SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR SYNTHETIC DATA IS SUFFICIENT FOR ZERO-SHOT VISUAL GENERALIZA TION FROM OFFLINE DATA

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

A APPENDIX

We have made our codebase and datasets available on https://github.com/ anonymous2025ICLR/augdiff_RL. The code repository contains reference code bases in two folders: V-D4RL and Procgen. The README.md files in each sub-repository include detailed instructions for replicating the experimental results in this paper, training offline learning models, and creating additional datasets for use.

018 019 020

021

006

013

015

016

017

- B DATASET DETAILS
- 022 B.0.1 VISUAL D4RL ENVIRONMENTS

For our V-D4RL experiments, we utilized the expert offline dataset from Lu et al. (2023a) and conducted experiments on three environments:

026 027

028

- cheetah-run: A planar bipedal agent rewarded for forward velocity.
- walker-walk: A planar walker agent rewarded for upright posture and target velocity.
- *humanoid-walk*: A humanoid agent with 21 joints rewarded for maintaining specific velocity.
- 029 030 031

032

033

034

035

036 037

039

040

041

042

043

044

045

046

047

048

We follow the V-D4RL approach, where learning from pixels is achieved by stacking three consecutive RGB images (84×84) along the channel dimension to capture dynamic information such as velocity and acceleration. We utilize the expert dataset, with the baseline dataset size set at 100,000 samples per environment. The data collection policy is based on the Soft Actor-Critic (SAC) algorithm for proprioceptive states, as described by (Haarnoja et al., 2018).

- ⁰³⁷ Visual D4RL Datasets:
 - 1. **50K Baseline**: A reduced dataset containing 50,000 samples, randomly sampled from the original 100,000 expert policy dataset, without any data augmentation.
 - 2. **100K Upsampled**: An upsampled version of the 50,000 baseline dataset, increased to 100,000 samples using diffusion model-based upsampling.
 - 3. **100K Augmented Baseline**: The original 100,000 dataset, augmented using the selected pixel-level augmentation techniques (rotation, color jittering, and background image overlay) to introduce additional diversity, without changing the dataset size.
 - 4. **100K Augmented Upsampled**: An upsampled version of the 50,000 augmented baseline dataset, increased to 100,000 samples using diffusion model-based upsampling. This allows for a comparison between explicit augmentation and augmentation combined with diffusion upsampling.

Evaluation Distraction Dataset: The evaluation set, used for JS divergence analysis, is collected
 across all distraction levels as well as the original evaluation set. Data is gathered during training
 and combined across five random seeds. As a result, each environment's evaluation set for each
 testing difficulty level contains 256,000 samples. This larger size, compared to the original dataset,
 helps minimize variation in the test distribution.

We use the Fixed Distraction Dataset (FDD): provided by V-D4RL, which contains distractions fixed in the background and color of the agent—unlike the evaluation distracting dataset, where distractions change dynamically during evaluation. Previous attempts, as reported by (Lu et al., 2023a), to incorporate this dataset into training by combining various portions (25%, 50%, 75%, or even 100%) with the original dataset—without applying augmentation or our approach—have shown no improvement in generalization performance. Consequently, the authors highlighted an open challenge: "How can we improve the generalization performance of offline model-free methods to unseen visual distractions?".

062 5% Fixed Distraction Dataset (5% FDD): To address this challenge, we incorporate a small por-063 tion of the fixed distraction dataset into our training data. Specifically, we use only 5% of the total 064 fixed distraction dataset provided by the V-D4RL benchmark. In our reduced baseline of 50,000 datapoints, we replace 5,000 datapoints with samples from the fixed distraction dataset (combining 065 low, moderate, and high levels), resulting in 45,000 original datapoints and 5,000 from the fixed dis-066 traction dataset. We believe that this addition introduces extra diversity to the original environment 067 enabled by our two-step approach. This strategy can be considered a form of few-shot learning; 068 however, since the 5% fixed distraction dataset is not part of the evaluation dataset, we refer to it as 069 incorporating a limited distraction exposure in our training data. The Fixed Distraction Dataset is available exclusively for *cheetah-medium* and **cheetah-expert**. Therefore, we apply our approach 071 solely to the cheetah-expert environment. Figure 1 shows the samples from the fixed distracting 072 dataset for cheetah-run. 073



Figure 1: Sample screenshots from the V-D4RL cheetah-run fixed distracting dataset.

B.0.2 PROCGEN ENVIRONMENTS

074 075 076

077 078 079

081 082

084 085

087

088

090

091 092

094

095

096

098

099

We conducted experiments on three games from the Procgen suite:

- CoinRun: A platformer where the agent collects coins while avoiding obstacles.
- Ninja: An agent jumps across platforms, destroys bombs, and collects mushrooms.
- Jumper: A bunny navigates through multi-level environments, guided by a compass.

Procgen Datasets for Experiments:

- 1. **1M Baseline**: The original dataset containing 1,000,000 transitions without any data augmentation.
- 2. **2M Upsampled**: An upsampled version of the 1,000,000 baseline dataset, increased to 2,000,000 samples using diffusion model-based upsampling.
- 3. **1M Augmented Baseline**: The original 1,000,000 dataset, augmented using pixel-level augmentation techniques to increase diversity, without changing the dataset size.
- 4. **2M Augmented Upsampled**: An upsampled version of the 1,000,000 augmented baseline dataset.

100 For the Offline Proceen dataset, we use 1M expert dataset collected using Proximal Policy Opti-101 mization (PPO) Schulman et al. (2017). A single transition in Procgen consists of an observation 102 (depicted as an RGB image with dimensions 64x64x3), a discrete action (with a maximum action 103 space of 15), a scalar reward (which may be either dense or sparse depending on the game), and a 104 boolean value signifying the conclusion of the episode. Following the approach outlined by Medi-105 ratta et al. (2024), each Procgen game level is procedurally generated using a level seed, which is a non-negative integer. Levels in the range [0,200) are used to collect trajectories and train offline, 106 levels [200,250) are utilized for hyperparameter tuning and model selection, and levels $[250,\infty)$ are 107 reserved for online evaluation of the agent's performance. We gather the Evaluation Test Set while

108 training with 5 random seeds, employing a methodology similar to that used in our V-D4RL (Visual 109 D4RL) study. 110

111 **B.0.3** DATA AUGMENTATION

112

159

To strengthen the robustness of our model to variations in visual inputs and ensure it captures key 113 environment dynamics, we applied several data augmentation techniques to the initial dataset \mathcal{D}_0 , 114 inspired by the work of (Laskin et al., 2020). While we initially experimented with ten different 115 augmentations, we found that using all of them simultaneously led to training instability. Through 116 empirical analysis, we identified that rotation, color jittering, color cutout, and background im-117 age overlay were the most effective in improving generalization without compromising stability. 118 We select applied augmentation randomly during the training. Below, we provide detailed de-119 scriptions of each of these augmentations. WE-To further refine our augmentation strategy and 120 reduce the time-consuming process of extensive tuning, we employed JS divergence analysis and 121 visualization of the distributions of the upsampled and baseline datasets. This allowed us to assess 122 alignment and diversity without repeated RL training, significantly streamlining the process. These augmentations, combined with diffusion-based upsampling, were instrumental in increasing data 123 diversity and enhancing generalization. 124

125 The augmentation function applied to states s and s' uses independently sampled transformations 126 from the same set of augmentations, with each transformation selected with equal probability. 127 Within each state (e.g., a stack of frames), the same transformation is consistently applied across 128 all images in the stack. This ensures that temporal and spatial relationships within the state are preserved, preventing disruption of critical structural information while still promoting diversity 129 across states. This approach is consistent with the methodology used in RAD (Laskin et al., 2020) 130 , ensuring uniform exploration of the augmentation space while maintaining the structural integrity 131 of stacked observations. 132

133 **Rotation:** We applied random rotations to the input images to make the model invariant to the ori-134 entation of objects within the environment. Each image was rotated by an angle randomly selected 135 from a uniform distribution within a specified range, typically $[-\theta_{max}, \theta_{max}]$, where θ_{max} is the maximum rotation angle which we set 90°. This augmentation helps the model generalize to different 136 viewpoints and orientations it might encounter during deployment. 137

138 **Color Jittering:** To simulate variations in lighting conditions and color distributions, we employed 139 color jittering. This technique involves randomly adjusting the brightness, contrast, saturation, and 140 hue of the images. Specifically, we modified these properties by factors randomly sampled from uniform distributions around their original values. In our experiments, we adjusted the brightness 141 in [0.2, 0.6], contrast in [0.2, 0.8], saturation in [0.2, 0.8], and hue in [0.1, 0.7]. By introducing 142 variability in the color space, the model learns to focus on features that are more robust to changes 143 in illumination and color, improving its performance in diverse visual conditions. 144

145 **Color Cutout:** Color cutout is an augmentation technique where random rectangular regions of the 146 image are occluded with a random color. Unlike standard cutout, which typically uses a fixed color (such as black or gray), color cutout replaces the occluded region with colors randomly sampled 147 from the color space. Specifically, For each image, we selected one rectangle with dimensions 148 up to 20% of the image's width and height. These regions were then filled with colors whose 149 RGB values were uniformly sampled from [0, 255]. This augmentation forces the model to rely on 150 contextual information from the visible parts of the image, improving its ability to handle occlusions 151 and missing data in the input. 152

Background Image Overlay: To further broaden visual diversity and simulate different environ-153 mental conditions, we applied background image overlays. This technique involves blending the 154 original images with randomly selected background images using random alpha channels. Specifi-155 cally, we generated background images using an image model (Rombach et al., 2022), and for each 156 original image, we overlaid a background image with an alpha blending factor α randomly chosen 157 from a uniform distribution in [0.2, 0.5]. The augmented image I_{aug} is computed as: 158

 $I_{\text{aug}} = \alpha \times I_{\text{bg}} + (1 - \alpha) \times I_{\text{orig}},$

where $I_{\rm bg}$ is the background image and $I_{\rm orig}$ is the original image. This augmentation exposes 160 the model to a variety of background patterns and textures, helping it to generalize better to new 161 environments where background elements may differ from those seen during training.

Figure 2 shows sample images from the Procgen environment, with similar techniques applied to the V-D4RL environment.



Figure 2: Sample images of applied augmentation techniques for the Procgen Coinrun game.

C ALGORITHM DETAILS AND HYPERPARAMETERS

To ensure fair comparisons and validate the effectiveness of our method, we selected benchmark algorithms and datasets aligned with established offline RL benchmarks. Specifically, we used DrQ+BC and CQL for experiments on V-D4RL and Offline Procgen, respectively, following (Lu et al., 2023a) and (Mediratta et al., 2024). These algorithms were chosen due to their relevance to generalization challenges, as demonstrated in these papers, where DrQ+BC highlights issues in handling visual distractions and CQL underperforms in offline generalization tasks in Procgen, providing ideal test cases for evaluating our approach. While our focus is on demonstrating the algorithm-agnostic nature of our method, this section explains the selected algorithms, DrQ+BC and CQL, and the corresponding hyperparameters used in our experiments.

C.1 DRQ+BC ALGORITHM

We utilize the DrQ+BC algorithm in our experiments, following the implementation described in Lu et al. (2023b). DrQ+BC builds upon DrQ-v2 (Yarats et al., 2021) by incorporating a behavioral cloning regularization term into the policy loss, similar to the approach used in TD3+BC (Fujimoto & Gu, 2021). As noted in Lu et al. (2023b), the base policy optimizer of DrQ-v2 shares similarities with TD3 (Fujimoto et al., 2018), which has been successfully adapted to offline settings from proprioceptive states by incorporating a regularizing behavioral cloning term into the policy loss. This modification results in the TD3+BC algorithm (Fujimoto & Gu, 2021).

¹⁹⁶ Specifically, the policy objective becomes:

$$\pi = \arg\max \mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim D_{\text{env}}} \left[\lambda Q(s,\pi(s)) - (\pi(s)-a)^2 \right],\tag{1}$$

and the loss function is expressed as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\mathcal{D}) = -\mathbb{E}_{s_t, a_t \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\lambda Q_{\theta}(h_t, a_t) - (\pi_{\phi}(h_t) - a_t)^2 \right]$$

Here, λ is an adaptive normalization term computed over minibatches:

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{(h_i, a_i)} |Q(h_i, a_i)|}$$

where λ is a normalization term, Q is the learned value function, and π is the learned policy. The authors apply the same regularization technique to DrQ-v2 and refer to the resulting algorithm as DrQ+BC. The scalar α represents a behavioral cloning weight, which is fixed to 2.5 recommended by the authors.

The network architectures for the encoder, policy, and Q-function networks are consistent with those used in DrQ-v2 (Yarats et al., 2021). Specifically:

• Encoder Network (f_{ξ}) : A convolutional neural network (CNN) with 4 layers, each with 32 channels, 3×3 kernels, and ReLU activations. The output is passed through a fully connected layer to produce a 50-dimensional latent vector.

- Actor Network (π_{ϕ}): An MLP with two hidden layers of 1024 units each, using ReLU activations, outputting mean and standard deviation for a Gaussian policy.
- Critic Network (Q_{θ}) : An MLP with two hidden layers of 1024 units each, using ReLU activations, taking the concatenated state and action as input.

The hyperparameters used for the DrQ+BC algorithm in our experiments are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Hyperparameters for DrQ+BC Algorithm		
Parameter	Value	
Batch size	256	
Action repeat	2	
Observation size	[84, 84]	
Discount (γ)	0.99	
Learning rate	1×10^{-4}	
Optimizer	Adam	
Agent training epochs	256	
<i>n-step</i> returns	3	
Exploration stddev. clip	0.3	
Exploration stddev. schedule	linear(1.0, 0.1, 500000	
BC Weight (α)	2.5	
The number of training steps	1,000,000	

C.2 CQL

We used the code base available at https://github.com/facebookresearch/gen_ dgrl to implement CQL to our work. CQL (Conservative Q-Learning) is an offline reinforcement learning algorithm designed to penalize the overestimation of Q-values, thus encouraging conservative action selection. This approach helps avoid actions that have not been sufficiently explored in the dataset by regularizing the Q-function to lower the predicted values of out-of-distribution actions. CQL works especially well when offline data does not fully cover the state-action space. This makes policy evaluation and improvement more reliable (Kumar et al., 2020).

251 The Q-function objective reformulation:

 $\min_{Q} \alpha_{\text{CQL}} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\log \sum_{a} \exp(Q(s, a)) - \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \hat{\pi}_{\beta}(a|s)}[Q(s, a)] \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{s, a, s' \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left(Q - \hat{\mathcal{B}}^{\pi_{k}} Q^{k} \right)^{2} \right].$

In this formulation, α_{CQL} is the trade-off parameter, $\hat{\pi}_{\beta}$ is the empirical behavioral policy, and \mathcal{B}^{π_k} denotes the empirical Bellman operator that updates a single sample. We approximate this by taking gradient steps and sampling actions within the defined bounds.

Network architecture: The network architecture is adopted from (Mediratta et al., 2024), which is detailed below.

- **Encoder Network**: ResNet-based convolutional neural network with approximately 1 million parameters (He et al., 2015).
- **Q-Function Network**: The encoder outputs a latent vector that is passed through a fully connected layer with 256 units and ReLU activation, followed by an output layer producing Q-values for each of the 15 discrete actions.

The hyperparameters used for the CQL algorithm in our Procgen experiments are listed in Table 2.

Parameter	Value	
Batch size	256	
Learning rate (Q-function)	5×10^{-4}	
Optimizer	Adam	
Epochs	1000	
Q-Function Network Hidden Size	256	
Target Network Update Frequency	1000	
au	0.99	
α	4.0	

C.3 ELUCIDATED DIFFUSION MODEL

In our work, we employ the Elucidated Diffusion Model (EDM) proposed by Karras et al. Karras et al. (2022). The denoising network D_{θ} is parameterized as a multilayer perceptron (MLP) with skip connections from the previous layer, following the architecture described by Tolstikhin et al. Tolstikhin et al. (2021). Each layer of the network is defined as:

$$x_{L+1} = \text{Linear}(\text{Activation}(x_L)) + x_L, \tag{2}$$

where x_L is the input to layer L, and the activation function is typically ReLU.

The hyperparameters used for the denoising network are listed in Table 3. To encode the noise level of the diffusion process, we utilize a Random Fourier Feature (RFF) embedding as introduced by Tancik et al. Tancik et al. (2020). The base network has a width of 1024 neurons per layer and a depth of 6 layers, resulting in approximately 6 million parameters.

We adjust the batch size during training based on the size of the dataset. For online training and offline datasets with fewer than 1 million samples (e.g., medium-replay datasets), we use a batch size of 256. For larger datasets, we increase the batch size to 1024. For *V-D4RL*, we employ uniform hyperparameters for both augmented and baseline training to maintain consistency. Likewise, we implement the identical principle to the *Procgen* dataset. The hyperparameters are listed in Table 3.

Additionally, we conducted a similar ablation study to that performed in SynthER (Lu et al., 2023b) and observed results consistent with those reported by the authors. To avoid redundancy, we did not include an exhaustive presentation of these ablations in our paper. For a detailed analysis, we refer readers to the SynthER supplementary material (Section C and Section F), which provides comprehensive insights into their findings.

Table 3: Default Hyperparameters for the Residual MLP Denoiser.

	VI 1	
310	Parameter	Value(s)
311	Number of lovers	6
312	Number of layers	1024
313		1024
314	Batch size	1024
315	RFF dimension	
010	Activation function	ReLU
310	Optimizer	Adam
317	Learning rate	3×10^{-4}
318	Learning rate schedule	Cosine annealing
319	Number of training steps	100,000
320		

321

308

309

281

283 284

285

286

287

288 289 290

291

For the diffusion sampling process, we use the stochastic SDE sampler from Karras et al. Karras et al. (2022) with the default hyperparameters used for ImageNet, as shown in Table 4. We employ a higher number of diffusion timesteps, set to 128, to improve sample fidelity. The implementation is based on the publicly available code at https://github.com/lucidrains/ denoising-diffusion-pytorch, which is released under the Apache License.

Parameter	Value
Number of diffusion steps	128
σ_{\min}	0.002
$\sigma_{ m max}$	80
$S_{ m churn}$	80
$S_{t_{\min}}$	0.05
$S_{t_{ m max}}$	50
S _{noise}	1.003

Table 4: Default Hyperparameters for the ImageNet-64 EDM.

C.3.1 ABLATION STUDY FOR LATENT SPACE DIMENSION

To complete the ablation studies from the original SynthER approach, we performed an additional analysis focusing on latent space dimension size. This aspect was not covered in the original SynthER work. The dimensionality settings align with those used in offline RL algorithms, as detailed in Sections C.1 and C.2. This study extends the understanding of the role of latent space dimensionality in generalization performance.

Environment	Method	Latent Dimension Size	Normalized Generalization Performance
	Ours	32	0.92
V-D4RL Averaged	Ours	128	1.0 0.96
	Ours		0.79
	Ours	64	0.94
Procgen Averaged	Ours	100(default)	1.0
	Ours	$\frac{256}{2100}$	0.92
	Ours	512	0.68

From our results, we observe that reducing the latent space dimension compromises the model's ability to capture the underlying distribution of the data effectively. In V-D4RL, for instance, dimensions smaller than the default value result in poor performance as the reduced representation fails to encapsulate the necessary data diversity. On the other hand, increasing the latent space dimension beyond the default introduces challenges for the diffusion model, requiring larger denoising networks. This not only increases computational costs but also risks overfitting to the training data. For the largest latent dimension, the diffusion model struggles to learn an aligned distribution of augmented V-D4RL, further degrading performance.

A comparable tendency is evident in Procgen. Insufficiently small latent dimensions fail to encapsulate the data's diversity, resulting in inferior performance. Larger dimensions increase processing demands and diminish the learning capability of the diffusion model.

C.4 **COMPUTATIONAL COST ANALYSIS**

We evaluate the computational time required for our proposed approach compared to the baseline (without augmentation and upsampling) on an NVIDIA 4090 GPU.

In addition to the baseline runs for both benchmarks, our method introduces two supplementary computational overheads: one for the augmentation process and the other for the upsampling pro-cedure. Applying the initial augmentation step to images increases the overall computational cost, which extends the runtime. However, because our method leverages latent space upsampling, the dimensionality reduction mitigates some of the computing costs compared to directly operating on high-dimensional images.

378 The Table C.4 below summarizes the computational costs for a single seed run, highlighting the 379 baseline and two variants of our method. 380

Environment	Method	Aug.	Upsampling	Runtime (hours)
	100K Baseline	×	×	3.49
V-D4RL	100K Augmented + Upsampled	1	\checkmark	7.50
	1M Baseline	×	×	0.20
Procgen	2M Augmented + Upsampled	1	\checkmark	0.37

Table 5: Computational cost analysis for different methods across V-D4RL and Procgen environments.

REFERENCES

387 388

389

390 391

392 393

394

401

402

403

424

- Scott Fujimoto and Shixiang Shane Gu. A minimalist approach to offline reinforcement learning. 2021.
- Scott Fujimoto, Herke van Hoof, and David Meger. Addressing function approximation error in 396 actor-critic methods. 2018. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.09477. 397
- Tuomas Haarnoja, Aurick Zhou, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. Soft actor-critic: Off-policy 399 maximum entropy deep reinforcement learning with a stochastic actor. CoRR, abs/1801.01290, 2018. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.01290. 400
 - Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition, 2015. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385.
- Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, and Samuli Laine. Elucidating the design space of diffusion-404 based generative models. 2022. 405
- 406 Aviral Kumar, Aurick Zhou, George Tucker, and Sergey Levine. Conservative q-learning for offline 407 reinforcement learning. 2020. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.04779. 408
- Michael Laskin, Kimin Lee, Adam Stooke, Lerrel Pinto, Pieter Abbeel, and Aravind Srinivas. Re-409 inforcement learning with augmented data. 2020. 410
- 411 Cong Lu, Philip J. Ball, Tim G. J. Rudner, Jack Parker-Holder, Michael A. Osborne, and Yee Whye 412 Teh. Challenges and opportunities in offline reinforcement learning from visual observations. 413 2023a. 414
- Cong Lu, Philip J. Ball, Yee Whye Teh, and Jack Parker-Holder. Synthetic experience replay. 2023b. 415
- 416 Ishita Mediratta, Qingfei You, Minqi Jiang, and Roberta Raileanu. The generalization gap in offline 417 reinforcement learning. 2024. 418
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-419 resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models, 2022. https://huggingface.co/ 420 CompVis/stable-diffusion-v-1-4-original. 421
- 422 John Schulman, Filip Wolski, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alec Radford, and Oleg Klimov. Proximal policy 423 optimization algorithms. 2017. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.06347.
- Matthew Tancik, Pratul P. Srinivasan, Ben Mildenhall, Sara Fridovich-Keil, Nithin Raghavan, 425 Utkarsh Singhal, Ravi Ramamoorthi, Jonathan T. Barron, and Ren Ng. Fourier features let 426 networks learn high frequency functions in low dimensional domains. 2020. URL https: 427 //arxiv.org/abs/2006.10739. 428
- Ilya Tolstikhin, Neil Houlsby, Alexander Kolesnikov, Lucas Beyer, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Un-429 terthiner, Jessica Yung, Andreas Steiner, Daniel Keysers, Jakob Uszkoreit, Mario Lucic, and 430 Alexey Dosovitskiy. Mlp-mixer: An all-mlp architecture for vision. 2021. URL https: 431 //arxiv.org/abs/2105.01601.

432	Danis Varate Dah Fargue Alassandra Lazaria and Larral Dinta. Mastaring visual continuous con
433	tral: Improved data augmented reinforcement learning 2021
434	uor. Improved data-augmented remoteement learning. 2021.
435	
436	
437	
438	
439	
440	
441	
442	
443	
444	
445	
446	
447	
448	
449	
450	
451	
452	
453	
454	
455	
456	
457	
458	
459	
460	
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	
469	
470	
471	
472	
473	
474	
475	
476	
477	
478	
479	
480	
481	
482	
483	
484	
485	