 8. *For any arm $k = 1, \dots, M$ and $\epsilon > 0$, it holds that*

$$\mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)) \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)(\log T + \log \log T)}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})} + c_3^{(k)}(\epsilon) + l^{(k)},$$

where $c_3^{(k)}(\epsilon)$ is defined in Lemma 5.

Proof. From the analysis in Lemma 4, the number of time instances in the quick jump step of arm k for $L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}$ is $l^{(k)}$. Then for any $k = 1, \dots, M$, it holds that

$$\mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)) \leq \mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)) + l^{(k)}. \quad (11)$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_1(t)$ denotes the event such that $1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t) \geq (1 - p)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}$, $a(t) = k$. Expanding the first term of equation 11, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}\left(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, \mathcal{E}_1(t), t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)\right) + \mathbf{P}\left(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, \neg \mathcal{E}_1(t), t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)\right) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_1(t)) + \mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T) | \neg \mathcal{E}_1(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From the algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T) | \neg \mathcal{E}_1(t)) \\ & = \mathbf{P}(\text{check}^{(k)}(t) < \frac{\log t + \log \log t}{-\log(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)}(t))}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T) | \neg \mathcal{E}_1(t)) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\text{check}^{(k)}(t) < \frac{(1 + \epsilon)(\log t + \log \log t)}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)\right) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\text{check}^{(k)}(t) < \frac{(1 + \epsilon)(\log T + \log \log T)}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any adjacent $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)$ with $\tau_1 < \tau_2$, it holds that $\text{check}^{(k)}(\tau_1) + 1 = \text{check}^{(k)}(\tau_2)$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T) | \neg \mathcal{E}_1(t)) \\ & \leq \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{P}\left(\text{check}^{(k)}(t) < \frac{(1 + \epsilon)(\log T + \log \log T)}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)\right) \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)(\log T + \log \log T)}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the above inequality along with Lemma 5 to equation 12, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2^{(k)}(T)) \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)(\log T + \log \log T)}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})} + c_3^{(k)}(\epsilon),$$

substituting which to equation 11, we have

$$\mathbf{P}(L_u^{(k)}(t) = l^{(k)}, t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)) \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon)(\log T + \log \log T)}{-\log(1 - p^{(k)})} + c_3^{(k)}(\epsilon) + l^{(k)},$$

which completes the proof. ■

A.4.4 Extension For Results in Section A.3

Since $\tilde{p}^{(k)}(t)$ is updated only when the agent makes distribution exploration, and the analysis of Theorem 2 is influenced by the accuracy of $\tilde{p}^{(k)}(t)$, we extend the findings outlined in Section A.3 by employing the same analytical approach utilized in the proof of Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. For any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, it holds that

$$\mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)\log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})}) + \tilde{c}_1^{(k)}(\epsilon),$$

where $\tilde{c}_1^{(k)}(\epsilon)$ is defined in Theorem 2.

Proof. For any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$,

$$\mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) = \sum_{t \in (\cup_{k=1}^i \mathcal{T}_k^o) \cap \mathcal{T}_j^a} \mathbf{P}(t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{(j)}(t)) = \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{(j)}(t)). \quad (13)$$

Since $\{t \leq T : a(t) = j\} \supset \mathcal{C}_1^{(j)}(T)$ for any $j = 1, \dots, M$, there holds

$$\mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) \leq \sum_{t \in (\cup_{k=1}^i \mathcal{T}_k^o) \cap \mathcal{T}_j^a} \mathbf{P}(a(t) = j) = \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(a(t) = j).$$

Then using the exact same technique in the proof of Theorem 2 we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)\log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})}) + \tilde{c}_1^{(i)}(\epsilon),$$

which completes the proof. ■

Corollary 2. For any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $j \in \{i+1, \dots, M\}$ and $j > i$, and $\epsilon > 0$, for the same constant defined in Corollary 1, it holds that

$$\mathbf{E}(M_{i,j}(T)) < \mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) + c_2^{(j)}.$$

Proof. For any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(M_{i,j}(T)) &= \sum_{t \in (\cup_{k=1}^i \mathcal{T}_k^o) \cap \mathcal{T}_j^a} \mathbf{P}(t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(j)}(T)) x_t^{(j)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(j)}(T)) x_t^{(j)} \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(j)}(T)) + \sum_{t=1}^T x_t^{(j)} \mathbf{1}(x_t^{(j)} > 1), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $x_t^{(j)}$ is the number of steps of the successive cool-down exploration starting from t for any $t > 0$. Specially, $x_t^{(j)} = 0$ for $t \notin \mathcal{C}_2^{(j)}(T)$.

Note that $x_t^{(j)} > 1$ only when t is the starting time of a cool-down exploration on the quick jump stage. Then from Lemma 4 it holds that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T x_t^{(j)} \mathbf{1}(x_t^{(j)} > 1) \leq c_2^{(j)}.$$

Substituting the above inequality to equation [14](#), we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}(M_{i,j}(T)) < \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(t \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(j)}(T)) + c_2^{(j)}.$$

Recall the property introduced in Lemma [5](#): for any $\tau \in \mathcal{C}_2^{(k)}(T)$, $f : \tau \rightarrow \max\{t < \tau : a(t) = k\}$ is an injection, in this sense, since $\tilde{p}^{(j)}(t)$ only changes when $t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{(j)}(t)$ for any $j = 1, \dots, M$, the above inequality can be further modified as

$$\mathbf{E}(M_{i,j}(T)) < \sum_{k=1}^i \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_j^a(T) \cap \mathcal{T}_i^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(t \in \mathcal{C}_1^{(j)}(T)) + c_2^{(j)}.$$

Then substituting equation [13](#) to the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}(M_{i,j}(T)) < \mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) + c_2^{(j)},$$

which completes the proof. ■

With all the above results in hand, we are able to prove Theorem [4](#)

Proof of Theorem [4](#): For any $k = 1, \dots, M$ and time t such that $a^*(t) = k$, a loss in reward is likely to incur when the agent fails to select k because

1. $k \in \mathcal{B}(t)$, but there exists an arm $j > k$ such that $\theta^{(j)}(t) > \theta^{(k)}(t)$
2. $k \notin \mathcal{B}(t)$
3. there exists an arm $i < k$, such that $i \in \mathcal{B}(t)$ and the agent selects i
4. $k \in \mathcal{B}(t)$, $k = \arg \max_{i \in \mathcal{B}(t)} \theta^{(i)}(t)$, but the agent enters the urgent cool-down exploration phase for some arm $j > k$

We use $R_1(T), R_2(T), R_3(T), R_4(T)$ to denote the total regret incurred in the above four cases respectively and divide the following analysis into three parts.

Part 1. For each arm $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$ in case 1 such that $a^*(t) = i$ and $a(t) = j$, it is easy to see that agent i is active. Then if the agent selects arm j for distribution exploration, the one-time instant regret is $p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}$, and if the agent selects arm j for cool-down exploration, the one-time instant regret is at most $p^{(i)}$. Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(T) &\leq \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{N}_{i,j}(T))(p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T))p^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T))(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T))p^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then substituting Corollary [1](#) to the above inequality, we obtain that

$$R_2(T) \leq \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(1+\epsilon)(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |I|)})} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{c}_1^{(i)}(\epsilon) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T))p^{(i)}.$$

Part 2. In case 2, for each arm k , and $a^*(t) = k$, the one-time regret is at most $p^{(k)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(T) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^M p^{(k)} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(k \notin \mathcal{B}(t)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^M p^{(k)} \left(\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(\text{waitlist}^{(k)}(t) = 0, k \notin \mathcal{B}(t)) + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(\text{waitlist}^{(k)} = 1, k \notin \mathcal{B}(t)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemmas [6](#) and [7](#), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1(T) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^M p^{(k)} \left(c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon) + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_k^o(T)} \mathbf{P}(\text{waitlist}^{(k)} = 1, k \notin \mathcal{B}(t), t \geq c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon)) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^M p^{(k)} \frac{(M+1)(D - l^{(k)})}{p^{(k)}} \\
&\leq M \log \log T + \sum_{k=1}^M (M+1) ((D - l^{(k)}) + p^{(k)}(c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon) + c_5^{(k)}(\epsilon))).
\end{aligned}$$

Part 3. For any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, let $n_3(i, j)$ be the number of selects on arm i when j is the actual optimal active arm, as described in case 3, and $n_3(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_3(i, j)$. In such a situation, since j is active, the one time instant regret is at most $p^{(j)}$. In this sense, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_3(T) &\leq \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(n_3(i, j)) p^{(j)} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(n_3(i, j)) p^{(i)} \\
&= \sum_{j=2}^M \mathbf{E}(n_3(j)) p^{(j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Part 4. For any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$, let $n_4(i, j)$ denote the number of selects on arm j when arm i is the optimal arm in case 4. Then

$$R_4(T) \leq \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(n_4(i, j)) p^{(i)}.$$

Note that according to the urgent decision-making requirement, case 4 only happens when the latest cool-down exploration on arm j before time t is earlier than the that on arm i . Then it holds for any $j = 2, \dots, M$ and $i = 1, \dots, j-1$ that

$$n_4(i, j) \leq m^{(i)}(t). \quad (15)$$

Adding all the “partial” regret together, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
R(T) &\leq M \log \log T + \sum_{k=1}^M (M+1) ((D - l^{(k)}) + p^{(k)}(c_4^{(k)}(\epsilon) + c_5^{(k)}(\epsilon))) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(1+\epsilon)(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})})} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{c}_1^{(i)}(\epsilon) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)) p^{(i)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^M \mathbf{E}(n_3(j)) p^{(j)} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(n_4(i, j)) p^{(i)}. \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $j = 2, \dots, M$, it holds that

$$m^{(j)}(T) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T) + n_3(j) + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_4(i, j). \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)p^{(i)} + n_3(j)p^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_4(i,j)p^{(i)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)p^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_4(i,j)p^{(i)} + \left(m^{(j)}(T) - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T) + n_4(i,j)) \right) p^{(j)} \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T) + n_4(i,j))(p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}) + m^{(j)}(T)p^{(j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting equation [15](#) to the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)p^{(i)} + n_3(j)p^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_4(i,j)p^{(i)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)(p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m^{(i)}(T)(p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}) + m^{(j)}(T)p^{(j)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)(p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}) + \sum_{i=1}^j m^{(i)}(T)p^{(i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, equation [17](#) also indicates that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)p^{(i)} + n_3(j)p^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_4(i,j)p^{(i)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)p^{(i)} + n_3(j)p^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_4(i,j)p^{(i)} \\
& \leq m^{(j)}(T)p^{(i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then together it holds for all $j = 2, \dots, M$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)p^{(i)} + n_3(j)p^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} n_4(i,j)p^{(i)} \\
& \leq \min \left\{ m^{(j)}(T)p^{(i)}, \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)(p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}) + \sum_{i=1}^j m^{(i)}(T)p^{(i)} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing the above inequality up for $j = 2, \dots, M$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T))p^{(i)} + \sum_{j=2}^M \mathbf{E}(n_3(j))p^{(j)} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(n_4(i,j))p^{(i)} \\
& \leq \min \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^M \mathbf{E}(m^{(j)}(T))p^{(i)}, \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T))(p^{(i)} - p^{(j)}) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \mathbf{E}(m^{(i)}(T))p^{(i)} \right\} \\
& = \min \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^M \mathbf{E}(m^{(j)}(T))p^{(i)}, \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T))(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \mathbf{E}(m^{(i)}(T))p^{(i)} \right\} \\
& \leq \min \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^M \mathbf{E}(m^{(j)}(T))p^{(i)}, \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T))(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \mathbf{E}(m^{(i)}(T))p^{(i)} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} c_2^{(j)} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step is from Corollary 2. From Lemma 8 and the analysis of Lemma 6, it holds for all $j = 1, \dots, M$ that

$$\mathbf{E}(m^{(j)}(T)) \leq \frac{(1+\epsilon)(\log T + \log \log T)}{-\log(1-p^{(j)})} + c_3^{(j)}(\epsilon) + l^{(j)} + \frac{D-l^{(j)}}{p^{(j)}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\tilde{M}_{i,j}(T)) p^{(i)} + \sum_{j=2}^M \mathbf{E}(n_3(j)) p^{(j)} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(n_4(i,j)) p^{(i)} \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(N_{i,j}(T)) (p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(i)})} (\log T + \log \log T), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{j=2}^M \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(j)})} (\log T + \log \log T) \right\} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \left(c_3^{(j)}(\epsilon) + l^{(j)} + \frac{D-l^{(j)}}{p^{(j)}} + c_2^{(j)} \right) \\ & \leq \min \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(1+\epsilon)(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})})} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(i)})} (\log T + \log \log T), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{j=2}^M \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(j)})} (\log T + \log \log T) \right\} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \left(c_3^{(j)}(\epsilon) + l^{(j)} + \frac{D-l^{(j)}}{p^{(j)}} + c_2^{(j)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequality to equation 16, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} R(T) & \leq \min \left\{ (1+\epsilon) \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{2(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})})} + \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(i)})} \log T, \right. \\ & \quad \left. (1+\epsilon) \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)}) \log T}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})})} + \sum_{j=2}^M \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(j)})} \log T \right\} \\ & \quad + \hat{C}_1(\epsilon) \log \log T + \hat{C}_2(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{C}_1(\epsilon) = M + \min \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(i)})}, \sum_{j=2}^M \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(j)})} \right\} = O(1),$$

and $\hat{C}_2(\epsilon)$ is the sum of all the constant terms. It can be obtained from Lemmas 4-8 that $\hat{C}_2(\epsilon) = O(\frac{-\log \epsilon}{\epsilon^2})$. Note that $\frac{x}{-\log(1-x)} \leq 1$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$, then $R(T)$ can be further bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} R(T) & \leq (1+\epsilon) \min \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^M \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{2(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)})}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})}) + 1 \right) + 1 \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sum_{j=2}^M \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{(p^{(i)} - p^{(i+1)})}{K(p^{(j)}, p^{(\min(i, |\mathcal{I}|)})})} + \sum_{j=2}^M \frac{(1+\epsilon)p^{(i)}}{-\log(1-p^{(j)})} \right\} \log T \\ & \quad + \hat{C}_1(\epsilon) \log \log T + \hat{C}_2(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

B Supplementary Pseudocode

Algorithm 3: Distribution Exploration

Input: time t , $\alpha^{(k)}, \beta^{(k)}, CD_Explore^{(k)}, L_{test}^{(k)}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, M$, selected arm $a(t)$

```

1  $CD\_Explore^{(k)} = CD\_Explore^{(k)} - 1$  for  $k = 1, \dots, M$ 
2 if  $X_{a(t)} = 1$  then
3    $\alpha^{(a(t))} = \alpha^{(a(t))} + 1$ 
4    $CD\_Explore^{(a(t))} = L_{test}^{(a(t))}$  // reset cool-down state after obtaining a 1-reward
5 else
6    $\beta^{(a(t))} = \beta^{(a(t))} + 1$ 
7 end
```

Algorithm 4: Cool-Down Exploration

Input: time t , arm $a(t)$, $CD_Explore^{(k)}, L_u^{(k)}, L_{test}^{(k)}, \tilde{L}_{test}^{(k)}, check^{(k)}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, M$

```

1  $t = t - 1$ 
2  $upper = L_u^{(a(t))} - L_{test}^{(a(t))} + CD\_Explore^{(a(t))}$  // largest remaining time before the arm is removed from  $\mathcal{B}$ 
3  $r1 = 0$  // defaulted as "receive no 1-reward in the cool-down exploration"
4 for  $i = 1 : upper$  do
5    $t = t + 1$ 
6   if  $t > T$  then
7     break
8   end
9    $CD\_Explore^{(k)} = CD\_Explore^{(k)} - 1$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, M$ 
10  if  $X_{a(t)} = 1$  then
11     $r1 = 1$  // already receive 1-reward in the cool-down exploration
12     $L_u^{(a(t))} = L_u^{(a(t))} - upper + i - 1$  // update of the estimate
13     $check^{(a(t))} = 0$  // reset count
14    if  $L_u^{(a(t))} = L_{test}^{(a(t))}$  then
15       $L_{test}^{(a(t))} = \max(0, \min(L_u^{(a(t))} - 1, \tilde{L}_{test}^{(a(t))}))$ 
16       $\tilde{L}_{test}^{(a(t))} = 0$ 
17    else
18       $L_{test}^{(a(t))} = \min\left(\lceil \frac{L_{test}^{(a(t))} + \tilde{L}_{test}^{(a(t))}}{2} \rceil, L_u^{(a(t))} - 1\right)$ 
19    end
20     $CD\_Explore^{(a(t))} = L_{test}^{(a(t))}$  // reset cool-down state
21    break
22  end
23 end
24 if  $r1 = 0$  // fail to receive 1 reward in the current cool-down exploration
25 then
26    $\tilde{L}_{test}^{(a(t))} = L_{test}^{(a(t))}$ 
27    $L_{test}^{(a(t))} = \max\left(\lceil \frac{L_{test}^{(a(t))} + L_u^{(a(t))} - upper + i - 1}{2} \rceil, \min(L_u^{(a(t))} - 1, L_{test}^{(a(t))} + 1)\right)$ 
28    $check^{(a(t))} = check^{(a(t))} + 1$  // update count
29 end
```

Algorithm 5: Random Sample

Input: time t , $\alpha^{(k)}$, $\beta^{(k)}$, $CD_Explore^{(k)}$, $L_u^{(k)}$, $L_{test}^{(k)}$, $\tilde{L}_{test}^{(k)}$, $waitlist^{(k)}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, M$

/ If belief arm set is empty, the agent picks a random arm */*

```

1 if  $\{k \in \{1, \dots, M\} \mid waitlist^{(k)} = 0\} \neq \emptyset$  then
2   | the agent randomly picks an arm  $a(t)$  from  $\{k \in \{1, \dots, M\} \mid waitlist^{(k)} = 0\}$ 
3 else
4   | the agent randomly picks an arm  $a(t)$  from  $\{1, \dots, M\}$ 
5 end
6  $CD\_Explore^{(k)} = CD\_Explore^{(k)} - 1$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, M$ 
7 if  $X_{a(t)} = 1$  then
8   |  $L_u^{(a(t))} = L_{test}^{(a(t))} - CD\_Explore^{(a(t))}$ 
9   |  $L_{test}^{(a(t))} = \max(\lceil \frac{L_u^{(a(t))}}{2} \rceil, \min(\tilde{L}_{test}^{(a(t))}, L_u^{(a(t))} - 1))$ 
10  |  $CD\_Explore^{(a(t))} = L_{test}^{(a(t))}$ 
11 end

```

Algorithm 6: Post-Update

Input: time t , $\alpha^{(k)}$, $\beta^{(k)}$, $CD_Explore^{(k)}$, $L_u^{(k)}$, $L_{test}^{(k)}$, $\tilde{L}_{test}^{(k)}$, $waitlist^{(k)}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, M$

/ Update of waitlist */*

```

1 for  $k = 1, \dots, M$  do
2   |  $\tilde{p}^{(k)} = \frac{\alpha^{(k)}}{\alpha^{(k)} + \beta^{(k)}}$ 
3   | if  $L_u^{(k)} = 0$  // when cool-down duration is 0
4   |   then
5   |     |  $L_{test}^{(k)} = CD\_Explore^{(k)} = 0$ 
6   |     |  $check^{(k)} = \infty$ 
7   |     |  $waitlist^{(k)} = 1$  // terminate cool-down exploration
8   |   end
9   | if  $waitlist^{(k)} = 1$  and  $check^{(k)} \neq \infty$  and  $(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)})^{check^{(k)}} > \frac{1}{t \log t}$  // when cool-down exploration turns
10  |   | insufficient
11  |   | then
12  |   |   |  $waitlist^{(k)} = 0$  // restart cool-down exploration
13  |   |   |  $L_{test}^{(k)} = L_u^{(k)} - 1$ 
14  |   |   |  $CD\_Explore^{(k)} = CD\_Explore^{(k)} - 1$ 
15  |   | end
16  |   | if  $waitlist^{(k)} = 0$  and  $(1 - \tilde{p}^{(k)})^{check^{(k)}} \leq \frac{1}{t \log t}$  // when cool-down exploration is sufficient
17  |   |   | then
18  |   |   |   |  $waitlist^{(k)} = 1$  // pause cool-down exploration
19  |   |   |   |  $CD\_Explore^{(k)} = CD\_Explore^{(k)} + L_u^{(k)} - L_{test}^{(k)}$ 
20  |   |   |   |  $L_{test}^{(k)} = L_u^{(k)}$ 
21  |   |   | end
22  |   | end
23  |   | if  $CD\_Explore^{(k)} + L_u^{(k)} - L_{test}^{(k)} \leq 0$  // when  $k$  is bound to be active
24  |   |   | then
25  |   |   |   |  $CD\_Explore^{(k)} = \infty$  // reset cool-down state
26  |   |   | end
27  |   | end

```

/ Update of CD_Explore */*

```

22 for  $k = 1, \dots, M$  do
23   | if  $CD\_Explore^{(k)} + L_u^{(k)} - L_{test}^{(k)} \leq 0$  // when  $k$  is bound to be active
24   |   | then
25   |   |   |  $CD\_Explore^{(k)} = \infty$  // reset cool-down state
26   |   | end
27  | end

```

References

- Shipra Agrawal and Navin Goyal. Near-optimal regret bounds for thompson sampling. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 64(5):1–24, 2017.
- W. Hoeffding. Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 58(301):13–30, 1963. doi: 10.1080/01621459.1963.10500830. URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01621459.1963.10500830>.
- Tze Leung Lai, Herbert Robbins, et al. Asymptotically efficient adaptive allocation rules. *Advances in applied mathematics*, 6(1):4–22, 1985.