

Table 6: Hyper-parameters

Parameter	Value
$\gamma$	0.90
$\epsilon$	0.1
$\beta$	0.1
$\lambda$	0.1
$N$	32
$T$	1000
$T_w$	10
$e$	128
$\eta_A$	-1.0
$\eta_C$	0.1
$\eta_p$	0.1
$\eta_i$	1.0
$\eta_1$	1.0
$\eta_2$	0.00001
$\#attentionhead$	2
attention dimension	1024
layers(Embed <sub>o</sub> )	$[n, e]$
layers(Embed <sub>x</sub> )	$[x, e]$
layers(Embed <sub>a</sub> )	$[m, e]$
layers(Embed <sub>s</sub> )	$[(K + 1)e, e]$
layers(Proj <sub>s</sub> )	$[e, n_s]$
layers(Proj <sub>a</sub> )	$[e, n_a]$
layers(Proj <sub>v</sub> )	$[e, 1]$
learning rate (pretraining)	0.00001
learning rate (finetuning)	0.0005

## A ADDITIONAL METHODOLOGY DETAILS

During the RL study, the agent generate its action from its policy  $\pi(a_t|s_t)$  and push the environment stage forward. This interactive process yields the following episode sequence:

$$\tau_{0:T} = \{s_0, a_0, r_0, s_1, a_1, r_1, \dots, s_T, a_T, r_T\} \quad (13)$$

in which  $T$  means the episode ends or reaching the maximum time length. RL then solves the sequential decision making problem by finding  $\pi$  such that  $\max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi}(R)$  in which the episode return defined as

$$R := \sum_{t=0}^T \gamma^t r_t \quad (14)$$

with  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$  as the discounted factor.

The classical PPO methodology inherits from the famous actor-critic framework. The critic generates the state value estimate  $V(s)$ , with its loss calculated from Bellman function by bootstrapping the state value function

$$L^{\text{Critic}} = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi}} [(r_t + \gamma(V_{\theta_{\text{old}}}(s_{t+1}) - V_{\theta}(s_t))^2]$$

On the other hand, generalized advantage estimation (GAE) (Schulman et al., 2016) is employed to help calculate the action advantage  $A_t$  by traversing the episode backward

$$\hat{A}_t = \delta_t + (\gamma\lambda)\delta_{t+1} + \dots + (\gamma\lambda)^{T-t+1}\delta_{T-1} \quad (15)$$

$$\delta_t = r_t + \gamma V(s_{t+1}) - V(s_t) \quad (16)$$

then the actor is learned by maximizing the surrogate objective of  $A_t$  according to the policy-gradient theorem

$$L^{\text{Actor}} = \text{CLIP}(\mathbb{E}_t \frac{\pi_{\theta_{\text{old}}}(a_t|s_t)}{\pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t)} \hat{A}_t - \beta \text{KL}[\pi_{\theta_{\text{old}}}(\cdot|s_t), \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s_t)]) \quad (17)$$

Table 7: Environment morphology details

Environment	$K$	$n$	$m$	$x$	$m_s$	$m_a$	$n_s$	$n_a$
swimmer	2	2	1	4			8	2
reacher	2	3	1	5			11	2
hopper	3	2	1	5			11	3
halfCheetah	6	2	1	5			17	6
walker2D	6	2	1	5			17	3
ant	8	2	1	95			111	8
humanoid	9	6	3	342	20	10	376	17
walker	16	15	4	18	45	25	243	39
unimal	12	52	2	1410	*	*	624	24

\*: varied from each agent morphology.

in which the CLIP function means clipping the object by  $[1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]\hat{A}_t$ , and KL denotes the famous K-L divergence.

A PPO policy update is then conducted by minimizing the objective upon each iteration:

$$L^{\text{PPO}} = -\eta_A L^{\text{Actor}} + \eta_C L^{\text{Critic}}$$

## B ADDITIONAL IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

For practical consideration, input and output sequences are truncated by a window length  $T_w$ , with padding time mask for episodes shorter than  $T_w$ . The timestep embedding is also considered and concatenated into the latent variable.

To emphasize the instant impact, we further conduct a multi-head attention by querying the target variable and marking the input variable as key and value:

$$\hat{a}_t^p \leftarrow \hat{a}_t^p + \text{Attention}(\mathbf{Q}=\hat{a}_t^p, \mathbf{K}=\mathbf{s}_t^p, \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{s}_t^p), \quad \hat{s}_t^p \leftarrow \hat{s}_t^p + \text{Attention}(\mathbf{Q}=\hat{s}_t^p, \mathbf{K}=\mathbf{a}_{t-1}^p, \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{a}_{t-1}^p) \quad (18)$$

Table 6 lists most hyper-parameters in our implementation.

## C ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL DETAILS

Table 7 exhibit all environments and their the morphological dimensions. Environments are from platforms including gym-mujoco, unimal and unity.

Table 8 shows statistics of all dataset used in the pretraining phase. Pretraining is computed distributed on 4 workers, each with 8 gpu, 4000 cpu and 400M memory. For both pretraining and finetuning, the ADAM optimizer is applied with the decay weight of 0.01.

Table 8: Pretraining dataset details

Environment	Source	sampling agent	# samples	# episodes	ave ep return	ave ep length
hopper	D4RL	expert	999,494	1,027	3511.36 $\pm$ 328.59	973.22 $\pm$ 97.84
	D4RL	medium-expert	1,999,400	3,213	2089.88 $\pm$ 1039.96	622.28 $\pm$ 263.22
	D4RL	medium	999,906	2,186	1422.06 $\pm$ 378.95	457.41 $\pm$ 110.88
	D4RL	medium-replay	402,000	2,041	467.30 $\pm$ 511.03	196.96 $\pm$ 195.15
	D4RL	random	999,996	45,239	18.40 $\pm$ 17.45	22.10 $\pm$ 11.99
halfCheetah	D4RL	expert	1,000,000	1,000	10656.43 $\pm$ 441.68	1000.00 $\pm$ 0.0
	D4RL	medium-expert	2,000,000	2,000	7713.38 $\pm$ 2970.24	1000.00 $\pm$ 0.0
	D4RL	medium	1,000,000	1,000	4770.33 $\pm$ 355.75	1000.00 $\pm$ 0.0
	D4RL	medium-replay	202,000	202	3093.29 $\pm$ 1680.69	1000.00 $\pm$ 0.0
	D4RL	random	1,000,000	1,000	-288.80 $\pm$ 80.43	1000.00 $\pm$ 0.0
walker2D	D4RL	expert	999,214	1,000	4920.51 $\pm$ 136.39	999.21 $\pm$ 24.84
	D4RL	medium-expert	1,999,209	2,190	3796.57 $\pm$ 1312.28	912.88 $\pm$ 194.62
	D4RL	medium	999,995	1,190	2852.09 $\pm$ 1095.44	840.33 $\pm$ 240.13
	D4RL	medium-replay	302,000	1,093	682.70 $\pm$ 895.96	276.30 $\pm$ 263.13
	D4RL	random	999,997	48,907	1.87 $\pm$ 5.81	20.45 $\pm$ 8.46
ant	D4RL	expert	999,877	1,034	4620.73 $\pm$ 1409.06	967.00 $\pm$ 140.87
	D4RL	medium-expert	1,999,823	2,236	3776.93 $\pm$ 1509.93	894.38 $\pm$ 243.20
	D4RL	medium	999,946	1,202	3051.06 $\pm$ 1180.59	831.90 $\pm$ 290.71
	D4RL	medium-replay	302,000	485	976.05 $\pm$ 1005.71	622.68 $\pm$ 140.87
	D4RL	random	999,930	5,821	-58.07 $\pm$ 97.76	171.78 $\pm$ 281.25
walker	self	ppo	1,001,861	7,928	262.74 $\pm$ 281.18	126.37 $\pm$ 119.17
unimal	self	metamorph	1,638,400	3,710	2.52 $\pm$ 5.21	410.44 $\pm$ 410.57