XIMAGENET-12: An Explainable Visual Benchmark Dataset for Model Robustness Evaluation

Supplementary Material

7. Appendix

In this section we provide the supplementary compiled together with the main paper includes:

- The illustration of how we use Multiple Linear Regression to verify our hypothesis: from raw data input, for example, in GraphPad Prism, to interpreting examples and residual plots, etc;
- The training details (Accuracy and Loss Plots) and hyperparameters within scenarios and cross-scenario experiments, including diffusion metrics for evaluations, density maps of State-of-the-Art accuracy drop (e.g., referring to our particular experiment, EX1, EX2);
- The ablation study addresses the industry pain points, illustrating robust model selection for challenging scenarios, particularly due to factors such as background variations, camera shifts, color changes, and lighting conditions;
- Sample image of our XIMAGENEt-12 AI-generated image, comprising 12,248 images for AI-generated scenarios using the latest Stable Diffusion XL model and involving uniform promotion and manual selection and filtering.



Define the raw data type and variable into statistic software (GraphPad Prism)

	eference Level Int	terpolation Compare	Weig	hting Diagnostics Residuals	3
reference is genera each categorical va	Ily used to indicate a 'ba		this variat	for each categorical variable in the mos ble. By default, Prism will set the refere a.	
Define reference le	vel:				
Variable		Choose automatically		Reference level	Orde
Model Name		Custom	٢	EfficentNetB0 Best Weight 📀	
Image Scenario		Custom	0	Original 📀	
Image Class		Custom	0	0	
<table-cell> Recalculate aut</table-cell>	omatic reference leve	els when the data are ch	nanged		

Select reference level for each independent variables (Model, Image Scenario, Image Class)

Model Re	ference Level Interpolation C	ompare Weighting Diagnostics Residuals	
What graphs to cre	ate?		
	Correct model?	Equal variance?	
	Besidual Besidual	Abs (Residual)	
	Predicted Y	Predicted Y	
	Residual plot	Homoscedasticity plot	
	Normality?	Serial correlation?	
	Papipa d Actual residual	Row Number	
	QQ plot	Residuals vs order plot	
Make these resid	luals choices the default for future	regressions.	
		Cancel	ОК

Create target residual plot graph for simulating the regression results

Model Reference Level Interpolation	Compare Weighting Diagnostics Residuals
Regression type: 🔾 Le	ast squares. Assume Gaussian distribution of residuals.
Po	isson. Y values are counts of objects or events. Rarely user
Choose dependent (or outcome) variable (Y):	A) Classification Accuracy 📀
Define model:	
Vintercept Main effect Vintercent	Classification Accuracy - Intercept + Model Name + Image Scenario + Image Class
	Cancel

Choose Regression type and define the base independent variables (Model, Image Scenario, Image Class)

re information on each parameter	
CI Output Format: Range (*1.23 to 4.56") O	
P value	
the variables intertwined or redundant?	
Multicollinearity Orrelation matrix	
v to quantify goodness-of-fit?	
Multiple R 🗹 R squared 📃 Adjusted R squared 📃 Sy.:	x
RMSE Sum-of-Squares AICc	
rmality tests. Are the residuals Gaussian?	
D'Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test	
Anderson-Darling test	
Shapiro-Wilk normality test	
Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test with Dallal-Wilkinson-Lilliefor P value	
Iculations	
Confidence level: 95% 👩	
tput	
Show this many significant digits (for everything except P values): 4	
	N = 6 0
Make these diagnostics choices the default for future fits.	

Set Parameters for Multiple Liner Regression, such as Confidence Level etc



Generate the analyse and interpretation report includes Estimates and P Value for each variables

Figure 8. Multiple Linear Regression Workflow and Example of Interpretations.

Parameter estimates	Variable	Estimate	Standard error	95% CI (asymptotic)	t	P value	P value sum
β0	Intercept	0.8642	0.02238	0.8202 to 0.9082	38.62	< 0.0001	****
β1	Model Name[EfficentNetB3 Best Weight]	-0.006667	0.01445	-0.03505 to 0.02171	0.4615	0.6446	ns
β2	Model Name[DenseNet121Best Weight]	0.05000	0.01445	0.02162 to 0.07838	3.461	0.0006	***
β3	Model Name[MobileNetV2 Best Weight]	0.04000	0.01445	0.01162 to 0.06838	2.769	0.0058	**
β4	Model Name[ResNet50 Best Weight]	0.03444	0.01445	0.006065 to 0.06282	2.384	0.0175	•
β5	Image Scenario[blur_background]	-0.04250	0.01938	-0.08058 to -0.004424	2.193	0.0288	
β6	Image Scenario[blur_object]	-0.07000	0.01938	-0.1081 to -0.03192	3.612	0.0003	***
β7	Image Scenario[image_g]	-0.1257	0.01938	-0.1637 to -0.08759	6.484	< 0.0001	****
β8	Image Scenario[image_b]	-0.09850	0.01938	-0.1366 to -0.06042	5.082	< 0.0001	****
β9	Image Scenario[image_grey]	-0.06517	0.01938	-0.1032 to -0.02709	3.362	0.0008	***
β10	Image Scenario[image_r]	-0.08700	0.01938	-0.1251 to -0.04892	4.489	< 0.0001	****
β11	Image Scenario[Random Background with Real Environment]	-0.7078	0.01938	-0.7459 to -0.6698	36.52	< 0.0001	****
β12	Image Scenario[Segmented_image]	-0.3012	0.01938	-0.3392 to -0.2631	15.54	< 0.0001	****
β13	Image Class[1]	0.1340	0.02238	0.09003 to 0.1780	5.988	< 0.0001	****
β14	Image Class[2]	-0.04867	0.02238	-0.09263 to -0.004701	2.175	0.0301	•
β15	Image Class[3]	0.04000	0.02238	-0.003966 to 0.08397	1.787	0.0745	ns
β16	Image Class[4]	0.1004	0.02238	0.05648 to 0.1444	4.488	< 0.0001	****
β17	Image Class[5]	0.1333	0.02238	0.08937 to 0.1773	5.958	< 0.0001	****
β18	Image Class[6]	0.07667	0.02238	0.03270 to 0.1206	3.426	0.0007	***
β19	Image Class[7]	0.01044	0.02238	-0.03352 to 0.05441	0.4667	0.6409	ns
β20	Image Class[8]	0.09067	0.02238	0.04670 to 0.1346	4.051	< 0.0001	****
β21	Image Class[9]	0.09933	0.02238	0.05537 to 0.1433	4.439	< 0.0001	****
β22	Image Class[10]	0.1651	0.02238	0.1211 to 0.2091	7.378	<0.0001	****
β23	Image Class[11]	-0.02244	0.02238	-0.06641 to 0.02152	1.003	0.3164	ns

Figure 9. Examples of Multiple Linear Regression Interpretations: (1) β 0 (Intercept) estimate equal to 0.8642 means that the base classification accuracy when all predictors are at their reference levels is 86.42%. (2) β 2 (Model Name [DenseNet121 Best Weight]) estimate equal to 0.05000, *P* value 0.0006 means that the model [DenseNet121 Best Weight] increases the classification accuracy by 5.000% when compared to the reference level the model [EfficientNetB0 Best Weight]. This effect is also statically significant (*P* value <0.05). (3) β 11 (Image Scenario [Random Background with Real Environment]) estimate equal to -0.7078; *P* value <0.0001 means that this image scenario decreases the classification accuracy by 70.78% when compared to the reference level the Image Scenario [Original] with a significant confidence.



Figure 10. Multiple linear Regression Residual Plot and QQ Plot of Ex1 (SOTA Model Classification) and Ex3 (SOTA Segmentation) on Hypothesis Verification Process. These shows how two distributions (accuray point)' quantiles line up, with our theoretical distribution (e.g., the normal distribution) as the x variable (Scenarios, Image Object, Model Name) and regression model residuals as the y variable. If the points lie on or close to a 45-degree line, it means that the data follow the reference distribution closely, boosting confidence in the regression results.



The EfficientNetB0 [34] accuracy for each class



The ViT [8] accuracy for each class



The EfficientNetB3 [34] accuracy for each class



The DenseNet121 [13] accuracy for each class



The MobileNetV2 [29] accuracy for each class



The ResNet50 [11] accuracy for each class

Figure 11. The SOTA models accuracy density map for each class on Experiment 1. Testing images with different background indeed is a challenging scenario for vision models.



Figure 12. Model accuracy for classifying normal/AI-generated/random-generated images.



Top-1 training and validation accuracy on blurred background scenario



Top-1 training and validation accuracy on the segmented scenario



Top-1 training and validation accuracy on blurred object scenario



Top-1 training and validation accuracy on random background-generated scenario



Top-1 training and validation accuracy on AI-generated background scenario



Top-1 training and validation accuracy on only one red channel generated scenario

Figure 13. Top-1 training and validation accuracy for SOTA models on Experiment 2: within the same Scenario.



(a) Prompt: Generate high- (b) Prompt: Generate a re- (c) Prompt: Generate high (d) Prompt: Generate a picdefinition pictures like those in alistic blue sky, and clouds resolution images in sea water ture with a foreground and the the National Geographic mag- background and please do not azine, keep the background un- change the foreground airship changed. object.

green grass in the background, similar to the official HD picture released by the state.



(e) Prompt: Generate high- (f) Prompt: Generate a sim- (g) Prompt: Generate an im- (h) Prompt: Generate highresolution pictures like fox in ple image more realistic in the age with the car in the back- resolution pictures like those in the lawn, National Geographic, style of ocean magazine. keep the background and foreground more simple and real.

ground and similar to the HD National Financial Magazine, image published by the state. and keep the background and

foreground consistent and the environment more real!



(i) Prompt: Please generate (j) Prompt: Generate high-(k) Prompt: Generate high-(l) Prompt: Generate highhigh-resolution pictures like resolution pictures in the style definition pictures like those in resolution pictures, such as those in the National Music of those in National Food Mag- the National Geographic mag- National Marine Magazine's Magazine and keep the back- azine, and keep the background azine, keep the background un- oceans and whales, to keep the ground unchanged. and foreground consistent and changed. background real. the environment more real!

Figure 14. AI generated images with prompts within XIMAGENET-12 Dataset.