PerLDiff: Controllable Street View Synthe SIS USING PERSPECTIVE-LAYOUT DIFFUSION MODEL

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Controllable generation is considered a potentially vital approach to address the challenge of annotating 3D data, and the precision of such controllable generation becomes particularly imperative in the context of data production for autonomous driving. Existing methods focus on the integration of diverse generative information into controlling inputs, utilizing frameworks such as GLIGEN or ControlNet, to produce commendable outcomes in controllable generation. However, such approaches intrinsically restrict generation performance to the learning capacities of predefined network architectures. In this paper, we explore the integration of controlling information and introduce PerLDiff (Perspective-Layout Diffusion Models), a method for effective street view image generation that fully leverages perspective 3D geometric information. Our PerLDiff employs 3D geometric priors to guide the generation of street view images with precise object-level control within the network learning process, resulting in a more robust and controllable output. Moreover, it demonstrates superior controllability compared to alternative layout control methods. Empirical results justify that our PerLDiff markedly enhances the precision of generation on the NuScenes and KITTI datasets.

025 026 027

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

1 INTRODUCTION

028 029

The advancement of secure autonomous driving systems is fundamentally dependent on the accurate perception of the vehicle's environment. Recently, perception utilizing Bird's Eye View (BEV) has seen rapid progress, markedly pushing forward areas such as 3D object detection (Li et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2023) and BEV segmentation (Zhou & Krähenbühl, 2022). Nonetheless, these systems necessitate extensive datasets with high-quality 3D annotations, the acquisition of which typically involves two consecutive steps: data scene collection and subsequent labeling. Each of these steps incurs significant expenses and presents considerable challenges in terms of data acquisition.

To mitigate issue of data scarcity, the adoption of generative technologies (Van Den Oord et al., 037 2017; Esser et al., 2021; Ho et al., 2020) has proven practical for reversing the order of data annotation. The paradigm of this approach is to use the collected annotation as controlling information to generate the corresponding lifelike images depicting urban street scenes. By implementing this 040 strategy, it is possible to dramatically lower the costs associated with data annotation while also 041 facilitating the generation of extensive long-tail datasets, subsequently leading to improvements 042 in the perception model's performance. Pioneering research, exemplified by BEVGen (Swerdlow 043 et al., 2024), harnesses the capabilities of autoregressive transformers (Van Den Oord et al., 2017; 044 Esser et al., 2021) to render detailed visualizations of street scenes. Additionally, subsequent studies such as BEVControl (Yang et al., 2023) and MagicDrive (Gao et al., 2023) employ diffusion-based techniques, including GLIGEN (Li et al., 2023b) and ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023), to integrate 046 controlling information through a basic cross-attention mechanism. However, these methods simply 047 extract integrated conditional features from controlling information to adjust the generation process 048 and are limited in making full use of detailed geometric layout information for accurate attention map manipulation. While these techniques make strides towards meeting the requirements for generating 3D annotations, Fig. 1 demonstrates that there remains significant potential for improvement 051 in scene and object controllability. 052

To this end, in this paper, we introduce the perspective-layout diffusion models (PerLDiff), a novel method specifically designed to enable precise control over street view image generation at the

082

083

084

085

086 087



(b) Scene Controllability

Figure 1: PerLDiff enhances controllability over BEVControl* and MagicDrive using geometric priors. *Top*: Demonstrates object controllability by adjusting the 3D annotation yaw by 90 degrees. *Bottom*: Shows scene controllability through the alignment of the street map with the generated image. Regions highlighted by red rectangles and yellow circles indicate areas where the generated images fail to achieve control and alignment with ground truth conditions.

088 object level. In addition to extracting integrated conditional features from controlling condition information, *i.e.* 3D annotations, our PerLDiff model explicitly renders perspective layout masking 089 maps as geometric priors. Subsequently, a PerL-based controlling module (PerL-CM) is proposed to leverage the geometric priors, *i.e.* perspective layout masking maps. Within PerL-CM, an innovative 091 PerL-based cross-attention mechanism is utilized to accurately guide the generation of each object 092 with their corresponding condition information. We integrate PerL-CM into the pre-trained Stable Diffusion model (Rombach et al., 2022) and fine-tune it on our training dataset. Consequently, 094 our PerLDiff incorporates the formidable generative capabilities of Stable Diffusion with the finely 095 detailed geometric priors of perspective layouts, effectively harnessing their respective strengths 096 for precise object-level image synthesis. Overall, our PerLDiff is capable of generating precise, 097 controllable street view images while also maintaining high fidelity (see Section 3 for details).

098 The main contributions of this paper are summarized as following three-folds: (i) We present PerLD-099 iff, a newly developed framework crafted to synthesize street view images from user-defined 3D 100 annotations. Our PerLDiff carefully orchestrates the image generation process at the object level 101 by leveraging perspective layout masks as geometric priors. (ii) We propose a PerL-based cross-102 attention mechanism that utilizes perspective layout masking maps from 3D annotations to enhance 103 the underlying cross-attention mechanism within PerL-CM. This method enables precise control 104 over the street view image generation process by integrating road geometry and object-specific infor-105 mation derived from 3D annotations. (iii) Our PerLDiff method attains state-of-the-art performance on the NuScenes (Caesar et al., 2020) and KITTI (Geiger et al., 2012) dataset compared to exist-106 ing methods, markedly enhancing detection and segmentation outcomes for synthetic street view 107 images. Furthermore, it holds the potential to function as a robust traffic simulator in the future.

108 2 RELATED WORK

110 111

2.1 DIFFUSION-BASED GENERATIVE MODELS IN IMAGE SYNTHESIS

- 112 Initially developed as a method for modeling data distributions through a sequence of Markov chain 113 diffusion steps (Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015; Song et al., 2020c;b), diffusion models have undergone 114 rapid advancement. Ho et al. (Ho et al., 2020) introduced denoising diffusion probabilistic models 115 (DDPMs), which have established new benchmarks in the quality of image synthesis. Following ef-116 forts have aimed to enhance the efficiency and output diversity of these models by investigating var-117 ious conditioning strategies (Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021; Choi et al., 2021), architectural adjustments, 118 and training methodologies to refine the image synthesis process (Hong et al., 2023). Nichol and 119 Dhariwal (Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021) proved that diffusion models can be text-conditioned to pro-120 duce coherent images that are contextually appropriate. Furthermore, advances such as multimodal-121 conditioned diffusion models have effectively utilized layout images (Li et al., 2023b; Zhang et al., 2023; Rombach et al., 2022; Qu et al., 2023), semantic segmentation maps (Li et al., 2023b; Zhang 122 et al., 2023), object sketches (Voynov et al., 2023; Mou et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023b; Zhang et al., 123 2023), and depth maps (Mou et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023b; Zhang et al., 2023) to inform the gen-124 erative process. These methods enable more targeted manipulation of the imagery, thus yielding 125 complex scenes characterized by enhanced structural integrity and contextual pertinence. 126
- 127
- 128 129

2.2 DATA GENERATION FOR AUTONOMOUS DRIVING

130 BEVGen (Swerdlow et al., 2024) represents the pioneering endeavor to harness an autoregressive 131 Transformer (Van Den Oord et al., 2017; Esser et al., 2021) for synthesizing multi-view images 132 pertinent to autonomous driving. Building upon this, BEVControl (Yang et al., 2023) introduces a 133 novel method that incorporates a diffusion model (Ho et al., 2020) for street view image generation, 134 and integrates cross-view attention mechanisms to maintain spatial coherence across neighboring camera views. Subsequently, MagicDrive (Gao et al., 2023) propels the field forward by refining 135 the method for controlling input conditions, drawing insights from ControlNet (Zhang et al., 2023). 136 DrivingDiffusion (Li et al., 2023a) further augments the framework by introducing a consistency 137 loss designed to achieve the perceptual uniformity requisite for high precision in the generation of 138 video from autonomous driving. Panacea (Wen et al., 2023) broadens the capabilities of the model 139 by tackling the challenge of ensuring temporal consistency in video. In contrast to the above ap-140 proaches, which primarily utilize controlling input conditions to steer the image generation process, 141 our PerLDiff exploits detailed geometric layout information from the input to directly guide object 142 generation with higher precision.

143 144 145

146

2.3 GEOMETRIC CONSTRAINTS IN IMAGE GENERATION

147 Incorporating geometric priors into image synthesis has been explored to a lesser extent. Work on 3D-aware image generation (Nguyen-Phuoc et al., 2019; Niemeyer & Geiger, 2021) suggests the 148 feasibility of integrating geometric information into generative processes to improve spatial coher-149 ence. Nevertheless, these methods often rely on complex 3D representations and may not be directly 150 applied to diffusion model frameworks. Recently, BoxDiff (Xie et al., 2023) reveals a spatial corre-151 spondence between the attention map produced by the diffusion model and the corresponding gen-152 erated image. During the testing phase, the geometric configuration of the attention map's response 153 values is adjusted to yield a more precise image generation. ZestGuide (Couairon et al., 2023) in-154 troduces a loss function that enforces a geometric projection onto the attention map, further refining 155 the shape of the attention map's response values to closely approximate the geometric projection 156 of the control information during the inference stage. However, utilizing text prompts to facilitate 157 the generation of complex urban environment layouts poses inherent challenges, owing to the need 158 for crafting intricate prompts to accurately depict urban environments. Furthermore, modifying the 159 cross-attention map to impose strict constraints during the denoising phase of inference can disrupt the intrinsic relationships, leading to a suboptimal approach to synthesizing controllable images. In 160 contrast, our PerLDiff incorporates geometric prerequisites as training priors to guide the generation 161 of street view images, offering a more effective solution.



Figure 2: Overview of PerLDiff framework for multi-view street image generation. our PerLDiff utilizes perspective layout masking maps derived from 3D annotations to integrate scene information and object bounding boxes. **PerL-CM** is responsible for integrating control information through employing PerL-based cross-attention (Scene & Object) mechanism, using PerL masking map (road & box) as geometric priors to guide object-level image generation with high precision. View cross-attention ensures consistency across multiple views, while Text cross-attention integrates textual scene descriptions to facilitate further adjustments.

3 **CONTROLLABLE STREET VIEW GENERATION BASED ON PERSPECTIVE** LAYOUT

In this paper, we introduce PerLDiff, depicted in the Fig. 2, which is designed to enable controllable 189 multi-view street scene generation using 3D annotations. Specifically, PerLDiff leverages perspec-190 tive projection information from 3D annotations as controlling condition within the training regimen and utilizes perspective layout masks as geometric priors, enabling accurate guidance in object gen-192 eration. In the following sections, we delineate the process of encoding the controlling condition information from the 3D annotations in Section 3.1. Additionally, we explain how incorporating the perspective layout knowledge ensures scene and object controllability in street view image genera-195 tion in Section 3.2.

196 197

198

179

181

182

183

184 185

187 188

191

193

194

CONTROLLING CONDITIONS ENCODING 3.1

Given 3D annotations of a street scene, our goal is to generate multi-view street images. To be 199 more specific, for controllable street view image generation, we extract not only scene information 200 (*i.e.*, textual scene descriptions S_d and street maps M revealing features such as road markings and 201 obstacles) but also object information (*i.e.*, bounding box parameters **P** and the associated object 202 category \mathbf{Y}) from 3D annotations as controlling conditions. These controlling conditions encompass 203 rich semantic and geometric information, so establishing a robust encoding method to utilize this 204 information is essential for generating street view images. Hereafter, we present our controlling 205 condition encoding approach. For simplicity, we omit the details of multi-view perspectives. 206

PerL Scene Information encompasses perspective scene images and supplemental data specific for 207 the whole scene. Typically, the selected scene for annotation is coupled with a street map of the 208 driving environment, which visually differentiates between the road and other background elements 209 using distinct colors. In addition, a generic textual description of the scene is customizable to align 210 with particular scenarios. We employ ConvNext (Liu et al., 2022) and the CLIP text encoder (Rad-211 ford et al., 2021) to encode the perspective road map, denoted as S_m , derived from the projection 212 of the street map and the textual scene description \mathbf{S}_d , respectively. This approach results in the generation of encoded scene features $\mathbf{H}_m \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times C}$ for the road map and $\mathbf{H}_d \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times C}$ for the textual 213 214 scene description: 215

$$\mathbf{H}_m = \text{ConvNext}(\mathbf{S}_m), \qquad \mathbf{H}_d = \varphi(\mathbf{S}_d). \tag{1}$$

216 PerL Object Information encapsulates perspective geometric data alongside object category infor-217 mation, which stems from the projections of annotated 3D boxes. This element plays a pivotal role 218 in enabling inverse 3D labeling. Through projecting 3D annotations onto their corresponding per-219 spective images, we ascertain eight 2D corner points for each bounding box within a single image, denoted as $\mathbf{P}_{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 2 \times 8}$, where M represents the maximum number of bounding boxes and eight 220 corresponds to the number of corners per bounding box. In conjunction with the object's categori-221 cal text $\mathbf{P}_c = {\{\mathbf{P}_{c_i}\}_{i=1}^M}$, we derive the encoded box geometric features $\mathbf{H}_q \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times C}$ and the box 222 categorical features $\mathbf{H}_c \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times C}$, which are illustrated as follows: 223

224

232

234

236

237

$$\mathbf{H}_{g} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{P}_{g}), \qquad \qquad \mathbf{H}_{c} = \varphi(\mathbf{P}_{c}), \tag{2}$$

225 where $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$ is the Fourier embedding (Mildenhall et al., 2021) function, $\varphi(\cdot)$ represents the pre-226 trained text embedding encoder of CLIP (Radford et al., 2021) and C representing the dimension of 227 features. Furthermore, we concatenate the encoded geometric features \mathbf{H}_{a} and categorical features 228 \mathbf{H}_c and subsequently pass the concatenated vector through a Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) (Taud & Mas, 2018) **F**, to achieve feature fusion $\mathbf{H}_b \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times C}$. The resulting fused box feature representation 229 230 is given by: 231

$$\mathbf{H}_b = \mathbf{F}([\mathbf{H}_a, \mathbf{H}_c]). \tag{3}$$

We subsequently input the encoded conditions into the denoising diffusion model to guide the gen-233 eration process. This is achieved utilizing PerL-based cross-attention mechanism that incorporates PerL masking maps, as detailed below. 235

3.2 OBJECT CONTROLLABILITY VIA PERL-BASED CONTROLLING MODULE

238 PerL-based Controlling Module (PerL-CM) is responsible for integrating controlling condition in-239 formation, which encompasses both the PerL scene and object information, into the latent feature 240 maps of noisy street view images. This integration is primarily achieved via the scene and object 241 PerL-based cross-attention mechanism. Initially, this mechanism assigns initial values to the atten-242 tion maps, under the guidance of road and box PerL masking maps. Throughout the training of 243 the network, these values are optimized to ensure that the response of the attention map accurately 244 corresponds to the regions where the objects are located. Subsequently, the information from both 245 the road map and the bounding box are sequentially integrated into the noise street view image. To more effectively integrate PerL scene and object information, a gating operation is used, similar 246 to the method employed in GLIGEN (Li et al., 2023b), which dynamically adjusts the contribu-247 tion of condition information according to the adaptive process. To ensure multi-view consistency, 248 View Cross-attention leverages information from the immediate left and right views for uniformity 249 across various perspectives. Additionally, Text Cross-attention manipulates the weather and lighting 250 conditions of street scenes using textual scene description. 251

PerL Masking Map (road & box) is comprised of PerL road masking map $\mathcal{M}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times 1}$ and PerL box masking map $\mathcal{M}_b \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times M}$, where H and W represent the height and width dimen-252 253 sions of the image, respectively. These masking maps are articulated as follows: 254

$$\mathcal{M}_s = \Upsilon(\mathbf{S}_m), \quad \mathcal{M}_b = \Phi(\mathbf{P}_a),$$
(4)

256 where $\Upsilon(\cdot)$ generates the masking map for the non-empty regions of the projected road maps. Mean-257 while, $\Phi(\cdot)$ produces the masking map corresponding to the inner region of each projected 3D 258 bounding box for every perspective image, enabling precise control at the object level. 259

PerL-based Cross-attention (Scene & Object) leverages the prior masking maps to enhance the 260 learning of cross-attention between the input controlling conditions and the noisy street view images. 261 As depicted in Fig. 3, the cross-attention map exhibits perceptual equivalence with the generated 262 street view image. However, this correspondence is imprecise and lacks the necessary alignment 263 during the training stage. To this end, our approach utilizes a PerL-based cross-attention mechanism 264 that incorporates geometric knowledge derived from both the scene context and the bounding box 265 into the computation of the cross-attention map. In PerLDiff, the road map and object bounding 266 box data are seamlessly merged with the noisy street view images throughout each stage of the 267 denoising process. For the sake of notational simplicity, the linear embeddings and normalization steps typically involved in the attention mechanism have been omitted. 268

269

$$\mathcal{A}_{s} = softmax(\lambda_{s} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{s} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{H}_{m}^{T}/\sqrt{d}), \quad \mathcal{A}_{b} = softmax(\lambda_{b} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{b} + \mathbf{Z}_{s}\mathbf{H}_{b}^{T}/\sqrt{d})$$
(5)

 270
 271

 272
 273

 274
 276

 BEVControl*
 BEVControl*

Figure 3: Visualization of cross-attention maps reveals perceptual congruency with the generated image. BEVControl* produces disorganized and vague attention maps, which result in inferior image quality. Conversely, our PerLDiff method fine-tunes the response within the attention maps, resulting in more accurate control information at the object level and improved image quality. See more qualitative examples in the Appendix D.

where λ_s and λ_b are weight parameters that control the influence of the masking map, d denotes the dimensionality, and $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}_b, \mathbf{Z}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times C}$ represent different noisy street view images. Here, $\mathcal{A}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times 1}$ characterizes the association between the road map and the noisy image, while $\mathcal{A}_b \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times M}$ clarifies the relationship between the conditions of the object bounding box and the noisy image. For visualization purposes, as shown in Fig. 3, we average \mathcal{A}_b along the second dimension and merge all object attention maps into one representation at step 50 of the DDIM process in the last block of UNet. The final noisy street view image is synthesized through an attention-based aggregation mechanism enhanced by a residual connection, which can be expressed as:

where γ_s and γ_b represent learnable parameters modulating the influence of respective conditions.

View Cross-attention is corroborated by preliminary works such as BEVControl (Yang et al., 2023),
 MagicDrive (Gao et al., 2023), DrivingDiffusion (Li et al., 2023a), and Panacea (Wen et al., 2023),
 plays an instrumental role in facilitating the synthesis of images that maintain visual consistency
 across varying camera perspectives. For additional information, please see the Appendix C.3.

Text Cross-attention enhances the Stable Diffusion (Rombach et al., 2022) model's ability to modulate street scenes through textual scene description. This capability is crucial for dynamically adapting the rendering of street scenes to accommodate various lighting and weather conditions. By integrating detailed textual scene description, our PerLDiff can effectively alter visual elements such as illumination and atmospheric effects, ensuring that the generated images reflect the specified conditions accurately. Please refer to Fig. 4 for qualitative examples of this enhancement.

305 306

307

282

283 284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291 292 293

294

3.3 DISCUSSION

In contrast to previous approaches for autonomous driving such as BEVControl (Yang et al., 2023), 308 MagicDrive (Gao et al., 2023), DrivingDiffusion (Li et al., 2023a), and Panacea (Wen et al., 2023), 309 which employ a basic cross-attention mechanism to integrate controlling condition information, our 310 PerLDiff leverages geometric priors via PerL masking map. This approach directs the generation of 311 each object with its respective control information during the training phase, effectively countering 312 the common misalignment between the attention map and condition information that often results 313 in compromised image controllability. For instance, the attention map of BEVControl (Yang et al., 314 2023), illustrated in Fig. 3, demonstrates disorganized patterns and lacks precision. Conversely, 315 our PerLDiff markedly enhances the accuracy of generated images and the granularity of condition 316 information at the object level by ensuring meticulous guidance within the attention map. For more 317 qualitative results, please see the Appendix D.

318 319

4 EXPERIMENTS

320 321

We assess PerLDiff's ability to control quality across multiple benchmarks, including multi-view 3D object detection, BEV segmentation and monocular 3D object detection. Subsequently, we conduct ablation studies to ascertain the contribution of each component within our proposed methodology. Table 1: Comparison of the controllability of street view image generation on NuScenes (Caesar et al., 2020) *validation* set. Our replication of BEVControl*, serving as the baseline, employs stan dard cross-attention mechanisms contrary to PerL-based cross-attention utilized in our PerLDiff.
 Outcomes demonstrating superior performance are highlighted in **bold**.

Method	Detector	FID↓	mAP↑	NDS↑	mAOE↓	Road mIoU↑
Oracle	BEVFormer	_	27.06	41.89	0.54	70.35
Oracle	BEVFusion	-	35.54	41.20	0.56	70.46
MagicDrive (Gao et al., 2023)	BEVFusion	16.20	12.30	23.32	_	61.05
BEVControl*	BEVFusion	13.05	9.98	19.61	0.94	60.74
PerLDiff (Ours)	BEVFusion	13.36	15.24	24.05	0.78	61.26
BEVGen (Swerdlow et al., 2024)	_	25.54	-	_	_	50.20
BEVControl (Yang et al., 2023)	BEVFormer	24.85	19.64	28.68	0.78	60.80
BEVControl*	BEVFormer	13.05	16.48	28.08	0.88	60.74
PerLDiff (Ours)	BEVFormer	13.36	25.10	36.24	0.72	61.26



Figure 4: Qualitative visualization on NuScenes demonstrating the effects of Text Cross-attention. From left to right: *day*, *night*, and *rain* scenarios synthesized by PerLDiff, highlighting its adaptability to different lighting and weather conditions. For more examples, see the Appendix D.

4.1 DATASETS

340 341

342 343 344

345

346

347 348

349

350 **NuScenes** dataset comprises 1,000 urban street scenes, traditionally segmented into 700 for training, 351 150 for validation, and 150 for testing. Each scene features six high-resolution images (900×1600), 352 which together provide a complete 360-degree panoramic view of the surroundings. Additionally, 353 NuScenes includes comprehensive road maps of the driving environment, featuring details such 354 as lane markings and obstacles. We extend the class and road type annotations similar to Magic-355 Drive (Gao et al., 2023) and NuScenes, incorporating ten object classes and eight road types for map 356 rendering. To address the resolution limitations of the U-Net architecture in Stable Diffusion (Ron-357 neberger et al., 2015), we adopt image resolutions of 256×384 as in BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022), 358 and 256×704 following BEVFusion (Liu et al., 2023).

KITTI dataset contains 3,712 images for training and 3,769 images for validation. KITTI dataset has only one perspective image and does not have road map information. Given the varied image resolutions in KITTI (approximately 375×1242), we pad them to 384×1280 for generative learning.

363 364 4.2 MAIN RESULTS

In this subsection, we assess our PerLDiff's generative quality through the perception results of several pre-trained methods: BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022), BEVFusion (camera-only) (Liu et al., 2023),
and StreamPETR (Wang et al., 2023a) for multi-view 3D detection; CVT (Zhou & Krähenbühl,
2022) for BEV segmentation; all trained on the NuScenes set, and MonoFlex (Zhang et al., 2021)
for monocular 3D detection trained on the KITTI set. Additionally, we leverage our synthesized
dataset to enhance the performance of various 3D detection models (*i.e.*, BEVFormer and StreamPETR) on the NuScenes *test* set, validating the effectiveness of our PerLDiff.

Controllable Generation on NuScenes. To evaluate the effectiveness of PerLDiff, we trained the
 model on the NuScenes *train* set and subsequently generated a synthetic *validation* set using the
 provided road maps and 3D annotations. The controllability of PerLDiff was examined by apply ing perception models, originally trained on the real *train* set, to our synthetic *validation* set. As
 summarized in Tab. 1, PerLDiff outperforms competing methods across most metrics, as tested with
 BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022) and BEVFusion (Liu et al., 2023). In a rigorous comparison, we replicated BEVControl (Yang et al., 2023) using identical settings, with the exception of our innovative

393

394

395

Table 2: Controllability comparison on KITTI (Geiger et al., 2012) *validation* set, showcasing vehicle mAP obtained by MonoFlex (Zhang et al., 2021) using data generated by our PerLDiff and the baseline BEVControl*. "NuScenes \rightarrow KITTI" denotes initial training on NuScenes *train* set followed by fine-tuning on KITTI *train* set.

Method		KII	TI		NuScenes \rightarrow KITTI			
	Easy↑	$Mod.\uparrow$	Hard↑	FID↓	Easy↑	$Mod.\uparrow$	Hard↑	FID↓
Oracle	22.29	15.54	13.38	_	22.29	15.54	13.38	_
BEVControl*	0.33	0.29	0.39	39.47	1.32	1.51	1.64	32.96
PerLDiff (Ours)	11.04	7.44	6.03	39.03	13.12	9.24	7.59	31.70

Ground Truth BEVControl* PerLDiff (Ours) Figure 5: Qualitative visualization comparison on KITTI (Geiger et al. 2012). Red markers denot

Figure 5: Qualitative visualization comparison on KITTI (Geiger et al., 2012). Red markers denote instances where BEVControl* inaccurately generates output compared to PerLDiff and ground truth.

396 element: the PerL-based cross-attention mechanism. PerLDiff demonstrates notable improvements, 397 with increases of 8.62%, 8.16%, and 0.16% in mean Average Precision (mAP), NuScenes Detection 398 Score (NDS), and mean Average Orientation Error (mAOE), respectively, compared to BEVCon-399 trol* when using BEVFormer. With BEVFusion, it achieves gains of 5.26%, 4.44%, and 0.16% in these metrics against BEVControl*, confirming its effectiveness at a resolution of 256×384 . 400 The superiority of PerLDiff is further affirmed by BEV segmentation metrics (Zhou & Krähenbühl, 401 2022), significantly outperforming BEVControl* with a 0.52% increase in Road mIoU and a 4.66% 402 increase in Vehicle mIoU, validating the efficacy of the PerL-based cross-attention mechanism in 403 enhancing scene controllability. Regarding the Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) (Heusel et al., 404 2017) metric, our results are comparable to those of BEVControl*. While PerLDiff incorporates 405 prior constraints to ensure accuracy in object detection, this may adversely affect the details in the 406 background of the images. As illustrated in Fig. 14 of Appendix D, PerLDiff produces background 407 details that do not align with those of real images. 408

Compared to the state-of-the-art MagicDrive (Gao et al., 2023), our method demonstrates superior
performance across all metrics, particularly in the FID metric, reflecting an improvement of 2.84%.
Additionally, we achieve a 2.94% improvement in mAP and a 0.73% increase in NDS. These results
substantiate the strengths of PerLDiff in terms of both generation quality and controllability.

Controllable Generation on KITTI. The scarcity of training data in the KITTI dataset (Geiger 413 et al., 2012) often limits a generative model's ability to understand the relationship between control 414 information and image synthesis. To address this challenge, we implement two distinct strategies 415 for generating images within the KITTI framework: one strategy involves direct training using the 416 KITTI train set, while the other entails initial training on the NuScenes train set followed by fine-417 tuning on the KITTI train set. In Tab. 2, we present the results of monocular 3D object detection 418 on the KITTI validation set, utilizing a pretrained MonoFlex (Zhang et al., 2021) detector. As 419 illustrated in Fig. 5, the naive approach results in significant misalignment between the labels and 420 the corresponding generated images, leading to a considerable performance gap: 11.04 vs. 0.33 for 421 one metric and 13.12 vs. 1.32 for another. There are two main reasons for the observed differences. 422 First, the limited size of the KITTI dataset, which contains just 3,712 training images, impedes the learning process of traditional methods that do not utilize PerL masking map. Second, monocular 423 3D object detection is highly sensitive to accurate depth prediction. Depth is derived from the 2D 424 projected size and the estimated 3D size through perspective projection. Our method produces more 425 precise object sizing, thereby enhancing detection performance. More visual results on KITTI can 426 be found in the Appendix D. 427

Boosting Perception Models Using Synthesized Dataset. Generative models have become widely
 acknowledged as effective tools for data augmentation, thereby improving the generalization capabilities of perception models. To evaluate this approach, we leverage our synthesized dataset to
 improve the performance of various detection models on NuScenes *test* set. The gains presented in the second row of Tab. 3 confirm that augmenting with data annotated optimally (*i.e.*, using the

432 Table 3: Performance comparison for the boosting performance of 3D detection models using syn-433 thesized dataset on NuScenes (Caesar et al., 2020) test set using BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022) and 434 StreamPETR (Wang et al., 2023a). The "train + Real val" configuration serves as a benchmark, representing the ideal upper performance limit achievable. "Syn. val*" represents the synthetic 435 validation set generated by BEVControl. The numbers in parentheses indicate the performance dis-436 parity relative to the "train + Real val" configuration. 437

Training	Detector	mAP↑	NDS↑	mATE↓	mASE↓	mAOE↓
train		28.97	42.52	72.90	28.15	56.34
train + Real val	BEVFormer	32.20	45.44	69.43	27.40	52.88
train + Syn. val*	DEVFOIME	29.92 (-2.28%)	43.20 (-2.24%)	70.76 (+1.33%)	27.69 (+0.29%)	57.57 (+4.69%)
train + Syn. val (Ours)		31.66 (-0.54%)	44.91 (-0.53%)	70.09 (+0.66%)	27.56 (+0.16%)	55.05 (+2.17%)
train		47.84	56.66	55.91	25.81	47.40
train + Real val	StreamPETR	50.92	58.68	54.36	25.12	45.36
train + Syn. val*	SUCAMPETR	47.37 (-3.55%)	56.40 (-2.28%)	56.99 (+2.63%)	25.58 (+0.46%)	47.69 (+2.33%)
train + Syn. val (Ours)		49.07 (-1.85%)	57.92 (-0.76%)	55.71 (+1.35%)	25.57 (+0.45%)	47.08 (+1.72%)

44 446 447

448

449

450

451

> combined real NuScenes *train* + Real *val* set) is beneficial. In particular, the performance of BEV-Former (Li et al., 2022) and StreamPETR (Wang et al., 2023a) improved significantly after the dataset was augmented with real validation set. The most notable gains for BEVFormer were observed in the mAP and NDS metrics, which increased by 3.23% and 2.92%, respectively. Similarly, for StreamPETR, increases in mAP and NDS were recorded at 3.08% and 2.02%, respectively.

Furthermore, augmentations using synthetic *validation* set yielded competitive improvements that 452 almost matched the performance gains observed with real validation set. The gaps in performance 453 metrics, such as mAP, NDS, and mAOE, were minimal, thus solidifying the value of synthetic 454 augmentation compared to the train-only baseline. Specifically, BEVFormer and StreamPETR ex-455 hibited only slight gaps in mAP (0.54% and 1.85%), NDS (0.53% and 0.76%) and mAOE (2.17% 456 and 1.72%), respectively. In addition, these discrepancies were even less pronounced compared to 457 BEVControl*, highlighting the effectiveness of the PerL-based cross-attention mechanism.

458 459 460

4.3 ABLATION STUDY

461 To determine the effectiveness of the fundamental components within our PerLDiff, we perform 462 ablation studies concentrated on key elements: PerL-based cross-attention. 463

Effectiveness of PerL-based Cross-attention. To illustrate the impact of PerL-based cross-464 attention, we devised a comprehensive comparative experiment, the results of which are presented in 465 Tab. 4. Method (a) employs road map and 3D box as conditions, which are integrated into the model 466 using standard cross-attention (Yang et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2023) with the configuration mirroring 467 that of BEVControl*. "Box Mask" and "Road Mask" denote the process wherein the control in-468 formation is merged with the model through PerL-based cross-attention. Method (a) \rightarrow (b) signifies 469 the adoption of PerL-based cross-attention for the road map, leading to improvements of 0.44% in 470 Road mIoU and 0.32% in NDS. These gains underscore the augmented controllability achieved by combining the road map with PerL-based cross-attention and its efficacy in aligning generated data 471 with real observations. Additionally, Method (a) \rightarrow (c) results in marked improvements of 9.59% in 472 mAP, 7.99% in NDS, 0.14% in mAOE and 3.80% in Vehicle mIoU, strongly supporting the util-473 ity of PerL-based cross-attention in producing accurate data-annotation alignments for objects. To 474 optimally regulate elements of the background and foreground, Method (c), in contrast to baseline 475 Method (a), indicates increases of 8.62% in mAP, 8.16% in NDS, 0.16% in mAOE, 0.52% in Road 476 mIoU and 4.66% in Vehicle mIoU. These results validate the efficiency of PerL-based cross-attention 477 in enhancing image controllability. 478

Effectiveness of Masking Map Weight Coefficients. Tab. 5 examines the effects of varying the 479 masking map weight coefficients λ_s and λ_b , where higher values indicate a greater integration of 480 PerL knowledge into network learning. The table demonstrates that detection metrics improve with 481 increasing values of λ_s and λ_b within a certain range. However, the FID score also increases, under-482 scoring the significant role of PerL knowledge in the controllable learning process of the diffusion 483 model. For optimal controllability, we set the default values of λ_s and λ_b to 5.0 in the main text. 484

Qualitative Visualization. We assess the efficacy of our PerLDiff method against the baseline ap-485 proaches BEVControl* and MagicDrive, with all methods aimed at synthesizing perspective scene

Table 4: Ablation of the PerL-based cross-attention, reporting 3D object detection improvements using BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022) and BEV segmentation enhancements using CVT (Zhou & Krähenbühl, 2022). Numbers in parentheses indicate performance gains over the baseline.

Method	Road Mask	Box Mask	FID↓	mAP↑	NDS↑	mAOE↓	Road mIoU↑	Vehicle mIoU↑
Oracle			-	27.06	41.89	0.54	70.35	33.36
(a)			13.05	16.48	28.08	0.88	60.74	22.47
(b)	\checkmark		13.20	16.27	28.40	0.86	61.18	23.14
(c)		\checkmark	13.54	26.07	36.07	0.74	61.21	26.27
(d)	\checkmark	\checkmark	13.36	25.10 (+8.62%)	36.24 (+8.16%)	0.72 (-0.16%)	61.26 (+0.52%)	27.13 (+4.66%

Table 5: Ablation of different values of masking map weight coefficients λ_s and λ_b . We report the 3D object detection results based on BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022) and BEV Segmentation results based on CVT (Zhou & Krähenbühl, 2022).

Method	$\lambda_s \ \lambda_b$	FID↓	mAP↑	NDS↑	mAOE↓	Road mIoU↑	Vehicle mIoU↑
Oracle	_	-	27.06	41.89	0.54	70.35	33.36
(a)	1.0 1.0	12.87	22.30	34.08	0.73	61.31	25.03
(b)	3.0 3.0	14.03	24.41	35.75	0.74	60.58	26.82
(c)	5.0 5.0	13.36	25.10	36.24	0.72	61.26	27.13
(d)	10.0 10.0	14.24	24.98	35.52	0.76	61.75	26.62

images. As illustrated in Fig. 1, PerLDiff generates images of substantially superior quality compared to BEVControl* and MagicDrive, particularly in accurately depicting scene controllability and object controllability. More results can be found in Appendix D.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our PerLDiff introduces a streamlined framework that adeptly merges geometric con-straints with synthetic street view image generation, harnessing diffusion models' power for high-fidelity visuals. The architecture boasts a PerL-based controlling module (PerL-CM) that, through training, becomes seamlessly integrated with Stable Diffusion. Meanwhile, a cutting-edge PerL-based cross-attention mechanism guarantees meticulous feature guidance at the object level for precise control. Experiments on NuScenes (Caesar et al., 2020) and KITTI (Geiger et al., 2012) datasets confirm our PerLDiff's enhanced performance in image synthesis and downstream tasks like 3D object detection and segmentation. Flexible yet precise, our PerLDiff's method of PerL-based cross-attention with geometric perspective projections during training finely balances image realism with accurate condition alignment.

Limitation and Future Work. Fig. 6 depicts several failure cases of our PerLDiff, where the model erroneously generates vehicles with the front and rear orientations reversed, in contrast to the ground truth. This limitation arises from the usage of a PerL mask in our PerLDiff, which does not account for the orientation on the 2D PerL plane. Future endeavors may explore video generation, extending to work such as DrivingDiffusion (Li et al., 2023a), Panacea (Wen et al., 2023), and Driving into the Future (Wang et al., 2023b).





540 REFERENCES 541

549

555

581

- Holger Caesar, Varun Bankiti, Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Venice Erin Liong, Qiang Xu, Anush 542 Krishnan, Yu Pan, Giancarlo Baldan, and Oscar Beijbom. nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for 543 autonomous driving. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern 544 recognition, pp. 11621–11631, 2020. 545
- 546 Jooyoung Choi, Sungwon Kim, Yonghyun Jeong, Youngjune Gwon, and Sungroh Yoon. Ilvr: Con-547 ditioning method for denoising diffusion probabilistic models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.02938, 548 2021.
- Guillaume Couairon, Marlène Careil, Matthieu Cord, Stéphane Lathuilière, and Jakob Verbeek. 550 Zero-shot spatial layout conditioning for text-to-image diffusion models. In Proceedings of the 551 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 2174–2183, 2023. 552
- 553 Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances 554 in neural information processing systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
- Patrick Esser, Robin Rombach, and Bjorn Ommer. Taming transformers for high-resolution image 556 synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 12873–12883, 2021. 558
- 559 Ruiyuan Gao, Kai Chen, Enze Xie, Lanqing Hong, Zhenguo Li, Dit-Yan Yeung, and Qiang 560 Xu. Magicdrive: Street view generation with diverse 3d geometry control. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02601, 2023. 561
- 562 Andreas Geiger, Philip Lenz, and Raquel Urtasun. Are we ready for autonomous driving? the kitti 563 vision benchmark suite. In Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 564 2012. 565
- 566 Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. 567 Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017. 568
- 569 Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. arXiv preprint 570 arXiv:2207.12598, 2022. 571
- 572 Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:6840-6851, 2020. 573
- 574 Susung Hong, Gyuseong Lee, Wooseok Jang, and Seungryong Kim. Improving sample quality of 575 diffusion models using self-attention guidance. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International 576 Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 7462–7471, 2023. 577
- 578 Xiaofan Li, Yifu Zhang, and Xiaoqing Ye. Drivingdiffusion: Layout-guided multi-view driving scene video generation with latent diffusion model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07771, 2023a. 579
- 580 Yuheng Li, Haotian Liu, Qingyang Wu, Fangzhou Mu, Jianwei Yang, Jianfeng Gao, Chunyuan Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Gligen: Open-set grounded text-to-image generation. In Proceedings of the 582 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 22511–22521, 2023b.
- 584 Zhiqi Li, Wenhai Wang, Hongyang Li, Enze Xie, Chonghao Sima, Tong Lu, Yu Qiao, and Jifeng 585 Dai. Bevformer: Learning bird's-eye-view representation from multi-camera images via spatiotemporal transformers. In European conference on computer vision, pp. 1–18. Springer, 2022. 586
- Zhijian Liu, Haotian Tang, Alexander Amini, Xinyu Yang, Huizi Mao, Daniela L Rus, and Song 588 Han. Bevfusion: Multi-task multi-sensor fusion with unified bird's-eye view representation. In 589 2023 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA), pp. 2774–2781. IEEE, 590 2023.
- Zhuang Liu, Hanzi Mao, Chao-Yuan Wu, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Trevor Darrell, and Saining Xie. 592 A convnet for the 2020s. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and 593 pattern recognition, pp. 11976–11986, 2022.

629

635

- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101, 2017.
- Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and
 Ren Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. *Communications* of the ACM, 65(1):99–106, 2021.
- Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Yanze Wu, Jian Zhang, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, and
 Xiaohu Qie. T2i-adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image
 diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08453*, 2023.
- Thu Nguyen-Phuoc, Chuan Li, Lucas Theis, Christian Richardt, and Yong-Liang Yang. Hologan: Unsupervised learning of 3d representations from natural images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 7588–7597, 2019.
- Michael Niemeyer and Andreas Geiger. Giraffe: Representing scenes as compositional generative neural feature fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 11453–11464, 2021.
- Leigang Qu, Shengqiong Wu, Hao Fei, Liqiang Nie, and Tat-Seng Chua. Layoutllm-t2i: Eliciting layout guidance from llm for text-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 643–654, 2023.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal,
 Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual
 models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp.
 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer- ence on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
- Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomed ical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention– MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceed- ings, Part III 18*, pp. 234–241. Springer, 2015.
- Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 2256–2265. PMLR, 2015.
- Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502, 2020a.
- Yang Song, Sahaj Garg, Jiaxin Shi, and Stefano Ermon. Sliced score matching: A scalable approach to density and score estimation. In *Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 574–584. PMLR, 2020b.
- Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben
 Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13456*, 2020c.
- Alexander Swerdlow, Runsheng Xu, and Bolei Zhou. Street-view image generation from a bird's eye view layout. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 2024.
- Hind Taud and JF Mas. Multilayer perceptron (mlp). *Geomatic approaches for modeling land change scenarios*, pp. 451–455, 2018.
- Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017.
- 647 Andrey Voynov, Kfir Aberman, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Sketch-guided text-to-image diffusion models. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2023 Conference Proceedings, pp. 1–11, 2023.

- Shihao Wang, Yingfei Liu, Tiancai Wang, Ying Li, and Xiangyu Zhang. Exploring object-centric temporal modeling for efficient multi-view 3d object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11926*, 2023a.
- Yuqi Wang, Jiawei He, Lue Fan, Hongxin Li, Yuntao Chen, and Zhaoxiang Zhang. Driving into the future: Multiview visual forecasting and planning with world model for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17918*, 2023b.
- Yuqing Wen, Yucheng Zhao, Yingfei Liu, Fan Jia, Yanhui Wang, Chong Luo, Chi Zhang, Tiancai
 Wang, Xiaoyan Sun, and Xiangyu Zhang. Panacea: Panoramic and controllable video generation
 for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16813*, 2023.
- Jinheng Xie, Yuexiang Li, Yawen Huang, Haozhe Liu, Wentian Zhang, Yefeng Zheng, and
 Mike Zheng Shou. Boxdiff: Text-to-image synthesis with training-free box-constrained diffusion.
 In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 7452–7461, 2023.
- Kairui Yang, Enhui Ma, Jibin Peng, Qing Guo, Di Lin, and Kaicheng Yu. Bevcontrol: Accurately
 controlling street-view elements with multi-perspective consistency via bev sketch layout. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.01661*, 2023.
- Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 3836–3847, 2023.
- Yunpeng Zhang, Jiwen Lu, and Jie Zhou. Objects are different: Flexible monocular 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3289–3298, 2021.
- Brady Zhou and Philipp Krähenbühl. Cross-view transformers for real-time map-view semantic
 segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recog- nition*, pp. 13760–13769, 2022.

The supplementary material is organized into the following sections:

- Section A: DDPM Preliminaries
- Section **B**: Implementation Details
 - Section C: Additional Experiments
 - Section D: Visualization Results

708 709 710

704

705

706

711

712

721

722 723

726 727 728

729

730

731

A DDPM PRELIMINARIES

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPM) (Ho et al., 2020) are a class of generation mod-713 els which simulate a Markov chain of diffusion steps to gradually convert data samples into pure 714 noise. The generative process is then reversed to synthesize new samples from random noise. We 715 commence with an observation x_0 sampled from the data's true distribution q(x), and then progres-716 sively apply Gaussian noise over a series of T time steps. The forward diffusion is mathematically 717 defined as $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t x_{t-1}}, \beta_t \mathbf{I})$, where β_t is a variance term that can be either 718 time-dependent or learned during training. The entire forward diffusion process can be represented 719 as the product of the conditional distributions from each step: 720

$$q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} q(x_t|x_{t-1}),$$
(7)

where the sequence $\{\beta_t\}_{t=1}^T$ specifies the noise schedule applied at each timestep. The diffusion process is notable for permitting direct sampling of x_t from x_0 using a closed-form expression:

$$q(x_t|x_0) = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}), \tag{8}$$

in which $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ and the cumulative product $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=1}^t \alpha_s$. To synthesize new samples, a reverse process known as the backward diffusion is learned, which conceptually undoes the forward diffusion. This inverse transition is captured through a parameterized Gaussian distribution:

$$p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t), \sigma_{\theta}^2(x_t)\mathbf{I}).$$
(9)

732 733 734 735

B IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Our PerLDiff utilizes the pre-trained Stable Diffusion v1.4 (Rombach et al., 2022), augmented with specific modifications to enhance scene control. Training was conducted on a server equipped with eight Tesla V100 (32 GB) GPUs over 60,000 iterations, which required two days. An initial batch size of 16 was adjusted to a per-GPU batch of two for focused optimization, particularly for data samples comprising six view images per frame. The generation of samples conforms to the CFG rule (Ho & Salimans, 2022), employing a guidance scale of 5.0 and the Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models (DDIM) (Song et al., 2020a) across 50 steps.

743 For scene manipulation, the text encoder within Stable Diffusion is retained, along with a weight-744 frozen CLIP to manage textual inputs and ConvNext for processing road maps. Feature extraction 745 from PerL boxes is conducted via an MLP, optimized through PerL-based controlling module (PerL-746 CM) with randomly initialized weights. In contrast, certain modules inherit and freeze pre-trained weights from Stable Diffusion v1.4. The key parameters within PerL-CM, λ_b and λ_s , are set to 747 5.0 to facilitate optimal image synthesis. Furthermore, DDIM (Song et al., 2020a) and CFG (Ho 748 & Salimans, 2022) are integrated into our training regimen, with a novel approach of omitting all 749 conditions at a rate 10% to foster model versatility. 750

The optimization process employs AdamW (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2017) without a weight decay coefficient and with a learning rate of 5×10^{-5} , complemented by a warm-up strategy during the first 1,000 iterations. BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022), StreamPETR (Wang et al., 2023a), and CVT (Zhou & Krähenbühl, 2022) were retrained using original configurations tailored to our target resolution. The performance of BEVFusion (Liu et al., 2023) and MonoFlex (Zhang et al., 2021) was assessed

The performance of BEVFusion (Liu et al., 2023) and MonoFlex (Zhang et al., 2021) was assessed using their provided code and pre-trained weights.

756 757	C ADDITIONAL EXPERIMENTS
758 759	In this section, we present additional experiments conducted to validate controllability at different resolutions (256×704) and to assess the contributions of individual components within our PerLD-
760	iff. Our studies focus on the following aspects:
761 762	• Effectiveness of Controllable Generation on NuScenes (Subsection C.1)
763	• Effectiveness of Classifier-Free Guidance Scale (Subsection C.2)
764	• Effectiveness of View Cross-attention for Multi-View Consistency (Subsection C.3)
765	• Effectiveness of Perl-based Cross Attention (Object) (Subsection C.4)
766 767	• Effectiveness of Multiplication in Perl-based Cross Attention (Subsection C.5)
768	• Effectiveness of PerLDiff Based on ControlNet (Subsection C.6)
769 770	Our results confirm the superior performance of our method across various resolutions and illustrate
771	how each component is integral to the success of our PerLDiff.
772 773	C.1 EFFECTIVENESS OF CONTROLLABLE GENERATION ON NUSCENES
774 775	In Tab. 6, we conduct a comparative analysis to emphasize the capabilities of PerLDiff for con- trollable generation at a resolution of 256×704 . This quantitative evaluation contrasts our method

In Tab. 6, we conduct a comparative analysis to emphasize the capabilities of PerLDiff for controllable generation at a resolution of 256×704. This quantitative evaluation contrasts our method with other leading approaches based on the detection metrics provided by BEVFusion (Liu et al., 2023). Our PerLDiff exhibits significantly superior performance, achieving mAP improvements of 3.84% and 11.50%, and NDS increases of 0.45% and 10.80%, compared to MagicDrive (Gao et al., 2023) and BEVControl*, respectively. These results confirm the efficacy of PerLDiff in the precise controllable generation at the object level.

Table 6: Controllability comparison for street view image generation on the NuScenes *validation* set. A quantitative evaluation using 3D object detection metrics from BEVFusion (Liu et al., 2023).

Method	FID↓	mAP↑	NDS↑	mATE↓	mASE↓	mAOE↓
Oracle	-	35.54	41.20	0.67	0.27	0.56
MagicDrive (Gao et al., 2023)	16.59	20.85	30.26	_	_	_
BEVControl*	15.94	13.19	19.91	0.94	0.34	0.96
PerLDiff (Ours)	15.67	24.69	30.71	0.82	0.28	0.76

C.2 EFFECTIVENESS OF CLASSIFIER-FREE GUIDANCE SCALE

In Tab. 7, we assess the effect of the CFG (Ho & Salimans, 2022) scale on the sampling of data generation. The term "scale" refers to the CFG scale, which is adjusted to balance conditional and unconditional generation. The transition from Method (b) to (e) indicates an increase in the CFG scale from 5.0 to 12.5. The results show an average increase of 2.87 in FID, an average decrease of 0.87% in mAP, an average reduction of 1.03% in NDS, a 0.02% increase in mAOE and a 1.07% drop in Vehicle mIoU. This provides substantial evidence that an excessively large CFG scale can degrade the quality of generated images and adversely affect various performance metrics.

Table 7: Comparison of different CFG (Ho & Salimans, 2022) scale to each metric. We report the
3D object detection results based on BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022) and BEV Segmentation results
based on CVT (Zhou & Krähenbühl, 2022).

Method	scale	FID↓	mAP↑	NDS↑	mAOE↓	Road mIoU↑	Vehicle mIoU↑
Oracle	-	-	27.06	41.89	0.54	70.35	33.36
(a)	2.5	12.36	23.89	36.03	0.70	60.05	26.95
(b)	5.0	13.36	25.10	36.24	0.72	61.26	27.13
(c)	7.5	15.52	24.62	35.60	0.74	61.52	26.63
(d)	10.0	16.32	24.20	35.05	0.73	61.43	26.00
(e)	12.5	16.86	23.86	34.98	0.74	61.25	25.55

	Input: road map features $\mathbf{H}_m \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times C}$, road masking map $\mathcal{M}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times 1}$, box feature
	$\mathbf{H}_{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times C}$, box masking map $\mathcal{M}_{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times M}$, scene text description features $\mathbf{H}_{d} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times C}$
	noisy multi-view street image feature $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times C}$, and dimension d (omit the detail of multi-
	view perspectives)
	Output: Updated Z
1:	$\mathcal{A}_s \leftarrow softmax(\lambda_s \cdot \mathcal{M}_s + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{H}_m^T/\sqrt{d})$
	// compute attention map for the road map in PerL-based cross-attention (scene)
2:	$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{s}} \leftarrow \gamma_{s} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{s} \mathbf{H}_{m} + \mathbf{Z}^{\top}$
3:	$\mathcal{A}_b \leftarrow softmax(\lambda_b \cdot \mathcal{M}_b + \mathbf{Z_s}\mathbf{H}_b^T/\sqrt{d})$
	// compute attention map for the box in PerL-based cross-attention (object)
4:	$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{b}} \leftarrow \gamma_b \cdot \mathcal{A}_b \mathbf{H}_b + \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{s}}$
5:	$\mathbf{\hat{Z}} \leftarrow \mathbf{Z}_b + \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Z}_b, \mathbf{Z}_l, \mathbf{Z}_l) + \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Z}_b, \mathbf{Z}_r, \mathbf{Z}_r)$
	// maintain visual consistency via View cross-attention
6:	$\mathbf{Z}^* \leftarrow softmax(\mathbf{\hat{Z}}\mathbf{H}_d^T/\sqrt{d})\mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{\hat{Z}}$
	// alter illumination and atmospheric effects by Text cross-attention

C.3 EFFECTIVENESS OF VIEW CROSS-ATTENTION FOR MULTI-VIEW CONSISTENCY

View cross-attention ensures the seamless integration of visual data by maintaining continuity and consistency across the multiple camera feeds that are integral to current multi-functional perception systems in autonomous vehicles. Typically, autonomous vehicles feature a 360-degree horizontal surround view from a BEV perspective, resulting in overlapping fields of vision between adjacent cameras. Consequently, we facilitate direct interaction between the noise maps of each camera and those of the immediate left and right cameras. Given the noisy images from the current, left, and right cameras, designated as \mathbf{Z}_b , \mathbf{Z}_l , and \mathbf{Z}_r , respectively, the output of this multi-view generation is given by:

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{Z}_b + \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Z}_b, \mathbf{Z}_l, \mathbf{Z}_l) + \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Z}_b, \mathbf{Z}_r, \mathbf{Z}_r),$$
(10)

where $C(\cdot)$ represents the standard cross-attention operation, which accepts three input parameters: query, key, and value, respectively. This approach systematically integrates spatial information from various viewpoints, enabling the synthesis of images that exhibit visual consistency across distinct camera perspectives. Fig.7 offers a visual comparison of the model output with and without the application of view cross-attention. Upon integrating view cross-attention into PerLDiff, the procedure of the PerL-CM is detailed in Algo. 1.



Figure 7: Comparative visualization of outputs with (left) and without (right) view cross-attention. Red markers highlight discontinuities in the images generated without view cross-attention.

C.4 EFFECTIVENESS OF PERL-BASED CROSS ATTENTION (OBJECT)

To facilitate a better understanding of PerLDiff, we provide a detailed explanation of the PerL-based cross-attention (Object). As shown in Fig. 8, MagicDrive utilizes text cross-attention from Stable Diffusion to implicitly learn a unified feature that concatenates text, camera parameters, and bounding boxes in the token dimension. In contrast, PerLDiff employs the PerL masking map as a prior, allowing each object condition to precisely control the corresponding pixel features. This results in more accurate positioning and orientation of objects in the generated images. Addition-ally, we integrated the object mask into the token dimension corresponding to the bounding box. As shown in Tab. 8, the results indicate improvements in BEVFormer, with NDS (e.g., 29.77 vs. 28.79 for MagicDrive) and mAOE (e.g., 0.73 vs. 0.81 for MagicDrive) demonstrating the effec-tiveness of PerLDiff in enhancing the performance of MagicDrive. Note that MagicDrive utilizes a single attention map for managing text, camera parameters, and boxes in the cross-attention process.
 Consequently, our ability to make improvements is constrained by the limited scope available for modifying the attention map within this architecture.

Table 8: Impact of integrating the PerL masking map (object) into MagicDrive. We present the 3D object detection results based on BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022), with outcomes showing superior performance emphasized in **bold**.

Method	FID↓	mAP↑	NDS↑	mAOE↓	mAVE↓	mATE↓
MagicDrive MagicDrive + Mask		15.21		0.81 0.73	0.57 0.56	0.95 0.89



Figure 8: Overview of the PerL-based cross-attention (Object). MagicDrive employs text crossattention to create a unified feature, while PerLDiff uses the PerL masking map to allow for precise control of pixel features for each object.

C.5 EFFECTIVENESS OF MULTIPLICATION IN PERL-BASED CROSS ATTENTION

In Tab. 9, we conducted ablation experiments based on Equation 11. The results indicate that all metrics are lower than those of PerLDiff. We attribute this performance decline to the degradation of the cross-attention maps, which are highly sensitive to changes in the Perl masking map. As illustrated in Fig. 9, the cross attention maps learned by the Mu*Mask method excessively influence background regions, ultimately leading to a decline in image quality.

$$\mathcal{A}_{s} = softmax(\lambda_{s} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{s} * \mathbf{ZH}_{m}^{T}/\sqrt{d}), \quad \mathcal{A}_{b} = softmax(\lambda_{b} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{b} * \mathbf{Z}_{s}\mathbf{H}_{b}^{T}/\sqrt{d})$$
(11)

Table 9: Ablation experiments based on Equation 11. We report the 3D object detection results based on BEVFormer (Li et al., 2022), BEVFusion Liu et al. (2023) and BEV Segmentation results based on CVT. Outcomes demonstrating superior performance are highlighted in **bold**.

Method	Detector	FID↓	mAP↑	NDS↑	mAOE↓	Road mIoU↑	Vehicle mIoU↑
Mu*Mask	BEVFusion	15.00	13.95	22.68	0.84	56.42	26.82
PerLDiff (Ours)		13.36	15.24	24.05	0.78	61.26	27.13
Mu*Mask	BEVFormer	15.00	23.01	33.62	0.78	56.42	26.82
PerLDiff (Ours)		13.36	25.10	36.24	0.72	61.26	27.13



Figure 9: Cross-attention maps learned by the Mu*Mask method, with green circles indicating excessive influence on background regions, leading to a decline in image quality.

C.6 EFFECTIVENESS OF PERLDIFF BASED ON CONTROLNET

In Tab. 10, we present an ablation study that replaces the architecture of PerLDiff with a ControlNetbased model trained only on view cross-attention in Stable Diffusion. As shown in Tab.10, the performance of the ControlNet-based model is inferior to that of PerLDiff. Furthermore, Fig. 10 illustrates that PerLDiff employs a network architecture similar to GLIGEN, allowing it to converge more quickly on smaller datasets, such as NuScenes, compared to the ControlNet architecture.

Table 10: Ablation study comparing PerLDiff with a ControlNet-based model. We present 3D object detection results based on BEVFormer, BEVFusion, and BEV segmentation results from CVT. Outcomes demonstrating superior performance are highlighted in **bold**.

Method	Detector	FID↓	mAP↑	NDS↑	mAOE↓	Road mIoU↑	Vehicle mIoU↑
ControlNet + Mask	BEVFormer	20.46	18.07	28.48	0.87	53.98	24.72
PerLDiff (Ours)		13.36	25.10	36.24	0.72	61.26	27.13
ControlNet + Mask	BEVFusion	20.46	10.45	15.29	0.89	53.98	24.72
PerLDiff (Ours)		13.36	15.24	24.05	0.78	61.26	27.13



Figure 10: Training curves of PerLDiff and the ControlNet-based network, illustrating that PerLDiff converges more rapidly during training.

D VISUALIZATION RESULTS

To further demonstrate the controllable generation capabilities of our PerLDiff, we present addi-tional visual results. Fig. 11 offers extended examples illustrating the superiority of PerLDiff in scene controllability, while Fig. 12 highlights its effectiveness in controlling object orientation. Comparative visualizations, as illustrated in Fig. 13, reveal that BEVControl* produces chaotic and indistinct attention maps leading to suboptimal controllability, PerLDiff optimizes the response areas of the attention map, resulting in accurate object-level control. However, since PerLDiff incor-porates prior constraints to ensure accuracy in object detection, this can adversely affect the details in the background of the images. As illustrated in Fig. 14, PerLDiff produces background details that do not align with those of real images.

Additionally, Fig. 15 displays scene alterations by PerLDiff to mimic different weather conditions or times of day, showcasing its versatility in changing scene descriptions. Moreover, we also demon-strate how PerLDiff can enhance the performance of the temporal-based model StreamPETR. It is worth noting that, based on our experimental results, the key for temporal-based detection models lies in accurately positioning and categorizing objects in each frame; detailed information about ob-jects, such as color and brand, is not crucial. As illustrated in Fig. 16, when provided with continuous frame inputs, the generated images by PerLDiff ensure that the positions and categories of objects, along with the road map, are consistently aligned with the specified conditions between adjacent frames. Finally, Fig. 17 presents samples from KITTI validation set, illustrating the application's performance in real-world conditions.



Figure 11: Qualitative comparison with MagicDrive. For scene controllability, PerLDiff demonstrates superior performance by generating images consistent with ground truth road information. Regions highlighted by yellow circles indicate areas where fail to align with ground truth conditions.



Figure 12: Qualitative comparison with MagicDrive. For object controllability, PerLDiff exhibits superior performance by generating objects at arbitrary angles. Regions highlighted by red circles denote scenarios where the generated images fail to achieve correct orientation.



Figure 13: Visualization of cross-attention map results. From left to right, we present the generated images and corresponding cross-attention maps from our baseline BEVControl* and our PerLDiff.



Figure 14: Qualitative visualizations of the generated images reveal discrepancies in background details. As indicated by the yellow circle, PerLDiff produces background elements that do not align with real images due to the incorporation of the PerL masking map.



Figure 15: Qualitative visualizations on NuScenes (Caesar et al., 2020): day, night, and rain scenarios synthesized by our PerLDiff, exhibiting adaptability to various lighting and weather conditions.



Figure 16: Qualitative visualizations from the NuScenes (Caesar et al., 2020). PerLDiff demonstrate consistent alignment of object positions and categories, along with the road map, when provided with continuous frame inputs, ensuring coherence between adjacent frames.



Figure 17: Visualization of street view images generated by our PerLDiff on KITTI (Geiger et al., 2012) *validation* dataset. We show the ground truth (left) and our PerLDiff (right).

hti	an01 cor	nmente	ed on Ma	ar 5										Colla	oorator	•••
Sin	nplely us	ing botl	h train a	nd val c	ataset	as train	and su	bmit the	e result	to the e	valuato	on server.				
0																
Figu	re 18:	Scre	ensho	t of r	elevar	nt Git	Hub	issues	illus	trating	that	includ	ing the	valid	ation	set ir
rain	ing is a	a com	mon p	oractic	e whe	en dea	aling	with s	mall c	lataset	s.					