A Implementation Details of Adaptive CutMix

Adaptive CutMix requires a randomly selected unlabeled image that contains the sampled category, thus we need a criteria to determine whether an unlabeled image I contains the category $c \ (c \in \{1, \ldots, C\})$. Concretely, in our implementation, we maintain a dictionary which is dynamically updated during training to record the category-wise information for each unlabeled image. For each unlabeled image from a training batch, we use the teacher model to generate pseudo labels as the approximate ground-truth. Then we compute the ratio r^c between pseudo labels of the category c and the total pixels of the image I. With a predefined threshold r^* , we can easily identify the category c is existing in the image I if $r^c > r^*$. Notice an unlabeled image may be identified as containing multi categories. Table 6 in Section 4.3 ablates the threshold r^* .

B Hyper-parameters for PASCAL VOC 2012 Dataset

In this section, we present hyper-parameter settings of our proposed AEL on PASCAL VOC 2012 dataset [33]. All hyper-parameters are chosen carefully with extensive experiments. Concretely, tunable parameter γ is set as 2 and confidence is used as the indicator to assess the category-wise performance during training. The ratio r^* in adaptive CutMix is set as 0.03 and the number of sampled categories K is set as 1 since the image in PASCAL VOC 2012 dataset contains fewer categories and larger instances than Cityscapes dataset [1]. The loss weight α is also set as 1 as in Cityscapes dataset.

C Qualitative Results on PASCAL VOC 2012 Dataset

Figure 4 shows the visualization results on the PASCAL VOC 2012 [33] val set. We compare the proposed AEL with ground-truth, supervised baseline and our basic framework described in Section 3.2. AEL achieves promising visual quality and further improves fine details.



Figure 4: Visualization results on the PASCAL VOC 2012 val set. From left to right: input image, ground-truth, predictions of the supervised baseline, predictions of our basic framework and predictions of the proposed AEL.

Checklist

- 1. For all authors...
 - (a) Do the main claims made in the abstract and introduction accurately reflect the paper's contributions and scope? [Yes]
 - (b) Did you describe the limitations of your work? [Yes] See Appendix.
 - (c) Did you discuss any potential negative societal impacts of your work? [Yes] See Appendix.
 - (d) Have you read the ethics review guidelines and ensured that your paper conforms to them? [Yes]
- 2. If you are including theoretical results...
 - (a) Did you state the full set of assumptions of all theoretical results? [N/A]
 - (b) Did you include complete proofs of all theoretical results? [N/A]
- 3. If you ran experiments...
 - (a) Did you include the code, data, and instructions needed to reproduce the main experimental results (either in the supplemental material or as a URL)? [Yes] Code and models will be made available.
 - (b) Did you specify all the training details (e.g., data splits, hyperparameters, how they were chosen)? [Yes]
 - (c) Did you report error bars (e.g., with respect to the random seed after running experiments multiple times)? [No]
 - (d) Did you include the total amount of compute and the type of resources used (e.g., type of GPUs, internal cluster, or cloud provider)? [No]
- 4. If you are using existing assets (e.g., code, data, models) or curating/releasing new assets...
 - (a) If your work uses existing assets, did you cite the creators? [Yes]
 - (b) Did you mention the license of the assets? [No]
 - (c) Did you include any new assets either in the supplemental material or as a URL? [No]
 - (d) Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating? [No]
 - (e) Did you discuss whether the data you are using/curating contains personally identifiable information or offensive content? [No]
- 5. If you used crowdsourcing or conducted research with human subjects...
 - (a) Did you include the full text of instructions given to participants and screenshots, if applicable? [N/A]
 - (b) Did you describe any potential participant risks, with links to Institutional Review Board (IRB) approvals, if applicable? [N/A]
 - (c) Did you include the estimated hourly wage paid to participants and the total amount spent on participant compensation? [N/A]