Hyperparameter Optimization via Sequential Uniform Designs

	Anonymous ¹	3
	¹ Anonymous Institution	2
	Abstract	:
1	mitations and Broader Impact Statement	(
2	producibility Checklist	7
	For all authors	٤
	(a) Do the main claims made in the abstract and introduction accurately reflect the paper contributions and scope? [Yes],	er's
	(b) Did you describe the limitations of your work? [Yes]	1
	(c) Did you discuss any potential negative societal impacts of your work? [No]	12
	(d) Have you read the ethics author's and review guidelines and ensured that your pap conforms to them? https://automl.cc/ethics-accessibility/ [Yes]	per 11
	If you are including theoretical results	1:
	(a) Did you state the full set of assumptions of all theoretical results? $[\rm N/A]$	16
	(b) Did you include complete proofs of all theoretical results? $[N/A]$	17
	If you ran experiments	18
	(a) Did you include the code, data, and instructions needed to reproduce the main experim tal results, including all requirements (e.g., requirements.txt with explicit version), instructive README with installation, and execution commands (either in the supplement material or as a URL)? [Yes] We provide a python package that implements all the compar- models, with sufficient examples. All the involved data are publicly available.	en- 19 an 20 ntal 2 red 2 2
	(b) Did you include the raw results of running the given instructions on the given code a data? [No] The paper presents the summarized results and the raw results can be provid upon request. Note that the raw results contain a lot of materials and hence are not include in the attachment.	ind 2 led 2 led 2 2
	(c) Did you include scripts and commands that can be used to generate the figures and tab in your paper based on the raw results of the code, data, and instructions given? [No] T scripts can be provided upon request.	oles 2 The 2
	(d) Did you ensure sufficient code quality such that your code can be safely executed and to code is properly documented? [Yes] Our python package SeqUD (https://github.code SelfExplainML/SeqUD) implements the proposed SeqUD method and provides unified A for the compared benchmarks, that can perform hyperparameter optimization for estimate	the 3 om/ 3 PIs 3 cors 3

	in the <i>scikit-learn</i> style. All the codes are written strictly following the commonly used python programming style and we have tested it through extensive experiments. The full documentation for this package can be found in https://zebinyang.github.io/SeqUD.	35 36 37
(e)	Did you specify all the training details (e.g., data splits, pre-processing, search spaces, fixed hyperparameter settings, and how they were chosen)? [Yes]	38 39
(f)	Did you ensure that you compared different methods (including your own) exactly on the same benchmarks, including the same datasets, search space, code for training and hyperparameters for that code? [Yes]	40 41 42
(g)	Did you run ablation studies to assess the impact of different components of your approach? [Yes] Our SeqUD approach contains two main components, i.e., uniform designs and sequential space halving. For these two points, we have compared SeqUD with the pure uniform design (without sequential space halving) and sequential random search (without uniform design).	43 44 45 46 47
(h)	Did you use the same evaluation protocol for the methods being compared? [Yes]	48
(i)	Did you compare performance over time? [Yes]	49
(j)	Did you perform multiple runs of your experiments and report random seeds? [Yes] Each experiment is repeated 10 times.	50 51
(k)	Did you report error bars (e.g., with respect to the random seed after running experiments multiple times)? [Yes] We report the standard deviation over the 10 repetitions.	52 53
(1)	Did you use tabular or surrogate benchmarks for in-depth evaluations? [No] Our method does not involve any surrogate models.	54 55
(m)	Did you include the total amount of compute and the type of resources used (e.g., type of GPUS, internal cluster, or cloud provider)? [No] We use two CPU servers, with 32 and 64 cores, respectively. However, this is not mentioned in the paper.	56 57 58
(n)	Did you report how you tuned hyperparameters, and what time and resources this required (if they were not automatically tuned by your AutoML method, e.g. in a NAs approach; and also hyperparameters of your own method)? [Yes] The hyperparameters of our SeqUD are empirically discussed in the paper.	59 60 61 62
4. If y	ou are using existing assets (e.g., code, data, models) or curating/releasing new assets	63
(a)	If your work uses existing assets, did you cite the creators? [Yes]	64
(b)	Did you mention the license of the assets? [No]	65
(c)	Did you include any new assets either in the supplemental material or as a URL? [Yes]	66
(d)	Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you're using/curating? [No]	67 68
(e)	Did you discuss whether the data you are using/curating contains personally identifiable information or offensive content? [No]	69 70
5. If y	ou used crowdsourcing or conducted research with human subjects	71
(a)	Did you include the full text of instructions given to participants and screenshots, if applicable? $\rm [N/A]$	72 73
(b)	Did you describe any potential participant risks, with links to Institutional Review Board (IRB) approvals, if applicable? $[N/A]$	74 75

(c) Did you include the estimated hourly wage paid to participants and the total amount spent
76 on participant compensation? [N/A]
77