The main issue raised by the two reviewers and the program chair was a lack of detail in the work. As the paper indicated, it would provide an analysis of interviews conducted about the use of AI in the college admissions process. At the time the paper was submitted, the interviews were in progress.

Unfortunately, the interviews did not yield usable information about the use of AI in the admissions process, given the interviewee's lack of familiarity with the topic. As such, we decided to address the reviewers' concerns by pivoting so that the paper includes another segment of our work on the topic: algorithmic auditing to assess equity issues in the college admissions process. The recommendations section of the paper remains the same.

We realize that this is a bit of a departure from the original submission, and we understand if the program chair would prefer that we withdraw the paper.