# Approximations of the Inverse Cumulative Distribution Function using Transport Maps and Physics-informed Neural Networks

## Dawen Wu<sup>12</sup> Ludovic Chamoin<sup>3</sup> Stéphane Bressan<sup>21</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CNRS@CREATE, 1 Create Way, #08-01 Create Tower, Singapore 138602<sup>2</sup> School of Computing, National University of Singapore, 13 Computing Drive, Singapore 117417<sup>3</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, LMPS – Laboratoire de Mécanique Paris-Saclay, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France. Correspondence to: Ludovic Chamoin ludovic.chamoin@ens-paris-saclay.fr.

## 1. Problem Statement

Inverse cumulative distribution functions (inverse CDFs), also known as quantile functions, typically lack closed-form analytical solutions for many important probability distributions, including the normal distribution. Approximating inverse CDFs is an inverse problem that plays a critical role in uncertainty quantification and statistical inference. Traditional approaches are often non-parametric, relying on numerical integration and interpolation to build approximate solutions. This paper presents two novel parametric methods that aim to provide more accurate approximations compared to nonparametric methods.

#### 2. Methods:

We propose using a composite approximation function formed by combining a logit function with a neural network. We present two ways to train the neural network: Inverse Transport Map Learning (ITML) and Inverse Physics-informed Learning (IPIL). The ITML approach exploits the fact that when the reference distribution is chosen as a uniform distribution U(0,1), the associated transport map exactly represents the inverse CDF, enabling the approximation function to be constructed without numerical integration. The IPIL approach formulates the inverse CDF approximation as a differential equation solving problem and leverages physicsinformed neural networks to obtain the solution. Although IPIL still requires numerical integration, it avoids the use of interpolation.

## 3. Experiments:

We conduct validation experiments on standard normal, Beta, Gamma distributions, and a nonnormalized abstract distribution. Experimental results show that our proposed parametric methods achieve higher accuracy in inverse CDF approximation compared to existing non-parametric methods. The associated code and datasets are publicly available at: https://github.com/wuwudawen/ IP-Inverse-CDF.

#### 4. Related Work

The approximation methods proposed in this paper build upon two lines of research, transport maps and physics-informed neural networks. Below is a brief overview of these two areas. **Transport Maps** Transport maps are used for sampling and estimating PDFs of unknown probability distributions by constructing a coupling between a reference and target distribution. Triangular maps (Knothe–Rosenblatt rearrangement) offer computational efficiency through their simplified Jacobian determinant calculation [1]. Applications include Bayesian inference [2] and optimized proposal distributions in MCMC [3]. Unlike MCMC, transport maps can directly estimate unknown PDFs without requiring kernel density estimation. In machine learning, normalizing flows represent a neural network-based implementation of transport maps used in generative AI [4].

**Physics-informed Neural Networks** Deep learning for solving differential equations emerged in the 1990s [5], with recent advances in Physics-informed Neural Networks (PINNs)[6]. PINNs' loss functions combine PDE residuals computed via automatic differentiation with supervised boundary condition errors. Their flexible architecture enables applications across physics and engineering problems[7]. Various PINN variants incorporate either machine learning techniques [8] or finite element methods [9] to improve accuracy. Theoretical studies have addressed existence theorems and stability problems [10].

#### Acknowledgments

DesCartes: this research is supported by the National Research Foundation, Prime Minister's Office, Singapore under its Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE) programme

## References

- Vladimir Igorevich Bogachev, Aleksandr Viktorovich Kolesnikov, and Kirill Vladimirovich Medvedev. Triangular transformations of measures. *Sbornik: Mathematics*, 196(3):309, 2005.
- [2] Tarek A El Moselhy and Youssef M Marzouk. Bayesian inference with optimal maps. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231(23):7815–7850, 2012.
- [3] Matthew D Parno and Youssef M Marzouk. Transport map accelerated markov chain monte carlo. *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification*, 6(2):645–682, 2018.
- [4] George Papamakarios, Eric Nalisnick,

Danilo Jimenez Rezende, Shakir Mohamed, and Balaji Lakshminarayanan. Normalizing flows for probabilistic modeling and inference. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(57):1–64, 2021.

- [5] MWM Gamini Dissanayake and Nhan Phan-Thien. Neural-network-based approximations for solving partial differential equations. *communications in Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 10(3):195–201, 1994.
- [6] Maziar Raissi, Paris Perdikaris, and George E Karniadakis. Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 378:686–707, 2019.
- [7] Dongkun Zhang, Ling Guo, and George Em Karniadakis. Learning in Modal Space: Solving Time-Dependent Stochastic PDEs Using Physics-Informed Neural Networks. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 42(2):A639–A665, 2020.
- [8] Xinquan Huang and Tariq Alkhalifah. Efficient physics-informed neural networks using hash encoding. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 501:112760, 2024.
- [9] Ameya D Jagtap, Ehsan Kharazmi, and George Em Karniadakis. Conservative physicsinformed neural networks on discrete domains for conservation laws: Applications to forward and inverse problems. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 365:113028, 2020.
- [10] Tim De Ryck and Siddhartha Mishra. Numerical analysis of physics-informed neural networks and related models in physics-informed machine learning. *Acta Numerica*, 33:633–713, 2024.