1 Appendix

6

2 A: Overview

- ³ The Supplementary material is organized as follows:
- Section B: Evaluation Datasets
- Section C: Current Challenges on Zero-shot Video Understanding
 - Section D: Action Segmentation using VLMs
- Section E: Few-shot Learning for Action Segmentation

8 B: Evaluation Datasets

Tab. 1 summarizes the current challenging datasets targeting human behavior analysis. In this paper,
we focus on two current challenging tasks, zero-shot classification and frame-wise segmentation
tasks. Specifically, we perform the study on real-world scenarios [9, 17, 32, 7, 27] and laboratory
scenarios [26, 20] for action understanding including both zero-shot classification and frame-wise
segmentation tasks.

Toyota Smarthome (Smarthome) [9] is a real-world human-centric daily living action classification dataset. The dataset is challenging as the inter-class variance is small and the activities are finegrained. It contains 16,115 videos across 31 action classes, offering RGB and skeleton data. We utilize only RGB data, following cross-subject (CS) and cross-view2 (CV2) protocols and we report

18 Top-1 accuracy in this work.

UAV-Human [17] features 22,476 UAV-captured human-centric videos, we use the RGB data and
 follow Cross-subject evaluations (CS1).

Penn Action [32] comprises 2,326 sequences of 15 simple sport actions, we use this dataset for action classification using standard train-test splits.

NTU-RGB+D 60 [26] includes 60 indoor daily living activities and consists of 56,880 RGB-D video sequences with 3D skeletons, captured by the Microsoft Kinect v2 sensor. We only use RGB videos in this work and we follow the cross-subject (CS) evaluation protocol.

EgoExo4d [10] is large-scale multimodal multiview video dataset containers totaling 1,286 hours 26 of videos with range between 1 to 42 minutes. It provides ego-centric videos paired with multiple 27 time-synchronized exo-centric video streams, capturing a wide range of skilled human activities. 28 29 It enriched with extensive annotations including language descriptions, 3D body and hand poses, key steps, procedural dependencies, and proficiency ratings. These densely annotation support 30 various benchmark tasks in video understanding ego-exo relation modeling, action recognition, 31 proficiency estimation, and 3D pose recovery. We only use RGB videos modality of key-step and 32 their correspondence label to evaluate zero-shot action classification. 33

LEMMA [14] consists of a large collection of videos designed to capture multi-agent and multi-task activities from multiple viewpoints. It contains over 324 long video clips that cover diverse activities involving 641 actions and 11,781 action segment . Each video is annotated with detailed information, such as activity labels, agent roles, object interactions, and temporal segmentation. These videos are recorded from various camera angles to provide a comprehensive multi-view perspective, enabling the study of tasks like action recognition and action segmentation.

Toyota Smarthome Untrimmed (TSU) [7] extends the action classes and video counts of Smarthome, focusing on frame-wise segmentation tasks. The dataset is very challenging, as each action can be performed multiple times in a video and multiple actions can be performed at the same time. We use TSU for evaluating the generalizability of SoTA models and we report per-frame mAP following Cross-Subject (CS) and Cross-View (CV) evaluation protocols.

45 **Charades** [27] focuses on fine-grained activities segmentation. It contains many object-oriented 46 activities and variant light conditions. The current methods are still limited to dealing with this 47 dataset, hence, we use this dataset for our study and we report per-frame mAP.

Dataset	Real-world	2D	3D	#Videos	#Actions	Fine-grained	Туре	Task
NTU-RGB+D 60 [26]	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	56,880	60	No	Daily living	AC
NTU-RGB+D 120 [20]	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	114,480	120	No	Daily living	AC
Penn Action [32]	\checkmark	\checkmark	\times	2,326	15	No	Sport	AC
UAV-Human [17]	\checkmark	\checkmark	\times	21,224	155	No	UAV	AC
Toyota Smarthome [9]	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	16,115	31	Yes	Daily living	AC
EgoExo4D [10]	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	5035	664	Yes	General video	AC
Kinetics [1]	\checkmark	\times	\times	400,000	400	No	General video	AC
LEMMA []	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	324	641	Yes	Daily living	AF
PKU-MMD [4]	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	1,076	51	No	Daily living	AS
Charades [27]	\checkmark	\times	\times	2,300	151	Yes	Daily living	VD-AS-AF
TSU [7]	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	536	51	Yes	Daily living	VD-AS-AF
Activity-Net [11]	\checkmark	-	-	20k	-	Yes	General video	VR
DiDeMo [12]	\checkmark	-	-	10.5K	-	Yes	General video	VR
MSR-VTT [30]	\checkmark	-	-	7.2K	-	Yes	General video	VR

Table 1: A survey of recent datasets for in-the-wild human action classification (top), action segmentation (bottom).

Methods	Training Data	Туре	Task
CLIP [23]	CLIP-400-M/LAION-2B	ILM	AC-VR
X-CLIP [21]	CLIP-400M/Kinetics-400	VLML	AC-VR
ViCLIP [28]	InternVid-10M-FLT	VLM	AC-VR
ViFi-CLIP [24]	CLIP-400M/Kinetics-400	VLM	AC-VR
LanguageBind [33]	VIDAL-10M	ILM/VLM	AC-VR
Video-LLaMA2 [3]	Webvid-2M /LLaVA-CC3M	VLLM	AC-VD-AF
LongVA [31]	V-NIAH	VLLM	AC-VD-AF
Video-LLaVA [18]	LAION-CC-SBU/Valley/LLaVA-mixed/Video-ChatGPT	VLLM	AC-VD-AF
LLaVA-OneVision [16]	LLaVA-Hound-255K	VLLM	AC-VD-AF
LAVIDAL [2]	ADL-X	VLLM	AC-VD-AF
Video-Chatgpt [22]	VideoChatGPT	VLLM	AC-VD-AF
UniVTG [19]	Ego4D/VideoCC/CLIP teacher	VLLM	AS
TimeChat [25]	TimeIT	VLLM	AS
VTimeLLM [13]	LCS-558K/InternVid-10M-FLT/VideoInstruct100K	VLLM	AS

Table 2: A survey of SoTA architectures, AC:Action Classification, VR: Video Retrieval, VD: Video Description, AF: Action Forecasting, AS: Action Segmentation

- 48 The mentioned datasets are different from the datasets of web videos used for training video founda-
- 49 tion models. Our selected evaluated datasets can further reflect the generalization ability of video
- 50 foundation models on daily living scenarios.

51 C: Current Challenges on Zero-shot Video Understanding

In this work, we provide an analysis of the performance of current vision-language foundation models with five challenging video-based tasks to study to study the transfer ability performance of video representation and their alignments with language. The five tasks are: zero-shot action classification, video-text retrieval, video description, action forecasting, and frame-wise temporal action segmentation. The evaluation and comparisons are performed on real-world datasets.

57 Action Classification Zero-shot action classification is to pre-train an action classification model and then transfer this model onto an unseen dataset. Unlike traditional methods that rely on extensive 58 action labels, zero-shot approaches aim to generalize knowledge from known actions to unknown 59 60 ones. Specifically, the semantic information, such as textual descriptions of the action labels, and the videos in the dataset are embedded using CLIP-based methods [29, 21, 28, 24]. Subsequently, given 61 a video embedding, we search for its closest semantic information as the action prediction. We select 62 such tasks as it highly relays on video-text alignment but has not been fully evaluated by current 63 research. 64

In real-world video understanding applications, the ability to recognize actions without the need for specific training data is invaluable. However, visual features are often low-level, such as shapes, colors, and motions, while action descriptions are more abstract, this makes the model difficult to accurately match the two types of features. Additionally, current zero-shot learning models are still limited to dealing with variations in camera angles, lighting conditions, etc. Hence, this study aims to evaluate and compare the CLIP-based vision language foundation models including VLMs and VLLMs on such tasks focusing on real-world scenarios.

Mathada	TS	Charades	
Methous	CS(%)	CV(%)	mAP(%)
PDAN [6] w/ CLIP [23]	16.3	10.0	15.9
PDAN [6] w/ ViCLIP [28]	21.5	13.4	16.2
PDAN [6] w/ ViFi-CLIP [24]	28.6	15.9	16.4
MS-TCT [5] w/ CLIP [23]	5.3	5.7	12.7
MS-TCT [5] w/ ViCLIP [28]	15.8	8.2	16.3
MS-TCT [5] w/ ViFi-CLIP [24]	21.3	17.3	16.9
MS-TCT [5] w/ I3D [1] (SoTA)	33.7	-	25.4

Table 3: Frame-level mAP on TSU and Charades for comparison of SoTA vision foundation models with SoTA temporal modeling methods for action segmentation.

Mothods	Label	TS	Charades	
		CS(%)	CV(%)	mAP(%)
PDAN [6] w/CLIP [23]	5%	6.2	4.3	8.7
PDAN [6] w/ViCLIP [28]	5%	3.5	3.3	10.1
PDAN [6] w/ViFi-CLIP [24]	5%	5.6	5.7	11.1
PDAN [6] w/CLIP [23]	10%	4.4	4.7	11.1
PDAN [6] w/ [28]	10%	4.0	3.5	11.6
PDAN [6] w/ViFi-CLIP [24]	10%	6.1	5.8	11.3

Table 4: Frame-level mAP on TSU and Charades with randomly selected **5%** (**top**) and **10%** (**bottom**) for action segmentation.

72 Video-Text Retrieval Video-text retrieval is considered as another type of zero-shot task on a different

⁷³ dataset format where each video in this dataset has a unique description. Its goal is to search and ⁷⁴ retrieve relevant video content based on a given text query and vice versa. These tasks are commonly

⁷⁵ used to evaluate how well vision-language models can generalize their learned representations to

⁷⁶ connect video content with descriptive text.

Video Description Following [22], we conduct a comprehensive evaluation of Video-Large Language 77 Models (VLLMs) based on their text generation capabilities, specifically focusing on their ability to 78 produce dense, informative descriptions for input videos. The generated descriptions are assessed 79 in comparison to ground truth annotations using five key metrics: Correctness of Information, 80 Detail Orientation, Contextual Understanding, Temporal Understanding, and Consistency. This 81 evaluation is crucial for benchmarking the model's ability to comprehend visual content and generate 82 meaningful, contextually appropriate text, a key requirement for tasks like automated video captioning, 83 summarization, and human-computer interaction. Following [2], TSU videos are trimmed into 1-84 minute clips and are input to the VLLMs. Thereafter, the clip-level descriptions are concatenated and 85 summarized into a single video-level description using GPT-3.5 turbo. For Charades, descriptions are 86 obtained directly from each video. 87

Action Forecasting Action forecasting evaluates an agent's ability to predict an action before it happens. Given a human action video and the corresponding actions that occur in the video, the agent's goal is to choose the action that immediately follows the observed sequence of actions. This task was popularized by challenges such as EPIC-KITCHENS [8] and Breakfast [15] to measure the action concept reasoning abilities of vision models. In this work, we follow the protocol proposed in [2], in which action forecasting is evaluated in a MCQ manner on the Toyota Smarthome Untrimmed [7] and LEMMA [14] datasets.

Frame-wise Action Segmentation in Untrimmed Videos Temporal Action Segmentation focuses on 95 per-frame activity classification in untrimmed videos. The main challenge is how to model long-term 96 relationships among various activities at different time steps. Specifically, action segmentation entails 97 the automatic partitioning of untrimmed video sequences into distinct segments, each corresponding 98 to a coherent action. Current methods [6, 5] have two steps, they firstly extract visual features on 99 top of the temporal segments of a long-term video using a strong video encoder. Secondly, they 100 design temporal modeling to process the features. Hence, the performance of the temporal modeling 101 highly relies on the video encoder from current video foundation models. In this study, we compare 102 SoTA vision foundation models [23, 21, 28, 24] by evaluating their features on temporal action 103 segmentation tasks. 104



Figure 1: Per-action zero-shot classification analysis on Smarthome-CS for VLMs and VLLMs

D: Action Segmentation using VLMs

106 In this section, we compare the performance of the visual-language models in action segmentation tasks. As current methods for action segmentation tasks adopt a temporal model to process the 107 continuous pre-extracted visual features on top of the untrimmed video, this experiment is to compare 108 the representation ability of a single visual encoder of SoTA models [23, 28, 24] using their visual 109 features with two recent temporal models [6, 5] respectively. The results in Tab. 3 show that similar 110 to zero-shot action classification, the visual representation of ViFi-CLIP is more effective than other 111 models for segmentation tasks. We also observe that the performances of Vision Language Foundation 112 models are still not at the level of State-of-the-art action detection methods [5]. This can be explained 113 by the fact that these Foundation models have been trained on web videos, which are quite different 114 from Activity of Daily Living (ADL) Videos, such as TSU or Charades. 115

116 E: Few-shot Learning for Action Segmentation

117 learning is commendable and enables obtaining good accuracy with limited labeled data. This

highlights the model practicality in real-world applications where data scarcity is prevalent. The

119 few-shot transfer ability of our evaluated CLIP-based models on top of temporal modeling [5] is

shown in Tab. 4. The results are consistent with previous evaluation, ViFi-CLIP [24] has mostly the

121 best visual representation ability.



Figure 2: Per-action zero-shot classification analysis on Smarthome-CV for VLMs and VLLMs



Figure 3: Different label formats for PennAction, NTU60 and Smarthome generated by GPT-3.5.



Figure 4: EGOEXO4D Coarse-grained labels generated by GPT3.5.



Figure 5: EGOEXO4D samples. For each video there are five view (ego, exo1, exo2, exo3, exo4), Fine-grained action label and Coarse-grained labelss.



Figure 6: TSNE visualization of VLMs features for PennAction dataset .



Figure 7: TSNE visualization of VLMs features for Smarthome-CS dataset .



Figure 8: TSNE visualization of VLMs features for Smarthome-CV dataset .

122 **References**

- [1] Joao Carreira and Andrew Zisserman. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset.
 In *CVPR*, 2017.
- [2] Rajatsubhra Chakraborty, Arkaprava Sinha, Dominick Reilly, Manish Kumar Govind, Pu Wang, Francois
 Bremond, and Srijan Das. Llavidal: Benchmarking large language vision models for daily activities of
 living. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.09390*, 2024.
- [3] Zesen Cheng, Sicong Leng, Hang Zhang, Yifei Xin, Xin Li, Guanzheng Chen, Yongxin Zhu, Wenqi Zhang,
 Ziyang Luo, Deli Zhao, and Lidong Bing. Videollama 2: Advancing spatial-temporal modeling and audio
 understanding in video-llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07476*, 2024.
- [4] Liu Chunhui, Hu Yueyu, Li Yanghao, Song Sijie, and Liu Jiaying. Pku-mmd: A large scale benchmark for
 continuous multi-modal human action understanding. *arXiv*:1703.07475, 2017.
- [5] Rui Dai, Srijan Das, Kumara Kahatapitiya, Michael Ryoo, and Francois Bremond. MS-TCT: Multi-Scale
 Temporal ConvTransformer for Action Detection. In *CVPR*, 2022.
- [6] Rui Dai, Srijan Das, Luca Minciullo, Lorenzo Garattoni, Gianpiero Francesca, and Francois Bremond.
 Pdan: Pyramid dilated attention network for action detection. In *WACV*, 2021.
- [7] Rui Dai, Srijan Das, Saurav Sharma, Luca Minciullo, Lorenzo Garattoni, Francois Bremond, and Gianpiero
 Francesca. Toyota smarthome untrimmed: Real-world untrimmed videos for activity detection. *IEEE TPAMI*, 2022.
- [8] Dima Damen, Hazel Doughty, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Sanja Fidler, Antonino Furnari, Evangelos
 Kazakos, Davide Moltisanti, Jonathan Munro, Toby Perrett, Will Price, and Michael Wray. Scaling
 egocentric vision: The epic-kitchens dataset. In *ECCV*, 2018.
- [9] Srijan Das, Rui Dai, Michal Koperski, Luca Minciullo, Lorenzo Garattoni, Francois Bremond, and
 Gianpiero Francesca. Toyota smarthome: Real-world activities of daily living. In *ICCV*, 2019.
- [10] Kristen Grauman, Andrew Westbury, Lorenzo Torresani, Kris Kitani, Jitendra Malik, Triantafyllos Afouras, 145 Kumar Ashutosh, Vijay Baiyya, Siddhant Bansal, Bikram Boote, Eugene Byrne, Zach Chavis, Joya Chen, 146 Feng Cheng, Fu-Jen Chu, Sean Crane, Avijit Dasgupta, Jing Dong, Maria Escobar, Cristhian Forigua, 147 Abrham Gebreselasie, Sanjay Haresh, Jing Huang, Md Mohaiminul Islam, Suyog Jain, Rawal Khirodkar, 148 Devansh Kukreja, Kevin J Liang, Jia-Wei Liu, Sagnik Majumder, Yongsen Mao, Miguel Martin, Effrosyni 149 Mavroudi, Tushar Nagarajan, Francesco Ragusa, Santhosh Kumar Ramakrishnan, Luigi Seminara, Arjun 150 151 Somayazulu, Yale Song, Shan Su, Zihui Xue, Edward Zhang, Jinxu Zhang, Angela Castillo, Changan Chen, Xinzhu Fu, Ryosuke Furuta, Cristina Gonzalez, Prince Gupta, Jiabo Hu, Yifei Huang, Yiming 152 Huang, Weslie Khoo, Anush Kumar, Robert Kuo, Sach Lakhavani, Miao Liu, Mi Luo, Zhengyi Luo, 153 Brighid Meredith, Austin Miller, Oluwatumininu Oguntola, Xiaqing Pan, Penny Peng, Shraman Pramanick, 154 Merey Ramazanova, Fiona Ryan, Wei Shan, Kiran Somasundaram, Chenan Song, Audrey Southerland, 155 156 Masatoshi Tateno, Huiyu Wang, Yuchen Wang, Takuma Yagi, Mingfei Yan, Xitong Yang, Zecheng Yu, 157 Shengxin Cindy Zha, Chen Zhao, Ziwei Zhao, Zhifan Zhu, Jeff Zhuo, Pablo Arbelaez, Gedas Bertasius, Dima Damen, Jakob Engel, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Antonino Furnari, Bernard Ghanem, Judy Hoffman, 158 C.V. Jawahar, Richard Newcombe, Hyun Soo Park, James M. Rehg, Yoichi Sato, Manolis Savva, Jianbo 159 Shi, Mike Zheng Shou, and Michael Wray. Ego-exo4d: Understanding skilled human activity from first-160 and third-person perspectives. In CVPR, 2024. 161
- [11] Fabian Caba Heilbron, Victor Escorcia, Bernard Ghanem, and Juan Carlos Niebles. Activitynet: A
 large-scale video benchmark for human activity understanding. In *CVPR*, 2015.
- [12] Lisa Anne Hendricks, Oliver Wang, Eli Shechtman, Josef Sivic, Trevor Darrell, and Bryan Russell.
 Localizing moments in video with natural language. In *ICCV*, 2017.
- [13] Bin Huang, Xin Wang, Hong Chen, Zihan Song, and Wenwu Zhu. Vtimellm: Empower llm to grasp video
 moments. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [14] Baoxiong Jia, Yixin Chen, Siyuan Huang, Yixin Zhu, and Song-Chun Zhu. Lemma: A multiview dataset
 for learning multi-agent multi-view activities. In *ECCV*, 2020.
- 170 [15] Hilde Kuehne, Ali Arslan, and Thomas Serre. The language of actions: Recovering the syntax and 171 semantics of goal-directed human activities. In *CVPR*, 2014.
- [16] Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Dong Guo, Renrui Zhang, Feng Li, Hao Zhang, Kaichen Zhang, Yanwei Li, Ziwei
 Liu, and Chunyuan Li. Llava-onevision: Easy visual task transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.03326*, 2024.

- [17] Tianjiao Li, Jun Liu, Wei Zhang, Yun Ni, Wenqian Wang, and Zhiheng Li. Uav-human: A large benchmark
 for human behavior understanding with unmanned aerial vehicles. In *CVPR*, 2021.
- [18] Bin Lin, Yang Ye, Bin Zhu, Jiaxi Cui, Munan Ning, Peng Jin, and Li Yuan. Video-Ilava: Learning united
 visual representation by alignment before projection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10122*, 2023.
- [19] Kevin Qinghong Lin, Pengchuan Zhang, Joya Chen, Shraman Pramanick, Difei Gao, Alex Jinpeng Wang,
 Rui Yan, and Mike Zheng Shou. Univtg: Towards unified video-language temporal grounding. In *ICCV*,
 2023.
- [20] J. Liu, A. Shahroudy, M. Perez, G. Wang, L. Y. Duan, and A. C. Kot. Ntu rgb+d 120: A large-scale benchmark for 3D human activity understanding. *IEEE TPAMI*, 2020.
- [21] Yiwei Ma, Guohai Xu, Xiaoshuai Sun, Ming Yan, Ji Zhang, and Rongrong Ji. X-CLIP:: End-to-end
 multi-grained contrastive learning for video-text retrieval. In *ACMMM*, 2022.
- [22] Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed, Salman Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. Video-chatgpt: Towards
 detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. In *ACL*, 2024.
- [23] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish
 Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning
 transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *ICML*, 2021.
- [24] Hanoona Rasheed, Muhammad Uzair khattak, Muhammad Maaz, Salman Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan.
 Finetuned clip models are efficient video learners. In *CVPR*, 2023.
- [25] Shuhuai Ren, Linli Yao, Shicheng Li, Xu Sun, and Lu Hou. Timechat: A time-sensitive multimodal large
 language model for long video understanding. In *CVPR*, 2024.
- [26] Amir Shahroudy, Jun Liu, Tian-Tsong Ng, and Gang Wang. Ntu rgb+d: A large scale dataset for 3D human
 activity analysis. *CVPR*, 2016.
- [27] Gunnar A. Sigurdsson, Gül Varol, X. Wang, Ali Farhadi, I. Laptev, and A. Gupta. Hollywood in homes:
 Crowdsourcing data collection for activity understanding. In *ECCV*, 2016.
- [28] Yi Wang, Yinan He, Yizhuo Li, Kunchang Li, Jiashuo Yu, Xin Ma, Xinyuan Chen, Yaohui Wang, Ping
 Luo, Ziwei Liu, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Internvid: A large-scale video-text dataset for
 multimodal understanding and generation. In *ICLR*, 2024.
- [29] Dejing Xu, Jun Xiao, Zhou Zhao, Jian Shao, Di Xie, and Yueting Zhuang. Self-supervised spatiotemporal
 learning via video clip order prediction. In *CVPR*, 2019.
- [30] Jun Xu, Tao Mei, Ting Yao, and Yong Rui. Msr-vtt: A large video description dataset for bridging video
 and language. In *CVPR*, 2016.
- [31] Peiyuan Zhang, Kaichen Zhang, Bo Li, Guangtao Zeng, Jingkang Yang, Yuanhan Zhang, Ziyue Wang,
 Haoran Tan, Chunyuan Li, and Ziwei Liu. Long context transfer from language to vision. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.16852*, 2024.
- [32] W. Zhang, M. Zhu, and K. G. Derpanis. From actemes to action: A strongly-supervised representation for detailed action understanding. In *ICCV*, 2013.
- [33] Bin Zhu, Bin Lin, Munan Ning, Yang Yan, Jiaxi Cui, Wang HongFa, Yatian Pang, Wenhao Jiang, Junwu
 Zhang, Zongwei Li, Cai Wan Zhang, Zhifeng Li, Wei Liu, and Li Yuan. Languagebind: Extending
 video-language pretraining to n-modality by language-based semantic alignment. In *ICLR*, 2024.