EMERGENCE OF FUNCTIONAL AND STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF THE HEAD DIRECTION SYSTEM BY OP-TIMIZATION OF RECURRENT NEURAL NETWORKS

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

Recent work suggests goal-driven training of neural networks can be used to model neural activity in the brain. While response properties of neurons in artificial neural networks bear similarities to those in the brain, the network architectures are often constrained to be different. Here we ask if a neural network can recover both neural representations and, if the architecture is unconstrained and optimized, the anatomical properties of neural circuits. We demonstrate this in a system where the connectivity and the functional organization have been characterized, namely, the head direction circuit of the rodent and fruit fly. We trained recurrent neural networks (RNNs) to estimate head direction through integration of angular velocity. We found that the two distinct classes of neurons observed in the head direction system, the Ring neurons and the Shifter neurons, emerged naturally in artificial neural networks as a result of training. Furthermore, connectivity analysis and in-silico neurophysiology revealed structural and mechanistic similarities between artificial networks and the head direction system. Overall, our results show that optimization of RNNs in a goal-driven task can recapitulate the structure and function of biological circuits, suggesting that artificial neural networks can be used to study the brain at the level of both neural activity and anatomical organization.

1 INTRODUCTION

Artificial neural networks have been increasingly used to study biological neural circuits. In particular, recent work in vision demonstrated that convolutional neural networks (CNNs) trained to perform visual object classification provide state-of-the-art models that match neural responses along various stages of visual processing (Yamins et al., 2014; Khaligh-Razavi & Kriegeskorte, 2014; Yamins & DiCarlo, 2016; Cadieu et al., 2014; Güçlü & van Gerven, 2015; Kriegeskorte, 2015). Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) trained on cognitive tasks have also been used to account for neural response characteristics in various domains (Mante et al., 2013; Sussillo & Barak, 2013; Sussillo et al., 2015; Cueva & Wei, 2018; Banino et al., 2018; Orhan & Ma, 2019; Song et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2019). While these results provide important insights on how information is processed in neural circuits, it is unclear whether artificial neural networks have converged upon similar architectures as the brain to perform either visual or cognitive tasks. Answering this question requires understanding the functional, structural, and mechanistic properties of artificial neural networks and of relevant neural circuits.

We address these challenges using the brain's internal compass - the head direction system, a system that has accumulated substantial amounts of functional and structural data over the past few decades in rodents and fruit flies (Taube et al., 1990a;b; Turner-Evans et al., 2017; Green et al., 2017; Seelig & Jayaraman, 2015; Stone et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2013; Finkelstein et al., 2015; Wolff et al., 2015; Green & Maimon, 2018). We trained RNNs to perform a simple angular velocity (AV) integration task (Etienne & Jeffery, 2004) and asked whether the anatomical and functional features that have emerged as a result of stochastic gradient descent bear similarities to biological networks sculpted by long evolutionary time. By leveraging existing knowledge of the biological head direction (HD) systems, we demonstrate that RNNs exhibit striking similarities in both structure and function. Our results suggest that goal-driven training of artificial neural networks provide a framework to study neural systems at the level of both neural activity *and* anatomical organization.



Figure 1: Overview of head direction system in rodents, fruit flies, and the RNN model. **a**, **c**) tuning curve of an example head direction (HD) cell in rodents (a, adapted from Taube (1995)) and fruit flies (c, adapted from Turner-Evans et al. (2017)). **b**, **d**) Tuning curve of an example angular velocity (AV) selective cell in rodents (b, adapted from Sharp et al. (2001)) and fruit flies (d, adapted from Turner-Evans et al. (2017)). **e**) The brain structures in the fly central complex that are crucial for maintaining and updating heading direction, including the protocerebral bridge (PB) and the ellipsoid body (EB). **f**) The RNN model. All connections within the RNN are randomly initialized. **g**) After training, the output of the RNN accurately tracks the current head direction.

2 Model

2.1 MODEL STRUCTURE

We trained our networks to estimate the agent's current head direction by integrating angular velocity over time (Fig.1f). Our network model consists of a set of recurrently connected units (N = 100), which are initialized to be randomly connected, with no self-connections allowed during training. The dynamics of each unit in the network $r_i(t)$ is governed by the standard continuous-time RNN equation:

$$\tau \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = -x_i(t) + \sum_j W_{ij}^{\text{rec}} r_j(t) + \sum_k W_{ik}^{\text{in}} I_k(t) + b_i + \xi_i(t)$$
(1)

for i = 1, ..., N. The firing rate of each unit, $r_i(t)$, is related to its total input $x_i(t)$ through a rectified tanh nonlinearity, $r_i(t) = \max(0, \tanh(x_i(t)))$. Each unit receives input from other units through the recurrent weight matrix W^{rec} and also receives external input, I(t), through the weight matrix W^{in} . Each unit has an associated bias, b_i which is learned and an associated noise term, $\xi_i(t)$, sampled at every timestep from a Gaussian with zero mean and constant variance. The network was simulated using the Euler method for T = 500 timesteps of duration $\tau/10$ (τ is set to be 250ms throughout the paper).

Let θ be the current head direction. Input to the RNN is composed of three terms: two inputs encode the initial head direction in the form of $\sin(\theta_0)$ and $\cos(\theta_0)$, and a scalar input encodes both clockwise (CW, negative) and counter-clockwise, (CCW, positive) angular velocity at every timestep. The RNN is connected to two linear readout neurons, $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$, which are trained to track current head direction in the form of $\sin(\theta)$. The activities of $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$ are given by:

$$y_j(t) = \sum_i W_{ji}^{\text{out}} r_i(t) \tag{2}$$

2.2 INPUT STATISTICS

Velocity at every timestep (assumed to be 25 ms) is sampled from a zero-inflated Gaussian distribution (see Fig. 5). Momentum is incorporated for smooth movement trajectories, consistent with the observed animal behavior in flies and rodents. More specifically, we updated the angular velocity as $AV(t) = \sigma X$ + momentum*AV(t-1), where X is a zero mean Gaussian random variable with standard deviation of one. In the Main condition, we set $\sigma = 0.03$ radians/timestep and the momentum to be 0.8, corresponding to a mean absolute AV of ~100 deg/s. These parameters are set to roughly match the angular velocity distribution of the rat and fly (Stackman & Taube, 1998; Sharp et al., 2001; Bender & Dickinson, 2006; Raudies & Hasselmo, 2012). In Sec. 4, we manipulate the magnitude of AV by changing σ to see how the trained RNN may solve the integration task differently.

2.3 TRAINING

We optimized the network parameters W^{rec} , W^{in} , b and W^{out} to minimize the mean-squared error in equation (3) between the target head direction and the network outputs generated according to equation (2), plus a metabolic cost for large firing rates (L_2 regularization on r).

$$E = \sum_{t,j} (y_j(t) - y_j^{\text{target}}(t))^2$$
(3)

Parameters were updated with the Hessian-free algorithm (Martens & Sutskever, 2011). Similar results were also obtained using Adam (Kingma & Ba, 2015).

3 FUNCTIONAL AND STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES EMERGED IN THE NETWORK

We found that the trained network could accurately track the angular velocity (Fig. 1g). We first examined the functional and structural properties of model units in the trained RNN and compared them to the experimental data from the head direction system in rodents and flies.

3.1 Emergence of HD cells (Ring units) and HD \times AV cells (shifters)

Emergence of different classes of neurons with distinct tuning properties

We first plotted the neural activity of each unit as a function of HD and AV (Fig. 2a). This revealed two distinct classes of units based on the strength of their HD and AV tuning (see SI Fig. 6a,b,c). Units with minimal tuning to both variables are discarded from further analysis. The first class of neurons exhibited HD tuning with minimal AV tuning. The second class of neurons were tuned to both HD and AV and can be further subdivided into two populations - one with high firing rate when animal performs CCW rotation (positive AV), the other favoring CW rotation (negative AV). Moreover, the preferred head direction of each sub-population of neurons tile the complete angular space (Fig. 2b). Embedding the model units into 3-d space using t-SNE reveals a clear ring-like structure, with the three classes of units being separated (Fig. 2c).

Mapping the functional architecture of RNN to neurophysiology

Neurons tuned to both HD and AV tuning have been reported previously in rodents and fruit flies (Sharp et al., 2001; Stackman & Taube, 1998; Bassett & Taube, 2001), although the joint HD*AV tuning profiles of neurons have only been documented anecdotally with only a few cells (??).We observe similar HD*AV tuning profiles in our network after training as these recorded neurons (see Fig. 2e). Furthermore, neurons on the two sides of the protocerebral bridge (PB) of the fruit fly heading system (Pfeiffer & Homberg, 2014) are also tuned to CW and CCW rotation, respectively, and tile the complete angular space, much like what has been observed in our trained network (Green et al., 2017; Turner-Evans et al., 2017).

Neurons with HD tuning but not AV tuning have been widely reported in rodents (Taube et al., 1990a; Blair & Sharp, 1995; Stackman & Taube, 1998), though again the HD*AV tuning is rarely shown (but see Lozano et al. (2017)). By re-analyzing the data from Peyrache et al. (2015), we find that neurons in the anterodorsal thalamic nucleus (ADN) of the rat brain are selectively tuned to HD but not AV (Fig. 2d, also see Lozano et al. (2017)), with HD*AV tuning profile similar to what our model



Figure 2: Emergence of different functional cell types in the trained RNN. a) Joint AV*HD tuning plots for individual neurons in the RNN, sorted by the functional type and then the preferred HD. b) Preferred HD of each unit within each functional type. Approximately uniform tiling of preferred HD for each functional type of model neurons is observed. c) 3-D embedding of model neurons using t-SNE, with the distance between two units defined as one minus their firing rate correlation, exhibits a ring-like structure from one view angle (left) and are segregated according to AV in another view angle (right). Each dot represents one unit. d) Joint HD*AV tuning plots for two example HD neurons in the rat anterodorsal thalamic nucleus (plotted based on data from Peyrache et al. (2015), downloaded from CRCNS website). White indicates high firing rate. e) Joint HD*AV tuning plots for two example neurons from the PB of of the fly central complex, adapted from Turner-Evans et al. (2017). Red indicates high firing rate. (f,g,h,i) Detailed tuning properties of model neurons match neural data. f) HD tuning curves for model ring units exhibit shifted peaks at high CW (green) and CCW rotations (blue). g) HD tuning curves for model shifters exhibit peak shift and gain changes when comparing CW (green) and CCW (blue) rotations. h) HD tuning curves for CW (solid) and CCW (dashed) conditions for two example neurons in the postsubiculum of rats, adapted from Stackman & Taube (1998). i) HD tuning curves for CW (solid) and CCW (dashed) conditions for two example neurons in the lateral mammillary nuclei of rats, adapted from Stackman & Taube (1998).

predicts. Preliminary evidence suggests that this might also be true for ellipsoid body (EB) neurons in the fruit fly HD system (Green et al., 2017; Turner-Evans et al., 2017).

These observations collectively suggest that neurons that are HD but not AV selective in our model can be tentatively mapped to "Ring" units in EB, and the two sub-populations of neurons tuned to both HD and AV map to "Shifter" neurons on the left PB and right PB. We will correspondingly refer to our model neurons as either 'Ring' units or 'CW/CCW Shifters' (Further justification of the terminology will be given in Sec. 3.2 & 3.3).

Tuning properties of model neurons match experimental data

We next sought to examine the tuning properties of both Ring units and Shifters of our network in greater detail. First, we observe the HD tuning curve varies as a function of AV for both Ring units (see example unit in Fig. 2f) and Shifters (Fig. 2g). Population summary statistics concerning the amount of tuning shift are shown in SI Fig. 7a. The preferred HD tuning is biased towards a more

CW angle at CW angular velocities, and vice versa for CCW angular velocities. Consistent with this observation, the HD tuning curves in rodents were also dependent upon AV (see example neurons in Fig. 2h,i) (Blair & Sharp, 1995; Stackman & Taube, 1998; Taube & Muller, 1998; Blair et al., 1997; 1998). Second, the AV tuning curves for the Shifters exhibit graded response profiles, consistent with the measured AV tuning curves in fly and rodent (see Fig. 1b,d). Across neurons, the angular velocity tuning curves show substantial diversity(see SI Fig. 6b), also consistent with experimental reports (Turner-Evans et al., 2017). These analyses suggest that units in the trained RNN show similar response properties to neurons in the head direction systems of rodents and fruit flies.

Therefore, units in the trained RNN could be mapped on to the biological head direction system both in terms of general functional architecture and detailed tuning properties. Our model unifies a diverse set of experimental observations, suggesting that these neural response properties are the consequence of the network solving an angular integration task optimally.



3.2 CONNECTIVITY STRUCTURE OF THE NETWORK

Figure 3: Connectivity of the trained network is structured and exhibits similarities with the connectivity in the fly central complex. **a**) Connectivity of the network trained under the Main condition. Pixels represent connections from the units in each column to the units in each row. Units are first sorted by functional classes, and then are further sorted by their preferred HD within each class. The black box highlights recurrent connections to the ring units from ring units, CCW Shifters and CW Shifters. Excitatory connections are in red, and inhibitory connections are in blue. **b**) Ensemble connectivity from each functional cell type to the Ring units as highlighted in a), plotted according to the architecture of the PB & EB in the fly central complex. Plots show the average connectivity (shaded area indicates one s.d.) as a function of the difference between the preferred HD of the cell and the Ring unit it is connecting to. Ring units connect strongly to units with similar HD tuning and inhibit units with dissimilar HD tuning. CCW shifters connect strongly to ring units with slightly CCW-shifted HD tuning, and CW shifters connect strongly to Ring units with slightly CW-shifted HD tuning. Refer to the SI Fig. 8b for the full set of ensemble connectivity between different classes.

Previous experiments have detailed a subset of connections between EB and PB neurons in the fruit fly. We next analyzed the connectivity of Ring units and Shifters in the trained RNN to ask whether it recaptulates these connectivity patterns - a test which has never been done to our knowledge in any system between artificial and biological neural networks (see Fig. 3).

Ring units exhibit local excitation and long-range inhibition

We ordered Ring units, CCW Shifters, and CW Shifters by their preferred head direction tuning and plotted their connection strengths. This revealed highly structured connectivity patterns within and between each class of units. We first focused on the connections between individual Ring units and observed a pattern of local excitation and global inhibition. Neurons that have similar preferred head directions are connected through positive weights and neurons whose preferred head directions are anti-phase are connected through negative weights. This pattern is consistent with the connectivity

patterns inferred in recent work based on detailed calcium imaging and optogenetic perturbation experiments (Kim et al., 2017), with one caveat that the connectivity pattern inferred in this study is based on the effective connectivity rather than anatomical connectivity. We conjecture that Ring units in the trained RNN serve to maintain a stable activity bump in the absence of inputs (see section 3.3), as proposed in previous theoretical models (Turing, 1952; Amari, 1977; Zhang, 1996).

Asymmetric connectivity from Shifters to Ring units

We then analyzed the connectivity between Ring units and Shifters. We found that CW shifters excite Ring units with preferred head directions that are clockwise to its own, and inhibit Ring units with preferred head directions counter-clockwise to its own. The opposite pattern is observed for CCW shifters. Such asymmetric connections from Shifters to the Ring units are consistent with the connectivity pattern observed between the PB and the EB in the fruit fly central complex (Lin et al., 2013; Green et al., 2017; Turner-Evans et al., 2017), and also in agreement with previously proposed mechanisms of angular integration (Skaggs et al., 1995; Green et al., 2017; Turner-Evans et al., 2017; Zhang, 1996). We note that while the connectivity between PB Shifters and EB Ring units are one-to-one (Lin et al., 2013; Wolff et al., 2015; Green et al., 2017), the connectivity profile in our model is broad, with a single CW Shifter exciting multiple Ring units with preferred HDs that are clockwise to its own, and vice versa for CCW shifters.

In summary, the RNN developed several anatomical features that are consistent with structures reported or hypothesized in previous experimental results. A few novel predictions are worth mentioning. First, in our model the connectivity between CW and CCW Shifters exhibit specific recurrent connectivity (Fig. 8). Second, the connections from Shifters to Ring units exhibit not only excitation in the direction of heading motion, but also inhibition that is lagging in the opposite direction. This inhibitory connection has not been observed in experiments yet but may facilitate the rotation of the neural bump in the ring units during turning (Wolff et al., 2015; Franconville et al., 2018; Green et al., 2017; Green & Maimon, 2018). In the future, EM reconstructions together with functional imaging and optogenetics should allow direct tests of these predictions.

3.3 PROBING THE COMPUTATION IN THE NETWORK

We have segregated neurons into Ring and Shifter populations according to their HD and AV tuning, and have shown that they exhibit different connectivity patterns that are suggestive of different functions. Ring units putatively maintain the current heading direction and shifter units putatively rotate activity on the ring according to the direction of angular velocity. To substantiate these functional properties, we performed a series of perturbation experiments by lesioning specific subsets of connections.

Perturbation while holding a constant head direction

We first lesioned connections when there is zero angular velocity input. Normally, the network maintains a stable bump of activity within each class of neurons, *i.e.*, Ring units, CW Shifters, and CCW Shifters (see Fig. 4a,b). We first lesioned connections from Ring units to all units and found that the activity bumps in all three classes disappeared and were replaced by diffuse activity in a large proportion of units. As a consequence, the network could not report an accurate estimate of its current heading direction. Furthermore, when the connections were restored, a bump formed again without any external input (Fig. 4d), suggesting the network can spontaneously generate an activity bump through recurrent connections mediated by Ring units.

We then lesioned connections from CW Shifters to all units and found that all three bumps exhibit a CCW rotation, and the read-out units correspondingly reported a CCW rotation of heading direction (Fig. 4e,f). Analogous results were obtained with lesions of CCW Shifters, which resulted in a CW drifting bump of activity (Fig. 4g,h). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that CW and CCW Shifters simultaneously activate the ring, with mutually cancelling signals, even when the heading direction is stationary. When we lesion connections from both CW and CCW Shifters to all units, we observe that Ring units are still capable of holding a stable HD activity bump (Fig. 4i,j), consistent with the predictions that while CW/CCW shifters are necessary for updating heading during motion, Ring units are responsible for maintaining heading.



Figure 4: Probing the functional role of different classes of model neurons. **a-j**) Perturbation analysis in the case of maintaining a constant HD. **a**) Under normal conditions, the RNN output matches the target output. **b**) Population activity for the trial shown in a), sorted by the preferred HD and the class of each unit, i.e., Ring units, CCW Shifters, CW Shifters. **c-j**) RNN output and population activity when a specific set of connections are set to zero during the period indicated by blue arrows in i) and j). **k-v**) Perturbation analysis in case of a shifting HD. For each manipulation, CW rotation and CCW rotation are tested, resulting in two trials. **k-n**) Normal case without any perturbation. **o-v**) RNN output and population activity when connections from CW and CCW Shifters are set to zero during the period indicated by blue arrows in u) and v). Refer to the main text for the interpretation of the results.

Perturbation while integrating constant angular velocity

We next lesioned connections during either constant CW or CCW angular velocity. Normally, the network can integrate AV accurately (Fig. 4k-n). As expected, during CCW rotation, we observe a corresponding rotation of the activity bump in Ring units and in CCW Shifters, but CW Shifters display low levels of activity. The converse is true during CW rotation. We first lesioned connections from CW Shifters to all units, and found that it significantly impaired rotation in the CW direction, and also increased the rotation speed in the CCW direction. Lesioning of CCW Shifters to all units had the opposite effect, significantly impairing rotation in the CCW direction. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that CW/CCW Shifters are responsible for shifting the bump in a CW and CCW direction, respectively, and are consistent with the data in Green et al. (2017), which shows that inhibition of Shifter units in the PB of the fruit fly heading system impairs the integration of HD. Our lesion experiments further support the segregation of units into modular components that function to separately maintain and update heading during angular motion.

4 ADAPTATION OF NETWORK PROPERTIES TO INPUT STATISTICS

Optimal computation requires the system to adapt to the statistical structure of the inputs (Barlow, 1961; Attneave, 1954). In order to understand how the statistical properties of the input trajectories



Figure 5: Representations in the trained RNN vary as the input statistics change. **a**) The AV distribution used to train the RNN in the low angular velocity condition. **b**) A histogram of the slopes of the AV tuning curves for individual neurons in the low AV condition. **c**) Heatmaps of the joint AV and HD tuning for each neuron in the low AV condition, as shown in Fig. 2a. **d**,**e**,**f**) Same convention as a-c, but for the main condition. **g**,**h**,**i**) Same convention as a-c, but for the high angular velocity condition.

affect how a network solves the task, we trained RNNs to integrate inputs generated from low and high AV distributions.

When networks are trained with small angular velocities, we observe the presence of more units with strong head direction tuning but minimal angular velocity tuning. Conversely, when networks are trained with large AV inputs, fewer ring units emerge and more units become Shifter-like and exhibit both HD and AV tuning (Fig. 5c,f,i). We sought to quantify the overall AV tuning under each velocity regime by computing the slope of each each neuron's AV tuning curve at its preferred HD angle. We found that by increasing the magnitude of AV inputs, more neurons developed strong AV tuning (Fig. 5b,e,h). In summary, with a slowly changing head direction trajectory, it is advantageous to allocate more resources to hold a stable activity bump, and this requires more ring units. In contrast, with quickly changing inputs, the system needs to rapidly update the activity bump to integrate head direction, requiring more shifter units. This prediction may be relevant for understanding the diversity of the HD systems across different animal species, as different species exhibit different overall head turning behavior depending on the ecological demand (Stone et al., 2017; Seelig & Jayaraman, 2015; Heinze, 2017; Finkelstein et al., 2018).

5 DISCUSSION

Previous work in the sensory systems have mainly focused on obtaining an optimal representation (Barlow, 1961; Laughlin, 1981; Linsker, 1988; Olshausen & Field, 1996; Simoncelli & Olshausen, 2001; Yamins et al., 2014; Khaligh-Razavi & Kriegeskorte, 2014) with feed-forward models. Several recent studies have probed the importance of recurrent connections in understanding neural computation by training RNNs to perform tasks (*e.g.*, Mante et al. (2013); Sussillo et al. (2015); Cueva & Wei (2018)), without mapping anatomically and mechanistically to the brain. Using the head direction system, we demonstrate that goal-driven optimization of recurrent neural networks can be used to understand functional, structural and mechanistic properties of neural circuits. Our approach contrasts with previous network models for the HD system, which are based on hand-crafted connectivity (Zhang, 1996; Skaggs et al., 1995; Xie et al., 2002; Green et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2017; Knierim & Zhang, 2012; Song & Wang, 2005; Kakaria & de Bivort, 2017; Stone et al., 2017). Although we have focused on a simple integration task, this framework should be of general relevance to other neural systems as well, providing a new approach to help understand the systems at multiple levels.

REFERENCES

- Shun-ichi Amari. Dynamics of pattern formation in lateral-inhibition type neural fields. *Biological cybernetics*, 27(2):77–87, 1977.
- Fred Attneave. Some informational aspects of visual perception. *Psychological review*, 61(3):183, 1954.
- Andrea Banino, Caswell Barry, Benigno Uria, Charles Blundell, Timothy Lillicrap, Piotr Mirowski, Alexander Pritzel, Martin J Chadwick, Thomas Degris, Joseph Modayil, et al. Vector-based navigation using grid-like representations in artificial agents. *Nature*, 557(7705):429, 2018.
- Horace B Barlow. Possible principles underlying the transformation of sensory messages. *Sensory communication*, pp. 217–234, 1961.
- Joshua P Bassett and Jeffrey S Taube. Neural correlates for angular head velocity in the rat dorsal tegmental nucleus. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 21(15):5740–5751, 2001.
- John A Bender and Michael H Dickinson. A comparison of visual and haltere-mediated feedback in the control of body saccades in drosophila melanogaster. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 209 (23):4597–4606, 2006.
- Hugh T Blair and Patricia E Sharp. Anticipatory head direction signals in anterior thalamus: evidence for a thalamocortical circuit that integrates angular head motion to compute head direction. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 15(9):6260–6270, 1995.
- Hugh T Blair, Brian W Lipscomb, and Patricia E Sharp. Anticipatory time intervals of head-direction cells in the anterior thalamus of the rat: implications for path integration in the head-direction circuit. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 78(1):145–159, 1997.
- Hugh T Blair, Jeiwon Cho, and Patricia E Sharp. Role of the lateral mammillary nucleus in the rat head direction circuit: a combined single unit recording and lesion study. *Neuron*, 21(6): 1387–1397, 1998.
- Charles F Cadieu, Ha Hong, Daniel LK Yamins, Nicolas Pinto, Diego Ardila, Ethan A Solomon, Najib J Majaj, and James J DiCarlo. Deep neural networks rival the representation of primate it cortex for core visual object recognition. *PLoS computational biology*, 10(12):e1003963, 2014.
- Christopher J Cueva and Xue-Xin Wei. Emergence of grid-like representations by training recurrent neural networks to perform spatial localization. *ICLR*, 2018.
- Ariane S Etienne and Kathryn J Jeffery. Path integration in mammals. *Hippocampus*, 14(2):180–192, 2004.
- Arseny Finkelstein, Dori Derdikman, Alon Rubin, Jakob N Foerster, Liora Las, and Nachum Ulanovsky. Three-dimensional head-direction coding in the bat brain. *Nature*, 517(7533):159, 2015.
- Arseny Finkelstein, Nachum Ulanovsky, Misha Tsodyks, and Johnatan Aljadeff. Optimal dynamic coding by mixed-dimensionality neurons in the head-direction system of bats. *Nature communications*, 9(1):3590, 2018.
- Romain Franconville, Celia Beron, and Vivek Jayaraman. Building a functional connectome of the drosophila central complex. *Elife*, 7:e37017, 2018.
- Jonathan Green and Gaby Maimon. Building a heading signal from anatomically defined neuron types in the drosophila central complex. *Current opinion in neurobiology*, 52:156–164, 2018.
- Jonathan Green, Atsuko Adachi, Kunal K Shah, Jonathan D Hirokawa, Pablo S Magani, and Gaby Maimon. A neural circuit architecture for angular integration in drosophila. *Nature*, 546(7656): 101, 2017.
- Umut Güçlü and Marcel AJ van Gerven. Deep neural networks reveal a gradient in the complexity of neural representations across the ventral stream. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(27):10005–10014, 2015.

- Stanley Heinze. Unraveling the neural basis of insect navigation. *Current opinion in insect science*, 24:58–67, 2017.
- Kyobi S Kakaria and Benjamin L de Bivort. Ring attractor dynamics emerge from a spiking model of the entire protocerebral bridge. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience*, 11:8, 2017.
- Seyed-Mahdi Khaligh-Razavi and Nikolaus Kriegeskorte. Deep supervised, but not unsupervised, models may explain it cortical representation. *PLoS computational biology*, 10(11):e1003915, 2014.
- Sung Soo Kim, Hervé Rouault, Shaul Druckmann, and Vivek Jayaraman. Ring attractor dynamics in the drosophila central brain. *Science*, 356(6340):849–853, 2017.
- D P Kingma and J L Ba. Adam: a method for stochastic optimization. *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2015.
- James J Knierim and Kechen Zhang. Attractor dynamics of spatially correlated neural activity in the limbic system. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 35:267–285, 2012.
- Nikolaus Kriegeskorte. Deep neural networks: a new framework for modeling biological vision and brain information processing. *Annual Review of Vision Science*, 1:417–446, 2015.
- Simon Laughlin. A simple coding procedure enhances a neuron's information capacity. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung c, 36(9-10):910–912, 1981.
- Chih-Yung Lin, Chao-Chun Chuang, Tzu-En Hua, Chun-Chao Chen, Barry J Dickson, Ralph J Greenspan, and Ann-Shyn Chiang. A comprehensive wiring diagram of the protocerebral bridge for visual information processing in the drosophila brain. *Cell reports*, 3(5):1739–1753, 2013.
- Ralph Linsker. Self-organization in a perceptual network. Computer, 21(3):105–117, 1988.
- Yave Roberto Lozano, Hector Page, Pierre-Yves Jacob, Eleonora Lomi, James Street, and Kate Jeffery. Retrosplenial and postsubicular head direction cells compared during visual landmark discrimination. *Brain and neuroscience advances*, 1:2398212817721859, 2017.
- Valerio Mante, David Sussillo, Krishna V Shenoy, and William T Newsome. Context-dependent computation by recurrent dynamics in prefrontal cortex. *Nature*, 503(7474):78–84, 2013.
- James Martens and Ilya Sutskever. Learning recurrent neural networks with hessian-free optimization. pp. 1033–1040, 2011.
- Bruno A Olshausen and David J Field. Emergence of simple-cell receptive field properties by learning a sparse code for natural images. *Nature*, 381(6583):607, 1996.
- A Emin Orhan and Wei Ji Ma. A diverse range of factors affect the nature of neural representations underlying short-term memory. *Nature neuroscience*, 22(2):275, 2019.
- Adrien Peyrache, Marie M Lacroix, Peter C Petersen, and György Buzsáki. Internally organized mechanisms of the head direction sense. *Nature neuroscience*, 18(4):569, 2015.
- Keram Pfeiffer and Uwe Homberg. Organization and functional roles of the central complex in the insect brain. *Annual review of entomology*, 59:165–184, 2014.
- Florian Raudies and Michael E Hasselmo. Modeling boundary vector cell firing given optic flow as a cue. *PLoS computational biology*, 8(6):e1002553, 2012.
- Johannes D Seelig and Vivek Jayaraman. Neural dynamics for landmark orientation and angular path integration. *Nature*, 521(7551):186, 2015.
- Patricia E Sharp, Hugh T Blair, and Jeiwon Cho. The anatomical and computational basis of the rat head-direction cell signal. *Trends in neurosciences*, 24(5):289–294, 2001.
- Eero P Simoncelli and Bruno A Olshausen. Natural image statistics and neural representation. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 24(1):1193–1216, 2001.

- William E Skaggs, James J Knierim, Hemant S Kudrimoti, and Bruce L McNaughton. A model of the neural basis of the rat's sense of direction. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 173–180, 1995.
- H Francis Song, Guangyu R Yang, and Xiao-Jing Wang. Training excitatory-inhibitory recurrent neural networks for cognitive tasks: a simple and flexible framework. *PLoS computational biology*, 12(2):e1004792, 2016.
- Pengcheng Song and Xiao-Jing Wang. Angular path integration by moving "hill of activity": a spiking neuron model without recurrent excitation of the head-direction system. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 25(4):1002–1014, 2005.
- Robert W Stackman and Jeffrey S Taube. Firing properties of rat lateral mammillary single units: head direction, head pitch, and angular head velocity. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 18(21):9020–9037, 1998.
- Thomas Stone, Barbara Webb, Andrea Adden, Nicolai Ben Weddig, Anna Honkanen, Rachel Templin, William Wcislo, Luca Scimeca, Eric Warrant, and Stanley Heinze. An anatomically constrained model for path integration in the bee brain. *Current Biology*, 27(20):3069–3085, 2017.
- David Sussillo and Omri Barak. Opening the black box: low-dimensional dynamics in highdimensional recurrent neural networks. *Neural computation*, 25(3):626–649, 2013.
- David Sussillo, Mark M Churchland, Matthew T Kaufman, and Krishna V Shenoy. A neural network that finds a naturalistic solution for the production of muscle activity. *Nature neuroscience*, 18(7): 1025–1033, 2015.
- Jeffrey S Taube. Head direction cells recorded in the anterior thalamic nuclei of freely moving rats. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 15(1):70–86, 1995.
- Jeffrey S Taube and Robert U Muller. Comparisons of head direction cell activity in the postsubiculum and anterior thalamus of freely moving rats. *Hippocampus*, 8(2):87–108, 1998.
- Jeffrey S Taube, Robert U Muller, and James B Ranck. Head-direction cells recorded from the postsubiculum in freely moving rats. i. description and quantitative analysis. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 10(2):420–435, 1990a.
- Jeffrey S Taube, Robert U Muller, and James B Ranck. Head-direction cells recorded from the postsubiculum in freely moving rats. ii. effects of environmental manipulations. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 10(2):436–447, 1990b.
- Alan Turing. The chemical basis of morphogenesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* of London. Series B, Biological Sciences, 237(641):37–72, 1952.
- Daniel Turner-Evans, Stephanie Wegener, Herve Rouault, Romain Franconville, Tanya Wolff, Johannes D Seelig, Shaul Druckmann, and Vivek Jayaraman. Angular velocity integration in a fly heading circuit. *Elife*, 6:e23496, 2017.
- Tanya Wolff, Nirmala A Iyer, and Gerald M Rubin. Neuroarchitecture and neuroanatomy of the drosophila central complex: A gal4-based dissection of protocerebral bridge neurons and circuits. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 523(7):997–1037, 2015.
- Xiaohui Xie, Richard HR Hahnloser, and H Sebastian Seung. Double-ring network model of the head-direction system. *Physical Review E*, 66(4):041902, 2002.
- Daniel LK Yamins and James J DiCarlo. Using goal-driven deep learning models to understand sensory cortex. *Nature neuroscience*, 19(3):356–365, 2016.
- Daniel LK Yamins, Ha Hong, Charles F Cadieu, Ethan A Solomon, Darren Seibert, and James J DiCarlo. Performance-optimized hierarchical models predict neural responses in higher visual cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23):8619–8624, 2014.
- Guangyu Robert Yang, Madhura R Joglekar, H Francis Song, William T Newsome, and Xiao-Jing Wang. Task representations in neural networks trained to perform many cognitive tasks. *Nature neuroscience*, 22(2):297, 2019.

Kechen Zhang. Representation of spatial orientation by the intrinsic dynamics of the head-direction cell ensemble: a theory. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 16(6):2112–2126, 1996.

A APPENDIX

а



Strength of tuning for HD (max - min response)

Figure 6: Tuning properties and unit classification. **a**) HD tuning curves for all 100 units in the RNN based on the Main condition. **b**) Similar to a), but for AV tuning curves. **c**) Classification into different populations using HD and AV tuning strength.



Figure 7: Population summary of tuning shift and gain change when comparing the CW and CCW rotations. **a**) Population summary of tuning shift. **b**) Population summary of change of the peak firing rate of HD tuning curves.



Figure 8: Connectivity of the trained network. **a**) Pixels represent connections from the units in each column to the units in each row. Units are first sorted by functional classes, and then are further sorted by their preferred HD within each class. Excitatory connections are in red, and inhibitory connections are in blue. **b**) Ensemble connectivity from each functional cell type. Plots show the average connectivity (shaded area indicates one standard deviation) as a function of the difference in preferred HD.