051

052

053

054

055

056

057

058

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

LATEX Author Guidelines for CVPR Proceedings

Anonymous CVPR submission

Paper ID *****

1. Evaluation Under Varying EvaluationStrategies

Base detection model We use the Capsule network [1] as
the base deepfake detector including our MDB. The reason
behind is due to its ability to achieve consistently top performance on most datasets.

Competitors We consider two training settings: (1) Single-007 domain training: The deepfake detector is trained using one 008 dataset/domain. We repeat this practice for all six datasets 009 with the base detector as specified in Sec 5.1 (in the main 010 011 text). (2) Multi-domain training: We combine six datasets (FF++, CelebDFv2, UADFV, Deepfakeface, DFDB-Face, 012 JDB-Face) with different deepfake types by simple concate-013 014 nation and shuffling and train the deepfake detector. This training strategy includes the following methods: We com-015 016 pare the following training methods: (a) Vanilla: Training 017 the base detector on the merged 6 datasets. (b) Knowledge Distillation (KD): [1] We replace the dynamic difficulty 018 019 weighting process with a knowledge distillation loss [1] between $\bar{\theta}$ and θ . This comparison aims to evaluate the pro-020 021 posed MDB strategy against a knowledge distillation approach, as both require a separate network $\bar{\theta}$ to be main-022 tained during training. (c) Difficulty Weighing (DW) [2]: 023 We use difficulty weighting without momentum i.e. we di-024 rectly use the in-training network θ to generate the diffi-025 culty scores, without referring to the momentum-updated 026 027 network $\bar{\theta}$. This comparison is intended to evaluate the ef-028 fectiveness of the proposed MDB strategy. (d) Our proposed MDB: We set the momentum m = 0.97 and the sam-029 ple weight rescale factor C = 5. For all training strategies, 030 031 we trained from scratch with randomly initialized weights 032 and used the same hyper-parameters with a learning rate of 0.0001, momentum for Adam optimization of 0.9 and the 033 034 alpha value of 0.99.

Cross-domain test We further evaluate the models trained
as above on an unseen domain. We choose the FakeCelebA [3] as the test dataset for the multi-domain training
setting. This dataset has been generated by four diffusion
models.

Results From Tables 1, we observe that:

(1) Single-domain training on the diffusion deepfakes 041 improved the detector's performance on this new deep-042 fake type. Specifically, the Capsule model's evaluation ac-043 curacy was boosted to 0.68/0.73/0.67 from 0.50/0.39/0.39 044 on Deepfakeface, DiffusionDB-Face, and JourneyDB-Face, 045 when we train it from scratch on each of these datasets. 046 However, we also noticed that this improvement comes with 047 a sacrifice on other datasets. For example, the JourneyDB-048 Face trained model achieved poor accuracies on all conven-049 tional deepfake datasets, with an average value of only 0.39. 050

(2) Directly training a model with *vanilla* method helped improve deepfake detection performance across all datasets, but only to a limited extent. Specifically, we observe an average accuracy across the six datasets of 0.43 with the multi-domain training, a small increase compared to the individual single-domain trainings (except for FF++).

(3) In comparison with standard *knowledge distillation* (referred as KD in Table 1), which achieves an average accuracy of 0.70, the proposed MDB exhibits a 20% improvement. Similar observations can be made when comparing it with the naive difficulty weighting strategy without momentum updating (referred as DW in Table 1), which has an average accuracy of 0.59. Such observations show that the proposed MDB's improvement is non-trivial. (4) Our proposed *MDB* led to a substantial performance gain with multi-domain training.

By dynamically assigning sample weights according to 067 their difficulties, it perfectly aligns with the diverse nature 068 of the multi-domain training set and enables the model to 069 focus on more difficult samples along the training. Specif-070 ically, we see an average accuracy of 0.76/0.92/0.84 for 071 the proposed MDB approach on conventional/diffusion/all 072 dataset respectively. The corresponding AUC and EER val-073 ues show much higher ability to distinguish between real 074 and fake images even with the unbalanced datasets. For ex-075 ample, our strategy has 0.94 (AUC) for FF++ (non-diffusion 076 dataset) and 0.93(AUC) for JDB-Face (diffusion dataset). 077 However, the results for UADFV is not up to the mark. 078 This is due to a much smaller training set (1.3k fake im-079 ages) with UADFV, in comparison to 102k for FF++, 160k 080 for CelebDFv2, and 62k for JDB-Face. 081

094

109

(5) We use Fake-CelebA [3] as totally unseen data for
cross-domain generalisation test. The results in Table 2 illustrate superior outcomes by our MDB when applied to
a distinct or unfamiliar domain of diffusion-generated images. This validates the advantages of our proposed method
compared to the other competitors. We have added more
ablative analysis in *supplementary material*.

Table 1. Comparison of generalization capabilities across different datasets and training strategies using the Capsule network as the base deepfake detector. Accuracy (ACC), Equal Error Rate (EER), and Area Under the Curve (AUC) metrics are presented. The best results are in **bold**. The top part of each sub-table shows the single-domain training setting.

(a) Conventional deepfake datasets (FF++, CelebDFv2, UADFV)

Train Strategy	FF++			CelebDFv2			UADFV		
Metric	ACC	EER	AUC	ACC	EER	AUC	ACC	EER	AUC
FF++	0.89	0.23	0.83	0.66	0.45	0.59	0.50	0.50	0.50
CelebDFv2	0.50	0.57	0.49	0.59	0.58	0.48	0.40	0.71	0.33
UADFV	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.33	0.62	0.33	0.49	0.55	0.48
Deepfakeface	0.47	0.44	0.59	0.23	0.77	0.34	0.37	0.28	0.78
DFDB-Face	0.72	0.49	0.52	0.71	0.75	0.20	0.47	0.71	0.25
JDB-face	0.42	0.43	0.55	0.47	0.65	0.35	0.29	0.61	0.35
Vanilla	0.85	0.40	0.67	0.75	0.71	0.31	0.50	0.53	0.40
KD	0.84	0.37	0.71	0.81	0.35	0.65	0.50	0.59	0.48
DW	0.78	0.35	0.72	0.53	0.35	0.72	0.50	0.51	0.48
MDB (ours)	0.95	0.10	0.94	0.82	0.23	0.81	0.50	0.48	0.50

⁽b) Diffusion deepfake datasets (Deepfakeface, DFDB-Face, JDB-Face)

Train Strategy	Deepfakeface		DFDB-Face			JDB-Face			
Metric	ACC	EER	AUC	ACC	EER	AUC	ACC	EER	AUC
FF++	0.35	0.78	0.27	0.67	0.73	0.29	0.48	0.61	0.35
CelebDFv2	0.25	0.80	0.17	0.49	0.83	0.20	0.23	0.82	0.20
UADFV	0.42	0.48	0.57	0.26	0.77	0.24	0.49	0.71	0.27
Deepfakeface	0.68	0.33	0.57	0.23	0.44	0.58	0.51	0.52	0.51
DFDB-Face	0.73	0.65	0.33	0.73	0.41	0.58	0.57	0.55	0.48
JDB-face	0.25	0.67	0.32	0.47	0.69	0.32	0.67	0.44	0.58
Vanilla	0.38	0.76	0.32	0.43	0.55	0.37	0.51	0.64	0.38
KD	0.72	0.34	0.68	0.76	0.32	0.67	0.57	0.62	0.40
DW	0.57	0.55	0.48	0.63	0.30	0.72	0.58	0.42	0.61
MDB (ours)	0.79	0.20	0.78	0.98	0.07	0.94	0.98	0.07	0.93

2. Dataset Construction Workflow

In this section, we have provided the visualization of the detailed workflow, complemented by a comprehensive visual
representation of both misclassified and correctly classified
samples encountered throughout the process.

T 11 A	DC		•
Table 2.	Performance	metrics	comparison
14010 21	1 0110111141100		companioon

Metric	ACC	EER	AUC
Vanilla	0.57	0.58	0.49
KD [1]	0.67	0.60	0.44
DW [2]	0.54	0.60	0.50
MDB (ours)	0.80	0.21	0.78

2.1. JourneyDB-Face Dataset

Figures 3 through 6 showcase visual examples of both cor-095 rectly classified and misclassified samples encountered dur-096 ing the process. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the results of 097 the metadata classification using BERT and the word fil-098 tering process, focusing respectively on the Prompt and 099 Style sections. In the Style section, particular attention was 100 given to filtering out images with an anime style. Despite 101 this filtering process, as depicted in these figures, the out-102 comes did not always align with expectations, particularly 103 in cases where anime style was not explicitly mentioned 104 in the prompts. Instances of such misclassifications, along 105 with their corresponding images, are displayed in Figure 5. 106 Figure 6 demonstrates the results post-face filtering process, 107 successfully isolating the intended images. 108

2.2. DiffusionDB-Face Dataset

The creation of DiffusionDB-Face involved a bit different 110 approach compared to JourneyDB-Face, adapted to fit the 111 format of the source dataset. As detailed in the main pa-112 per, the initial step entailed classifying prompts likely to 113 generate images of human faces using BERT. For instance, 114 Figure 7 displays BERT's classification scores for several 115 samples, providing both human face and not human face 116 evaluations. Despite this, some metadata were inaccurately 117 classified due to specific words or structures in the prompts, 118 as illustrated in Figure 8. To mitigate this, face filtering 119 was employed to exclude irrelevant images. However, as 120 Figure 9 reveals, this method was not foolproof and oc-121 casionally included drawings or paintings of human faces. 122 To address this, the Canny edge filter was applied to re-123 move cartoon-styled images. In the main text (Section 3.1), 124 we have addressed the detailed description of the Canny 125 edge detector's threshold. This resulted in more precise out-126 comes, with some examples of the refined images presented 127 in Figure 10. 128

3. Ablative Analysis

129

134

Sensitivity Analysis of C: (1) We examine the effect of the130scale factor, C (Eq 3) in the main text. As observed from131Table 3, this parameter is not sensitive with a good range of132selections.133

3.1. Frequency analysis:

We make visual analysis of frequency distributions across135all datasets similar to Zhang *et al.* [4]. This elucidates the136distinguishing characteristics between authentic and deep-137fake imagery. Figure 1 indicates that, the frequency distinc-138tion between authentic and synthetic images produced by139diffusion models is generally more subtle and thus presents140

C	FF++	CDFv2	UADFV	DFF	DFDB	JDB
1	0.87	0.78	0.48	0.69	0.73	0.74
3	0.91	0.78	0.49	0.72	0.74	0.74
5	0.95	0.82	0.50	0.79	0.98	0.98
7	0.94	0.79	0.51	0.75	0.81	0.79
9	0.92	0.79	0.49	0.75	0.81	0.81
10	0.91	0.79	0.50	0.73	0.81	0.80

Table 3. Ablation of the scale factor with MDB (Accuracy).

142 3.2. Sample weight dynamics over training:

Figure 2 presents the per-dataset histogram of weightsacross training epochs with our MDB.

We note that DiffusionDB-Face and JourneyDB-Face
datasets are assigned with highest weights, indicating more
challenges presented. This difficulty aware training can
benefit the performance (see Table 2).

149 **4.** Limitations

Despite the extensive filtering processes applied to the two 150 substantial datasets, JourneyDB and DiffusionDB, there 151 152 might remain a handful of instances where the images are 153 either overly cartoonized or lack sufficient realism. These anomalies may be overlooked in subsequent stages, such as 154 the further Face Filtering and the custom model designed 155 for animated or human facial images. As highlighted in 156 157 the primary paper concerning dataset statistics, there is a significant gender distribution disparity, originating from 158 the source databases (likely due to the processes of both 159 prompting and training the generative models). 160

161 References

- [1] Jianping Gou, Baosheng Yu, Stephen J Maybank, and
 Dacheng Tao. Knowledge distillation: A survey. *IJCV*, 129:
 1789–1819, 2021. 1, 2
- [2] Tomoumi Takase. Difficulty-weighted learning: A novel curriculum-like approach based on difficult examples for neural network training. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 135: 83–89, 2019. 1, 2
- [3] Zhendong Wang, Jianmin Bao, Wengang Zhou, Weilun Wang,
 Hezhen Hu, Hong Chen, and Houqiang Li. Dire for diffusiongenerated image detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09295*,
 2023. 1, 2
- [4] Xu Zhang, Svebor Karaman, and Shih-Fu Chang. Detecting
 and simulating artifacts in gan fake images. In 2019 IEEE *international workshop on information forensics and security*(WIFS), pages 1–6, 2019. 2



Figure 1. Frequency analysis: the average spectra of each high-pass filtered image



Figure 2. Ablative Analysis: (a) Frequency analysis and (b) weight distribution and dynamics .



Figure 3. JourneyDB-Face: Examples of misclassified metadata by BERT.



Figure 4. JourneyDB-Face: Examples of correctly classified metadata by BERT.





Figure 5. JourneyDB-Face: Unfiltered samples in word filtering due to the absence of Anime Style mention.





Prompt: vampire goth e-girl dressed as a sad nun and a look designed by H.R. Giger and ghostmane, award winning image, 50mm, perfect social media image

Caption: A sad nun, dressed in a style inspired by vampire goth e-girl fashion, is depicted in this award-winning image with a touch of H.R. Giger and Ghostmane influence. Style: vampire, goth, e-girl, H.R. Giger, Ghostmane



Prompt: Rick from Curse of Oak Island Caption: Rick from Curse of Oak Island. Style: Realistic, Mysterious



Prompt: supreme leader ajatollah khomeini in a long white feather nylon puffer coat, nylon, photorealistic, press photograph, taken outside talking to public, detailed, depth

Caption: Supreme Leader Ajatollah Khomeini ...the scene. Style: photorealistic, press photograph

Figure 6. JourneyDB-Face: Examples of correctly filtered samples after word filtering process.

Prompt: doom eternal, game concept art, veins and worms, muscular, Prompt: a man with an shrivelled up walnut brain crustacean exoskeleton, chiroptera head, chiroptera ears, mecha, inside his skull [A : 0.59] ferocious, fierce, hyperrealism, fine details, artstation, cgsociety, zbrush, no background [B: 0.57] Prompt: a sinister walnut man [A: 0.64] Prompt: a beautiful photorealistic painting of cemetery urbex unfinished building building industrial architecture nature abandoned by thomas cole, nature extraterrestial tron forest darkacademia thermal vision futuristic tokyo, archdaily, wallpaper, Prompt: studio ghibli anime, adorable woman highly detailed, trending on artstation [B: 0.59] sitting at a cat cafe with a drink, romantic magical, fairytale, fantasy [A : 0.60] Prompt: beautiful garden at twilight by nicholas roerich and jean Prompt: painted closeup portrait of intense delville and maxfield parrish, glowing paper lanterns, strong woman, fierce, charming, fantasy, intricate, dramatic cinematic lighting, ornate tiled architecture, lost elegant, extremely detailed by by chuck close, civilizations, smooth, sharp focus, extremely detailed [B: 0.61] charcoal on canvas [A : 0.60] Prompt: symmetry!! a tiny cute chinese spring festival oriental tale Prompt: painted closeup portrait of fierce, mascot cat - lion toys, magic, intricate, smooth line, light dust, elegant woman. extremely detailed by chuck mysterious dark background, warm top light, hd, 8 k, smooth close, charcoal on canvas [A : 0.60] \uff0c sharp high quality artwork in style of greg rutkowski, concept art, blizzard warcraft artwork, bright colors [B: 0.55] Prompt: a boy holding on to a dying old dog connecting him to his childhood [A : 0.60] Prompt: film still cinematic photo by 3 4 3 industries, matte painting [B:0.55] Prompt: a beautiful very detailed rendering of urbex unfinished Prompt: victo ngai girl succubi sticker decal building industrial architecture kingdom architecture nature by design, highly detailed, high quality, digital georges seurat, tundra retrowave sunset myst landscape hyperrealism painting, by ross tran and studio ghibli and tokyo rainforest bladerunner 2 0 4 9 lightpaint uv light infrared alphonse mucha, artgerm [A : 0.60] flowers morning sun nature at dawn, archdaily, wallpaper, highly detailed, trending on artstation. [B: 0.51]

Figure 7. DiffusionDB-Face : Examples of BERT classified metadata with the corresponding scores. A: "human face" ; B: "not human face".



Figure 8. Misclassified samples by BERT's metadata classification round.



Figure 9. DiffusionDB-Face: Animated face image samples after face filtering.



Figure 10. DiffusionDB-Face: Few samples after applying Canny edge detector.