# MAVIN: MULTI-ACTION VIDEO GENERATION WITH DIFFUSION MODELS VIA TRANSITION VIDEO INFILL-ING

#### Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

### ABSTRACT

Diffusion-based video generation has achieved significant progress, yet generating multiple actions that occur sequentially remains a formidable task. Directly generating a video with sequential actions can be extremely challenging due to the scarcity of fine-grained action annotations and the difficulty in establishing temporal semantic correspondences and maintaining long-term consistency. To tackle this, we propose an intuitive and straightforward solution: splicing multiple single-action video segments sequentially. The core challenge lies in generating smooth and natural transitions between these segments given the inherent complexity and variability of action transitions. We introduce MAVIN (Multi-Action Video INfilling model), designed to generate transition videos that seamlessly connect two given videos, forming a cohesive integrated sequence. MAVIN incorporates several innovative techniques to address challenges in the transition video infilling task. Firstly, a consecutive noising strategy coupled with variable-length sampling is employed to handle large infilling gaps and varied generation lengths. Secondly, boundary frame guidance (BFG) is proposed to address the lack of semantic guidance during transition generation. Lastly, a Gaussian filter mixer (GFM) dynamically manages noise initialization during inference, mitigating train-test discrepancy while preserving generation flexibility. Additionally, we introduce a new metric, CLIP-RS (CLIP Relative Smoothness), to evaluate temporal coherence and smoothness, complementing traditional quality-based metrics. Experimental results on horse and tiger scenarios demonstrate MAVIN's superior performance in generating smooth and coherent video transitions compared to existing methods.

033 034

006

008 009 010

011 012 013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

024

025

026

027

028

029

031

032

### 1 INTRODUCTION

036 037

The evolution of video generation models has been significantly shaped by the advent of diffusion-based techniques, offering unprecedented fidelity and temporal coherence in video synthesis (Blattmann et al., 2023a; Ho et al., 2022a; Singer et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023b; Ho et al., 2022b). However, these models often struggle to generate videos that encompass multiple actions or adhere to complex instructions, and typically produce relatively short clips, limiting their use in scenarios requiring longer, multi-action sequences.

Generating multi-action videos directly presents numerous unresolved challenges. Firstly, the 044 lack of fine-grained action-level annotations in existing large-scale video datasets hampers model training. Secondly, multi-action sequences, involving extended durations and significant motion 046 ranges, challenge models to maintain spatiotemporal consistency throughout the video. The structural 047 characteristics of video U-Net models further complicate complex temporal semantic correspondence 048 modeling. To circumvent these challenges, in this paper, we propose an innovative approach for generating multi-action videos by integrating several single-action video clips. This process entails two fundamental steps: first, the production of various video clips featuring the same subject engaging 051 in distinct actions; second, the concatenation of these clips through action transitions. While the first step has been facilitated by recent advancements in text-conditioned image-to-video (TI2V) 052 generation (Dai et al., 2023; Girdhar et al., 2023; Xing et al., 2023; Ren et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024; Wei et al., 2023), the second step remains understudied. To this end, we introduce MAVIN

(Multi-Action Video INfilling model), a transition model designed to infill an intermediate video clip between two adjoining clips, ensuring a fluid and seamless transition.

This task requires meticulous attention to overall motion consistency and smoothness. Therefore, MAVIN is trained with consistent conditioning on the reference videos. To manage the potential for substantial motion gaps and the requirement for flexible infilling lengths, we utilize a variable-length sampling strategy. The performance of MAVIN is further enhanced by boundary frame guidance (BFG) and a Gaussian filter mixer (GFM). BFG leverages high-level semantic features from the boundary frames of input videos to guide the video infilling process, ensuring visual coherence throughout the transition. Meanwhile, GFM dynamically manages the introduction and modulation of noise during inference, improving generation fidelity while maintaining flexibility. Our method is trained in a self-supervised manner, eliminating the need for finely annotated video-text transcriptions.

Moreover, existing metrics for evaluating video generation primarily focus on visual quality and often overlook temporal coherence, which is crucial for assessing action transitions. To address this gap, we introduce a new metric, CLIP-RS (CLIP Relative Smoothness), specifically designed to evaluate the temporal consistency and smoothness of transition videos. This metric complements traditional quality-based metrics and provides a comprehensive evaluation of our model's performance. Experimental results conducted on two distinct animal scenarios—horses and tigers—demonstrate our method's superior performance in generating smooth and natural video transitions over existing methods, both in qualitative and quantitative assessments.

073 074

075

## 2 RELATED WORK

076 Text-to-Video Generation. Text-to-Video (T2V) studies have shifted their focus from GAN-based 077 models (Fox et al., 2021; Brooks et al., 2022; Tian et al.; Shen et al., 2023) and auto-regressive 078 models (Ge et al., 2022; Hong et al., 2022; Le Moing et al., 2021; Yan et al., 2021) to diffusion 079 models (Zhang et al., 2023; Jeong et al., 2023; Singer et al., 2022; Ge et al., 2023; He et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2023; Ho et al., 2022a), attributed to their superiority in generation quality, 081 training stability, and condition flexibility. Foundation T2V models such as ModelScopeT2V (Wang et al., 2023a) and VideoCrafter (Chen et al., 2023a; 2024) are trained on large-scale captioned datasets, 083 possessing rich motion priors and text-motion correspondences. Nevertheless, challenges persist in generating actions that fully adhere to complex text descriptions. 084

085 Image-to-Video Generation. Generating videos solely from text prompts leads to a high degree of randomness in the appearance of each generation, thereby limiting its range of applications. Image-087 to-Video (I2V) generations, on the other hand, animate a user-input image by leveraging the motion 880 priors learned from video-only datasets (Blattmann et al., 2023a; Guo et al., 2023; Jin et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2023b) and have demonstrated the ability to generate high-fidelity and aesthetically pleasing 089 videos. However, they often exhibit limitations in the form of minor and uncontrollable motion 090 patterns. Considering these issues, many studies have begun to focus on text-conditioned image-to-091 video generation (TI2V) synthesis, which involves generating videos from a reference image, coupled 092 with a text prompt indicating how the image should be animated. Videos generated in this manner 093 typically use the provided image as the initial frame (Girdhar et al., 2023; Dai et al., 2023; Zeng et al., 094 2023; Ren et al., 2024; Gong et al., 2024) or retain its appearance identity and characteristics (Wei et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024; Xing et al., 2023), while performing the motion described in the text. 096 There has also been a stream of works that further specialize in motion controllability by integrating 097 extra controlling signals (Chen et al., 2023b; Kandala et al., 2024; Shi et al., 2024; Ma et al., 2024).

098 Generative Video Interpolation. Diffusion models have also gained momentum in video interpo-099 lation, challenging traditional methods that rely on optical flow computation and frame blending 100 techniques. MCVD (Voleti et al., 2022) and RaMViD (Höppe et al., 2022) adopt diffusion-based 101 models with random frame masking, making it capable of handling a range of video generative 102 modeling tasks, including video prediction and interpolation. LDMVFI (Danier et al., 2024) claims to 103 be the first effort solving video interpolation using latent diffusion models and has achieved superior 104 perceptual quality compared to traditional models. However, these works are primarily centered on 105 standard video frame interpolation tasks, where the motions are less ambiguous and straightforward. A concurrent work (Jain et al., 2024) delves into large and challenging motions by interpolating 7 106 frames with an approximate stride of 3. It utilizes a cascaded framework where the interpolation 107 occurs at a low-resolution pixel level and is subsequently upsampled with a super-resolution model. However, the absence of open-source availability of the model and data renders further evaluation
under our task scenario unfeasible. SEINE (Chen et al., 2023c) explores diffusion-based scene
transition, where the model can generate a smooth transition from the start image depicting one scene
to the end image representing another.

112 113

114

123 124

125

126 127 128

132 133

## 3 Methodology

#### 115 3.1 PRELIMINARIES

**Latent diffusion model** (LDM) (Rombach et al., 2022) is a diffusion model (DM) (Ho et al., 2020) variant that operates on the compressed latent space instead of the pixel space, and has exhibited its strong efficacy in image generation. LDM first encodes an input image sample  $x_0$  into a clean latent code  $z_0 = \mathcal{E}(x_0)$  using a VAE (Kingma & Welling, 2013; Esser et al., 2021) encoder  $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ . The latent code then undergoes a forward diffusion process, where it is incrementally perturbed with Gaussian noise following a Markov chain

$$q(z_t|z_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(z_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} z_{t-1}, \beta_t I), \tag{1}$$

where  $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$ , and T is the number of total forward diffusion steps.  $\beta_t$  controls the noise strength at each step. By rewriting  $\bar{\alpha}_t := \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - \beta_i)$ , this formula can be simplified as

$$z_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} z_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

129 A U-Net (Ronneberger et al., 2015) model parameterized with  $\theta$  works as a noise prediction function 130  $\epsilon_{\theta}(\cdot)$  to predict the added noise  $\epsilon$  given the time step t and condition c (e.g. text prompt). The training 131 objective can be formulated as

$$\arg\min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{z_0,\epsilon,t,c} \|\epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, t, c)\|_2^2 .$$
(3)

134 Video latent diffusion model (VLDM) (Blattmann et al., 2023b; Ho et al., 2022b; Esser et al., 135 2023; Wang et al., 2024) inflates the U-Net model into a 3D architecture by inserting temporal 136 modules, making it capable of handling video data. Given an encoded video latent representation 137  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times h \times w \times c}$  where n is the number of frames in the video; h and w denote the height and width of 138 the latent code; and c is the dimension of the latent space, the model performs spatial operations over 139 the  $h \times w$  space and temporal operations along the n axis. The spatiotemporal structure empowers 140 the model to manage spatial and temporal dependencies in a coordinated manner, facilitating the 141 generation of coherent and high-quality video sequences.

142 143

144

### 3.2 PROBLEM FORMULATION AND CHALLENGES

**Problem formulation.** The proposed transition video infilling task is a specialized form of video interpolation that deals with long ranges and large motions, with the input being two videos. The objective of this task is to generate a transition video given two videos, one preceding and one following, thereby seamlessly connecting the two. Given an encoded preceding video latent  $z_0^{\mathcal{P}} =$  $\{z_0^0, \ldots, z_0^s\}$  and a following video latent  $z_0^{\mathcal{F}} = \{z_0^e, \ldots, z_0^{L-1}\}$ , the model aims to generate an intermediate latent  $z_0^{\mathcal{I}} = \{z_0^{s+1}, \ldots, z_0^{e-1}\}$ , where *s* is the end frame index of the preceding video and *e* is the start frame index of the following video. We term these two frames as the *boundary frames* for simplicity and clarity. *L* is the length of the integrated video after infilling.

Challenges and remedies. The novel nature of this task presents new challenges. In this section,
 we briefly outline the challenges and our solutions, with a detailed elaboration to follow in the next
 section.

The first challenge lies in temporal dependency modeling, which should support generating a transition
 video with potentially large motion gaps while maintaining motion consistency. Existing works (Chen et al., 2023c; Höppe et al., 2022) typically adopt a BERT-like masking strategy for conditional
 modeling. However, such approaches are not effective for learning long-span motion patterns as
 prediction targets and references appear alternately on the temporal axis. To address this issue, we
 propose to consistently apply noise to *a consecutive subsequence* of training data. This method allows for natural conditioning on reference videos in temporal modules while creating large motion gaps



Figure 1: Model architecture. The input sequence is divided into three clips using variable-length sampling. Noise is added exclusively to the latent of the intermediate clip, with length embedded as extra information. Boundary frames are encoded with a CLIP vision encoder as content guidance for spatial transformers. During inference, a Gaussian filter mixer (GFM) is used for noise initialization.

in the middle, enforcing the capture of long-term temporal dependencies. Nevertheless, denoising
 only the middle part of training data can restrict data utilization and model robustness. To overcome
 this, we implement a variable-length sampling strategy to optimize data usage and simultaneously
 enhance the flexibility in generation length.

Furthermore, in text-conditioned generations, text prompts guide the generation direction in spatial modules, where each frame is processed independently, and the temporal modules align them, as vividly illustrated in (Blattmann et al., 2023b). However, in this task, the model operates in a selfsupervised fashion and there is no text providing content or semantic guidance to the model. Without such guidance, spatial modules can generate incoherent images, placing extreme burdens on temporal modules to align them. We propose boundary frame guidance (BFG) in spatial modules to mitigate this issue.

Lastly, as revealed in (Lin et al., 2024; Chen, 2023), a train-test noise initialization discrepancy hinders VLDM from generating high-quality videos. While previous solutions in I2V generation (Wu et al., 2023b; Dai et al., 2023) generally involve a shared noise strategy, it is not optimal for this task because the preserved condition frame signal throughout the generation sequence can limit motion range, discouraging synthesis of distinct transition states. To better serve the transition video infilling scenario, we propose a Gaussian filter mixer (GFM) module to balance initialization discrepancy and generation flexibility.

198 199

## 3.3 MODEL ARCHITECTURE

The overall model architecture is depicted in Figure 1. In the model training stage, we simulate transition video infilling by dividing a training video into three segments. An entire video sample is first encoded into  $z_0 = \{z_0^0, \dots, z_0^{L-1}\}$ , and only the intermediate clip is corrupted by t-step Gaussian noise according to Eq. 2 resulting in  $z_t^T$ . The input to the U-Net model hence becomes  $z_t = \{z_0^{\mathcal{D}}, z_t^T, z_0^{\mathcal{F}}\}$ , and the model is optimized to predict  $\{\epsilon_t^{s+1}, \dots, \epsilon_t^{e-1}\}$  as per Eq. 3. Loss is computed only on noised frames. Since this approach does not involve extra mask or condition frame concatenation to the channel dimension, it enables the utilization of most pre-trained foundation models that accept 3-channel RGB inputs.

**Variable-length sampling.** To improve data utilization and generation flexibility, we employ variablelength sampling by randomly shifting the start and end points of the infilling clip. Concretely, at each training step, we draw the boundary frame indices s and e randomly from two independent uniform distributions:  $s \sim \mathcal{U}(a_s, b_s)$ ;  $e \sim \mathcal{U}(a_e, b_e)$ , where  $0 < a_s < b_s < a_e < b_e < L - 1$ . The resulting length of the noised clip l := e - s - 1 thereby follows a triangular distribution with the upper and lower limits  $l_{upper} = b_e - a_s$ ,  $l_{lower} = a_e - b_s$ . Particularly, when  $b_s - a_s = b_e - a_e$ , the PDF of the distribution is symmetric and has the mode  $l_{mode} = (l_{lower} + l_{upper})/2$ . To avoid confusion stemming from variable-length sampling, we equip the model with an awareness of the generation length it is handling. This is achieved by incorporating a length embedding using sinusoidal encoding
 followed by an MLP. The length embedding is subsequently added to the timestep encoding and
 collectively processed through another MLP into the spatial convolution module. This approach
 improves the model's capacity to leverage training samples by accommodating predictions at varying
 positions and lengths. It effectively allows for generating at various lengths and accepting reference
 videos of diverse durations, thereby bolstering the model's robustness and flexibility.

222 **Dynamic boundary frame guidance.** Boundary frames play a pivotal role in guiding the model's 223 generation as they provide explicit information about the gap the model is tasked to bridge. Therefore, 224 we propose boundary frame guidance (BFG) to compensate for the lack of guidance in transition 225 video generation. Most popular frame conditioning strategies entail extending the keys and values of 226 spatial self-attention layers to include those of the condition frames (Wu et al., 2023a; Ren et al., 2024; Henschel et al., 2024). However, empirical experiments did not prove these approaches effective 227 for this task, and the low-level visual information sometimes restricted the freedom of generation, 228 resulting in synthesized frames copying too much information from existing ones. Instead, we inject 229 the guidance signal into the cross-attention layers via a higher-level CLIP representation. Concretely, 230 we encode the pixel-level boundary frames  $x_0^s$  and  $x_0^e$  using a CLIP vision encoder and concatenate 231 the representations along the sequence dimension. This integrated representation serves both as 232 content and semantic guidance due to the nature of CLIP representations, informing the model 233 about the generation direction. A short text prompt briefly describing the subject, such as "horse 234 movement", can be optionally leveraged to help classify the action subject or extract knowledge 235 from a pre-trained foundation model. The combined use of the CLIP encoders and the concatenation 236 operation provides the model with a consistent understanding of the integrated condition signal.

237 Gaussian filter mixer for inference-time noise initialization. We propose a dynamic inference-time 238 noise mixing strategy tailored for the transition video infilling task. Since the infilling video functions 239 as a bridge, its first few frames should thereby resemble the preceding video, while the last few frames 240 approach the following video. The frames in the middle should be granted the flexibility to display 241 transition states that are significantly distinct from any reference frames. Inspired by FreeInit (Wu 242 et al., 2023c), we propose a Gaussian filter mixer (GFM) module that dynamically retains a certain 243 amount of information from the closest boundary frame latent. This is accomplished by keeping the low-frequency component of the diffused boundary latent, which offers a rough layout guidance 244 to the denoising process. The preserved information gradually diminishes as the frame position 245 moves away from the boundaries, allowing for greater freedom in generation. It is then mixed with 246 individual Gaussian noise at each frame, resulting in the mixed inference-time noise initialization  $\tilde{z}_t^n$ 247 at frame n as 248

250 251

$$\mathcal{F}^{low}(n) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{FFT}_{3D}(z_t^s) \odot \mathcal{G}(f_S(n), f_T(n)) & \text{if } n \le \frac{s+e}{2}, \\ \mathcal{FFT}_{3D}(z_t^e) \odot \mathcal{G}(f_S(n), f_T(n)) & \text{if } n > \frac{s+e}{2}, \end{cases}$$
(4)

$$\left( \mathcal{FFT}_{3D}(z_t^e) \odot \mathcal{G}(f_S(n), f_T(n)) \quad \text{if } n > \frac{s+e}{2}, \right)$$

265 266 267  $\mathcal{F}^{high}(n) = \mathcal{FFT}_{3D}(\epsilon_t^n) \odot \left(1 - \mathcal{G}(f_S(n), f_T(n))\right),\tag{5}$ 

$$\tilde{z}_t^n = GFM(n) = \mathcal{IFFT}_{3D}(\mathcal{F}^{low}(n) + \mathcal{F}^{high}(n)), \tag{6}$$

where s and e are the indices of the boundary frames;  $\mathcal{FFT}_{3D}(\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{IFFT}_{3D}(\cdot)$  represent discrete fast Fourier transform and its inverse operation, performing in 3D dimensions;  $f_S(\cdot)$  and  $f_T(\cdot)$  are functions that adjust the spatial and temporal stop frequencies, respectively; and  $\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a 3D Gaussian low-pass filter taking both spatial and temporal stop frequencies as control parameters.

Eq. 4 first ensures that each intermediate frame refers to its closest boundary frame. Subsequently, the adjusting functions progressively reduce the stop frequency values as the distance to the selected boundary increases. We opt for a straightforward linear decreasing function with a scaling coefficient  $\lambda$  to realize such control. The stop frequency for both  $f_S(\cdot)$  and  $f_T(\cdot)$  is computed as

$$f(n) = \max(0, f_0 - \lambda \cdot \min(|n - s|, |n - e|) \cdot f_0), \tag{7}$$

where  $f_0$  is the initial stop frequency of the low-pass filter. Here,  $f_0$  determines the maximum layout information we aim to retain from the boundary frames, and  $\lambda$  regulates the rate at which such information decreases as the synthesis target moves away from the referred boundary.

			test-i	nanual					test	-auto		
	MS-SSIM↑	PSNR↑	LPIPS↓	$FVD{\downarrow}$	CLIP-RS↑	CLIPSIM <sup>↑</sup>	MS-SSIM↑	<b>PSNR</b> ↑	LPIPS↓	$\text{FVD}{\downarrow}$	CLIP-RS↑	CLIPSIM↑
						Horse -	8 frames					
DynamiCrafter (Xing et al., 2023)	0.564	17.51	0.204	802.0	0.746	0.478	0.355	15.24	0.253	355.5	0.715	0.368
DynamiCrafter-Vid SEINE (Chen et al. 2023c)	0.609	17.75	0.198	10/3.6 635.4	0.830	0.468	0.430	15.96	0.264	814.2	0.790	0.380
SEINE-Vid	0.588	17.54	0.179	571.7	0.719	0.480	0.434	16.23	0.224	165.6	0.700	0.395
MAVIN (Ours)	0.724	19.97	0.128	479.5	0.844	0.517	0.560	18.24	0.162	147.7	0.819	0.453
						Horse - 1	2 frames					
DynamiCrafter (Xing et al., 2023)	0.452	16.05	0.252	866.6	0.740	0.408	0.317	14.75	0.280	367.8	0.747	0.340
SEINE (Chen et al. 2023c)	0.553	17.09	0.212	820.6 743.6	0.811	0.456	0.374	15.34	0.267	419.7 289.6	0.776	0.362
SEINE-Vid	0.357	14.15	0.330	1096.0	0.522	0.349	0.283	13.97	0.327	343.1	0.570	0.294
MAVIN (Ours)	0.666	19.12	0.148	559.9	0.844	0.491	0.458	16.68	0.211	208.8	0.798	0.400
						Tiger - 8	8 frames					
DynamiCrafter (Xing et al., 2023)	0.383	15.58	0.251	856.8	0.733	0.447	0.260	13.81	0.309	377.9	0.691	0.415
DynamiCrafter-Vid SEINE (Chap at al. 2023a)	0.477	16.35	0.224	612.5	0.822	0.477	0.408	15.22	0.239	619.5	0.836	0.491
SEINE (Chen et al., 2025c)	0.580	17.83	0.132	447.5	0.766	0.528	0.525	16.49	0.182	232.7	0.798	0.544
MAVIN (Ours)	0.678	19.17	0.137	536.8	0.846	0.530	0.635	17.87	0.139	245.3	0.869	0.562
						Tiger - 1	2 frames					
DynamiCrafter (Xing et al., 2023)	0.346	15.13	0.276	834.3	0.763	0.423	0.221	13.50	0.336	395.7	0.744	0.385
DynamiCrafter-Vid	0.396	15.51	0.253	8/3.1	0.793	0.443	0.290	14.23	0.288	3/1.5	0.803	0.434
SEINE (Chen et al., 2025c) SEINE-Vid	0.304	15.49	0.268	733.5	0.703	0.425	0.277	13.72	0.243	423.6	0.675	0.405
MAVIN (Ours)	0.595	18.08	0.167	689.7	0.835	0.500	0.513	16.23	0.183	310.9	0.852	0.512

Table 1: Quantitative comparison with other generative transition models.

## <sup>287</sup> 4

289

290

### 4.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

**EXPERIMENTS** 

291 **Datasets.** For our experiments, we focus on two distinct animal species to verify the effectiveness 292 of the proposed method: horses and tigers. We use the AnimalKingdom dataset (Ng et al., 2022) 293 for training the horse model and the TigDog dataset (Del Pero et al., 2015) for the tiger model. The AnimalKingdom dataset encompasses a diverse range of species and tasks, but we only utilize the 294 videos labeled as "Horse" from the *action\_recognition* task for training. However, we noticed that 295 the video clips in *action* recognition are generally too short and correspond to only single actions, 296 resulting in insufficient action transition patterns for model training. Therefore, we supplemented the 297 horse training data with additional long-take web videos that capture horse movements. Consequently, 298 the total duration of the training data for each dataset is approximately 45 minutes under 30 FPS. 299

Testing clips for the transition video infilling task should ideally contain action transitions or large 300 motions to effectively evaluate the model's efficacy. Such data, however, is challenging to source 301 from existing datasets, prompting us to construct our own. We collect videos from the Internet and 302 generate the test data in two ways: manual cutting, which yields high-quality samples, and automatic 303 generation, which produces a large number of test clips. We refer to the test sets generated in these 304 ways as test-manual and test-auto, respectively. For test-manual, we meticulously cut web videos 305 into 32-frame clips by ensuring the occurrence of significant movements or posture changes (e.g., 306 transitioning from grazing to standing upright) in the intermediate clips. We curated 34 such test 307 samples for each animal class. For *test-auto*, we employ an optical flow estimator, RAFT (Teed 308 & Deng, 2020), to estimate the motion intensity between the two reference clips. Concretely, we 309 select video clips based on the average optical flow magnitudes of the boundary frames. Since small 310 magnitude values suggest minor motions and excessively large values typically result from dramatic camera movements, only those with values falling within a certain range are leveraged. To formalize 311 this, the boundary frame indices s and e for *test-auto* are selected using the following equation: 312

$$\{(s,e)\} = \left\{(s,e) \mid \frac{1}{h \cdot w} \sum_{i=1}^{h} \sum_{j=1}^{w} \left\| \mathcal{E}_{flow}(x_s, x_e)_{i,j} \right\|_2 \in (T_{lower}, T_{upper}), e-s-1 = l_{test} \right\},$$
(8)

where  $T_{lower}$  and  $T_{upper}$  are the lower and upper thresholds; h and w are the height and width of estimated optical flows; and  $l_{test}$  is the length of the generation sequence we want to test. We tuned the thresholds and obtained 113 visually satisfactory test samples for the horse class and 104 for the tiger class by setting  $l_{test}$  to 12.

Implementation details. We initialize our model from ModelScopeT2V-1.7b (Wang et al., 2023a)
 and fine-tune it with the proposed framework for 40K steps. The optimization is carried out using
 an AdamW optimizer (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2017), with a constant learning rate of 5e-6 and a
 batch size of 1. Training videos are randomly sampled into 32-frame clips at a sample rate of 2,

and pre-processed to eliminate potential shot transitions by excluding clips where any SSIM (Wang et al., 2004) value between consecutive frames falls below 0.1. Videos shorter than 32 frames are discarded. Experiments are conducted at a resolution of 256×256. Training and inference require around 40 and 12 GB vRAM, respectively. All training is performed on a single NVIDIA L40 GPU, with each trial taking approximately one day. The length range of random intermediate clips is set to  $l_{lower} = 2$ ,  $l_{upper} = 22$ . The GFM parameters employed are  $f_0 = 0.6$ ,  $\lambda = 0.1$ .

330 Evaluation Metrics. We present the following evaluation metrics: multi-scale structural similarity 331 (MS-SSIM)(Wang et al., 2003), peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR), LPIPS(Zhang et al., 2018), 332 FVD (Unterthiner et al., 2019), and CLIP similarity (Radford et al., 2021). However, most of 333 these metrics primarily assess reconstruction quality and similarity between generated and ground-334 truth videos, considering each frame independently. They do not adequately account for temporal coherence, which reflects the smoothness of generated motions. To address this gap, we propose 335 a CLIP-similarity-based inner-frame consistency measurement to quantify the *relative smoothness* 336 with respect to the ground truth video clip. We term this measure CLIP Relative Smoothness score 337 (CLIP-RS), computed as follows: 338

$$\text{CLIP-RS} = \frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \frac{\min(\text{CLIPSIM}(p_{i-1}, p_i), \text{CLIPSIM}(q_{i-1}, q_i))}{\max(\text{CLIPSIM}(p_{i-1}, p_i), \text{CLIPSIM}(q_{i-1}, q_i))},$$
(9)

where  $p_i$  is the *i*-th generated frame and  $q_i$  is the corresponding ground truth frame. *L* is the length of the generated video. CLIPSIM $(p_i, p_j)$  denotes the cosine similarity between the CLIP representations of images  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ . Each summation term quantifies the relative frame change in the generated video compared to the actual change in the ground truth. Either a relatively drastic or subtle change results in a low score. For example, if the oracle transition occurs at a steady rate while the synthesized video initially remains stationary and then abruptly changes to complete the transition, the differences in transition pace will be captured, leading to low relative smoothness values.

CLIP-RS is a metric calculated along 349 the frame axis, measuring the degree 350 of changes between adjacent frames. 351 Although it references the ground 352 truth video, it does not engage in any 353 direct frame-to-frame comparisons be-354 tween the two videos. This character-355 istic renders this metric indifferent to 356 the quality of the generated images or

339 340 341

Table 2: CLIP-RS responds to temporal changes and is not sensitive to visual aesthetics.

	SSIM↑	PSNR↑	LPIPS↓	CLIPSIM↑	CLIP-RS↑
Original (self-comparison)	1.00	inf	0.00	1.00	1.00
Decrease luminance by 50%	0.71	13.6	0.21	0.66	0.97
Increase contrast by 50%	0.69	19.9	0.08	0.82	0.97
Zeroing-out red channel	0.67	11.3	0.29	0.66	0.96
Zeroing-out red&green channels	0.33	8.44	0.60	0.49	0.95
Replicating 1st frame as video	0.79	20.7	0.06	0.77	0.87

their resemblance to the original video. We demonstrate this by manipulating a 12-frame video clip 357 358 and computing the metrics with the original video. As shown in Table 2, when the video's visual aesthetics are perturbed (rows 2-5), metrics based on predicted-actual similarity are significantly 359 impacted despite the structural content and motion effect of the video remaining unchanged, whereas 360 CLIP-RS maintains a score close to 1. In contrast, when the video's temporal property is altered (the 361 last row, where the new video is comprised of a 12-time repetition of the original video's first frame), 362 similarity-based and quality-based metrics yield superior results compared to when visual aesthetics were disturbed, while CLIP-RS can identify such smoothness discrepancies. This is in direct contrast 364 to the use of absolute smoothness measurement Chen et al. (2023c), where a static video can achieve 365 a perfect smoothness score of 1, which contradicts our objective. The CLIPSIM $(\cdot, \cdot)$  function in 366 CLIP-RS can also be substituted with other similarity measurements such as SSIM, averaged optical 367 flow momentum, etc.

369 4.2 RESULTS

368

370

Comparison with existing methods. For our comparative analysis, we selected two open-source diffusion-based generative models: DynamicCrafter (Xing et al., 2023) and SEINE (Chen et al., 2023c). Both models are capable of generating transition videos from two condition images. However, to ensure a more fair and relevant comparison to our work, we also conducted experiments where these models were conditioned on video inputs. We refer to these modified versions as DynamicCrafter-Vid and SEINE-Vid.

We conducted experiments with two infilling length settings: (i) generating 8 frames given 12-frame condition clips on each side, and (ii) generating 12 frames given 10-frame references. The total input

399

400

401 402 403



Figure 2: Qualitative comparison of MAVIN with baseline models. The top two rows are input reference videos, with glowing frames marking the boundaries. MAVIN demonstrates smoother and more natural transitions and superior spatiotemporal consistency compared to baseline models.

length is 32, matching our test set samples. Particularly, we found that DynamicCrafter, which was trained to generate fixed 16-frame videos, performed poorly when this length was altered. Therefore, for DynamicCrafter, we use a 4-frame reference on each side for 8-frame infilling, and 2 for 12-frame infilling, maintaining a total length of 16. For image-conditioned generations, where DynamicCrafter generates 14 frames (16 minus 2 reference images), we evenly sampled 8 and 12 frames from the 14 for metric computations. All metrics were calculated only on intermediate clips, except for FVD, which was compared with the entire input sequence.

We show quantitative results in Table 1 and qualitative results in Figure 2. MAVIN substantially outperforms other generative baseline methods, especially when the motion is difficult. Specifically, SEINE is the most competitive transition generation model, but as the number of infilling frames increases, the gap between MAVIN and SEINE becomes obvious. *test-auto* is generated at a sample rate of 4, which is rather challenging. It is equivalent to bridging a 48-frame gap when infilling 12 frames on *test-auto*. The performance gap further increases under this setting, showing the effectiveness of the proposed method in infilling videos with large and complex motions.

As the only existing generative model trained for transition purposes, SEINE adopts a BERT-like masking strategy for masked modeling, where each frame is corrupted by chance independently, resulting in an intermittent corruption pattern. Although this method enhances data utilization and robustness, it falls short in generating long-term temporally cohesive videos because the corruption pattern allows the model to rely on nearby clean frames for predictions. In contrast, our method consistently applies continuous corruption up to a maximum length of 22 frames, compelling the model to capture long-term motion dependencies.

Ablation Study. We ablate the two key components of the proposed framework and present the qualitative results in Table 3. Results were obtained on *Horse test-manual* by predicting 12 frames under the same experimental setup. Boundary frame guidance (BFG) offers important content direction during model training, and the Gaussian filter mixer (GFM) helps stabilize the generation by providing essential information to address the discrepancy between training and inference phases. By ablating either BFG or GFM, the performance deteriorates across all metrics. When both components are removed together, the model experiences severe degradation, demonstrating the effectiveness and necessity of these components for high-quality video generation. (See supplementary materials)

433	Table 3: Ablation study on boundary frame guidance (BFG) during training and Gaussian filter mixer
434	(GFM) noise initialization during inference.

( )	0					
	MS-SSIM↑	PSNR↑	LPIPS↓	FVD↓	CLIP-RS↑	CLIPSIM↑
MAVIN (Proposed Method)	0.666	19.12	0.148	559.9	0.844	0.491
<ul> <li>Boundary Frame Guidance</li> </ul>	0.651 (-2.3%)	19.00 (-0.6%)	0.153 (-3.4%)	570.9 (-2.0%)	0.833 (-1.3%)	0.483 (-1.6%)
<ul> <li>–Gaussian Filter Mixer</li> </ul>	0.647 (-2.9%)	18.03 (-5.7%)	0.167 (-12.8%)	627.2 (-12.0%)	0.815 (-3.4%)	0.475 (-3.3%)
-BFG -GFM	0.606 (-9.0%)	17.78 (-7.0%)	0.189 (-27.7%)	672.2 (-20.1%)	0.781 (-7.5%)	0.443 (-9.8%)



A horse jumps first, then stands still, and finally runs.

Figure 3: Application of the transition video infilling model. It connects multiple TI2V-generated single-action video clips into a cohesive extended video with smooth and natural action transitions.

### 4.3 APPLICATION FOR MULTI-ACTION GENERATION

We achieve multi-action generations by connecting single-action videos with MAVIN. This work does not focus on optimizing the single-action models; instead, we employ existing TI2V models that animate an input image through text control, as discussed in Section 2. In our empirical experiments, directly generating large motions or non-continuous actions using pre-trained TI2V models (Dai et al., 2023; Ren et al., 2024) led to failure. Therefore, we fine-tune these models for the single-action generation purpose. To integrate the synthesized single-action videos, we insert noise of the desired length between two videos and use MAVIN to infill a transition video. Alternatively, instead of inserting noise, we can concatenate single-action videos and replace the junction frames with noise to regenerate the transition parts. 

We initialize the action model from AnimateAnything (Dai et al., 2023). The training data for
single actions is also derived from the AnimalKingdom and TigDog datasets, except that we collect
additional data for training the action "horse jumping". We train one model per animal species. A
fixed action prompt, such as "horse is jumping", is tied to each action and serves as the text condition
during training.

To create a multi-action video, we first use a single image, controlled by multiple action prompts, to generate multiple single-action videos separately. These videos are then concatenated into a longer sequence, arranged in the desired order. We regenerate the junction frames using the infilling model for smooth action transitions. Figure 3 illustrates an example of such an application. In this example, we generate 20 frames for each single action and refine 12 frames centered around each junction, resulting in a 60-frame-long video that contains three actions: jump, stand, and run. The first, third, and fifth rows depict the single-action videos generated by the action model, while the second and fourth rows are generated by the infilling model to connect them. This approach generates highly temporally cohesive examples with great flexibility.

## 486 5 CONCLUSION

487 488

504

527

528

529

In conclusion, this study has presented a novel approach to generative video infilling, specifically targeting the generation of transition clips in multi-action sequences, leveraging the capabilities of diffusion models. Our model, MAVIN, demonstrates a significant improvement over existing methods by generating smoother and more natural transition videos across complex motion sequences. This research lays the groundwork for future advancements in unsupervised motion pre-training, large-motion video interpolation, and multi-action video generation. While this technique enables new applications, it is also crucial to establish guidelines and implement safeguards to prevent its potential misuse in creating fake content, which raises ethical and security concerns.

496 **Limitations.** Due to computational limitations and the proprietary nature of the widely used video 497 training dataset WebVid-10M (Bain et al., 2021), our experiments were conducted only under specific 498 scenarios and initialized from existing foundation models. Further exploration of the task might require training at scale. Moreover, while we did not concentrate on optimizing the single-action 499 (TI2V) models, a notable trade-off between visual quality and motion intensity persists even after 500 fine-tuning, highlighting an area for further research. The failure cases include the single-action 501 model's inability to follow the action prompt and the inconsistency in appearance in later frames for 502 actions involving large motions. 503

- 505 **REFERENCES**
- Max Bain, Arsha Nagrani, Gül Varol, and Andrew Zisserman. Frozen in time: A joint video and image encoder for end-to-end retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1728–1738, 2021.
- Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Sumith Kulal, Daniel Mendelevitch, Maciej Kilian, Dominik
  Lorenz, Yam Levi, Zion English, Vikram Voleti, Adam Letts, et al. Stable video diffusion: Scaling
  latent video diffusion models to large datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127*, 2023a.
- Andreas Blattmann, Robin Rombach, Huan Ling, Tim Dockhorn, Seung Wook Kim, Sanja Fidler, and Karsten Kreis. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 22563–22575, 2023b.
- Tim Brooks, Janne Hellsten, Miika Aittala, Ting-Chun Wang, Timo Aila, Jaakko Lehtinen, Ming-Yu
   Liu, Alexei Efros, and Tero Karras. Generating long videos of dynamic scenes. Advances in
   Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:31769–31781, 2022.
- Haoxin Chen, Menghan Xia, Yingqing He, Yong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoshu Yang, Jinbo Xing,
   Yaofang Liu, Qifeng Chen, Xintao Wang, Chao Weng, and Ying Shan. Videocrafter1: Open
   diffusion models for high-quality video generation, 2023a.
- Haoxin Chen, Yong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Menghan Xia, Xintao Wang, Chao Weng, and Ying Shan.
   Videocrafter2: Overcoming data limitations for high-quality video diffusion models, 2024.
  - Ting Chen. On the importance of noise scheduling for diffusion models. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2301.10972, 2023.
- Xi Chen, Zhiheng Liu, Mengting Chen, Yutong Feng, Yu Liu, Yujun Shen, and Hengshuang
   Zhao. Livephoto: Real image animation with text-guided motion control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02928*, 2023b.
- Xinyuan Chen, Yaohui Wang, Lingjun Zhang, Shaobin Zhuang, Xin Ma, Jiashuo Yu, Yali Wang, Dahua Lin, Yu Qiao, and Ziwei Liu. Seine: Short-to-long video diffusion model for generative transition and prediction. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023c.
- Zuozhuo Dai, Zhenghao Zhang, Yao Yao, Bingxue Qiu, Siyu Zhu, Long Qin, and Weizhi Wang.
   Animateanything: Fine-grained open domain image animation with motion guidance. *arXiv e-prints*, pp. arXiv–2311, 2023.

560

580

540	Duolikun Danier, Fan Zhang, and David Bull. Ldmvfi: Video frame interpolation with latent
541	diffusion models. In <i>Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence</i> , volume 38, pp.
542	1472–1480, 2024.
543	

- Luca Del Pero, Susanna Ricco, Rahul Sukthankar, and Vittorio Ferrari. Articulated motion discovery using pairs of trajectories. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 2151–2160, 2015.
- Patrick Esser, Robin Rombach, and Bjorn Ommer. Taming transformers for high-resolution image
  synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*,
  pp. 12873–12883, 2021.
- Patrick Esser, Johnathan Chiu, Parmida Atighehchian, Jonathan Granskog, and Anastasis Germanidis.
   Structure and content-guided video synthesis with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 7346–7356, 2023.
- Gereon Fox, Ayush Tewari, Mohamed Elgharib, and Christian Theobalt. Stylevideogan: A temporal
  generative model using a pretrained stylegan. In *The 32nd British Machine Vision Conference*.
  BMVA Press, 2021.
- Songwei Ge, Thomas Hayes, Harry Yang, Xi Yin, Guan Pang, David Jacobs, Jia-Bin Huang, and
   Devi Parikh. Long video generation with time-agnostic vqgan and time-sensitive transformer. In
   *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 102–118. Springer, 2022.
- Songwei Ge, Seungjun Nah, Guilin Liu, Tyler Poon, Andrew Tao, Bryan Catanzaro, David Jacobs, Jia-Bin Huang, Ming-Yu Liu, and Yogesh Balaji. Preserve your own correlation: A noise prior for video diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 22930–22941, 2023.
- Rohit Girdhar, Mannat Singh, Andrew Brown, Quentin Duval, Samaneh Azadi, Sai Saketh Rambhatla,
  Akbar Shah, Xi Yin, Devi Parikh, and Ishan Misra. Emu video: Factorizing text-to-video generation
  by explicit image conditioning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10709*, 2023.
- Litong Gong, Yiran Zhu, Weijie Li, Xiaoyang Kang, Biao Wang, Tiezheng Ge, and Bo Zheng.
   Atomovideo: High fidelity image-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.01800*, 2024.
- Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Yaohui Wang, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Animatediff:
   Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models without specific tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725*, 2023.
- 574
  575
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
  576
- <sup>577</sup> Roberto Henschel, Levon Khachatryan, Daniil Hayrapetyan, Hayk Poghosyan, Vahram Tadevosyan,
  <sup>578</sup> Zhangyang Wang, Shant Navasardyan, and Humphrey Shi. Streamingt2v: Consistent, dynamic,
  <sup>579</sup> and extendable long video generation from text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14773*, 2024.
  - Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P
  Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J Fleet, et al. Imagen video: High definition
  video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303*, 2022a.
- Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, Alexey Gritsenko, William Chan, Mohammad Norouzi, and David J
   Fleet. Video diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:8633–8646, 2022b.
- Wenyi Hong, Ming Ding, Wendi Zheng, Xinghan Liu, and Jie Tang. Cogvideo: Large-scale
   pretraining for text-to-video generation via transformers. In *The Eleventh International Conference* on Learning Representations, 2022.
- <sup>593</sup> Tobias Höppe, Arash Mehrjou, Stefan Bauer, Didrik Nielsen, and Andrea Dittadi. Diffusion models for video prediction and infilling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.07696*, 2022.

603

604

605

623

- Siddhant Jain, Daniel Watson, Eric Tabellion, Aleksander Hołyński, Ben Poole, and Janne Kontkanen.
   Video interpolation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.01203*, 2024.
- Hyeonho Jeong, Geon Yeong Park, and Jong Chul Ye. Vmc: Video motion customization using
   temporal attention adaption for text-to-video diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00845*, 2023.
- Yang Jin, Zhicheng Sun, Kun Xu, Liwei Chen, Hao Jiang, Quzhe Huang, Chengru Song, Yuliang
  Liu, Di Zhang, Yang Song, et al. Video-lavit: Unified video-language pre-training with decoupled
  visual-motional tokenization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03161*, 2024.
  - Hitesh Kandala, Jianfeng Gao, and Jianwei Yang. Pix2gif: Motion-guided diffusion for gif generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.04634, 2024.
- Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013.
- Guillaume Le Moing, Jean Ponce, and Cordelia Schmid. Ccvs: Context-aware controllable video synthesis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:14042–14055, 2021.
- Shanchuan Lin, Bingchen Liu, Jiashi Li, and Xiao Yang. Common diffusion noise schedules and
   sample steps are flawed. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pp. 5404–5411, 2024.
- 614
   615
   616
   617
   618
   618
   619
   619
   610
   610
   610
   610
   611
   612
   613
   614
   614
   614
   614
   615
   616
   616
   616
   617
   618
   618
   619
   619
   610
   610
   610
   610
   610
   611
   612
   612
   613
   614
   614
   615
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
   616
- Yue Ma, Yingqing He, Hongfa Wang, Andong Wang, Chenyang Qi, Chengfei Cai, Xiu Li, Zhifeng Li,
  Heung-Yeung Shum, Wei Liu, et al. Follow-your-click: Open-domain regional image animation
  via short prompts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08268*, 2024.
- Kun Long Ng, Kian Eng Ong, Qichen Zheng, Yun Ni, Si Yong Yeo, and Jun Liu. Animal kingdom:
   A large and diverse dataset for animal behavior understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 19023–19034, 2022.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal,
   Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual
   models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- Weiming Ren, Harry Yang, Ge Zhang, Cong Wei, Xinrun Du, Stephen Huang, and Wenhu
   Chen. Consisti2v: Enhancing visual consistency for image-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04324*, 2024.
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer- ence on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
- Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention–MICCAI* 2015: 18th international conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, proceedings, part III 18, pp. 234–241. Springer, 2015.
- Kiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Mostgan-v: Video generation with temporal
   motion styles. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5652–5661, 2023.
- Kiaoyu Shi, Zhaoyang Huang, Fu-Yun Wang, Weikang Bian, Dasong Li, Yi Zhang, Manyuan Zhang, Ka Chun Cheung, Simon See, Hongwei Qin, et al. Motion-i2v: Consistent and controllable image-to-video generation with explicit motion modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15977*, 2024.
- <sup>646</sup> Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry
   <sup>647</sup> Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video
   data. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.

648 649 650	Zachary Teed and Jia Deng. Raft: Recurrent all-pairs field transforms for optical flow. In <i>Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 16</i> , pp. 402–419. Springer, 2020.
651 652 653	Yu Tian, Jian Ren, Menglei Chai, Kyle Olszewski, Xi Peng, Dimitris N Metaxas, and Sergey Tulyakov. A good image generator is what you need for high-resolution video synthesis.
654 655	Thomas Unterthiner, Sjoerd van Steenkiste, Karol Kurach, Raphaël Marinier, Marcin Michalski, and Sylvain Gelly. Fvd: A new metric for video generation. 2019.
656 657 658 659	Vikram Voleti, Alexia Jolicoeur-Martineau, and Chris Pal. Mcvd-masked conditional video diffusion for prediction, generation, and interpolation. <i>Advances in neural information processing systems</i> , 35:23371–23385, 2022.
660 661	Jiuniu Wang, Hangjie Yuan, Dayou Chen, Yingya Zhang, Xiang Wang, and Shiwei Zhang. Mod- elscope text-to-video technical report. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06571</i> , 2023a.
662 663 664 665	Xiang Wang, Hangjie Yuan, Shiwei Zhang, Dayou Chen, Jiuniu Wang, Yingya Zhang, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Jingren Zhou. Videocomposer: Compositional video synthesis with motion controllability. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 36, 2024.
666 667 668	Yaohui Wang, Xinyuan Chen, Xin Ma, Shangchen Zhou, Ziqi Huang, Yi Wang, Ceyuan Yang, Yinan He, Jiashuo Yu, Peiqing Yang, et al. Lavie: High-quality video generation with cascaded latent diffusion models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15103</i> , 2023b.
669 670 671	Zhou Wang, Eero P Simoncelli, and Alan C Bovik. Multiscale structural similarity for image quality assessment. In <i>The Thrity-Seventh Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems &amp; Computers, 2003</i> , volume 2, pp. 1398–1402. Ieee, 2003.
673 674 675	Zhou Wang, Alan C Bovik, Hamid R Sheikh, and Eero P Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. <i>IEEE transactions on image processing</i> , 13(4):600–612, 2004.
676 677 678	Yujie Wei, Shiwei Zhang, Zhiwu Qing, Hangjie Yuan, Zhiheng Liu, Yu Liu, Yingya Zhang, Jingren Zhou, and Hongming Shan. Dreamvideo: Composing your dream videos with customized subject and motion. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04433</i> , 2023.
679 680 681 682 683	Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion models for text-to-video generation. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 7623–7633, 2023a.
684 685	Ruiqi Wu, Liangyu Chen, Tong Yang, Chunle Guo, Chongyi Li, and Xiangyu Zhang. Lamp: Learn a motion pattern for few-shot-based video generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10769</i> , 2023b.
686 687 688	Tianxing Wu, Chenyang Si, Yuming Jiang, Ziqi Huang, and Ziwei Liu. Freeinit: Bridging initializa- tion gap in video diffusion models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.07537</i> , 2023c.
689 690 691	Jinbo Xing, Menghan Xia, Yong Zhang, Haoxin Chen, Xintao Wang, Tien-Tsin Wong, and Ying Shan. Dynamicrafter: Animating open-domain images with video diffusion priors. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12190</i> , 2023.
692 693	Wilson Yan, Yunzhi Zhang, Pieter Abbeel, and Aravind Srinivas. Videogpt: Video generation using vq-vae and transformers. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10157</i> , 2021.
695 696	Ruihan Yang, Prakhar Srivastava, and Stephan Mandt. Diffusion probabilistic modeling for video generation. <i>Entropy</i> , 25(10):1469, 2023.
697 698 699	Yan Zeng, Guoqiang Wei, Jiani Zheng, Jiaxin Zou, Yang Wei, Yuchen Zhang, and Hang Li. Make pixels dance: High-dynamic video generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10982</i> , 2023.
700 701	David Junhao Zhang, Jay Zhangjie Wu, Jia-Wei Liu, Rui Zhao, Lingmin Ran, Yuchao Gu, Difei Gao, and Mike Zheng Shou. Show-1: Marrying pixel and latent diffusion models for text-to-video generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15818</i> , 2023.

702 703 704	David Junhao Zhang, Dongxu Li, Hung Le, Mike Zheng Shou, Caiming Xiong, and Doyen Sahoo. Moonshot: Towards controllable video generation and editing with multimodal conditions. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2401.01827, 2024.
705 706 707	Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on</i>
708	computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 586–595, 2018.
709	Dequen Zhou, Waimin Wang, Hanshu Yan, Waiwai Ly, Vizha Zhu, and Jiashi Fang. Magiavidaa
710	Efficient video generation with latent diffusion models arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11018. 2022
711	
712	
713	
714	
715	
716	
717	
718	
719	
720	
721	
722	
723	
724	
725	
726	
727	
728	
729	
730	
731	
732	
733	
734	
735	
736	
737	
738	
739	
740	
741	
742	
743	
744	
745	
746	
740	
740	
749	
751	
750	
752	
757	
755	
1.1.1	