# **Supplementary Material**

## A **Proof of Proposition 2**

**Proposition 2.** (From main text) The Bayes error of flow models is monotonically increasing in  $\tau$ . That is, for  $0 < \tau \leq \tau'$ , we have that  $\mathcal{E}_{Bayes}(\hat{p}_{\tau}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{Bayes}(\hat{p}_{\tau'})$ .

*Proof.* Note that at temperature  $\tau$ , the Bayes error is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{Bayes}}(\hat{p}_{\tau}) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \int \prod_{j \neq k} \mathbb{1}(\mathbf{a}_{jk}^{\top} \mathbf{z} + b_{jk} > 0) \mathcal{N}(d\mathbf{z}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \tau^2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$
(16)

$$=1-\sum_{k=1}^{K}\pi_{k}\int\prod_{j\neq k}\mathbb{1}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{jk}^{\top}\mathbf{z}+\frac{\tilde{b}_{jk}}{\tau}>0)\mathcal{N}(d\mathbf{z};\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I})$$
(17)

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{jk} = 2 \Sigma^{-1/2} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_k - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j), \tilde{b}_{jk} = (\boldsymbol{\mu}_k - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_k - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j) \ge 0$ . Then it easy to see that for  $0 < \tau \le \tau'$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have that

$$\prod_{j \neq k} \mathbb{1}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{jk}^{\top} \mathbf{z} + \frac{\tilde{b}_{jk}}{\tau} > 0) \ge \prod_{j \neq k} \mathbb{1}(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{jk}^{\top} \mathbf{z} + \frac{\tilde{b}_{jk}}{\tau'} > 0)$$
(18)

which implies that  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{Bayes}}(\hat{p}_{\tau}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\text{Bayes}}(\hat{p}_{\tau'})$ .

### **B** Further empirical results

#### **B.1 Hardness of Classes**

In addition to measuring the difficulty of classification tasks relative to one another, it also may be of interest to evaluate the relative difficulty of individual classes within a particular task. A natural way to do this is by looking at the error of one-vs-all classification tasks. Specifically, for a given class  $j \in \mathcal{K}$ , we consider  $(\mathbf{x}, 1)$  drawn from the distribution  $p_{-j}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1-\pi_j} \sum_{i \neq j} \pi_i p_i(\mathbf{x})$ , and  $(\mathbf{x}, 0)$  from  $p_j(\mathbf{x})$ . The optimal Bayes classifier in this task is

$$C_{\text{Bayes}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -\log p_j(\mathbf{x}) \le -\log p_{-j}(\mathbf{x}), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Unfortunately, in this case, the Bayes error cannot be computed with HDR integration, since  $p_{-j}$  is now a mixture of Gaussians. However, we can get a reasonable approximation for the error (though less accurate than exact integration would be) in this case using a simple Monte Carlo estimator:  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{Bayes}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(C_{\text{Bayes}}(\mathbf{x}_l) \neq y_l)$ , where  $y_l \sim \text{Unif}\{0,1\}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_l \mid y_l \sim y_l p_{-j} + (1-y_l) p_j$  as prescribed above.

The one-vs-all errors by class on CIFAR are shown in Figure 5. It is observed that the errors between the hardest class and the easiest class is huge. On CIFAR-100 the error of the hardest class, squirrel, is almost 5 times that of the easiest class, wardrobe.



Figure 5: Classes Ranked by Hardness

#### B.2 Additional samples and Bayes errors from flow models

Below we include examples generating by the trained flow models, and additional datasets generated at different temperatures, and hence Bayes errors.



Figure 6: Generated MNIST Samples with Different Temperatures



Figure 7: Generated Kuzushiji-MNIST Samples with Different Temperatures



Figure 8: Samples generated from conditional GLOW model trained on CIFAR-10.



Figure 9: Samples generated from conditional GLOW model trained on CIFAR-100.

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	~	-	-	/	/	-	-
U	J	Ц	Ч	S	И	ઝ	U
W	ω	ŵ	Ŵ	ω	w	Ŵ	ŵ
5	2	7	4	ト	5	2	4
$\sim$	ζ	P	٢	ζ	2	γ	$\sim$
3	3	1	10	P	?	0	9
V	V	V	レ	5	V	V	$\mathcal{V}$
ø	∞	ø	ð	W	ď	3	Ø
0	٩	مـ	ø	V	V	0	٥
4	s	\$	b	G	4	G	4
Ð	<u>a</u>	Ø	$\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$	Ø	$\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$	0	B
<u>_</u>	<u>)</u>	<i>ſ</i>	າ	2	?	$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$	1
0	3	0	2	0	Å	2	0
01	<u>m</u>	<u>יי</u>	M	(1) 	19	<u>[()</u>	pi
<u> </u>	(† 2	$\frac{r}{2}$	4		<b>r</b>	$\frac{d}{2}$	0
$\frac{()}{\tau}$	() T	4	(V)	42	cp T	<ul><li>V</li></ul>	12
سکر	لر	ア	ト	سر	ア	ユ	7
	-	<u>-</u>	<b>-</b>	-	-	-	<u>-</u>
Ц Т	7	~ ⊀	ア	/ ~	<u>م</u>	24	-
ス	ノ	<u>~</u>	^	K	1	~	~
ç	~ 6	6	~ •	- *	<u>~</u>	- 2	5
5	6	て	6 4	<u>۲</u>	د ۲	<u>ז</u>	<u>ک</u>
2	5	20	1	6	2	2	2
<u>~</u>	<u>с</u>	$\frac{2}{\sigma}$	T	ð	5	<u> </u>	s v
ă	Q	2	2	2	$\overline{\bigcirc}$	a	$\overline{\diamond}$
ž	5	š	T	ž	<u>ъ</u>	5	8
$\overline{n}$	N	2	2	N	2	N	$\overline{\sim}$
٣	4	F	V	Y	F	F	F
ス	っ	2	う	2	כ	ֹג	Ż
>	>	7	7	ア	>	7	>
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
ス	X	×	×	X	×	X	×
$\succ$	$\succ$	Y	<b>&gt;</b>	۲	1	Y	$\succ$
N	5	4	И	4	К	И	4
R	5 <b>A A D</b>	Q		g		٩	9
Þ	Р	σ	ъ	P	٩	Ρ	Ρ
R	2	ع	Q	ھر	م	Я	م
ฤ	ŋ	ባ	മ	9	Ŋ	の	ภ
5	đ	H	<b>c</b> †-	4	1	*	6
تە	رہ	2 U V P	0ŕ	Ø		a	0
F	く	~	T	4	7	ト	1
ζ	٢	S	C	۲	C	Σ	C
8	4	101	0-	CP -	0	a	2
<u>r</u>	$\overline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$	1	2	~	~	2	7
ト	1	ナ	ł	سلر	t	ト	X

Figure 10: Samples generated from conditional GLOW model trained on EMNIST (balanced). Estimated Bayes Error is 0.09472.