

# Genetic Algorithm for Curriculum Design in Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning : Additional Appendix

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

## 1 Appendix: Scalability With Respect to Environment Encoding Dimension

### 2 1.1 Overview

3 This section of the appendix examines the scalability of our curriculum generator concerning the  
4 dimensionality of environment encoding.

### 5 1.2 Benchmark

6 Given that training a model in a multi-agent environment can take days, we focus on a single-agent  
7 environment for this appendix to specifically explore scenario encoding dimensionality.

8 The selected benchmark is **BipedalWalkerHardcore** [1]. In this environment, an agent uses LiDAR,  
9 IMU, and joint encoder data to observe its surroundings and controls the torque on each leg servo  
10 of the bipedal walker. Scenarios are defined by the type, size, and location of obstacles (e.g., stairs,  
11 pitfalls, and stumps) in the environment. The objective is to navigate through these obstacles without  
12 falling. Scenario encoding dimensions range from 20 to 300D, represented by a mixture of integers  
13 and floats. Figure 1 visualizes the environment.



Figure 1: Visualization of BipedalWalkerHardcore benchmark

### 14 1.3 Baseline

15 We use Genetic Curriculum (GC) [2] as the baseline for comparison, as GC is one of the top-  
16 performing algorithms for the given benchmark.

### 17 1.4 Training and Evaluation

18 We train each algorithm using 5 seeds, with  $3.5e7$  training steps per seed. Note that the additional  
19 time steps used by GC for evaluating and generating the curriculum are not included in the training  
20 steps count. We evaluated each trained seed across 1,000 randomly generated scenarios and report  
21 the mean performance.

22 We use the hyperparameters from the GC [2], as the paper is reported based on the BipedalWalker-  
23 Hardcore benchmark. Table 1 shows the hyperparameters used.

<b>Start Steps</b>	10000
<b>Learning Rate</b>	3e-4
$\gamma$	0.98
$\alpha$	auto
<b>Batch Size</b>	256
<b>Replay Size</b>	1e6
<b>Curriculum Size</b>	300

Table 1: Selected hyperparameters for the benchmark

<b>Baseline</b>	Mean Reward	Failure Rate
<b>GC</b>	304.33±1.65	<b>3.96±0.37</b>
<b>GEMS (Ours)</b>	<b>311.21±3.56</b>	<b>4.27±0.99</b>

Table 2: Mean reward and failure rate of trained models

## 24 1.5 Results

25 Table 2 shows that while our GEMS does not require additional evaluation steps for curriculum  
 26 generation, it scales quite well to an environment where the environment encoding can be large  
 27 as 300D. Table 2 demonstrates that our GEMS, which does not need extra evaluation steps for  
 28 curriculum generation like GC, effectively scales to environments with encoding dimensions as large  
 29 as 300D.

30 **References**

- 31 [1] G. Brockman, V. Cheung, L. Pettersson, J. Schneider, J. Schulman, J. Tang, and W. Zaremba.  
32 Openai gym. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01540*, 2016.
- 33 [2] Y. Song and J. Schneider. Robust reinforcement learning via genetic curriculum. In *2022*  
34 *International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2022.