### WRITE MORE AT ONCE: STYLIZED CHINESE HAND-WRITING GENERATION VIA TWO-STAGE DIFFUSION

Anonymous authors Paper under double-blind review

#### ABSTRACT

Handwritten data generation is an intriguing research area with broad applications in human interaction with digital documents. In Chinese handwritten text generation, practical applications necessitate the ability to produce sentence-level handwritten data to convey complex information effectively. However, existing methods mainly focus on generating single-font outputs. To tackle this challenge, we model handwritten text generation as a *style transfer problem*, aiming to convert a standard text line template into a target handwriting style. Recognizing the highly structured nature of handwritten data, we view complex text lines as compositions of individual characters and their positions. We propose a two-stage text line generation method based on generative diffusion model. In the first stage, character positions are generated using a Character-Position-Diffusion (CharPos-Diff), which, combined with standard character templates from a digital library, creates text line-level templates. In the second stage, a font style transfer diffusion model (Imitating-Diff) generates handwritten text lines directly from these templates. Our extensive experiments show that our method effectively mimics handwriting styles, generates structurally accurate text lines, and facilitates the simultaneous generation of paragraph-level handwritten text.

024 025 026

027

003

005 006

009 010

011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

028 Handwritten data represents a significant intersection between the fields of Natural Language Pro-029 cessing and Computer Vision, as it encompasses both linguistic information and the visual characteristics of images. Unlike standardized printed text, handwritten data is highly personalized, 031 reflecting each person's unique writing habits and styles, and is capable of conveying rich emotional information. The task of generating handwritten data aims to simulate the properties of authentic 032 handwritten text through algorithms, enabling the automatic production of personalized handwritten 033 content (Kang et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2022). This task must ensure that the generated characters 034 are structurally correct and legible, while also visually preserving the natural style and diversity of handwriting. This technology has demonstrated significant potential across various applications, such as personalized digital signatures, handwriting-simulated email generation, personalized document displays, and even the reproduction and restoration of historical texts (Guan et al., 2024). 037

038 Among the multitude of languages, Chinese characters present considerable complexity due to their large quantity and intricate forms (Xu et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2015; Lian et al., 2018; Radford et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2017), thereby posing additional challenges for the generation task. Early 040 approaches could only generate fonts seen in the training set, while recent methodologies typically 041 utilize few-shot learning techniques to adaptively mimic calligraphy style based on several provided 042 style reference samples. However, these methods have primarily focused on generating individual 043 Chinese characters, neglecting the need for generating text at the line or even paragraph level in 044 real-world applications. Furthermore, due to the variability in individual writing habits, merely generating single characters and concatenating them in a model-free manner can lead to rigid and 045 unnatural layouts, hindering practical applications. 046

In this paper, we model the handwritten data generation task as a style transfer problem that converts a given standard character template into a target handwritten style. In the first stage, we generate high-quality content templates by modeling the positional relationships of individual characters within a text line, in conjunction with a standardized character database. In the second stage, we employ image-to-image translation techniques to transform the template into stylized handwritten data that adheres to the style of the provided reference samples while maintaining the content of the template. We utilize diffusion stochastic differential equations, which have demonstrated strong capabilities in modeling data distributions to characterize both of these processes. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

For the first time, we accomplish the generation of handwritten Chinese text images at the line levels using two-stage diffusion.
We propose CharPos-Diff, a layout method based on generative diffusion models designed for arranging text lines. This method generates a standard text line template for the input content, ensuring that it aligns with the positional layout characteristics of the provided style reference sample.
We propose Imitating-Diff, which can effectively integrate content and style features, facilitating the transition from the standard text line templates to target style samples while

- We propose initiating-Diff, which can effectively integrate content and style features, facilitating the transition from the standard **text line templates** to target style samples while preserving the structural integrity of **line-level** glyphs and ensuring the writing style aligns with the desired target style.
  - We introduce a loss function for better aligning style and content, which enhances the quality of generated handwriting images through fine-tuning.

### 2 RELATED WORK

062

063

064

065

067

Handwritten data can be stored in the form of online trajectory sequences or offline images. The advantage of online handwritten data lies in its ability to maintain dynamic trajectory information of the drawing process, while the advantage of offline handwritten data lies in its more natural representation of visual features such as stroke width and ink intensity.

Online Handwriting Generation. Most of online handwriting generation methods are typically based on autoregressive neural network (Graves, 2013), utilizing a mixture of Gaussian distributions to model the movement of pen. SketchRNN (Ha & Eck, 2018), which based on Variational Autoencoders (VAE) (Kingma & Welling, 2014) adopts RNN to conduct the task of unconditional sequence data generation. Subsequently, a series of works (Aksan et al., 2018; Kotani et al., 2020; Tolosana et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2020; Tang & Lian, 2021) focuses on conditional generation, aiming to produce handwriting that encompasses specific content or diverse writing styles. In addition, there are studies that apply diffusion models to online sequential data (Luhman & Luhman, 2020; Das et al., 2023; Ren et al., 2023), achieving notable results.

Offline Handwriting generation. Offline handwriting generation methods (Alonso et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2021; Bhunia et al., 2021; Gan & Wang, 2021; Kong et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022) were primarily based on Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) in the early days, leveraging adversarial training to create realistic handwriting samples. Recently, with the development of diffusion models, an increasing number of studies have begun to utilize these models to generate offline font data Yang et al. (2024). Diffusion models offer a novel framework that refines random noise into structured handwriting, allowing for more nuanced control over style and content. State-of-the-art methods can now extract style information from a significantly limited number of reference samples Yao et al. (2024); Dai et al. (2024).

Score-Based Diffusion Model. Score-based diffusion models systematically perturb data via a forward diffusion process, subsequently reversing this process to recover the original data (Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015; Song & Ermon, 2019; Ho et al., 2021). Due to their capability to balance fidelity and diversity, along with advancements in accelerated sampling (Song et al., 2020; Bao et al., 2021; Lu et al., 2022) and conditional generation (Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021; Rombach et al., 2021), these models have been extensively adopted across diverse data modalities. They currently occupy a preeminent position in the realm of image generation tasks (Ho et al., 2021; Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021). We also adopt diffusion models as our generative framework.

# 096 3 METHODS

098 3.1 PRELIMINARY

**Problem Statement.** Our goal is to synthesize handwritten text images given an arbitrary length Chinese string  $\mathcal{A}$  and a single style sample  $I_s$  from a writer  $w_s$ . The generated handwritten text images should reflect the distinctive handwriting style of  $w_s$  while accurately representing the content of the Chinese string  $\mathcal{A}$ . This entails ensuring that the text line's content closely adheres to the specified string, with all individual character structures precisely depicted. Additionally, the spatial arrangement between characters must be fluid, preserving the natural rhythm of handwritten text.

Existing related methods *are limited to generating single Chinese characters* and cannot handle
 more complex text content. For one thing, these methods do not consider the positional relationships
 among characters. By generating individual characters followed by simple concatenation will result
 in a lack of coherence in the font structure within the text line. For another, if directly applied to

generate handwritten text lines combined with templates generated by our method, these methods typically lead to content structure errors in the generated text lines.

**Diffusion Formulation.** Following (Song et al., 2021), we formulate the diffusion (forward) process and denoising (reverse) process as stochastic differential equations (SDEs). The forward SDE transforms the true data vectors  $x_0$  into Gaussian noise:

$$d\boldsymbol{x}_t = -\frac{1}{2}\beta_t \boldsymbol{x}_t dt + \sqrt{\beta_t} dw_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$
(1)

where  $w_t$  represents standard Brownian motion,  $t \in [0, T]$  with T as a finite time horizon, and  $\beta_t$  is a non-negative noise schedule function. The solution to this equation is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{t} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t}\beta_{s}ds}\boldsymbol{x}_{0} + \int_{0}^{t}\sqrt{\beta_{s}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t}\beta_{u}du}dw_{s}.$$
(2)

By utilizing properties of Ito's integral, the conditional distribution of  $x_t$  given  $x_0$  is Gaussian:

p

$$(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(
ho(\boldsymbol{x}_0, t), \lambda_t),$$

(3)

where  $\rho(\boldsymbol{x}_0, t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \beta_s ds} \boldsymbol{x}_0$  and  $\lambda_t = I - e^{-\int_0^t \beta_s ds}$ . The reverse SDE transforms the Gaussian noise back into the data  $\boldsymbol{x}_0$  using the following process:

$$d\boldsymbol{x}_t = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}_t + \nabla \log p_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)\right)\beta_t dt + \sqrt{\beta_t} d\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$
(4)

where  $\tilde{w}$  denotes the reverse-time Brownian motion. Additionally, we can represent the reverse process as an ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$dx_t = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \boldsymbol{x}_t + \nabla \log p_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t) \right) \beta_t dt, \quad t \in [0, T].$$
(5)

We train the network as denoiser  $\mathcal{E}_{\theta}$  to predict the original clean data from noisy data:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0 = \mathcal{E}_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, t, c),$$

where c represents the additional conditions. We use the predicted  $x_t = 0$  to estimate the score  $\nabla \log p_t(x_t) \operatorname{using} \lambda_t^{-1}(\rho(\hat{x}_0, t) - x_t)$ , allowing us to sample data  $x_0$  by starting from Gaussian noise  $x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and numerically solving the SDE in Equation equation 4 or the ODE in Equation equation 5. The loss function used to train the diffusion model is expressed as follows:

$$\ell_{\text{diff}} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}_0, t} [\|\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}_0\|_2^2]. \tag{6}$$

3.2 Overview

As shown in Figure 1, we first synthesize standard templates for text lines and then transfer these templates to specified writing style images.

Text Line Content Template. The standard text line template consists of *the bounding box of each character* and *the standard character template*. More concretely, the bounding box decides the
location and the size of a character in the whole text line image. The character category decides
which font image (i.e. SimHei font) to use for a character template. All bounding box coordinates
are changed to height, width, vertical distance of each character and the distance between the centers
of adjacent characters with normalization. We fix the number of bounding boxes of style images and
content images to be 32, which is adequate based on the writing habits of the majority of individuals.

Based on the bounding boxes and single character templates, we seamlessly make text line template
images by integrating the SimHei image of each character into a blank image with size of 96x2048
pixels. All text line images are resized to 96 pixels in height, preserving their aspect ratio and then
padding to 2048 pixels in width.

157

113 114

115 116

117

122

123 124

127 128 129

132 133

136

137

138

139

144

#### 158 3.2.1 CHARPOS-DIFFUSION

As mentioned before, to construct reasonable standard character templates for text of arbitrary length, it is crucial to generate a coherent and reasonable layout for the text lines (bounding boxes). Specifically, considering that different characters exhibit distinct shapes, we learn a unique encoding for each character class i:  $\mathbf{Enc}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where *d* is the dimension of encoding vector. The generation 想你的风终于吹到了北京

Text Content

Style Reference

**Content Template** 

AAA

Noised

Images

Character

Embedo

Noised

Location

Bounding Boxes of Style Image

Content

Style

Aggregation

的富有助传化 Style Encode Content Encode 想你的风终于 吹到<sup>了北京</sup>



187

193 194

162

163

164

165

166

167 168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

179

185 process is modeled through a diffusion framework, employing a one-dimensional U-Net network as the denoising model  $\mathcal{E}_p$ . Additionally, recognizing that *different authors exhibit distinct layout* styles in their handwriting, we incorporate the layout of style reference samples as a condition. After passing through a linear mapping layer, this reference layout information Layout<sub>ref</sub> is input into 188 the denoiser via cross-attention. 189

190 **Training Objective.** As stated in Section 3.1, we model the reconstruction loss as the average distance between the predicted clean data and the actual data. Recalling equation 6, here  $x_0$  represents 191 the ground truth bounding boxes and  $\hat{x}_0$  represents the predicted ones: 192

$$\ell_{\text{diff-pos}} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}_0, t}[\|\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0 - \boldsymbol{x}_0\|_2^2], \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0 = \mathcal{E}_p(\text{Enc}_i, Layout_{ref})$$
(7)

**Cross Attentior** 

1D UNet

Q

Content

CA

2D UNet

**Content Style Aggregation** 

AdaIN

Template Font &

Integrat

Blank

Images

ΚĨ

Attention

想你的风终于吹到3北东

Predict Images

v

Bounding Boxes of Template

AdaIN

Cross Attentior

Content Aggregation

#### 195 3.2.2 IMITATING-DIFFUSION

196 **Content Aggregation Module.** Following (Yang et al., 2024), we adopt the Content Aggregation 197 (CA) like them. We take CG-GAN (Kong et al., 2022) as our content encoder and style encoder. The differences lies in that they inject multi scale content features into the UNet model while we only inject the content features with the highest dimension into the UNet mid module, not including the 199 downsampling blocks. We find that this content injection method is so powerful that the injection 200 of multi-scale content features is harmful for model's learning good style representations, especially for handwritten characters with more styles than printed font. The content features are firstly con-202 catenated with noised inputs. Then we apply a channel attention, including an average pooling, two 203 convolutions with the kernel size of 1, and an activation function. The channel attention output vector is used to weight the concatenated features through channel-wise multiplication. After a residual 204 addition, we scale the channel number of the weighted vector to the output channels. 205

206 Content Style Aggregation Module. We propose a content style aggregation module (CSA) to replace the direct cross attention for style conditions. After content encoder and style encoder, we 207 get the high level content features and style features. Like transformer attention module, we acquire 208 Q, K, V for content and style features. AdaIN is then adopted to shift the content Q distribution to 209 the style Q distribution. The same method is operated to K. Then we concatenate the shifted content 210 K and the style K while we concatenate the origin content V and the style V. In the end, we do scaled 211 attention operation with the shifed Q, concatenated K and the concatenated V, reaching the final aggregated features. 212

213 **Training Objective.** Recalling equation 6, here  $x_0$  represents the origin image and  $\hat{x}_0$  represents 214 the predicted ones. Inspired by (Yao et al., 2024), we place greater emphasis on the contour information of strokes rather than on blank areas. Therefore, we incorporate weights based on 215 Harris corner detection into the existing loss function, which can be expressed as:

216

220 221

222

230 231 232

233

234

235

236

237

238 239 We set  $\lambda_{corner} = 0.9$  and  $\lambda_{all} = 0.1$  in our experiments.

Besides, we employ a perceptual loss function to enhance the quality of the generated images. This perceptual loss, denoted as  $\ell_{cp}$ , is defined as follows:

 $\ell_{diff'} = \mathbb{E}_{x_0,t}[\omega(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0) \circ [\|(\boldsymbol{x}_0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0)\|^2]],$  $\omega(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0) = \lambda_{corner} \cdot Harris(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0) + \lambda_{all} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_0.$ 

$$\ell_{cp} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \|VGG_l(\boldsymbol{x}_0) - VGG_l(\boldsymbol{x}_{target})\|,$$
(9)

where  $VGG_l(\cdot)$  represents the feature maps obtained from the *l*-th layer of the VGG network, and L is the total number of chosen layers. The total loss function is expressed as:

$$\ell_{total} = \ell_{diff'} + 0.01 \cdot \ell_{cp}.$$
(10)

(8)

**Fine-Tuning Function.** To facilitate the alignment of style and content, we *duplicate the style and content encoders* after a certain number of training epochs and *fix their weights*. This allows us to extract the style and content features of both the reference samples and the generated samples. We denote the distance vector of the style and content features for the reference sample as  $\mathcal{D}_{cs1}$  and for the generated sample as  $\mathcal{D}_{cs2}$ . The alignment loss function is defined as:

$$\ell_{align} = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{D}_{cs1}\mathcal{D}_{cs2}}{\|\mathcal{D}_{cs1}\|\|\mathcal{D}_{cs2}\|}.$$
(11)

240 241 242

> 243 244

> 245

250

251

During the fine-tuning phase, the loss is defined as:  $\ell_{total'} = \ell_{total} + 0.1 \cdot \ell_{align}$ .

#### 4 EXPERIMENTS

Our method can generate handwritten Chinese text line images of arbitrary length, where individual characters can be considered as text lines of length one. Therefore, on one hand, we validate the effectiveness of our approach to generate text lines. On the other hand, we also compare individual characters with the current state-of-the-art methods.

4.1 DATASET.

Single Character Dataset. We conduct single-character generation experiments on the ICDAR-2013 competition database (Yin et al., 2013), which consists of 60 writers and 3755 different character for each writer. We randomly select 80% of the dataset as the training set and the remaining 20% as the test set. We adopt the Unifont for content images. In the experiments, we resize all single-character images to 64 × 64.

Full Textline Dataset. To evaluate the ability of generating full handwritten text lines. We use the Chinese offline dataset CASIA-HWDB2.0-2.2Liu et al. (2011), which consists of 52230 Chinese textlines from 1019 different writers. We randomly select 41781 textlines from 816 writers for training and the remaining 10449 textlines from 203 writers for testing.

260 261 262

4.2 IMPLEMENT DETAILS

In all the experiments, we use *only one style reference sample* to perform one-shot experiments (one font for character generation and one text line for layout and text line generation). We train all diffusion models with classifier-free guidance strategy under the guidance scale of 0.1 on four A6000 GPUs. Specifically, we train layout diffusion model for 60000 steps (batch size of 1024), text line diffusion model for 200000 steps with batch size of 4 and single-character diffusion model for 66000 steps with batch size of 168. AdamW optimizer with  $\beta_1 = 0.9$  and  $\beta_2 = 0.999$  is used. The learning rate is set as 1e - 4 with linear schedule. During inference, DPM-solver++(Lu et al., 2022) is used to speed up sampling with 25 steps. We fine-tune our model for 30000 iterations with the proposed content-style alignment loss.

### 270 4.3 EVALUATIONS

293

316 317

318

#### 4.3.1 SINGLE CHARACTER GENERATION

	Table 1: Compa	red with SOTA M	ethod.		
F	Fid Style Score	Content Score	L1	LPIPS	SSIM
$\begin{array}{c c} One-DM & 32\\ CSA + finetune & 32 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 2.20 & 0.5620 \\ 2.62 & 0.4650 \end{array}$	$0.8218 \\ 0.9880$	$0.1241 \\ 0.1458$	$0.1291 \\ 0.1340$	$0.2776 \\ 0.26198$

Due to the lack of baseline for Chinese handwritten textline generation task, we conduct comparison and ablation experiments in single-character generation tasks. As shown in Table 1, our Content Style Aggregation (CSA) effectively improves the generation quality compared to the method that only style features are injected into model with cross attention. From Figure 2, we observe that models with CSA can generate more stylized character structure than those without CSA. After finetuned with content-style alignment loss, models with CSA can generate characters with better ink color and stroke thickness. It strongly proves the effectiveness of our proposed loss.

For comparison experimenet, we choose One-DM model with the same experiment settings. Though results of One-DM's generation quality show slightly better than our model in style imitating, One-DM is struggling to generate characters with correct and recognizable structures than our model. It seems that One-DM focuses more on ink color and stroke thickness. The style model we trained on the authentic test dataset appears to primarily distinguish character writers based on ink color and stroke thickness. In contrast, people tend to identify the author by analyzing the character structures.

Table 2: Ablation of CSA Module.

	Fid	Style Score	Content Score	L1	LPIPS	SSIM
No CSA	48.63	0.0660	0.9992	0.1786	0.1535	0.2375
CSA	45.15	0.2251	0.9921	0.1610	0.1457	0.2552
CSA + finetune	<b>32.62</b>	<b>0.4612</b>	<b>0.9880</b>	<b>0.1458</b>	<b>0.1340</b>	<b>0.2619</b>

	Writer 050	Writer 047	Writer 027
Target image	潭 康 福 脲 漪	读振议避弃	影摩凄访怨.
Style reference	将名张安震	田监交豪家	游护岸窥曝
One DM	較底 報 漏 濃	冻抹 建州	路撑薄纺然
No CSA	嚏康辐膈滁	谦振迷滩薪	略擦凄纺怨
CSA	嚏底辐照涨	谦撩迷派薪	略擦凄纺怨
Finetune	嚏康辐照腺	谦振迷减薪	略標建訪怨

Figure 2: The generated samples by different methods.

#### 4.3.2 TEXT LINE GENERATION

Evaluation of Layout. We compare our LayoutDiffuser with other layout generation models. The results are shown in Table 3. The LayoutTransformer model is capable of generating stylized layouts by utilizing fixed-length style reference bounding boxes in an autoregressive method. LayoutLSTM follows the same approach, with the primary difference being the substitution of the transformer blocks with LSTM layers. Through this in-context approach, the model can learn the style characteristics (e.g. textline slant) of the reference sample to a certain degree. However, Figure 3 shows that both LayoutTransformer and LayoutLSTM underperform compared to the LayoutDiffuser model in terms of generating accurate character spacing and character size. We hypothesize that this underperformance may be attributed to exposure bias inherent in autoregressive models during the inference process, leading to cumulative errors in text line generation. In contrast, diffusion models are less susceptible to this issue.

Table 3: The comparison of different layout generative methods.

	Height Loss	Width Loss	Vertical Loss	Horizontal Loss
LayoutLSTM	0.0877	0.0780	0.0805	0.0946
LayoutFormer	0.0842	0.0743	0.0816	0.0911
LayoutDiffuser	0.0179	<b>0.0157</b>	<b>0.0145</b>	<b>0.0269</b>



Figure 3: Visualization of layout generated by different methods, where black represents the ground truth.

**Evaluation of Full Line.** As shown in the Figure 4, our method can effectively generate complete handwritten text line images with accurate structure and diverse styles.

Target image	湖南省委省政府下决心整治
Style reference	和"总预算"案争议迟迟无法解决。任
Content Template	湖南省委省政府下决心 整治
Generation	湖南省委省政府下决心整治
Target image	雪浪廠前层约下,学讀着靜風的形態的身形,闪闪的光焰
Style reference	她更能可呼到自己 刚才在台上的种
Content Template	雪浪般的层纱下常覆着静卧的修 <sup>美的身形。</sup> 闪闪的光焰
Generation	雪浪般的层纱下常覆着静卧的修美的身形。闪闪的光灯
Target image	有很多被忽视心建康功效、必要菠萝胶的盾他
Style reference	鹅臀多心古教育向区, 老荷, 四引, 娄传动加剧, 13日安梯三限委
Content Template	有很多被忽视的健康功效 = 吃点菠萝能助消化
Generation	有很多被忽视的健康功效。吃点菠萝能助消化

Figure 4: Visualization of the generated handwritten text lines.

# Ablation of Loss Function.

392

393

396

397

399

400

401

402

403

404

405 406

407 408 409

410

422

423

To validate the effectiveness of our loss in stylized Chinese handwritten text line generation, we 380 conduct visual experiments. As shown in Figure 5, we can observe that all the loss functions en-381 able the model to generate characters in the desired positions, provided that content information 382 is appropriately integrated. For the L2 loss, the model fails to focus on the foreground of the text line, namely the character components, resulting in the generation of indistinct character structures 383 and noisy backgrounds. To improve generation quality, an effective and direct approach is to utilize 384 the bounding box information of each character, allowing the model to focus exclusively on the L2 385 loss function within the confines of the bounding boxes. This approach indeed enables the model 386 to generate text lines with a relatively clean background; however, the model's ability to imitate the 387 style of the text lines remains insufficient. This is evidenced by the overly thick strokes, lack of ligatures, and the presence of considerable noise around the characters. Considering the Harris corners 388 of the target text line image, the model trained with our loss function is better equipped to capture 389 the fine-grained style information of characters, more precisely focusing on the salient components 390 within the text line image. 391



Figure 5: Visualization of the generated handwritten text lines using different loss function.

#### 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

411 In this paper, we have presented a novel approach for generating offline Chinese handwritten text 412 lines. By modeling handwritten text generation as a style transfer problem, we developed a twostage method to handle this unexplored task. The first stage generates character positions through 413 Character-Position-Diffusion, creating structured text line templates. The second stage employs an 414 Imitating-Diff model for font style transfer, producing coherent and stylistically accurate handwrit-415 ten text lines images. However, as shown in Figure 2, when imitating fonts with lighter ink colors, 416 our generated sample is slightly darker. Actually, the focus of the model on content versus style is a matter of balance. This issue highlights the need for further refinement in the content and style 417 *control modules* to address such discrepancies. Additionally, our approach theoretically possesses 418 significant generalizability, making it worthwhile to explore its application to other forms of hand-419 written data, including various languages and mathematical formulas. We consider these areas as 420 potential directions for future research. 421

#### References

- Emre Aksan, Fabrizio Pece, and Otmar Hilliges. Deepwriting: Making digital ink editable via deep generative modeling. In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 1–14, 2018.
- Eloi Alonso, Bastien Moysset, and Ronaldo Messina. Adversarial generation of handwritten text images conditioned on sequences. In 2019 international conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR), pp. 481–486. IEEE, 2019.
- Fan Bao, Chongxuan Li, Jun Zhu, and Bo Zhang. Analytic-dpm: an analytic estimate of the op timal reverse variance in diffusion probabilistic models. *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.

441

442

443

444

445

446

452

481

- Ankan Kumar Bhunia, Salman Khan, Hisham Cholakkal, Rao Muhammad Anwer, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Mubarak Shah. Handwriting transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 1086–1094, 2021.
- Gang Dai, Yifan Zhang, Quhui Ke, Qiangya Guo, and Shuangping Huang. One-dm: One-shot diffusion mimicker for handwritten text generation. *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2024.
- Ayan Das, Yongxin Yang, Timothy Hospedales, Tao Xiang, and Yi-Zhe Song. Chirodiff: Modelling chirographic data with diffusion models. *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
  - Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
  - Ji Gan and Weiqiang Wang. HiGAN: Handwriting imitation conditioned on arbitrary-length texts and disentangled styles. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pp. 7484–7492, 2021.
- 447 Alex Graves. Generating sequences with recurrent neural networks. *arXiv preprint* 448 *arXiv:1308.0850*, 2013.
- Haisu Guan, Huanxin Yang, Xinyu Wang, Shengwei Han, Yongge Liu, Lianwen Jin, Xiang Bai, and
   Yuliang Liu. Deciphering oracle bone language with diffusion models. *Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2024.
- David Ha and Douglas Eck. A neural representation of sketch drawings. In *International Conference* on Learning Representations, 2018.
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models 2020. International Conference on Learning Representations, 2021.
- Lei Kang, Pau Riba, Marcal Rusinol, Alicia Fornes, and Mauricio Villegas. Content and style
   aware generation of text-line images for handwriting recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(12):8846–8860, 2021.
- 460
   461
   462
   462
   463
   464
   464
   465
   465
   465
   466
   466
   467
   468
   468
   469
   469
   460
   460
   461
   462
   462
   462
   463
   464
   464
   465
   465
   465
   466
   466
   467
   468
   468
   469
   469
   469
   460
   461
   461
   462
   462
   462
   462
   463
   464
   464
   465
   465
   466
   466
   467
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
   468
- Yuxin Kong, Canjie Luo, Weihong Ma, Qiyuan Zhu, Shenggao Zhu, Nicholas Yuan, and Lianwen
  Jin. Look closer to supervise better: one-shot font generation via component-based discriminator. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 13482–13491, 2022.
- Atsunobu Kotani, Stefanie Tellex, and James Tompkin. Generating handwriting via decoupled style descriptors. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 764–780. Springer, 2020.
- Zhouhui Lian, Bo Zhao, Xudong Chen, and Jianguo Xiao. Easyfont: a style learning-based system to easily build your large-scale handwriting fonts. *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, 38(1):1–18, 2018.
- Jeng-Wei Lin, Chian-Ya Hong, Ray-I Chang, Yu-Chun Wang, Shu-Yu Lin, and Jan-Ming Ho. Complete font generation of chinese characters in personal handwriting style. In *International Performance Computing and Communications Conference*, pp. 1–5. IEEE, 2015.
- Cheng-Lin Liu, Fei Yin, Da-Han Wang, and Qiu-Feng Wang. Casia online and offline chinese handwriting databases. In *International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition*, pp. 37–41. IEEE, 2011.
- Wei Liu, Fangyue Liu, Fei Ding, Qian He, and Zili Yi. Xmp-font: self-supervised cross-modality pre-training for few-shot font generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 7905–7914, 2022.
- Cheng Lu, Yuhao Zhou, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. Dpm-solver: A fast ode solver for diffusion probabilistic model sampling in around 10 steps. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:5775–5787, 2022.
- 485 Troy Luhman and Eric Luhman. Diffusion models for handwriting generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.06704*, 2020.

486 487	Alec Radford, Luke Metz, and Soumith Chintala. Unsupervised representation learning with deep convolutional generative adversarial networks. <i>International Conference on Learning Represen-</i>
488	tations, 2016.
489	
490	Min-Si Ren, Yan-Ming Zhang, Qiu-Feng Wang, Fei Yin, and Cheng-Lin Liu. Diff-writer: A dif- fusion model based stylized online handwritten chinese character generator. In International
491	Conference on Neural Information Processing pp. 86–100 Springer 2023
492	Conjerence on Neural Information Processing, pp. 66–166. Springer, 2025.
493	Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Bjrn Ommer. High-
494	resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. 2021.
495	Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric A Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surva Ganguli. Deep unsuper-
496	vised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. JMLR.org, 2015.
497 498	Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. <i>Interna-</i> <i>tional Conference on Learning Representations</i> , 2020.
499	
500	Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. Advances in neural information processing systems, 32, 2019.
502	Vang Song Jascha Sohl-Dickstein Diederik P Kingma Abhishek Kumar Stefano Ermon and Ben
502	Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. <i>International</i>
504	Conjerence on Learning Representations, 2021.
505 506	Shusen Tang and Zhouhui Lian. Write like you: Synthesizing your cursive online chinese handwrit- ing via metric-based meta learning. <i>Computer Graphics Forum</i> , 40(2):141–151, 2021.
507	Shusan Tang, Zasing Via, Zhauhui Lian, Vingmin Tang, and Jiangua Vias, FantDNN: Conserting
508	Snusen Tang, Zeqing Xia, Znounui Lian, Yingmin Tang, and Jianguo Xiao. FontKINN: Generating large cale chinese fonts via recurrent neural network. Computer Graphics Forum 38(7):567–577
509	2019.
510	Pular Telesone, Davis Delesda Sertes, Andres Deve Heile, Pular Vers Dedrivers, Islier Fire
511	rez and Authami Morales Deepwritesyn: On-line handwriting synthesis via deep short-term
512	representations. In <i>Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence</i> , volume 35, pp.
513	600–608, 2021.
514	Vangahan Via, Vinuuan Chan, Li Sun, and Vua Lu, DC Font: Deformable generative networks for
515	unsupervised font generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision
516	and Pattern Recognition, pp. 5130–5140, 2021.
517	Peng Xu, Timothy M Hospedales, Qiyue Yin, Yi-Zhe Song, Tao Xiang, and Liang Wang. Deep
519	learning for free-hand sketch: A survey. <i>IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence</i> , 45(1):285–312, 2022.
520	Songhua Vu. Too Jin. Hoo Jiang and Francis CM Lau. Automotic generation of personal chinese
521	handwriting by capturing the characteristics of personal handwriting. In <i>Twenty-First IAAI Con</i> -
522	ference, 2009.
523	
524	Zhenhua Yang, Dezhi Peng, Yuxin Kong, Yuyi Zhang, Cong Yao, and Lianwen Jin. Fontdiffuser:
525	contrastive learning Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence 2024
526	contrastive routining. Association for the Auvancement of Artificial Intelligence, 2024.
527	Gang Yao, Kemeng Zhao, Chengyu Deng, Ning Ding, Tianqi Zhao, Yao Tao, and Liangrui Peng.
528	Geometric-aware control in diffusion model for handwritten chinese font generation. In Interna-
529	nonal Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, pp. 3–17. Springer, 2024.
530	Fei Yin, Qiu-Feng Wang, Xu-Yao Zhang, and Cheng-Lin Liu. ICDAR 2013 chinese handwriting
531	recognition competition. In International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition,
532	pp. 1464–1470. IEEE, 2013.
533	Bocheng Zhao, Jianhua Tao, Minghao Yang, Zhengkun Tian, Cunhang Fan, and Ye Bai. Deen
534	imitator: handwriting calligraphy imitation via deep attention networks. <i>Patteren Recognition</i> .
535	2020.
536	Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillin Isola, and Alexei A Efros, Unnaired image-to-image translation
537	using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference</i>
538	on Computer Vision, pp. 2223–2232, 2017.
539	