Table A: Multi-scale (grouping) performance for LLaMA 7B and 13B on Wikitext2 where g indicates the group size. The perplexity consistently decreases as we take on more learnable parameters. Our table provides the results of a 3-bit group-wise OPTQ experiment conducted on LLaMA 7B and 13B, which can be compared with PEQA. Please note that there is a typographical error in Table 8 where the order of LLaMA 13B and 7B was mistakenly switched. The PEQA results remain as shown in Table 8, and a 3-bit group-wise experiment was additionally conducted for LoRA+OPTQ.

Model	Method	W Bits	Channel-Wise	g256	g128	<i>g</i> 64
LLaMA 7B	LoRA+OPTQ PEQA	$\frac{3}{3}$	17.79 <b>6.19</b>	10.15 <b>5.96</b>	12.92 <b>5.91</b>	10.12 <b>5.89</b>
LLaMA 13B	LoRA+OPTQ PEQA	3 3	7.53 <b>5.54</b>	5.76 <b>5.40</b>	5.61 <b>5.37</b>	5.48 <b>5.34</b>

Table B: Comparison of fine-tuning zero-points only, quantization scales only (PEQA), and both quantization scales and zero-points for LLaMA 7B and LLaMA 13B on Wikitext2 with only weights being quantized into 4-bit. The lower PPL, the better.

Model	Zero-points only	Scales only (PEQA)	Both zero-points and scales
LLaMA 7B LLaMA 13B	$11.56 \\ 9.83$	$5.84 \\ 5.30$	$5.86 \\ 5.34$

Table C: Comparison of Quantization-Aware PEFT with full fine-tuning, PEFT, PEFT+PTQ, PTQ+PEFT using LLaMA 65B on the DRAM usage and training time during fine-tuning, the DRAM storage for deployment, the inference acceleration, and task-switching efficiency. The DRAM usage estimation for PEFT is based on LoRA.

	Fine-Tuning		Deployment		
Method	DRAM	Tuning Speed	DRAM	Inference Speed	Task-Switching
Full Fine-Tuning	457GB	Slow	131GB	Slow	Slow
PEFT	131 <b>GB</b>	Fast	131GB	Slow	Fast
PEFT+PTQ	131GB	Fast	33GB	Fast	Slow
PTQ+PEFT	33GB	Fast	33GB	Slow	Fast
Quantization-Aware PEFT (Ours)	33GB	Fast	33GB	Fast	Fast

Table D: Peak memory usage of PEQA and LoRA for fine-tuning LLaMA 7B on Wikitext2 on a single NVIDIA A100 80GB GPU without gradient accumulation.

Batch Size	LoRA	PEQA
2	59GB	<b>43GB</b>
4	OOM	80GB