Anonymous Authors

<expression> The subject begins with a strong inner brow raise and outer brow raise, with the lips parting widely and the jaw dropping slightly. As the sequence progresses, the inner brow raise remains consistent, ... Towards the end of the sequence, the subject displays a mixture of facial movements, including a mouth stretch and tongue show. <head pose> The portrait maintains a steady head pose throughout the video, with no noticeable movements or rotations detected. <scenarios> 1. Finding out he won the lottery; 2. Witnessing a loved one's unexpected return; 3. ...



Figure 1: We present MMHead, the first multi-modal 3D facial animation dataset with hierarchical text annotations including abstract descriptions for overall actions and emotions, and fine-grained descriptions for expressions, head poses, as well as possible scenarios that may cause such emotions.

## ABSTRACT

1 2

3D facial animation has attracted considerable attention due to its extensive applications in the multimedia field. Audio-driven 3D facial animation has been widely explored with promising results. However, multi-modal 3D facial animation, especially text-guided 3D facial animation is rarely explored due to the lack of multi-modal 3D facial animation dataset. To fill this gap, we first construct a large-scale multi-modal 3D facial animation dataset, MMHead, which consists of 49 hours of 3D facial motion sequences, speech audios, and rich hierarchical text annotations. Each text annotation contains abstract action and emotion descriptions, fine-grained facial and head movements (i.e., expression and head pose) descriptions, and three possible scenarios that may cause such emotion. Concretely, we integrate five public 2D portrait video datasets, and propose an automatic pipeline to 1) reconstruct 3D facial motion sequences from monocular videos; and 2) obtain hierarchical text annotations with the help of AU detection and ChatGPT. Based on the MMHead dataset, we establish benchmarks for two new tasks: text-induced 3D talking head animation and text-to-3D facial

for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission

motion generation. Moreover, a simple but efficient VQ-VAE-based method named MM2Face is proposed to unify the multi-modal information and generate diverse and plausible 3D facial motions, which achieves competitive results on both benchmarks. Extensive experiments and comprehensive analysis demonstrate the significant potential of our dataset and benchmarks in promoting the development of multi-modal 3D facial animation.

# **CCS CONCEPTS**

• Computing methodologies  $\rightarrow$  Animation.

# **KEYWORDS**

3D Facial Animation, Multi-modal Generation, Fine-grained Text Annotation, Dataset and Benchmark, VQ-VAE

# INTRODUCTION

3D facial animation is becoming an increasingly popular topic in computer vision and multimedia due to its numerous applications in the multimedia field such as AR/VR content creation, games, and film production. The strong correlation between speech audio and facial movements makes it possible to automatically generate 3D facial motion (which can then be used to animate avatars) from audio, which will greatly simplify the animation production pipelines. Text, as another commonly used modality in human society, plays an important role in human-controlled AIGC. Recently, text guided image [3, 47], video [49, 62] and human motion [23, 54, 82] generation have achieved pleasing results with numerous multimedia applications. It would also be desirable to generate 3D facial motion

Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution.

anu/or a ree. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

<sup>55</sup> ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

<sup>56 © 2024</sup> Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

<sup>57</sup> https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnn

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

153

154

155

156

157

158

174

Anonymous Authors

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

		Moda	ality			Ar	notati	on		Sc	ale		Prop	oerty	Acqu	isition
Dataset	Motion	Tout	Andia	DCD	Abs	Abstract		Fine-grained		Subi Dur	EDC	Long	Popr	Env	Tech	
	Motion	Iext	Audio	KGD	Act.	Emo.	Exp.	Pose	Scn.	Subj. D	Dui.	115	Lang.	Kepi.	EIIV.	recn.
BIWI [18]	$\checkmark$	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	L	-	-	-	14	1.44h	25	EN	Mesh		
VOCASET [11]	√ √	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	0.5h	60	EN	Mesh		
MeshTalk [46]	√	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	-	-	-	-	250	13h	30	EN	Mesh		
Multiface [68]	$\checkmark$	-	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	13	-	30	EN	Mesh	Tah	21
MMFace4D [65]	√ √	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	L	-	-	-	431	36h	30	CN	Mesh	Lab	3D
D3DFACS [10]	√	-	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	L	-	-	10	-	60	-	Mesh		
CoMA [45]	$\checkmark$	-	-	-	-	-	L	-	-	12	-	60	-	Mesh		
4DFAB [6]	√ √	-	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	L	-	-	180	-	60	-	Mesh		
3D-ETF [42]	$\checkmark$	-	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-	L	-	-	-	100+	6.5h	-	EN	Blendshape	Mix	Mono
MEAD-3D [13, 27]	$\checkmark$	-	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-	L	-	-	-	60	38h	30	EN	FLAME	Lab	Mono
TEAD [86]	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	$\checkmark$	-	-	-	-	Blendshape	-	Gen.
TA-MEAD [37]	-	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	-	$\checkmark$	60	40h	30	EN	-	Lab	-
MMHead	$\checkmark$	2K+	49h	25	Mul.	FLAME	Mix	Mono								

132Table 1: Comparison of Relevant Datasets. The abbreviations "Act.", "Emo.", "Exp.", "Scn." stand for abstract action and emotion133annotations, fine-grained expression (facial movement) annotation, and scenarios that may cause such emotion, respectively.134The abbreviations "Subj.", "Dur.", "Lang.", "Pepr.", "Env." and "Tech." refer to subjects, duration, language, representation, and the135data acquisition environment and the technology used, respectively. "L" indicates that the dataset has labels rather than text136descriptions. " $\checkmark$ " indicates that such annotation exists but is not complete. "EN", "CN", and "Mul." represent English, Chinese,137and multiple languages, respectively. "Mono." means the 3D facial motion is reconstructed from monocular video, and "Gen."138means the 3D facial motion is generated by neural networks.

from text descriptions. Further, it would be even more inspiring to achieve fine-grained 3D facial animation under multi-modal signal control. However, due to the lack of relevant datasets, fine-grained multi-modal 3D facial animation has been rarely explored.

In the field of 3D facial animation, impressive progress has been made in audio-driven 3D facial animation [1, 11, 41, 50, 56, 66, 70], making it possible to generate 3D facial motion sequences with synchronized lip movements based on the input talking audio. Moreover, some works [13, 42, 64] have incorporated emotion labels to achieve explicit control over talking emotions. Recently, [37, 86] tried to use text descriptions in natural language rather than emotion labels to guide the facial animation. However, they only consider textual descriptions of emotions during talking, while overlooking the actions other than talking, as well as text descriptions of fine-grained facial and head movements. Such limitations seriously affect the convenience and flexibility of 3D face animation in multimedia applications. A major reason for these limitations is the lack of open-source multi-modal 3D facial animation datasets with fine-grained text annotations, as shown in Tab. 1.

159 To alleviate the scarcity of multi-modal 3D facial animation 160 datasets, we present MMHead, a large and diverse multi-modal 3D 161 facial animation dataset with rich hierarchical text annotations. To 162 the best of our knowledge, MMHead is the first 3D facial animation 163 dataset with both abstract and fine-grained text annotations, includ-164 ing abstract action and emotion descriptions, fine-grained facial 165 and head movement (i.e., expression and head pose) descriptions, 166 as well as possible scenarios that may cause the person's emotion 167 (see Fig. 1 and Tab. 1). Concretely, we build our 3D facial animation 168 dataset from 2D portrait video datasets [35, 63, 68, 76, 87], consid-169 ering the huge amount of 2D videos and satisfactory monocular 170 3D face reconstruction results [12, 19, 20]. An automatic pipeline 171 is also proposed to reconstruct 3D facial motion sequences from 172 monocular videos, and then obtain abstract and fine-grained text 173

annotations for these facial motions. The data construction pipeline can be easily scaled up to obtain larger datasets. To be specific, we first integrate and filter five public 2D portrait video datasets, i.e., CelebV-HQ [87], CelebV-Text [76], MEAD [63], RAVDESS [35], Multiface [68], to achieve richer facial actions and emotions. Then, we reconstruct 3D facial motion represented by FLAME parameters [32] via a state-of-the-art emotion-preserving monocular 3D reconstruction method named EMOCA [12, 19, 20]. Optimization and manual screening are then performed to obtain final 3D facial motion sequences that can achieve comparable precision compared to the lab-collected 3D facial animation datasets. As for text annotation, we explore the annotation capabilities of the large language model through well-designed prompts. Specifically, the action and emotion labels of the portrait video datasets, per frame activated facial Action Units (AU) [36, 60] and head poses are conditionally combined with five different prompts to feed into ChatGPT [38] to obtain natural text descriptions of abstract action, abstract emotion, detailed expression, detailed head pose, and emotion scenarios, respectively.

Along with the proposed multi-modal 3D facial animation dataset, we benchmark two novel tasks: 1) text-induced 3D talking head animation (Benchmark I), which aims at generating 3D facial animations according to both speech audio and text instructions; 2) text-to-3D facial motion generation (Benchmark II), *i.e.*, generating 3D facial motion sequences based on the given text descriptions. We define these tasks as non-deterministic generation tasks [73, 80] rather than regression tasks [11, 17, 70] to achieve robust and diverse 3D facial motion generation. Moreover, we propose a simple but efficient method named MM2Face to unify the multi-modal information and generate diverse and plausible 3D facial motions, which first utilizes a VQ-VAE [16, 58] to compress the 3D facial motions into a discrete codebook, and then generate plausible results

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

in a constrained space by sampling the codebook in an autoregressive fashion through a transformer [59] equipped with specially designed attention maps. Based on MM2Face, we further explore the fusion and injection strategies of text and audio modalities to provide insight for future research.

In summary, our main contributions are:

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

290

- We propose the first multi-modal 3D facial animation dataset, MMHead, which contains rich hierarchical text annotations including abstract action and emotion descriptions, fine-grained facial and head movements descriptions, and possible emotion scenarios.
- We benchmark two new tasks: text-induced 3D talking head animation and text-to-3D facial motion generation to promote future research on multi-modal 3D facial animation.
- We propose a VQ-VAE-based method to unify the multimodal information and generate diverse and plausible 3D facial motions. The proposed framework achieves competitive results on both benchmarks.

# 2 RELATED WORK

Audio-driven 3D Facial Animation. Audio-driven 3D facial an-254 255 imation aims at generating 3D facial motion sequences according to the input speech audio. In recent years, it has attracted 256 much attention due to its potential use in virtual avatar anima-257 tion [61, 67, 85], film, and game production. Audio-driven 3D facial 258 animation methods can be roughly divided into two categories: 259 rule-based methods [9, 15, 52, 72] and learning-based methods 260 [1, 11, 13, 17, 41, 42, 46, 50, 51, 55, 66, 70]. Rule-based methods 261 [9, 15, 52, 72] typically establish complex mapping rules between 262 pronunciations and lip motions. This kind of method makes it easy 263 to ensure the accuracy of the lip motion, however, requires a lot of 264 manual effort and cannot generate the motion of the entire head. 265 Learning-base methods solve the 3D facial animation problem in 266 267 a data-driven way, and have made impressive progress in recent 268 years [1, 11, 17, 41, 46, 50, 51, 55, 66, 70]. Furthermore, EmoTalk [42] and EMOTE [13] introduce emotion labels and achieve explicit 269 control over talking emotions. ExpCLIP [86] goes one step further 270 271 and uses emotion text descriptions rather than labels to control the emotion of audio-driven 3D facial animation. However, it only considers the textual control of emotions during talking, while ig-273 noring the fine-grained control over facial and head movements. In 274 addition, text-to-3D facial motion generation without input speech 275 audio has never been explored except for expression generation 276 277 [39, 88], which affects the convenience and flexibility of 3D face 278 animation in multimedia applications. To fill this gap and promote the research on fine-grained multi-modal 3D facial animation, we 279 present the first large-scale multi-modal 3D facial animation dataset 280 with rich hierarchical text descriptions in this paper. 281

Text-guided Generation. Incorporating text descriptions into 282 generative models has been a popular topic in AIGC areas such as 283 284 text-to-image/video/motion generation. In the common setting of text to image task, generative models [21, 28, 31, 58] are widely used 285 to capture the multi-modal distributions of images, and can generate 286 diverse and plausible images compared to the regression models. 287 288 Early work [25, 30, 53, 57, 69, 78] often uses GANs or VAEs with well-289 designed networks for text-guided generation. Recently, denoising

diffusion models [5, 14, 47, 49, 54, 74, 77, 79, 82] and VQ-VAE have been explored with superior performance. VQ-VAE is first explored in text to image with numerous researches [4, 16, 75]. In recent years, lots of works [22, 24, 29, 44, 81] also focus on text-to-3D human motion generation and achieve promising results. Despite the impressive progress of text-guided generation in numerous areas, text-guided 3D facial animation has been rarely explored before. To this end, we explore text-to-3D facial motion generation based on the proposed MMHead dataset in this paper.

3D Facial Animation Datasets. 3D facial animation datasets play an important role in both researches and multimedia applications, which can be roughly divided into audio-driven 3D facial animation dataset and 3D facial expression dataset. Audio-driven 3D facial animation datasets [11, 18, 46] collects dynamic 3D facial motions with synchronized speech audios and gradually seek to construct larger, higher quality datasets. However, due to the high cost of collecting dynamic 3D data, such datasets are hard to scale up, which has prompted numerous works [13, 27, 42, 51] to reconstruct 3D facial motion from portrait video datasets. Recently, other control signals have begun to emerge in audio-driven 3D facial animation datasets. EmoTalk [42] and EMOTE [13] present datasets with emotion labels. ExpCLIP [86] proposes a text-expression alignment dataset, however, it only contains static facial expressions and ignores facial actions other than talking as well as the corresponding text descriptions. As for 3D facial expression datasets, some works [6, 10, 40, 45, 68, 83, 84] capture someone's 3D face sequences when asking him to make a given expression, which lack of fine-grained text annotations and other facial actions other than the specified expressions. Different from existing 3D facial animation datasets, our MMHead dataset contains both speech audio and rich hierarchical text annotations of diverse facial actions.

# **3 MMHEAD DATASET**

Considering the absence of a fine-grained multi-modal 3D facial animation dataset, we present MMHead, a large-scale dataset with both speech audio and fine-grained text annotations. As shown in Fig. 2, we first collect a portrait video candidate set from five publicly available portrait video datasets (Sec. 3.1), and then reconstruct 3D facial motion from this candidate set through monocular 3D face reconstruction technologies [12, 19, 20] followed by optimization and manual check (Sec. 3.2 part 1). In addition, we propose an automatic text annotation pipeline to obtain rich text annotations including abstract action and emotion descriptions, fine-grained facial and head movements descriptions, and three possible scenarios that may cause the person's emotion (Sec. 3.2 part 2). The statistics analysis of the MMHead dataset, and dataset partitioning for the two benchmarks are detailed in Sec. 3.3.

# 3.1 Data Collection

**Dataset Integration.** We integrate five commonly used portrait video datasets with rich facial action and emotion to obtain the candidate video set for our 3D facial motion. (1) **CelebV-HQ** [87], a large-scale and high-quality in-the-wild face video dataset with manually labeled facial action and emotion labels. We remove videos with actions that are hard to see on 3D head, including "kiss", "listen to music", "play instrument", "smoke", and "whisper",

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

Anonymous Authors

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464



Figure 2: Dataset construction pipeline. We first integrate five portrait video datasets, and filter the data to obtain the candidate videos for constructing our 3D facial animation dataset. Then, high-precision 3D facial motion sequences are obtained from candidate videos through monocular 3D face reconstruction, FLAME parameter optimization, and manual screening in turn. Finally, we utilize ChatGPT with well-designed prompts to obtain abstract and fine-grained text annotations.

and reuse the remaining action and emotion labels in our automatic text annotation pipeline for abstract text descriptions. (2) CelebV-Text [76], a large-scale and high-quality in-the-wild dataset with facial text-video pairs. Although it has text descriptions for dynamic actions and emotions, it often contains useless descriptions and emotion annotations that change too frequently. To this end, we remove those videos with emotion changes more than four times, and only use the action and emotion labels of the CelebV-Text dataset. Similar to CelebV-HQ, we remove videos with inconspicuous action labels, here "squint" action is also removed. (3) MEAD [63], a lab-collected high-quality multi-view emotional talking head video dataset, in which each speaker speaks with 8 emotions in three intensity levels. Here we only use the frontal videos. To balance talking with other actions as well as achieve more obvious emotion labels, we only use the level-3 data for each emotion in the dataset. (4) RAVDESS [35], a lab-collected high-quality emotional talking and singing video dataset with 8 emotions. Here we use all of its data. (5) Multiface [68], a lab-collected multi-view facial animation dataset with various facial expressions and several talking sequences. Here we only use the frontal videos of recognizable expressions.

Video Filtering. We first unify all the portrait videos we got above into 25 FPS, and then remove videos with more than 200 frames. Moreover, we filter out videos with poor audio quality, since the synchronization between audio and facial motion, especially mouth motion, is of great importance, however, out-of-sync sound and picture, excessive background noise or background music, and multiple people speaking together in one video are common in inthe-wild videos. We follow VoxCeleb [7] and utilize SyncNet [8], a pre-trained model for determining the audio-video synchronization, 392 to get the confidence score of each in-the-wild portrait video, and 393 then filter out videos with confidence score lower than a specified 394 threshold. 395

# 3.2 Automatic Annotation Pipeline

3D Facial Motion Reconstruction. We utilize sequences of ex-398 pression (50 dimensions) and pose (6 dimensions) parameters of a 399 commonly used parametric head model, FLAME [32], to represent 400 3D facial motion. To reconstruct FLAME parameters from portrait 401 videos, we select a state-of-the-art emotion-preserving monocular 402 3D face reconstruction method, EMOCA [12, 19, 20], to estimate 403 FLAME parameters for each frame. However, due to the shaking of 404 the people and complex background in the videos, frame-by-frame 405



# Figure 3: Text annotation pipeline with well-designed prompts. We use different prompts and input information to annotate each data with five types of text descriptions separately by ChatGPT.

reconstruction results may exhibit instability, such as incorrect shapes and jittery motions. To solve this problem, we first sieve out the outliers of the estimated FLAME parameters through Box Plot and replace them with the values of neighboring points, and then smooth the expression and pose parameters via per-video optimization. All 3D facial motion sequences are manually checked to guarantee quality. For more details, please refer to the Sup. Mat. Text Annotation. We annotate each 3D facial motion with rich text descriptions including abstract action and emotion descriptions, fine-grained facial and head movements descriptions, and three possible scenarios that may cause such emotion. These five types of text descriptions are annotated separately as illustrated in Fig. 3. For full content and format of the well-designed prompts, please refer to the Sup. Mat. (1) Abstract action. Since the lab-collected part of our candidate video set only contains one action in "talking", "singing" and "making a facial expression", we predefine seven text descriptions for each of these three actions, and then randomly select one of the seven predefined texts as the abstract action text description for each 3D facial motion from lab-collected videos. As for 3D facial motions from in-the-wild videos, multiple actions may occur in one motion sequence and we only have the action labels that are not strictly in chronological order, so we feed ChatGPT [38] additional information including per frame activated AU [36, 60] and head pose labels with their intensity values, and let ChatGPT deduce the chronological order of the action labels, and then give

396

397

MMHead: Towards Fine-grained Multi-modal 3D Facial Animation

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia



Figure 4: Overview of our MM2Face framework. In stage I, we utilize a VQ-VAE  $\mathcal{V}$  to tokenize the FLAME facial motions  $F_{1:T}$  to a sequence of motion tokens. Then in stage II, we utilize a causal auto-regressive transformer MM2Face  $\mathcal{G}$  to generate discrete motion tokens  $\tilde{F}$  sequentially from audio and text inputs.

an abstract action text description according to the chronological order. (2) Abstract emotion. We let ChatGPT string the given emotion labels (borrow from original video datasets) into a natural text description which will serve as our abstract emotion annotation. (3) Fine-grained facial movements (i.e., expression). We find that letting ChatGPT annotate detailed facial and head movements separately can achieve better performance than annotating them together. Here we feed ChatGPT per frame-activated AU labels together with their intensity values, and ask ChatGPT to summarize them in chronological order to obtain the fine-grained facial movements text descriptions. (4) Fine-grained head movements (*i.e.*, head pose). We define seven types of head pose state labels according to the neck rotation vector of the FLAME [32] model, and feed ChatGPT per frame head pose labels with corresponding rotation angles to obtain the fine-grained head movements text descriptions. (5) Scenarios. We finally ask ChatGPT to imagine three possible scenarios that may cause the person to experience the emotions annotated in (2). Note that these hierarchical text descriptions can be automatically annotated in batches, which can be easily extended to larger datasets.

#### 3.3 Dataset Analysis

Data Statistics. As described above, we construct MMHead from five online portrait video datasets and end up with 35903 3D facial motion sequences up to 49 hours in total. Specifically, there are 10505, 11128, 11313, 2452, 505 motion sequences from CelebV-HQ [87], CelebV-Text [76], MEAD [63], RAVDESS [35], Multiface [68], respectively. Each 3D facial motion is annotated with five types of text annotations including abstract action and emotion, fine-grained facial and head movements, and three possible scenarios. For more details about the motion duration, action and emotion categories statistics, please refer to the Sup. Mat. 

Dataset partitioning for Two Benchmarks. We benchmark two
 tasks based on the MMHead dataset. For text-induced talking head
 animation (Benchmark I), we use a total of 28466 3D facial motion

sequences related to "talk", "sing", and "read". For text-to-3D facial motion generation (Benchmark II), we use 7937 sequences in total, including 505 sequences with various facial expressions from the Multiface dataset, 250 talking, and 250 singing sequences randomly sampled from the data used in benchmark I, and a total of 6932 sequences for all actions other than "talk", "sing", and "read".

# 4 MM2FACE METHOD

We explore a simple but efficient two-stage method **MM2Face** that can handle both newly proposed text-induced 3D talking head animation and text-to-3D facial motion generation tasks. MM2Face can synthesize diverse and plausible 3D facial motions given a speech audio sequence (optional) and a fine-grained text description.

Our MM2Face framework consisting of two stages is illustrated in Fig. 4. In the first stage (section. 4.1), given a 3D facial motion sequence, we utilize a motion tokenizer to extract discrete and compact 3D facial motion tokens. In the second stage (section. 4.2), we conduct an auto-regressive token modeling task in discrete token space using our MM2Face transformer [59]. During inference, MM2Face iteratively predicts the likelihood of motion tokens in each step hence allows for non-deterministic motion generation.

#### 4.1 Facial Motion Tokenization

In stage I, we utilize VQ-VAE to extract meaningful discrete facial motion representations. We utilize a 1D CNN-based network [26] to train a facial motion VQ-VAE  $\mathcal{V}$  on diverse 3D facial motion data, which consists of a motion encoder  $\mathcal{E}$ , a vector quantization network Q, a codebook  $\mathcal{B}$ , a motion decoder  $\mathcal{D}$ . Given a motion sequence  $F_{1:T} = (F_1, F_2, ..., F_T) \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times d}$ , where T denotes the motion frame number and d denotes the dimension of FLAME parameters. The encoder  $\mathcal{E}$  extract meaningful feature vectors  $z = (z_1, z_2, ..., z_{T'})$  from F, where T' = T/r, r is the temporal downsampling rate (r = 4 in our paper). Then the feature vector zis processed by the vector quantization network to get quantized features  $\hat{z} = (\hat{z}_1, ..., \hat{z}_{T'})$  via searching nearest neighbors in the

codebook  $\mathcal{B}$ , which can be written as:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_{j} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{b}_{k} \in \mathcal{B}} ||\boldsymbol{z}_{j} - \boldsymbol{b}_{k}||. \tag{1}$$

Finally, the quantized feature  $\hat{z}$  is fed into the motion decoder  $\mathcal{D}$  to reconstruct human motions *F*. Through the process, we can convert facial motion data to discrete features and their corresponding codebook indices *I*, *i.e.*, motion tokens.

**Training Loss.** We adopt the motion reconstruction loss, embedding commitment loss for training. The reconstruction loss is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{rec} = \mathcal{L}_{mse}(F_{1:T}, \tilde{F}_{1:T}) + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{mse}(V(F_{1:T}), V(\tilde{F}_{1:T})), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{mse}$  denotes the MSE loss function,  $V(F_{1:T}) = F_{2:T} - F_{1:T-1}$  denotes the velocity of *F*.  $\alpha$  is a hyper-parameter.

The commitment loss is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{commit} = ||sq(\hat{z}) - z|| + \beta ||sq(z) - \hat{z}||, \tag{3}$$

where  $\beta$  is a hyper-parameter, *sg* denotes the stop gradient operation. Finally, we combine these losses  $\mathcal{L}_{vq} = \mathcal{L}_{rec} + \mathcal{L}_{commit}$  to optimize the VQ-VAE network.

## 4.2 Auto-regressive Token Modeling

After converting all the facial motions to discrete tokens, autoregressive token modeling is conducted to train our MM2Face transformer model  $\mathcal{G}$ . The MM2Face transformer, as shown in Fig. 4, consists of an audio encoder  $\mathcal{A}$ , a full text encoder  $\mathcal{T}_{full}$ , hierarchical text encoders  $\mathcal{T}_{h1:h5}$  and a MM2Face transformer C.

Specifically, the audio  $A_{1:T}$  is fed into  $\mathcal{A}$  to extract dense audio features, we concatenate all fine-grained text descriptions into a full text and feed it into  $\mathcal{T}_{full}$  to obtain full text feature, fine-grained texts are separately fed into hierarchical text encoders  $\mathcal{T}_{h1:h5}$  to obtain hierarchical text features, then audio features and hierarchical text features are concatenated together as cross attention inputs. The MM2Face transformer *C* takes the ground truth motion tokens, audios, full and hierarchical text features as inputs and sequentially predicts the generated motion tokens  $\tilde{I}$ . Then  $\tilde{I}$  can be decoded to final generated facial motions  $\tilde{F}$ .

Architecture. Our audio encoder  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pre-trained state-of-the-art speech model wav2vec 2.0 [2], which is composed of a temporal convolution-based network and a multi-layer transformer encoder.  $\mathcal A$  takes the audio inputs  $A_{1:T}$  and output the audio feature. The text encoder  ${\mathcal T}$  is a pre-trained distilbert [48] model. The MM2Face transformer C is a multi-layer transformer decoder. Each layer of C is composed of normalization layers, a self-attention layer, a feed-forward layer, and a cross-attention layer. 

**Biased Cross-attention.** Giving the concatenated hierarchical text features and audio features as inputs for cross-attention calculation, we specifically design a biased cross-attention mask *mask* in Fig. 4 to align the motion tokens with inputs:

$$Att = Softmax\left(\frac{Q^F(K)^T \times mask}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right),\tag{4}$$

where  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times d_k}$  is the key of the concatenated hierarchical text features and audio features.

**Training Loss.** During training, we adopt the teacher-forcing strategy and directly maximize the log-likelihood of the facial motion token representations:

$$L_{MM2Face} = E_{x \sim p(x)} \left[ -logp(x|c) \right].$$
(5)

Anonymous Authors



Figure 5: Overview of text-to-facial motion retrieval method.

Dataset	R@1 ↑	R@2 ↑	R@3 ↑
HumanML3D [23]	0.511	0.703	0.797
Motion-X [34]	0.573	0.765	0.850
Inter-X [71]	0.429	0.626	0.736
Inter-human [33]	0.452	0.610	0.701
MMHead bench I subset	0.843	0.941	0.970
MMHead bench II subset	0.678	0.842	0.904

 Table 2: Text-to-3D facial motion retrieval performance against other text-to-3D motion datasets.

**Diverse Probabilistic Facial Motion Inference.** Different from previous deterministic methods [17, 70], our method can generate diverse facial motions with various head poses and expressions given input audio and text descriptions. Specifically, MM2Face iteratively predicts the likelihood of motion tokens in each step, then can stochastically generate the motion token in each step through predicted likelihood.

# **5 EXPERIMENTS**

In this section, we first validate quality of our collected MMHead dataset. Then we conduct experiments of MM2Face and other comparison methods on our proposed two benchmark tasks.

# 5.1 Evaluation of MMHead Dataset Quality

**Text-to-3D Facial Motion Alignment Evaluation.** We perform a text-to-motion retrieval task to evaluate the text-to-3D facial motion alignment degree in MMHead dataset. Concretely, we select TMR [43] which is a state-of-the-art retrieval method in 3D human motion area as the baseline method, and calculate the performance on both text-induced 3D talking head animation (benchmark I) and text-to-3d facial motion generation (benchmark II) subsets. We follow the common protocol [23, 33, 34, 71] by using the R-Precision which calculates the average top-1 to top-3 text-to-motion retrieval accuracy in each data batch as evaluation metrics.

Our detailed text-to-3D facial motion retrieval method is shown in Fig. 5. The fine-grained texts from different perspectives are concatenated together and fed into a transformer-based text encoder. The 3D facial motions are cropped and extracted to masked face region mesh sequences and 3D head poses, then are fed into a transformer-based motion encoder.

The results are shown in Table. 2, we compare our text-to-motion retrieval accuracy with other text-to-motion datasets. We can observe that our MMHead dataset subsets achieve the highest accuracy compared to other published text-to-3D human motion

MMHead: Towards Fine-grained Multi-modal 3D Facial Animation

6

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

754

Text R-Precision ↑			FID	Audio Match	Divorcity	IVF (mm)	EVE (mm)	
Top-1	Top-2	Top-3	TID↓	Audio-Match 1	Diversity $\rightarrow$	L v E (IIIII) ↓	I VL (IIIII) ↓	
0.843	0.941	0.970	0	31.70	50.84	0	0	
0.777	0.892	0.934	14.62	35.03	50.37	2.855	0.807	
0.353	0.477	0.548	667.7	34.53	44.17	6.790	1.692	
0.303	0.409	0.471	579.8	43.32	47.14	9.057	2.097	
0.286	0.399	0.478	708.4	33.19	43.23	6.766	1.680	
0.328	0.471	0.555	763.3	37.11	45.31	6.792	1.693	
0.703	0.853	0.905	72.03	32.78	50.53	7.905	1.903	
0.718	0.854	0.909	41.19	34.57	50.09	6.736	1.692	
	Text Top-1 0.843 0.777 0.353 0.303 0.286 0.328 0.703 <b>0.718</b>	Text R-Precis           Top-1         Top-2           0.843         0.941           0.777         0.892           0.353         0.477           0.303         0.409           0.286         0.399           0.328         0.471           0.775         0.853           0.776         0.853	Text R-Precision↑       Top-1     Top-2       0.843     0.941       0.777     0.892       0.353     0.477       0.303     0.409       0.286     0.399       0.328     0.471       0.328     0.471       0.328     0.471       0.328     0.471       0.328     0.471       0.328     0.471       0.475     0.555       0.703     0.853       0.718     0.854	Text R-Precision↑         Top-3         Top-3         FDD↓           Top-1         Top-2         Top-3         FDD↓           0.843         0.941         0.970         0           0.777         0.892         0.934         14.62           0.353         0.477         0.548         667.7           0.303         0.409         0.471         579.8           0.286         0.399         0.478         708.4           0.328         0.471         0.555         763.3           0.703         0.853         0.905         72.03           0.718         0.854         0.909         41.19	Text R-Precision ↑         FID↓         Audio-Match↓           Top-1         Top-2         Top-3         FID↓         Audio-Match↓           0.843         0.941         0.970         0         31.70           0.777         0.892         0.934         14.62         35.03           0.353         0.477         0.548         667.7         34.53           0.303         0.409         0.471         579.8         43.32           0.286         0.399         0.478         708.4         33.19           0.328         0.471         0.555         763.3         37.11           0.703         0.853         0.905         72.03         32.78           0.718         0.854         0.909         41.19         34.57	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

Table 3: Experimental Results for benchmark I: Text-induced 3D Talking Head Animation. The best and runner-up performances are bold and underlined, respectively.

datasets [23, 33, 34, 71], demonstrating that our text descriptions are accurate enough to conduct multi-modal generation tasks.

Audio-to-3D Facial Motion Alignment Evaluation. Similarly, we slightly modify the text-to-motion retrieval method in Fig. 5 by changing the text encoder to a Wav2Vec [2] audio encoder, and removing the 3D head pose as motion inputs. After conducting the experiments, the Top-1 to Top-3 R-Precision accuracy is 0.885, 0.942, and 0.962, demonstrating the great audio-3D face motion matching performance.

Self-atten.	Cross-atten.	Top1-3 R-pre↑	FID↓	LVE↓
FT	А	[0.610, 0.776, 0.840]	45.68	6.985
FT, HT	А	[0.714, 0.851, 0.906]	40.65	6.742
FT, HT, A	-	[0.656, 0.798, 0.864]	72.65	8.073
-	FT, HT, A	[0.699, 0.846, 0.908]	35.57	6.824
FT	HT, A	[0.718, 0.854, 0.909]	41.19	6.736

Table 4: Ablation study of different multi-modal information fusion strategies in MM2Face. 'FT', 'HT', 'A' denote full text, hierarchical text and audio respectively.

# 5.2 Text-induced 3D Talking Head Animation

The provided fine-grained textual annotations combined with corre-723 sponding audio 3d facial motions lead to a new task, i.e. text-induced 724 3D talking head animation. Since there are no methods designed for 725 this task, we select 5 state-of-the-art 3D talking head methods, i.e. 726 Faceformer [17], CodeTalker [70], Facediffuser [50], Imitator [56], 727 728 Selftalk [41] and modify them by adding a text encoder. Concretely, 729 for mesh-based methods [17, 41, 56, 70], we replace the template feature with the text feature, for parameter-based methods [50], we 730 concatenate the text feature with the audio feature. 731

732 Experiment setup. We adopt the common protocol to split our dataset into training, validation, and test sets with a ratio of 0.8, 733 0.05, and 0.15. We select the 56 dimensions FLAME parameters as 734 735 the input of our method and evaluate the generation performance on generated mesh sequences for fair comparison with other mesh-736 based methods. 737

Metrics. Previous approaches [17, 70] treat this task as a determin-738 739 istic prediction task instead of a generative task, hence only the accuracy-related metrics are calculated. To evaluate the diversity 740 741 and plausibility of generated motions, we follow the previous text 742 to human motion task [23, 24] and select Frechet Inception Distance (FID), text R-Precision, audio matching score, diversity and lip 743 vertices error [17] as our evaluation metrics: (1) FID, which is the 744 distribution distance between the extracted features of generated 745 motion and real motion by our pre-trained text-motion retrieval 746 network introduced in Fig. 5. (2) Text R-Precision: we calculate 747 748 the top-1 and top-3 text to motion retrieval accuracy as reported metrics. We specifically compute them by ranking the Euclidean dis-749 tance between the facial motion and text embeddings in a batch of 750 32 motion-text pairs. (3) Audio Matching Score is the average Eu-751 752 clidean distances between each gt audio feature and the generated 753 motion feature extracted by our audio-motion retrieval network

trained by the method mentioned in section. 5.1. (4) Diversity. We randomly select 300 motion pairs from generated motions. Then We extract motion features and compute the average Euclidean distances of each motion pair to compute motion diversity in test set. (5) Lip Vertex Error (LVE) [46] calculates the maximum L2 error across all lip vertices for each frame. (6) Face Vertex Error (FVE) calculates the average L2 error across all face region vertices for each frame.

Quantitative Evaluation. The quantitative results are summarized in Tab. 3 on MMHead dataset. For motion reconstruction, our VQ-VAE achieves considerable reconstruction performance against the real facial motion, demonstrating the excellent discrete representations. For motion generation, we can observe that our MM2Face achieves the best performance against other state-of-theart methods in almost all metrics. Concretely, the excellent Text R-Precision accuracy demonstrates that MM2Face is able to synthesize plausible 3D facial motions while correctly following the fine-grained text descriptions. The excellent Audio matching score, LVE and FVE demonstrate MM2Face's ability for precise motion generation. The FID score also indicates the generative distribution modeling performance of our MM2Face method.

Qualitative Evaluation. We also provide the qualitative results in Fig. 6. Our approach exhibits superior text and face alignment with accurate audio-visual correspondence. More qualitative results can be seen in supplementary material.

Ablation Study. Since our MM2Face method focuses on the multimodal generation of facial motions, we perform an ablation study of various modality fusion strategies. Considering self-attention and cross-attention are the two most popular fusion mechanisms, we conducted a detailed study. The experiment results are summarized in Table. 4. First, we can observe that merely utilizing full text results

757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812

755

#### Anonymous Authors

-caction> The portrait talks about something in the video clip. <emotion> The portrait looks happy throughout the video clip. <eemotion> The portrait watches their child take their first steps. <a href="https://www.clip.edu/documents/links/lin



Figure 6: Qualitative results of MM2Face on benchmark I: text-induced 3D talking head animation.

Mathada	Text	<b>R-Precis</b>	ion ↑	EID	Tout Matab	$\text{Diversity} \rightarrow$	
Methous	Top-1	Top-2	Top-3	ΓID↓	Text-Match ↓		
Real motion	0.678	0.842	0.904	0	19.14	33.39	
VQ-VAE (Recons.)	0.492	0.667	0.763	21.29	21.72	33.48	
TM2T [24]	0.316	0.481	0.559	77.21	25.35	32.17	
T2M-GPT [81]	0.331	0.495	0.598	22.36	24.62	32.91	
MDM [54]	0.214	0.327	0.404	64.45	27.82	31.82	
Our MM2Face	0.405	0.601	0.698	35.80	23.17	33.30	

Table 5: Experimental Results for benchmark II: Text-to-3D Facial Motion Generation. The best and runner-up performances are bold and underlined, respectively.

in poor generation performance, demonstrating the necessity of separating fine-grained texts. Second, fusing all text features and audio features through self-attention mechanism also produces bad results, it is because that self-attention is difficult to model the dense alignment between generated motion tokens and corresponding audio. Third, we can see that the remaining ablations produce slightly similar results but full text self-attention, hierarchical text, and audio cross-attention yield the best R-precision, hence our MM2Face method adopts this architecture.

## 5.3 Text-to-3D Facial Motion Generation

MMHead contains diverse facial expression sequences from specific face expression datasets and general facial motion datasets. Hence we explore a new text-to-3D facial motion generation task. We conduct experiments with the state-of-the-art text-to-3D human motion methods, i.e., MDM [54], TM2T [24] and T2M-GPT [81]. We re-implement these methods to adapt to our dataset format. Experiment Setup and Metrics. Similar to benchmark I, we select 56 dimensions FLAME parameters as motion representations and 



Figure 7: Qualitative results of MM2Face on benchmark II: text-to-3D facial motion generation.

adopt the Frechet Inception Distance, R-precision, diversity, and text matching score for evaluation. We re-train a text-to-3D facial motion retrieval network as introduced in Section. 5.1 in benchmark II subset for metric calculation.

**Quantitative Evaluation.** The quantitative results are summarized in Tab. 5 on the benchmark II subset of the MMHead dataset. We can derive that our method achieves the best performance against all metrics. T2M-GPT [81] achieves the second-best performance in FID, matching score, diversity and R-precision. MDM [54] achieves considerable FID performance but fails to capture the text-to-motion consistency according to R-precision. From the results, we derive that our MMHead dataset has the potential for further explorations.

**Qualitative Evaluation.** We also provide the qualitative results in Fig. 7. Our approach exhibits superior text and face alignment. More results are in the supplementary material.

# 6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we push forward the 3D facial animation task, and present MMHead, the first multi-modal 3D facial animation dataset with rich hierarchical text annotations including abstract action and emotion descriptions, fine-grained facial and head movements descriptions, and possible emotion scenarios. With MMHead, we benchmark two new tasks: text-induced 3D talking head animation and text-to-3D facial motion generation. Moreover, we propose a simple but efficient VQ-VAE-based method named MM2Face to explore the multi-modal information fusion strategies and generate diverse and plausible 3D facial motions, which achieves competitive performance on both benchmarks. We hope that the MMHead dataset and the corresponding benchmarks will promote in-depth research works on multi-modal 3D facial animation. MMHead: Towards Fine-grained Multi-modal 3D Facial Animation

## 929 **REFERENCES**

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

986

- Shivangi Aneja, Justus Thies, Angela Dai, and Matthias Nießner. 2023. Facetalk: Audio-driven motion diffusion for neural parametric head models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08459 (2023).
- [2] Alexei Baevski, Yuhao Zhou, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Michael Auli. 2020. wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations. Advances in neural information processing systems 33 (2020), 12449–12460.
- [3] James Betker, Gabriel Goh, Li Jing, Tim Brooks, Jianfeng Wang, Linjie Li, Long Ouyang, Juntang Zhuang, Joyce Lee, Yufei Guo, et al. 2023. Improving image generation with better captions. *Computer Science. https://cdn. openai. com/papers/dall-e-3. pdf* 2, 3 (2023), 8.
- [4] Huiwen Chang, Han Zhang, Jarred Barber, AJ Maschinot, Jose Lezama, Lu Jiang, Ming-Hsuan Yang, Kevin Murphy, William T Freeman, Michael Rubinstein, et al. 2023. Muse: Text-to-image generation via masked generative transformers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.00704 (2023).
- [5] Xin Chen, Biao Jiang, Wen Liu, Zilong Huang, Bin Fu, Tao Chen, and Gang Yu. 2023. Executing your commands via motion diffusion in latent space. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 18000–18010.
- [6] Shiyang Cheng, Irene Kotsia, Maja Pantic, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. 2018. 4dfab: A large scale 4d database for facial expression analysis and biometric applications. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 5117–5126.
- [7] Joon Son Chung, Arsha Nagrani, and Andrew Zisserman. 2018. Voxceleb2: Deep speaker recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.05622 (2018).
- [8] Joon Son Chung and Andrew Zisserman. 2017. Out of time: automated lip sync in the wild. In Computer Vision–ACCV 2016 Workshops: ACCV 2016 International Workshops, Taipei, Taiwan, November 20-24, 2016, Revised Selected Papers, Part II 13. Springer, 251–263.
- [9] Michael M Cohen, Rashid Clark, and Dominic W Massaro. 2001. Animated speech: Research progress and applications. In AVSP 2001-International Conference on Auditory-Visual Speech Processing.
- [10] Darren Cosker, Éva Krumhuber, and Adrian Hilton. 2011. A FACS valid 3D dynamic action unit database with applications to 3D dynamic morphable facial modeling. In 2011 international conference on computer vision. IEEE. 2296-2303.
- [11] Daniel Cudeiro, Timo Bolkart, Cassidy Laidlaw, Anurag Ranjan, and Michael J Black. 2019. Capture, learning, and synthesis of 3D speaking styles. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 10101– 10111.
- [12] Radek Daněček, Michael J Black, and Timo Bolkart. 2022. EMOCA: Emotion driven monocular face capture and animation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 20311–20322.
- [13] Radek Daněček, Kiran Chhatre, Shashank Tripathi, Yandong Wen, Michael Black, and Timo Bolkart. 2023. Emotional speech-driven animation with contentemotion disentanglement. In SIGGRAPH Asia 2023 Conference Papers. 1–13.
- [14] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. 2021. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in neural information processing systems 34 (2021), 8780–8794.
- [15] Pif Edwards, Chris Landreth, Eugene Fiume, and Karan Singh. 2016. Jali: an animator-centric viseme model for expressive lip synchronization. ACM Transactions on graphics (TOG) 35, 4 (2016), 1–11.
- [16] Patrick Esser, Robin Rombach, and Bjorn Ommer. 2021. Taming transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 12873–12883.
- [17] Yingruo Fan, Zhaojiang Lin, Jun Saito, Wenping Wang, and Taku Komura. 2022. Faceformer: Speech-driven 3d facial animation with transformers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 18770– 18780.
- [18] Gabriele Fanelli, Juergen Gall, Harald Romsdorfer, Thibaut Weise, and Luc Van Gool. 2010. A 3-d audio-visual corpus of affective communication. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 12, 6 (2010), 591–598.
- [19] Yao Feng, Haiwen Feng, Michael J. Black, and Timo Bolkart. 2021. Learning an Animatable Detailed 3D Face Model from In-The-Wild Images. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG), Proc. SIGGRAPH 40, 8 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1145/3450626. 3459936
- [20] Panagiotis P. Filntisis, George Retsinas, Foivos Paraperas-Papantoniou, Athanasios Katsamanis, Anastasios Roussos, and Petros Maragos. 2022. Visual Speech-Aware Perceptual 3D Facial Expression Reconstruction from Videos. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.11094 (2022).
- [21] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2020. Generative adversarial networks. *Commun. ACM* 63, 11 (2020), 139–144.
- [22] Chuan Guo, Yuxuan Mu, Muhammad Gohar Javed, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. 2023. MoMask: Generative Masked Modeling of 3D Human Motions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00063 (2023).
- [23] Chuan Guo, Shihao Zou, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Wei Ji, Xingyu Li, and Li Cheng.
   2022. Generating diverse and natural 3d human motions from text. In *Proceedings*

of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5152– 5161.

- [24] Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, and Li Cheng. 2022. Tm2t: Stochastic and tokenized modeling for the reciprocal generation of 3d human motions and texts. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 580–597.
- [25] Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Shihao Zou, Qingyao Sun, Annan Deng, Minglun Gong, and Li Cheng. 2020. Action2motion: Conditioned generation of 3d human motions. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 2021–2029.
- [26] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 770–778.
- [27] Shan He, Haonan He, Shuo Yang, Xiaoyan Wu, Pengcheng Xia, Bing Yin, Cong Liu, Lirong Dai, and Chang Xu. 2023. Speech4Mesh: Speech-Assisted Monocular 3D Facial Reconstruction for Speech-Driven 3D Facial Animation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 14192–14202.
- [28] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- [29] Biao Jiang, Xin Chen, Wen Liu, Jingyi Yu, Gang Yu, and Tao Chen. 2024. Motiongpt: Human motion as a foreign language. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
- [30] Minguk Kang, Jun-Yan Zhu, Richard Zhang, Jaesik Park, Eli Shechtman, Sylvain Paris, and Taesung Park. 2023. Scaling up gans for text-to-image synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 10124–10134.
- [31] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. 2013. Auto-encoding variational bayes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114 (2013).
- [32] Tianye Li, Timo Bolkart, Michael J Black, Hao Li, and Javier Romero. 2017. Learning a model of facial shape and expression from 4D scans. ACM Trans. Graph. 36, 6 (2017), 194–1.
- [33] Han Liang, Wenqian Zhang, Wenxuan Li, Jingyi Yu, and Lan Xu. 2024. Intergen: Diffusion-based multi-human motion generation under complex interactions. *International Journal of Computer Vision* (2024), 1–21.
- [34] Jing Lin, Ailing Zeng, Shunlin Lu, Yuanhao Cai, Ruimao Zhang, Haoqian Wang, and Lei Zhang. 2024. Motion-x: A large-scale 3d expressive whole-body human motion dataset. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
- [35] Steven R Livingstone and Frank A Russo. 2018. The Ryerson Audio-Visual Database of Emotional Speech and Song (RAVDESS): A dynamic, multimodal set of facial and vocal expressions in North American English. *PloS one* 13, 5 (2018), e0196391.
- [36] Cheng Luo, Siyang Song, Weicheng Xie, Linlin Shen, and Hatice Gunes. 2022. Learning multi-dimensional edge feature-based au relation graph for facial action unit recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01782 (2022).
- [37] Yifeng Ma, Suzhen Wang, Yu Ding, Bowen Ma, Tangjie Lv, Changjie Fan, Zhipeng Hu, Zhidong Deng, and Xin Yu. 2023. TalkCLIP: Talking Head Generation with Text-Guided Expressive Speaking Styles. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.00334 (2023).
- [38] OpenAI. 2023. ChatGPT. https://chat.openai.com/chat. Accessed: 2023-05-14. (2023).
- [39] Naima Otberdout, Claudio Ferrari, Mohamed Daoudi, Stefano Berretti, and Alberto Del Bimbo. 2022. Sparse to dense dynamic 3d facial expression generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 20385–20394.
- [40] Dongwei Pan, Long Zhuo, Jingtan Piao, Huiwen Luo, Wei Cheng, Yuxin Wang, Siming Fan, Shengqi Liu, Lei Yang, Bo Dai, et al. 2024. RenderMe-360: A Large Digital Asset Library and Benchmarks Towards High-fidelity Head Avatars. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2024).
- [41] Ziqiao Peng, Yihao Luo, Yue Shi, Hao Xu, Xiangyu Zhu, Hongyan Liu, Jun He, and Zhaoxin Fan. 2023. Selftalk: A self-supervised commutative training diagram to comprehend 3d talking faces. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 5292–5301.
- [42] Ziqiao Peng, Haoyu Wu, Zhenbo Song, Hao Xu, Xiangyu Zhu, Jun He, Hongyan Liu, and Zhaoxin Fan. 2023. Emotalk: Speech-driven emotional disentanglement for 3d face animation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 20687–20697.
- [43] Mathis Petrovich, Michael J Black, and Gül Varol. 2023. TMR: Text-to-motion retrieval using contrastive 3D human motion synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 9488–9497.
- [44] Ekkasit Pinyoanuntapong, Pu Wang, Minwoo Lee, and Chen Chen. 2023. Mmm: Generative masked motion model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.03596 (2023).
- [45] Anurag Ranjan, Timo Bolkart, Soubhik Sanyal, and Michael J Black. 2018. Generating 3D faces using convolutional mesh autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*. 704–720.
- [46] Alexander Richard, Michael Zollhöfer, Yandong Wen, Fernando De la Torre, and Yaser Sheikh. 2021. Meshtalk: 3d face animation from speech using crossmodality disentanglement. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 1173–1182.
- [47] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In

1041

1042

1043 1044

987

988

989

990

1046

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1102

Anonymous Authors

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 10684–10695.

- [48] Victor Sanh, Lysandre Debut, Julien Chaumond, and Thomas Wolf. 2019. DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01108* (2019).
- [49] Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. 2022. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792* (2022).
- [50] Stefan Stan, Kazi Injamamul Haque, and Zerrin Yumak. 2023. Facediffuser: Speech-driven 3d facial animation synthesis using diffusion. In *Proceedings of the* 16th ACM SIGGRAPH Conference on Motion, Interaction and Games. 1–11.
- [51] Zhiyao Sun, Tian Lv, Sheng Ye, Matthieu Gaetan Lin, Jenny Sheng, Yu-Hui Wen, Minjing Yu, and Yong-jin Liu. 2023. Diffposetalk: Speech-driven stylistic 3d facial animation and head pose generation via diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00434* (2023).
  - [52] Sarah L Taylor, Moshe Mahler, Barry-John Theobald, and Iain Matthews. 2012. Dynamic units of visual speech. In Proceedings of the 11th ACM SIG-GRAPH/Eurographics conference on Computer Animation. 275-284.
  - [53] Guy Tevet, Brian Gordon, Amir Hertz, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2022. Motionclip: Exposing human motion generation to clip space. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 358–374.
  - [54] Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yonatan Shafir, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Amit H Bermano. 2022. Human motion diffusion model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14916 (2022).
  - [55] Balamurugan Thambiraja, Sadegh Aliakbarian, Darren Cosker, and Justus Thies. 2023. 3diface: Diffusion-based speech-driven 3d facial animation and editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00870 (2023).
  - [56] Balamurugan Thambiraja, Ikhsanul Habibie, Sadegh Aliakbarian, Darren Cosker, Christian Theobalt, and Justus Thies. 2023. Imitator: Personalized speech-driven 3d facial animation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 20621–20631.
  - [57] Arash Vahdat and Jan Kautz. 2020. NVAE: A deep hierarchical variational autoencoder. Advances in neural information processing systems 33 (2020), 19667–19679.
     [58] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. 2017. Neural discrete representation
  - [58] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. 2017. Neural discrete representation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
  - [59] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
  - [60] Duomin Wang, Bin Dai, Yu Deng, and Baoyuan Wang. 2023. Agentavatar: Disentangling planning, driving and rendering for photorealistic avatar agents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17465 (2023).
  - [61] Haoyu Wang, Haozhe Wu, Junliang Xing, and Jia Jia. 2023. Versatile Face Animator: Driving Arbitrary 3D Facial Avatar in RGBD Space. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 7776–7784.
  - [62] Jiuniu Wang, Hangjie Yuan, Dayou Chen, Yingya Zhang, Xiang Wang, and Shiwei Zhang. 2023. Modelscope text-to-video technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06571 (2023).
  - [63] Kaisiyuan Wang, Qianyi Wu, Linsen Song, Zhuoqian Yang, Wayne Wu, Chen Qian, Ran He, Yu Qiao, and Chen Change Loy. 2020. Mead: A large-scale audiovisual dataset for emotional talking-face generation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 700–717.
  - [64] Qianyun Wang, Zhenfeng Fan, and Shihong Xia. 2021. 3d-talkemo: Learning to synthesize 3d emotional talking head. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.12051 (2021).
- [65] Haozhe Wu, Jia Junliang Xing, Hongwei Xu, Xiangyuan Wang, and Jelo Wang.
   2023. MMFace4D: A Large-Scale Multi-Modal 4D Face Dataset for Audio-Driven 3D Face Animation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09797 (2023).
  - [66] Haozhe Wu, Songtao Zhou, Jia Jia, Junliang Xing, Qi Wen, and Xiang Wen. 2023. Speech-Driven 3D Face Animation with Composite and Regional Facial Movements. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 6822–6830.
  - [67] Sijing Wu, Yichao Yan, Yunhao Li, Yuhao Cheng, Wenhan Zhu, Ke Gao, Xiaobo Li, and Guangtao Zhai. 2023. GANHead: Towards Generative Animatable Neural Head Avatars. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 437–447.
- Intern Regimton, 497 471.
   [68] Cheng-hsin Wuu, Ningyuan Zheng, Scott Ardisson, Rohan Bali, Danielle Belko, Eric Brockmeyer, Lucas Evans, Timothy Godisart, Hyowon Ha, Xuhua Huang, Alexander Hypes, Taylor Koska, Steven Krenn, Stephen Lombardi, Xiaomin Luo, Kevyn McPhail, Laura Millerschoen, Michal Perdoch, Mark Pitts, Alexander Richard, Jason Saragih, Junko Saragih, Takaaki Shiratori, Tomas Simon, Matt Stewart, Autumn Trimble, Xinshuo Weng, David Whitewolf, Chenglei Wu, Shoou-I Yu, and Yaser Sheikh. 2022. Multiface: A Dataset for Neural Face Rendering. In *arXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2207.11243
- [69] Weihao Xia, Yujiu Yang, Jing-Hao Xue, and Baoyuan Wu. 2021. Tedigan: Textguided diverse face image generation and manipulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2256–2265.
   [100] Jinha Ving Algendra Vin Versher Zihang Xing dang Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- [70] Jinbo Xing, Menghan Xia, Yuechen Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Jue Wang, and Tien-Tsin Wong. 2023. Codetalker: Speech-driven 3d facial animation with discrete

motion prior. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 12780–12790.

- [71] Liang Xu, Xintao Lv, Yichao Yan, Xin Jin, Shuwen Wu, Congsheng Xu, Yifan Liu, Yizhou Zhou, Fengyun Rao, Xingdong Sheng, et al. 2023. Inter-X: Towards Versatile Human-Human Interaction Analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.16051 (2023).
- [72] Yuyu Xu, Andrew W Feng, Stacy Marsella, and Ari Shapiro. 2013. A practical and configurable lip sync method for games. In *Proceedings of Motion on Games*. 131–140.
- [73] Karren D Yang, Anurag Ranjan, Jen-Hao Rick Chang, Raviteja Vemulapalli, and Oncel Tuzel. 2023. Probabilistic Speech-Driven 3D Facial Motion Synthesis: New Benchmarks, Methods, and Applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.18168 (2023).
- [74] Zhao Yang, Bing Su, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2023. Synthesizing Long-Term Human Motions with Diffusion Models via Coherent Sampling. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 3954–3964.
- [75] Jiahui Yu, Yuanzhong Xu, Jing Yu Koh, Thang Luong, Gunjan Baid, Zirui Wang, Vijay Vasudevan, Alexander Ku, Yinfei Yang, Burcu Karagol Ayan, et al. 2022. Scaling autoregressive models for content-rich text-to-image generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10789 (2022).
- [76] Jianhui Yu, Hao Zhu, Liming Jiang, Chen Change Loy, Weidong Cai, and Wayne Wu. 2023. CelebV-Text: A Large-Scale Facial Text-Video Dataset. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 14805– 14814.
- [77] Ye Yuan, Jiaming Song, Umar Iqbal, Arash Vahdat, and Jan Kautz. 2023. Physdiff: Physics-guided human motion diffusion model. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 16010–16021.
- [78] Yuanhao Zhai, Mingzhen Huang, Tianyu Luan, Lu Dong, Ifeoma Nwogu, Siwei Lyu, David Doermann, and Junsong Yuan. 2023. Language-guided Human Motion Synthesis with Atomic Actions. In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 5262–5271.
- [79] David Junhao Zhang, Jay Zhangjie Wu, Jia-Wei Liu, Rui Zhao, Lingmin Ran, Yuchao Gu, Difei Gao, and Mike Zheng Shou. 2023. Show-1: Marrying pixel and latent diffusion models for text-to-video generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15818 (2023).
- [80] Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoli Huang, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, and Xi Shen. 2023. T2M-GPT: Generating Human Motion from Textual Descriptions with Discrete Representations. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).
- [81] Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoli Huang, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, and Xi Shen. 2023. T2m-gpt: Generating human motion from textual descriptions with discrete representations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.06052 (2023).
- [82] Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. 2024. Motiondiffuse: Text-driven human motion generation with diffusion model. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2024).
- [83] Xing Zhang, Lijun Yin, Jeffrey F Cohn, Shaun Canavan, Michael Reale, Andy Horowitz, Peng Liu, and Jeffrey M Girard. 2014. Bp4d-spontaneous: a highresolution spontaneous 3d dynamic facial expression database. *Image and Vision Computing* 32, 10 (2014), 692–706.
- [84] Zheng Zhang, Jeff M Girard, Yue Wu, Xing Zhang, Peng Liu, Umur Ciftci, Shaun Canavan, Michael Reale, Andy Horowitz, Huiyuan Yang, et al. 2016. Multimodal spontaneous emotion corpus for human behavior analysis. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 3438–3446.
- [85] Yufeng Zheng, Victoria Fernández Abrevaya, Marcel C Bühler, Xu Chen, Michael J Black, and Otmar Hilliges. 2022. Im avatar: Implicit morphable head avatars from videos. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 13545–13555.
- [86] Yicheng Zhong, Huawei Wei, Peiji Yang, and Zhisheng Wang. 2024. ExpCLIP: Bridging Text and Facial Expressions via Semantic Alignment. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 38. 7614–7622.
- [87] Hao Zhu, Wayne Wu, Wentao Zhu, Liming Jiang, Siwei Tang, Li Zhang, Ziwei Liu, and Chen Change Loy. 2022. CelebV-HQ: A large-scale video facial attributes dataset. In *European conference on computer vision*. Springer, 650–667.
- [88] Kaifeng Zou, Sylvain Faisan, Boyang Yu, Sébastien Valette, and Hyewon Seo. 2023. 4D Facial Expression Diffusion Model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.16611 (2023).

1151 1152 1153

1154

1155 1156

1150

- 1158
- 1159
- 1160