



## Abstract

Prior probability models are a central component of many image processing problems, but density estimation is notoriously difficult for high-dimensional signals such as photographic images. Deep neural networks have provided state-of-the-art solutions for problems such as denoising, which implicitly rely on a prior probability model of natural images. Here, we develop a robust and general methodology for making use of this implicit prior. We rely on a little-known statistical result due to Miyasawa (1961), who showed that the least-squares solution for removing additive Gaussian noise can be written directly in terms of the gradient of the log of the noisy signal density. We use this fact to develop a stochastic coarse-to-fine gradient ascent procedure for drawing highprobability samples from the implicit prior embedded within a CNN trained to perform blind (i.e., unknown noise level) least-squares denoising. A generalization of this algorithm to constrained sampling provides a method for using the implicit prior to solve any linear inverse problem, with no additional training. We demonstrate this general form of transfer learning in multiple applications, using the same algorithm to produce high-quality solutions for deblurring, super-resolution, inpainting, and compressive sensing.

#### Image priors, manifolds, and noisy observations

Visual images lie on a low-dimensional manifold, spanned by various natural deformations.

Images on this manifold are approximately equally probable - at least locally. Probability of x being a natural image, p(x), is zero everywhere except for x drawn from the manifold.

An observed image, y, contaminated with Gaussian noise,  $z \sim$  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  is drawn from an observation density, p(y), which is a Gaussian-blurred version of the image prior.

Moreover, the family of observation densities over different noise variances,  $p_{\sigma}(y)$ , forms a Gaussian scale-space representation of the prior analogous to the temporal evolution of a diffusion process.

Noisy observation: y = x + z

The least squares estimate of the true signal is the conditional mean of the posterior:

 $\hat{x}(y) = \min_{\hat{x}} \int \|\hat{x} - x\|^2 \ p(x|y) \ dx$ x p(x|y) dx $= \int x \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)} dx$ 



Least squares denoising



Original image



#### **Exposing the implicit prior through Empirical Bayes estimation**

For Gaussian noise contamination, the least squares estimate may be written (exactly) as:

$$\hat{x}(y) = \int x \ p(x|y) \ dx$$
$$= y + \sigma^2 \nabla_y \log p(y)$$



This is Miyasawa's Empirical Bayes formulation (1961), which expresses the denoising operation in terms of the gradient of the prior predictive density, p(y).



Two-dimensional simulation/visualization: End of red line segments shows the least-squares optimal denoising solution  $x^{(y)}$  for each noisy signal, y.

# Solving linear inverse problems using the prior implicit in a denoiser

Zahra Kadkhodaie, Eero P. Simoncelli • New York University

#### **Drawing high-probability samples from the implicit prior**

#### Algorithm in a nutshell:

- Use denoiser-defined gradient to go uphill in probability
- Do this iteratively
- adapts to each noise level.
- This coarse to fine optimization procedure converges to a point on the manifold!
- Algorithm 1: Coarse-to-fine stochastic ascent method for sampling from the implicit prior of a denoiser, using denoiser residual  $f(y) = \hat{x}(y) - y$ . parameters:  $\sigma_0, \sigma_L, h_0, \beta$ initialization: t = 1, draw  $y_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0.5, \sigma_0^2 I)$ while  $\sigma_{t-1} \leq \sigma_L$  do  $h_t=rac{h_0t}{1+h_0(t-1)};$  $d_t = f(y_{t-1});$  $\sigma_t^2 = \frac{||d_t||^2}{N};$  $\gamma_t^2 = \left( (1 - \beta h_t)^2 - (1 - h_t)^2 \right) \sigma_t^2;$ Draw  $z_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I);$  $y_t \leftarrow y_{t-1} + h_t d_t + \gamma_t z_t;$  $t \leftarrow t + 1$ end

Schedule for noise amplitude,  $\gamma_t$ , ensures that noise drops as  $\sigma_t^2 = (1 - \beta h)^2 \sigma_{t-1}^2$ , where  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ 



Two sequences of images,  $y_t$ , from the iterative sampling procedure, with different initializations,  $y_0$ , and no added noise ( $\beta = 1$ ).



More samples arising from different inializations. Left: A moderate level of noise ( $\beta = 0.5$ ) is injected in each iteration. Right: A high level of injected noise ( $\beta = 0.1$ ).



Convergence of  $\sigma_t$  for three synthesized patches with different values of  $\beta$ . Convergence is faster than the scheduled rate.

• On each step, effect noise decreases, and effective prior becomes less blurred. Gradient step size automatically



Two-dimensional visualization: trajectory of our iterative coarse-to-fine inverse algorithm





### Solving linear inverse problems using the implicit prior

Given a set of linear measurements of an image,  $x^{c} = M^{T}x$ , where M is a low-rank measurement matrix, we use an enhanced version of our algorithm to recover the original image







middle row, and restored image is in the bottom row.

**De-blurring** 

























Algorithm 2: Coarse-to-fine stochastic ascent method for sampling from  $p(x|M^T x = x^c)$ , based on the residual of a denoiser,  $f(y) = \hat{x}(y) - y$ . Note: *e* is an image of ones.

initialization: t=1; draw  $y_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0.5(I - MM^T)e + Mx^c, \sigma_0^2 I)$ 

 $d_t = (I - MM^T)f(y_{t-1}) + (Mx^c - MM^Ty_{t-1});$ 

$$-(1-h_t)^2 \sigma_t^2;$$



Inpainting

Restored examples, with different random initializations

In all the following four linear inverse applications, original image is in the top row, corrupted image is in the

Super-resolution Resolution reduced by averaging over 4x4 blocks (dimensionality reduction to 6.25%).

10% of frequencies preserved.















**Compressive sensing** 

Measurement matrix M contains

random, orthogonal unit vectors,







**Random missing pixels** 10% of pixels prserved.







Further information: ArXiv 2007.13640, Jul 2020