SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: TEXT ATTRIBUTED GRAPH NODE CLASSIFICATION USING SHEAF NEURAL NETWORKS AND LARGE LANGUAGE MODELS

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

A DATASETS

006

012

013 014 This section provides a detailed introduction to the datasets used in the main content:

Cora Sen et al. (2008) dataset consists of 2,708 scientific publications categorized into seven classes:
case-based, genetic algorithms, neural networks, probabilistic methods, reinforcement learning, rule
learning, and theory. Each paper in the citation network cites or is cited by at least one other paper,
resulting in a total of 5,429 edges. We use the collected dataset¹ with raw texts provided by TAPE He
et al. (2023).

CiteSeer Giles et al. (1998) dataset consists of 3,186 scientific publications categorized into six classes: Agents, Machine Learning, Information Retrieval, Database, Human Computer Interaction, and Artificial Intelligence. Our task is to predict the category of a paper based on its title and abstract.

WikiCS Mernyei & Cangea (2020) dataset is a Wikipedia-based dataset designed for benchmarking
 Graph Neural Networks. It is constructed from Wikipedia categories, specifically featuring 10 classes
 corresponding to branches of computer science, exhibiting very high connectivity. The node features
 are derived from the text of the corresponding articles. We obtain the raw texts of each node from
 https://github.com/pmernyei/wiki-cs-dataset.

OGBN-ArXiv Hu et al. (2020) dataset is a directed graph representing the citation network among all computer science arXiv papers indexed by MAG Wang et al. (2020). Each node corresponds to an arXiv paper, and directed edges indicate citations from one paper to another. The objective is to predict the 40 subject areas of arXiv CS papers, such as cs.AI, cs.LG, and cs.OS. These subject areas are manually determined and labeled by the paper's authors and arXiv moderators.

Arxiv-2023, proposed in TAPE He et al. (2023), is a directed graph illustrating the citation network among all computer science arXiv papers published in 2023 or later. Like OGBN-ArXiv, each node represents an arXiv paper, and directed edges denote citations from one paper to another. The objective is to predict the 40 subject areas of arXiv CS papers, including cs.AI, cs.LG, and cs.OS. These subject areas are manually determined and labeled by the paper's authors and arXiv moderators.

OGBN-Products Hu et al. (2020) is characterized by a substantial scale, comprising 2 million nodes and 61 million edges. We utilize a node sampling strategy, following TAPE He et al. (2023), to obtain a subgraph containing 54k nodes and 74k edges, resulting in the OGBN-Products(subset) dataset. Each node in this dataset represents products sold on Amazon, and edges between two products indicate that the products are purchased together. The task involves predicting the category of a product in a multi-class classification setup, using the 47 top-level categories as target labels.

045

046 047

048

051

052

B BASELINES

In this section, we provide a detailed introduction to the baselines used:

- Traditional GNNs: We adopt three simple but widely used GNN models in this work, *i.e.*, GCN Kipf & Welling (2016), SAGE Hamilton et al. (2017), GAT Veličković et al. (2018).
- GraphFormers Yang et al. (2021) is a graph transformer nested with GNN in each layer, originally designed for link prediction tasks.

¹https://github.com/XiaoxinHe/TAPE

054

084

085

090

092 093

094

095

097

098

099

103

Table 1: The interpretation comparison with LLM predictor methods on two cases. Case 1: a paper classification task on Cora dataset. The blue parts are the labels. The red parts are the wrong predictions, while the green parts are the correct predictions and the corresponding structurally relevant interpretations.

Text	0-hop	1-hop	Sheaf
Text (label: theory) Ti- tle: Constructive induction using a non-greedy strategy for feature selec- tion.; Abstract:We present a method for feature construc- tion and selection that finds a mini- mal set of conjunc- tive features that are appropriate to	0-hopBased on the content of the paper, the most suit- able category for this paper would be theo- retical"case-based meth- ods". The paper intro- duces a method for learn- ing adaptation strategies in case-based reason- ing systems, focusing on generating memory search plans through in- trospective reasoning	1-hop The two papers are re- lated by citation. The first paper presents a method for feature con- struction and selection that finds minimal con- junctive features for clas- sification tasks, focus- ing on minimal multi- level Boolean expres- sions. The second paper explores constructive in- duction in unsupervised	Based on the content of the paper, the most appropriate category for this paper would be "theory". The paper presents a method for con structive induction using a non-greedy strategy for fea ture selection. It focuses on developing a theoretical framework and algorithmic approach to identify a mini mal set The paper also
	1 2	1	mal set The paper also cites several references re- lated to theoretical machine learning
		pressions, the first paper falls under the rule learn- ing category.	

- NodeFormer Wu et al. (2022) is an efficient graph transformer for large graphs which develops a kernelized Gumbel-Softmax Jang et al. (2016) operator.
- Fintuned LMs: We adopt three widely used pre-trained language models: BERT Kenton & Toutanova (2019), SentenceBERT Reimers & Gurevych (2019), and DeBERTa He et al. (2020). The parameters of these models are fully fine-tuned in our experiments.
- GIANT Chien et al. (2021) is a cascading structure method with two stages: pretraining LMs and training GNNs. In the first stage, it enhances node representations by integrating structural information into LM pre-training. Then fine-tuned LM-generated node features serve as initial features for GNN training.
- GLEM Zhao et al. (2022) is an effective framework that fuses large language models and GNNs in the training phase through a variational EM framework. We used the source code² provided in the original paper.
- TAPE He et al. (2023) utilizes LLMs, like ChatGPT OpenAI (2023), to generate pseudo labels and explanations for textual nodes. Then it will finetune PLMs with the generated content and original texts. The enhanced features, derived from the fine-tuned PLMs, are used as initial node features for training GNNs.
- SimTeG Duan et al. (2023) is also a cascading structure method tailored for textual graphs. It employs a two-stage training paradigm, initially fintuning language models and subsequently training GNNs.
- Fine-Tuning methods: LoRA Hu et al. (2021), IA3 Liu et al. (2022), Prompt Tuning Lester et al. (2021), and LST Sung et al. (2022). These methods involve fine-tuning large language models to showcase experimental results on textual graphs.

Methods	Cora	CiteSeer	WikiCS
MLP	83.92±1.39	$91.00{\pm}0.95$	92.31±0.07
GCN	$90.22 {\pm} 0.89$	92.93±1.36	$93.63 {\pm} 0.24$
SAGE	$88.25 {\pm} 0.88$	$91.68 {\pm} 1.08$	$95.93 {\pm} 0.20$
GAT	89.70±1.72	$91.95{\pm}0.90$	$93.25{\pm}0.13$
SheaFormer	94.55±0.51	96.27±0.72	98.43±0.28

Table 2: Link prediction performance, as evaluated by AUC metric.

17								
18		Cora	CiteSeer	WikiCS	OGBN-ArXiv	ArXiv-2023	OGBN-Products	Ele-Photo
9	Learning rate	1e-3	1e-2	1e-2	1e-3	1e-2	1e-3	1e-3
	Batch size	32	32	32	32	32	32	32
	Optimizer	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW

Table 3: Hyper-parameters for fine tune of LLM baselines.

C LINK PREDICTION

Our method is not limited to node classification tasks, it can also be applied to edge-level or graphlevel tasks. In this section, we conduct experiments on link prediction tasks. We split existing edges into train:val:test=0.85:0.05:0.1 for all datasets.

131		Cora	CiteSeer	WikiCS	OGBN-ArXiv	ArXiv-2023	OGBN-Products	Ele-Photo
132 133	# Hidden size	64	64	64	64	64	64	64
133	# Layers	2	1	1	1	1	1	2
134	Norm	ID	ID	ID	ID	LN	LN	ID
	Activation	ELU	ELU	ReLU	ELU	ELU	ELU	ELU
136	Dropout	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
137	# Epochs	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
138	Learning rate	5e-5	5e-5	1e-3	1e-3	1e-4	1e-2	1e-3
139	Optimizer	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW	AdamW
140	Weight decay	5e-4	5e-4	1e-4	5e-4	5e-4	5e-4	5e-4
141	Early stop	True	True	True	True	True	True	True
142	Patience	500	500	500	50	50	20	20
143	Sampler	subGraph	subGraph	subGraph	subGraph	subGraph	subGraph	subGraph

Table 4: Hyper-parameters for GNN baselines. 'ID' means no norm layer(Identity), 'LN' denotes
Layer Normalization. For sampler, 'RWR' means random walk sampler with restart, and 'subGraph'
is one-hop subgraph sampler.

	Cora	CiteSeer	WikiCS	OGBN-ArXiv	ArXiv-2023	OGBN-Products
chatGLM3 +SheaFormer	92.93±0.45	79.04±0.68	82.20±0.77	78.25±0.41	80.70±0.37	81.36±0.62

Table 5:	Experimental	results of	different l	LLM.
----------	--------------	------------	-------------	------

²https://github.com/AndyJZhao/GLEM

162 REFERENCES

64 65	Eli Chien, Wei-Cheng Chang, Cho-Jui Hsieh, Hsiang-Fu Yu, Jiong Zhang, Olgica Milenkovic, and Inderjit S Dhillon. Node feature extraction by self-supervised multi-scale neighborhood prediction. In <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00064</i> , 2021.
67 68 69	Keyu Duan, Qian Liu, Tat-Seng Chua, Shuicheng Yan, Wei Tsang Ooi, Qizhe Xie, and Junxian He. Simteg: A frustratingly simple approach improves textual graph learning. In <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.02565</i> , 2023.
'0 '1 '2	C Lee Giles, Kurt D Bollacker, and Steve Lawrence. Citeseer: An automatic citation indexing system. In <i>Proceedings of the third ACM conference on Digital libraries</i> , 1998.
'3 '4	Will Hamilton, Zhitao Ying, and Jure Leskovec. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. <i>Proc. of NeurIPS</i> , 2017.
'5 '6 '7	Pengcheng He, Xiaodong Liu, Jianfeng Gao, and Weizhu Chen. Deberta: decoding-enhanced bert with disentangled attention. In <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.03654</i> , 2020.
'8 '9	Xiaoxin He, Xavier Bresson, Thomas Laurent, and Bryan Hooi. Explanations as features: Llm-based features for text-attributed graphs. In <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.19523</i> , 2023.
80 81 82	Edward J Hu, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In <i>Proc. of ICLR</i> , 2021.
3 34 35	Weihua Hu, Matthias Fey, Marinka Zitnik, Yuxiao Dong, Hongyu Ren, Bowen Liu, Michele Catasta, and Jure Leskovec. Open graph benchmark: Datasets for machine learning on graphs. <i>Proc. of NeurIPS</i> , 2020.
6 7 8	Eric Jang, Shixiang Gu, and Ben Poole. Categorical reparameterization with gumbel-softmax. In <i>Proc. of ICLR</i> , 2016.
9 0	Jacob Devlin Ming-Wei Chang Kenton and Lee Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In <i>Proc. of AACL</i> , 2019.
)1)2)3	Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907</i> , 2016.
4	Brian Lester, Rami Al-Rfou, and Noah Constant. The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning. In <i>Proc. of EMNLP</i> , 2021.
6 7 8 9	Haokun Liu, Derek Tam, Mohammed Muqeeth, Jay Mohta, Tenghao Huang, Mohit Bansal, and Colin A Raffel. Few-shot parameter-efficient fine-tuning is better and cheaper than in-context learning. <i>Proc. of NeurIPS</i> , 2022.
9 0 1	Péter Mernyei and Cătălina Cangea. Wiki-cs: A wikipedia-based benchmark for graph neural networks. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.02901</i> , 2020.
2 3	OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report, 2023.
, I 5	Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084, 2019.
6 7 3	Prithviraj Sen, Galileo Namata, Mustafa Bilgic, Lise Getoor, Brian Galligher, and Tina Eliassi-Rad. Collective classification in network data. <i>AI magazine</i> , 2008.
9	Yi-Lin Sung, Jaemin Cho, and Mohit Bansal. Lst: Ladder side-tuning for parameter and memory efficient transfer learning. <i>Proc. of NeurIPS</i> , 2022.
1 2 3 4	Petar Veličković, Guillem Cucurull, Arantxa Casanova, Adriana Romero, Pietro Lio, and Yoshua Bengio. Graph attention networks. <i>International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)</i> , 2018.
-	Kuansan Wang, Zhihong Shen, Chivuan Huang, Chieb-Han Wu, Yuviao Dong, and Anshul Kanakia

215 Kuansan Wang, Zhihong Shen, Chiyuan Huang, Chieh-Han Wu, Yuxiao Dong, and Anshul Kanakia. Microsoft academic graph: When experts are not enough. *Quantitative Science Studies*, 2020.

216 217	Qitian Wu, Wentao Zhao, Zenan Li, David P Wipf, and Junchi Yan. Nodeformer: A scalable graph structure learning transformer for node classification. <i>Proc. of NeurIPS</i> , 2022.
218 219 220 221	Junhan Yang, Zheng Liu, Shitao Xiao, Chaozhuo Li, Defu Lian, Sanjay Agrawal, Amit Singh, Guangzhong Sun, and Xing Xie. Graphformers: Gnn-nested transformers for representation learning on textual graph. In <i>NeurIPS'21</i> , volume 34, pp. 28798–28810, 2021.
222 222 223	Jianan Zhao, Meng Qu, Chaozhuo Li, Hao Yan, Qian Liu, Rui Li, Xing Xie, and Jian Tang. Learning on large-scale text-attributed graphs via variational inference. In <i>Proc. of ICLR</i> , 2022.
224	
225 226	
227	
228	
229	
230	
231	
232	
233	
234	
235	
236 237	
237	
239	
240	
241	
242	
243	
244	
245	
246	
247	
248	
249 250	
251	
252	
253	
254	
255	
256	
257	
258	
259	
260 261	
262	
263	
264	
265	
266	
267	
268	
269	