

---

# RevColV2: Exploring Disentangled Representations in Masked Image Modeling

## Supplementary Material

---

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

### 1 More Training Details

2 This section gives more training details on MIM pre-training and fine-tuning on downstream tasks,  
3 such as ImageNet classification, COCO detection, and ADE20K segmentation. For ImageNet  
4 experiments, the base learning rate is based on batch size 256.

#### 5 1.1 Training Details on MIM pre-training.

6 We use the same setting for different sizes RevCol models on MIM pre-training. The detail hyper-  
7 parameters are shown in Table 1. Following existing works [1, 2], we do not use stochastic depth [3]  
8 and other regularization strategies in MIM pre-training.

config	value
optimizer	AdamW
base learning rate	1.5e-4
weight decay	0.05
optimizer momentum	$\beta_1, \beta_2=0.9, 0.95$
batch size	4096
learning rate schedule	cosine decay
warmup epochs	40
training epochs	1600
augmentation	RandomResizedCrop

Table 1: MIM Pre-training settings.

#### 9 1.2 Details on Image22K intermediate fine-tuning.

10 We further intermediately fine-tune RevColV2 models on ImageNet-22K dataset. The fine-tuning  
11 details is shown in Table 2. The hyper-parameters generally follow [4, 2].

#### 12 1.3 End-to-end fine-tuning details on ImageNet-1K.

13 We end-to-end fine-tune RevCol variants on ImageNet-1K after MIM pre-training and intermediately  
14 fine-tuning on ImageNet-22K. Table 3 shows the detail training settings after MIM pre-training.

15 We also show training settings on ImageNet-1K after ImageNet-22K fine-tuning. Table 4 gives the  
16 detailed hyper-parameters.

config	value
optimizer	AdamW
base learning rate	2.5e-4
weight decay	0.05
optimizer momentum	$\beta_1, \beta_2=0.9, 0.999$
layer-wise lr decay	0.8
batch size	4096
learning rate schedule	cosine decay
warmup epochs	5
training epochs	90
augmentation	RandAug (9, 0.5)
label smoothing	0.1
mixup	0.8
cutmix	1.0
drop path	0.1 (B), 0.2 (L)
head init	0.001
ema	None

Table 2: End-to-end IN-22K intermediate fine-tuning settings.

config	value
optimizer	AdamW
base learning rate	5e-4
weight decay	0.05
optimizer momentum	$\beta_1, \beta_2=0.9, 0.999$
layer-wise lr decay	0.75
batch size	1024
learning rate schedule	cosine decay
warmup epochs	5
training epochs	100 (B), 50 (L)
augmentation	RandAug (9, 0.5)
label smoothing	0.1
mixup	0.8
cutmix	1.0
drop path	0.1
head init	0.001
ema	0.9999

Table 3: End-to-end ImageNet-1K fine-tuning settings

#### 17 1.4 Details on ADE20K semantic segmentation

18 For semantic segmentation, we evaluate different backbones on ADE20K dataset. We fine-tune the  
19 pre-trained networks on ADE20K with 160,000 iterations. For UperNet framework [5], the learning  
20 rate is 4e-5 with batch size 16, using AdamW optimizer. The layer-wise learning rate decay rate  
21 is set as 0.65 for both base and large size models. The drop path rate is 0.1. For Mask2Former  
22 framework [6], the learning rate is 2e-5 with batch size 16. The drop path rate is set as 0.3 and the  
23 layer-wise learning rate decay rate is 0.9.

#### 24 1.5 Details on COCO object detection and instance segmentation

25 For object detection and instance segmentation, we evaluate RevCoIV2 backbones with Mask R-  
26 CNN [7] and Cascade Mask R-CNN [8] detectors. We use ImageNet-1K MIM pre-trained weights  
27 as initialization and fine-tune the models with 50 epochs and a batch size of 32, learning rate 1e-4  
28 for Mask R-CNN framework. The large scale jittering data augmentation strategy is used with scale  
29 range [0.1, 2.0]. The drop path rates for RevCoIV2 are set as 0.2 (base) and 0.3 (large) and the  
30 layer-wise learning rate decay rates are set as 0.9. For Cascade Mask R-CNN framework, we train

config	value
optimizer	AdamW
base learning rate	2.5e-5
weight decay	0.01
optimizer momentum	$\beta_1, \beta_2=0.9, 0.999$
layer-wise lr decay	0.9
batch size	512
learning rate schedule	cosine decay
warmup epochs	None
training epochs	30
augmentation	RandAug (9, 0.5)
label smoothing	0.1
mixup	None
cutmix	None
drop path	0.1(B), 0.2 (L)
head init	0.001
ema	0.9999

Table 4: End-to-end ImageNet-1K fine-tuning settings (after IN-22K intermediate fine-tuning).

31 models with 100 epochs following [9] with large scale jittering augmentation strategy. The learning  
 32 rate is 1e-4 with batch size 64. We do not use soft-NMS in our experiments.

## 33 2 More Results

### 34 2.1 Compared with supervised baseline

35 To verify the effectiveness of RevColV2 architecture with MIM pre-train, we compare the performance  
 36 on ImageNet-1K fine-tune using MIM pre-trained model weights and random initialization. We use  
 37 the same setting with [1] in this supervised baseline, except additional 0.999 EMA strategy. The  
 38 base/large models achieve 83.1% and 82.6% top-1 accuracy on ImageNet-1K. The MIM pre-trained  
 39 RevColV2 models outperform supervised baseline by a large margin (**+1.6%** and **+3.7%**).

### 40 2.2 Linear probing results

41 We report the linear probing results on ImageNet-1K after pre-training for RevColV2 models and  
 42 other counterparts on Table 5. Following [1], we fix the pre-trained backbone models and train  
 43 a classification head for 90 epochs with LARS optimizer. We append this classification head on  
 44 the last level of bottom-up columns in RevColV2. The linear probing performance of RevColV2  
 45 models surpasses other encoder only models such as SimMIM [10] and autoencoder models such as  
 46 MAE [1].

Table 5: Linear probing results on ImageNet-1K dataset.

Model	Size	Target	Params	FLOPs	LIN
<i>ImageNet-1K pre-train:</i>					
BEIT-B [11]	224 <sup>2</sup>	DALL-E	87M	18G	56.7
SimMIM-B [10]	224 <sup>2</sup>	Pixel	88M	16G	56.7
RevColV2-B	224 <sup>2</sup>	Pixel	88M	19G	<b>67.7</b>
MAE-L [1]	224 <sup>2</sup>	Pixel	307M	62G	75.8
RevColV2-L	224 <sup>2</sup>	Pixel	327M	67G	<b>79.3</b>

47 **References**

- 48 [1] Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Masked  
49 autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on*  
50 *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16000–16009, 2022.
- 51 [2] Sanghyun Woo, Shoubhik Debnath, Ronghang Hu, Xinlei Chen, Zhuang Liu, In So Kweon,  
52 and Saining Xie. Convnext v2: Co-designing and scaling convnets with masked autoencoders.  
53 *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.00808*, 2023.
- 54 [3] Gao Huang, Yu Sun, Zhuang Liu, Daniel Sedra, and Kilian Q Weinberger. Deep networks with  
55 stochastic depth. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The*  
56 *Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part IV 14*, pages 646–661. Springer, 2016.
- 57 [4] Yuxuan Cai, Yizhuang Zhou, Qi Han, Jianjian Sun, Xiangwen Kong, Jun Li, and Xiangyu  
58 Zhang. Reversible column networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.11696*, 2022.
- 59 [5] Tete Xiao, Yingcheng Liu, Bolei Zhou, Yuning Jiang, and Jian Sun. Unified perceptual parsing  
60 for scene understanding. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision*  
61 *(ECCV)*, pages 418–434, 2018.
- 62 [6] Bowen Cheng, Anwesa Choudhuri, Ishan Misra, Alexander Kirillov, Rohit Girdhar, and Alexander G  
63 Schwing. Mask2former for video instance segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10764*,  
64 2021.
- 65 [7] Kaiming He, Georgia Gkioxari, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Mask r-cnn. In *Proceedings of*  
66 *the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2961–2969, 2017.
- 67 [8] Zhaowei Cai and Nuno Vasconcelos. Cascade r-cnn: Delving into high quality object detection.  
68 In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages  
69 6154–6162, 2018.
- 70 [9] Yanghao Li, Hanzi Mao, Ross Girshick, and Kaiming He. Exploring plain vision transformer  
71 backbones for object detection. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference,*  
72 *Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part IX*, pages 280–296. Springer, 2022.
- 73 [10] Zhenda Xie, Zheng Zhang, Yue Cao, Yutong Lin, Jianmin Bao, Zhuliang Yao, Qi Dai, and  
74 Han Hu. Simmim: A simple framework for masked image modeling. In *Proceedings of the*  
75 *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 9653–9663, 2022.
- 76 [11] Hangbo Bao, Li Dong, Songhao Piao, and Furu Wei. Beit: Bert pre-training of image trans-  
77 formers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.08254*, 2021.