

Greetings,

Our previous submission (#3873) was desk-rejected because “members of our team have identified hallucinated references”.

First, it is very important for us to clarify the situation. This was not the case of using an LLM to generate (hallucinated) related work, which we agree would have been utterly unacceptable scientific conduct. Rather, the papers we meant to cite are all real, and we have read and discussed them. Unfortunately, one of our team members decided to use GPT-4o to directly generate BibTeX entries for some of these papers, assuming this is well within the LLM’s abilities. He has learned the hard way that it was not, with GPT retrieving most of the content correctly, including ArXiv ids, but hallucinating some authors’ names.

We deeply apologize again for this oversight, and wish to assure you that this was an honest mistake. **We have fixed the citations and are now resubmitting the paper, as instructed by the ARR team on our correspondence from June 9th.**