
Supplementary of “Twins: Revisiting the Design of Spatial Attention in Vision Transformer”

A Experiment

Table 1 – Large models’ object detection performance on the COCO val2017 split using $1\times$ schedule.

Backbone	RetinaNet $1\times$				Mask R-CNN $1\times$			
	Param(M)	AP	AP ₅₀	AP ₇₅	FLOPs(G)	Param(M)	AP ^b	AP ^m
ResNeXt101-64 \times 4d [1]	95.5	41.0	60.9	44.0	493	101.9	42.8	38.4
PVT-Large [2]	71.1	42.6	63.7	45.4	364	81.0	42.9	39.5
Twins-PCPVT-L (ours)	71.2	45.1 (^{+4.1})	66.4	48.4	364	81.2	45.4 (^{+2.6})	41.5
Swin-B [3]	98.4	44.7	65.9	47.8	496	107.2	45.5	41.3
Twins-SVT-L (ours)	110.9	45.7 (^{+4.7})	67.1	49.2	474	119.7	45.9 (^{+3.1})	41.6

B Algorithm

Algorithm 1 PyTorch snippet of LSA.

```
class GroupAttention(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, dim, num_heads=8, qkv_bias=False, qk_scale=None, attn_drop=0., proj_drop=0., k1=7,
                 k2=7):
        super(GroupAttention, self).__init__()
        self.dim = dim
        self.num_heads = num_heads
        head_dim = dim // num_heads
        self.scale = qk_scale or head_dim ** -0.5
        self.qkv = nn.Linear(dim, dim * 3, bias=qkv_bias)
        self.attn_drop = nn.Dropout(attn_drop)
        self.proj = nn.Linear(dim, dim)
        self.proj_drop = nn.Dropout(proj_drop)
        self.k1 = k1
        self.k2 = k2

    def forward(self, x, H, W):
        B, N, C = x.shape
        h_group, w_group = H // self.k1, W // self.k2
        total_groups = h_group * w_group
        x = x.reshape(B, h_group, self.k1, w_group, self.k2, C).transpose(2, 3)
        qkv = self.qkv(x).reshape(B, total_groups, -1, 3, self.num_heads, C // self.num_heads).permute(3, 0,
                                                                                                 1, 4, 2, 5)
        q, k, v = qkv[0], qkv[1], qkv[2]
        attn = (q @ k.transpose(-2, -1)) * self.scale
        attn = attn.softmax(dim=-1)
        attn = self.attn_drop(attn)
        attn = (attn @ v).transpose(2, 3).reshape(B, h_group, w_group, self.k1, self.k2, C)
        x = attn.transpose(2, 3).reshape(B, N, C)
        x = self.proj(x)
        x = self.proj_drop(x)
        return x
```

Table 2 – Configuration details of Twins-PCPVT.

	Output Size	Layer Name	Twins-PCPVT-S	Twins-PCPVT-B	Twins-PCPVT-L
Stage 1	$\frac{H}{4} \times \frac{W}{4}$	Patch Embedding	$P_1 = 4; C_1 = 64$		
		Transformer Encoder with PEG	$R_1 = 8$ $N_1 = 1$ $E_1 = 8$	$R_1 = 8$ $N_1 = 1$ $E_1 = 8$	$R_1 = 8$ $N_1 = 1$ $E_1 = 8$
Stage 2	$\frac{H}{8} \times \frac{W}{8}$	Patch Embedding	$P_2 = 2; C_2 = 128$		
		Transformer Encoder with PEG	$R_2 = 4$ $N_2 = 2$ $E_2 = 8$	$R_2 = 4$ $N_2 = 2$ $E_2 = 8$	$R_2 = 4$ $N_2 = 2$ $E_2 = 8$
Stage 3	$\frac{H}{16} \times \frac{W}{16}$	Patch Embedding	$P_3 = 2; C_3 = 320$		
		Transformer Encoder with PEG	$R_3 = 2$ $N_3 = 5$ $E_3 = 4$	$R_3 = 2$ $N_3 = 5$ $E_3 = 4$	$R_3 = 2$ $N_3 = 5$ $E_3 = 4$
Stage 4	$\frac{H}{32} \times \frac{W}{32}$	Patch Embedding	$P_4 = 2; C_4 = 512$		
		Transformer Encoder with PEG	$R_4 = 1$ $N_4 = 8$ $E_4 = 4$	$R_4 = 1$ $N_4 = 8$ $E_4 = 4$	$R_4 = 1$ $N_4 = 8$ $E_4 = 4$

Table 3 – Configuration details of Twins-SVT.

	Output Size	Layer Name	Twins-SVT-S	Twins-SVT-B	Twins-SVT-L
Stage 1	$\frac{H}{4} \times \frac{W}{4}$	Patch Embedding	$P_1 = 4; C_1 = 64$	$P_1 = 4; C_1 = 96$	$P_1 = 4; C_1 = 128$
		Transformer Encoder w/ PEG	LSA GSA	LSA GSA	LSA GSA
Stage 2	$\frac{H}{8} \times \frac{W}{8}$	Patch Embedding	$P_2 = 2; C_2 = 128$	$P_2 = 2; C_2 = 192$	$P_2 = 2; C_2 = 256$
		Transformer Encoder w/ PEG	LSA GSA	LSA GSA	LSA GSA
Stage 3	$\frac{H}{16} \times \frac{W}{16}$	Patch Embedding	$P_3 = 2; C_3 = 256$	$P_3 = 2; C_3 = 384$	$P_3 = 2; C_3 = 512$
		Transformer Encoder w/ PEG	LSA GSA	LSA GSA	LSA GSA
Stage 4	$\frac{H}{32} \times \frac{W}{32}$	Patch Embedding	$P_4 = 2; C_4 = 512$	$P_4 = 2; C_4 = 768$	$P_4 = 2; C_4 = 1024$
		Transformer Encoder w/ PEG	$[GSA] \times 4$	$[GSA] \times 2$	$[GSA] \times 2$

C Architecture Setting

References

- [1] Saining Xie, Ross Girshick, Piotr Dollár, Zhuowen Tu, and Kaiming He. Aggregated residual transformations for deep neural networks. In *Proc. IEEE Conf. Comp. Vis. Patt. Recogn.*, pages 1492–1500, 2017.
- [2] Wenhui Wang, Enze Xie, Xiang Li, Deng-Ping Fan, Kaitao Song, Ding Liang, Tong Lu, Ping Luo, and Ling Shao. Pyramid vision transformer: A versatile backbone for dense prediction without convolutions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.12122*, 2021.
- [3] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.14030*, 2021.