# OUROBOROS3D: IMAGE-TO-3D GENERATION VIA 3D-AWARE RECURSIVE DIFFUSION

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

# ABSTRACT

Existing image-to-3D creation methods typically split the task into multi-view image generation and 3D reconstruction, leading to two main limitations: (1) multiview bias, where geometric inconsistencies arise because multi-view diffusion models ensure image-level rather than 3D consistency; (2) misaligned reconstruction data, since reconstruction models trained on mostly synthetic data misalign when processing generated multi-view images during inference. To address these issues, we propose Ouroboros3D, a unified framework that integrates multi-view generation and 3D reconstruction into a recursive diffusion process. By incorporating a 3D-aware feedback mechanism, our multi-view diffusion model leverages the explicit 3D information from the reconstruction results of the previous denoising process as conditions, thus modeling consistency at the 3D geometric level. Furthermore, through joint training of both the multi-view diffusion and reconstruction models, we alleviate reconstruction bias due to data misalignment and enable mutual enhancement within the multi-step recursive process. Experimental results demonstrate that Ouroboros3D outperforms methods that treat these stages separately and those that combine them only during inference, achieving superior multi-view consistency and producing 3D models with higher geometric realism.

027 028 029

025

026

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

# 1 INTRODUCTION

031 Creating 3D content from a single image have achieved rapid progress in recent years with the adoption of large-scale 3D datasets (Deitke et al., 2023; 2024; Wu et al., 2023) and diffusion 033 models (Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020). A body of research (Liu 034 et al., 2023b; Shi et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023c; Kwak et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2023; Tang et al., 2024b; Voleti et al., 2024; Long et al., 2023) has focused on multi-view diffusion models, finetuning pretrained image or video diffusion models on 3D datasets to enable consistent multi-view 036 synthesis. These methods demonstrate generalizability and produce promising results. Another group 037 of works (Hong et al., 2023; Tang et al., 2024a; Xu et al., 2024b; Wang et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2024a) propose generalizable reconstruction models, to generate 3D representation from one or few views in a feed-forward process, leading to efficient image-to-3D creation. 040

Since single-view reconstruction models (Hong et al., 2023) trained on 3D datasets (Deitke et al., 041 2023; Yu et al., 2023) lack generalizability and often produce blurring at unseen viewpoints, several 042 works (Li et al., 2023a; Tang et al., 2024a; Wang et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2024a) combine multi-view 043 diffusion models and feed-forward reconstruction models, so as to extend the reconstruction stage to 044 sparse-view input, boosting the reconstruction quality. As shown in Fig. 1, these methods split 3D 045 generation into two stages: multi-view synthesis and 3D reconstruction. By combining generalizable 046 multi-view diffusion models and robust sparse-view reconstruction models, such pipelines achieve 047 high-quality image to 3D generation. However, combining the two independently designed models 048 introduces a significant "data bias" to the reconstruction model. Data bias manifests primarily in two aspects: (1) Multi-view bias. Multi-view diffusion models achieve consistency at the image level, not in 3D space, complicating the assurance of geometric consistency. (2) Reconstruction data is 051 misaligned. Unlike multi-view diffusion models, reconstruction models are trained from scratch on mostly synthetic data and limited real data. During inference, multi-view images generated by the 052 previous diffusion model lack geometric consistency and exhibit a more varied data distribution, both of which affect the reconstruction.



Figure 1: **Concept comparison** between Ouroboros3D and previous two-stage methods. Instead of separating multi-view diffusion model and reconstruction model, our framework involves joint training and inference of these two models, which are established into a recursive diffusion process.

066 067

099

063

064

065

068 Recent works have explored several mechanisms to enhance multi-view consistency. Carve3D (Xie 069 et al., 2024) employs a RL-based fine-tuning algorithm (Black et al., 2023), applying a multi-view consistency metric to enhance the multi-view image generation. However, the challenge of data 071 limitation has not been well-addressed, leading to poor reconstruction quality. On the other hand, IM-3D (Melas-Kyriazi et al., 2024) and VideoMV (Zuo et al., 2024) aggregate the rendered views of the 072 reconstructed 3D model into multi-view synthesis during inference by adopting re-sampling strategy 073 in the denoising loop. However, on the overall image-to-3D pipeline, its (a) lacking joint training and 074 (b) inability to use geometric information hinder its capacity to fully leverage 3D-aware knowledge 075 and unify the two stages. Moreover, these methods fail to address the "data bias" between multi-view 076 generation and 3D reconstruction, and the use of biased information from few-shot reconstructed 3D 077 models can result in multi-view outputs misaligned with the input image (see Fig. 4).

In this paper, we introduce Ouroboros3D, a novel image-to-3D framework that seamlessly integrates 079 multi-view generation with 3D reconstruction within a recursive diffusion process, as depicted in Fig. 2. To facilitate the modeling of multi-view consistency, we propose a 3D-aware feedback 081 mechanism, where our multi-view diffusion model utilizes 3D-aware maps rendered by the reconstruction module from the previous timestep as additional conditions during the denoising phase. 083 Leveraging 3D information from reconstructed representations, our model produces images with 084 enhanced geometric consistency, thereby reducing the multi-view bias. To address the misaligned 085 distribution due to training the reconstruction model on mostly synthetic data and limited real data, we involve joint training of the multi-view diffusion model and reconstruction model. During training, 087 the reconstruction model utilizes images restored by the diffusion process rather than original images. 880 This approach not only reduces the data bias of the reconstruction stage, increasing the diversity of the reconstruction, but also enhances the diffusion model's capability to generate images better suited for 089 few-shot reconstruction, making the two stages mutually beneficial in the multi-step iterative diffusion 090 process. The 3D-aware recursived diffusion, with the integration of the two stages, facilitates adaptive 091 refinement of outputs through mutual feedback, enhancing inference stability and reducing data bias. 092

In our experiments, we use the Stable Video Diffusion(SVD) (Blattmann et al., 2023) as the multi-view
 generator and the Large Multi-View Gaussian Model (LGM) (Tang et al., 2024a) as the reconstruction
 module. Experimental results on the GSO dataset (Downs et al., 2022) show that our framework
 outperforms separation of these stages and existing methods (Zuo et al., 2024) that combine the
 stages at the inference phase.

- Our key contributions are as follows:
- We introduce a image-to-3D creation framework Ouroboros3D, which integrates multi-view generation and 3D reconstruction into a recursive diffusion process. The framework is highly extensible and can accommodate various multi-view generation networks and reconstruction networks.
- Ouroboros3D employs a self-conditioning mechanism with 3D-aware feedback, using rendered maps to guide the multi-view generation, ensuring better geometric consistency and robustness.
- We conducte extensive experiments to demonstrate that Ouroboros3D significantly reduces data bias and outperforms both the method that separates the two stages and the method that combines them only at inference time. (Zuo et al., 2024).



Figure 2: **Overview of 3D-aware recursive diffusion.** During multi-view denoising, the diffusion model uses 3D-aware maps rendered by the reconstruction module at the previous step as conditions.

2 RELATED WORK

108

114 115

116 117

118 119

120

121 122 123

124

125 **Image/Video Diffusion for Multi-view Generation** Diffusion models (Rombach et al., 2022; 126 Saharia et al., 2022; Podell et al., 2023; Sauer et al., 2024; Ho et al., 2022b;; Singer et al., 2022; 127 Blattmann et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023; Hu, 2024; Ma et al., 2024; Brooks et al., 2024) have 128 demonstrated their powerful generative capabilities in image and video generation fields. Current 129 research (Liu et al., 2023b; Shi et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023c; Kwak et al., 2023; Huang et al., 130 2023; Tang et al., 2024b; Voleti et al., 2024; Long et al., 2023; Zheng & Vedaldi, 2023) fine-tunes 131 pretrained image/video diffusion models on 3D datasets like Objaverse (Deitke et al., 2023) and 132 MVImageNet (Yu et al., 2023). Zero123 (Liu et al., 2023b) introduces relative view condition to image diffusion models, enabling novel view synthesis from a single image and preserving 133 generalizability. Based on it, methods like SyncDreamer (Liu et al., 2023c), ConsistNet (Yang et al., 134 2023) and EpiDiff (Huang et al., 2023) design attention modules to generate consistent multi-view 135 images. These methods fine-tuned from image diffusion models produce generally promising results. 136 By considering multi-view images as consecutive frames of a video (e.g., orbiting camera views), it 137 naturally leads to the idea of applying video generation models to 3D generation (Voleti et al., 2024). 138 However, since the diffusion model is not explicitly modeled in 3D space, the generated multi-view 139 images often struggle to achieve consistent and robust details. 140

141 **Image to 3D Reconstruction** Recently, the task of reconstructing 3D objects has evolved from 142 traditional multi-view reconstruction methods (Mildenhall et al., 2021; Barron et al., 2021; Müller 143 et al., 2022; Kerbl et al., 2023) to feed-forward reconstruction models (Hong et al., 2023; Jiang et al., 144 2023; Zou et al., 2023; Tang et al., 2024a; Xu et al., 2024b; Wang et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2024a). 145 Ultilizing one or few shot as input, these highly generalizable reconstruction models synthesize 3D representation, enabling the rapid generation of 3D objects. LRM (Hong et al., 2023) proposes 146 a transformer-based model to effectively map image tokens to 3D triplanes. Instant3D (Li et al., 147 2023a) further extends LRM to sparse-view input, significantly boosting the reconstruction quality. 148 LGM (Tang et al., 2024a) and GRM (Xu et al., 2024b) replace the triplane representation with 3D 149 Gaussians (Kerbl et al., 2023) to enjoy its superior rendering efficiency. CRM (Wang et al., 2024) 150 and InstantMesh (Xu et al., 2024a) optimize on the mesh representation for high-quality geometry 151 and texture modeling. These reconstruction models built upon convolutional network architecture or 152 transformer backbone, have led to efficient image-to-3D creation.

153 154

**Pipelines of 3D Generation** Early works propose to distill knowledge of image prior to create 155 3D models via Score Distillation Sampling (SDS) (Poole et al., 2022; Lin et al., 2023; Guo et al., 156 2023), limited by the low speed of per-scene optimization. DMV3D (Xu et al., 2023) employs a 3D 157 reconstruction model as the 2D multi-view denoiser in a multiview diffusion framework, to achieve 158 generic end-to-end 3D generation. However, it fails to utilize the advanced features of pre-existing 159 image or video diffusion models, and training from scratch on 3D data limits its generalization. Several works (Liu et al., 2023c; Huang et al., 2023; Long et al., 2023; Melas-Kyriazi et al., 2024) 160 fine-tune image diffusion models to generate multi-view images, which are then utilized for 3D 161 shape and appearance recovery with traditional reconstruction methods (Wang et al., 2021; Kerbl



Figure 3: **Overview of Ouroboros3D.** We adopt a video diffusion model as the multi-view generator by incorporating the input image and relative camera poses. In the denoising sampling loop, we decode the predicted  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^f$  to noise-corrupted images, which are then used to recover 3D representation by a feed-forward reconstruction model. Then the rendered color images and coordinates maps are encoded and fed into the next denoising step. At inference, the 3D-aware denoising sampling strategy iteratively refines the images by incorporating feedback from the reconstructed 3D into the denoising loop, enhancing multi-view consistency and image quality.

et al., 2023). More recently, several works (Li et al., 2023a; Tang et al., 2024a; Wang et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2024a; Zuo et al., 2024) involve both multi-view diffusion models and feed-forward reconstruction models in the generation process. Such pipelines attempt to combine the processes into a cohesive two-stage approach, thus achieving highly generalizable and high-quality single-image to 3D generation. The multi-view diffusion model, lacking explicit 3D modeling, struggles to ensure strong consistency, resulting in data deviations between the testing and training phases. In contrast, we propose a unified pipeline that integrates these stages through a self-conditioning mechanism during training, enhanced by 3D-aware feedback to achieve high consistency.

# 3 Method

197 Given a single image, Ouroboros3D aims to generate multiview-consistent images with a reconstructed 3D Gaussion model. To reduce the data bias and improve robustness of the generation, our 199 framework integrates multi-view synthesis and 3D reconstruction in a recursive diffusion process. 200 As illustrated in Fig. 3, the proposed framework involves a video diffusion model (SVD (Blattmann 201 et al., 2023)) as multi-view generator (refer to Section 3.1) and a feed-forward reconstruction model 202 to recover a 3D Gaussian Splatting (refer to Section 3.2. Moreover, we introduce a self-conditioning 203 mechanism, feeding the 3D-aware information obtained from the reconstruction module back to 204 the multi-view generation process (refer to Section 3.3). The 3D-aware recursive diffusion strategy 205 iteratively refines the multi-view images and the 3d model, enhancing the final production.

206 207

208

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194 195

196

# 3.1 VIDEO DIFFUSION MODEL AS MULTIVIEW GENERATOR

Recent video diffusion models (Voleti et al., 2024; Brooks et al., 2024; Gao et al., 2024) have demonstrated a remarkable capability to generate 3D-aware videos. We employs the well-known Stable Video Diffusion (SVD) Model as our multi-view generator, which generates videos from an image input. Further details about SVD can be found in Appendix A.1. In our framework, we set the number of the generated frames f to 8.

We enhance the video diffusion model with camera control c to generate images from different viewpoints. Traditional methods encode camera positions at the frame level, which results in all pixels within one view sharing the same positional encoding (Liu et al., 2023a; Voleti et al., 2024). Building on the innovations of previous work (Huang et al., 2023; Zheng & Vedaldi, 2023), we integrate the camera condition c into the denoising network by parameterizing the rays  $\mathbf{r} = (o, o \times d)$ . Specifically, we use two-layered MLP to inject Plücker ray embeddings for each latent pixel, enabling precise positional encoding at the pixel level. This approach allows for more detailed and accurate 3D rendering, as pixel-specific embedding enhances the model's ability to handle complex variations in depth and perspective across the video frames.

Our multi-view diffusion model differs from existing two-stage methods in that it does not independently complete all denoising steps. Instead, within the denoising sampling loop, we obtain the predicted  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^f$  at each timestep, where f indicates the frame number, which is then utilized for subsequent 3D reconstruction. The rendered maps are employed as conditions to guide the next denoising step. At each sampling step, we reparameterize the output from the denoising network  $F_{\theta}$ to transform it into  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^f$ . we apply the following formula to process the noising images  $c_{in}(\sigma)\mathbf{x}^f$  and the associated noise level  $c_{noise}(\sigma)$ :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0}^{f} = c_{\text{skip}}(\sigma)\mathbf{x}^{f} + c_{\text{out}}(\sigma)F_{\theta}(c_{\text{in}}(\sigma)\mathbf{x}^{f}; c_{\text{noise}}(\sigma)).$$
(1)

where  $\sigma$  indicates the standard deviation of the noise,  $c_{\text{skip}}$  is a parameter that controls how much of the original  $\mathbf{x}_0^f$  is retained. This operation adjusts the output of  $F_{\theta}$  to  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^f$ , which will be decoded into images and passed to the subsequent 3D reconstruction module.

### 3.2 FEED-FORWARD RECONSTRUCTION MODEL

In the Ouroboros3D framework, the feed-forward reconstruction model is designed to recover 3D models from pre-generated multi-view images, which can be images decoded from straightly predicted  $\tilde{x}_0^f$ , or completely denoised images. We utilize Large Multi-View Gaussian Model (LGM) (Tang et al., 2024a)  $\mathcal{G}$  as our reconstruction module due to its real-time rendering capabilities that benefit from 3D representation of Gaussian Splatting. This method integrates seamlessly with our jointly training framework, allowing for quick adaptation and efficient processing.

We pass four specific views from the reparameterized output  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0^f$  to the Large Gaussian Model (LGM) for 3D Gaussian Splatting reconstruction. To enhance the performance of LGM, particularly its sensitivity to different noise levels  $c_{\text{noise}}(\sigma)$  and image details, we introduce a zero-initialized time embedding layer within the original U-Net structure of the LGM. This innovative modification enables the LGM to dynamically adapt to the diverse outputs that arise at different stages of the denoising process, thereby substantially improving its capacity to accurately reconstruct 3D content from images that have undergone partial denoising.

The loss function employed for the fine-tuning of the LGM is articulated as follows:

251 252

259

260

234

235

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{rgb}}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0, c_{\text{noise}}(\sigma))) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{\text{LPIPS}}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0, c_{\text{noise}}(\sigma))).$ (2)

where we have utilized the mean square error loss  $\mathcal{L}_{rgb}$  for the color channel and a VGG-based perceptual loss  $\mathcal{L}_{LPIPS}$  for the LPIPS term. In practical applications, the weighting factor  $\lambda$  is conventionally set to 1.

Additionally, to maintain the model's reconstruction capability for normal images, we also input the model without adding noise and calculate the corresponding loss. In this case, we set  $c_{\text{noise}}(\sigma)$  to 0.

# 3.3 3D-Aware Feedback Mechanism

We use a 3D-aware feedback mechanism, as shown in Fig. 3, involving rendered color images 261 and geometric maps in a denoising loop to enhance multi-view consistency and facilitate cyclic 262 adaptation. Unlike integrating multi-view generation and 3D reconstruction at the inference stage 263 using re-sampling strategy (Melas-Kyriazi et al., 2024; Zuo et al., 2024), we jointly to train these 264 two modules to support more informative feedback. Specifically, in addition to the rendered color 265 images, our flexible framework is able to derive additional geometric features to guide the generation 266 process, which brings guidance of more explicit 3D information to multi-view generation. Moreover, 267 the explicit geometric structure allows it to be adaptable across various network designs. 268

In practice, we obtain color images and canonical coordinates maps (CCM) (Li et al., 2023b) from the reconstructed 3D model, and utilize them as condition to guide the next denoising step of multi-view

generation. We choose CCM over depth or normal maps because CCMs capture global vertex coordinates normalized across the entire 3D model, unlike depth maps that normalize relative to the self-view. This operation enables the rendered maps to be characterized as cross-view alignment, providing the strong guidance of more explicit cross-view geometry relationship. The details of canonical coordinates maps can be found in Appendix A.2.

To encode color images and coordinates maps into the denoising network of multi-view generation module, we design two simple and lightweight encoders for color images and coordinates maps using a series of convolutional neural networks, like T2I-Adapter (Mou et al., 2024). The encoders are composed of four feature extraction blocks and three downsample blocks to change the feature resolution, so that the dimension of the encoded features is the same as the intermediate feature in the encoder of U-Net denoiser. The extracted features from the two conditional modalities are then added to the U-Net encoder at each scale.

We then introduce the proposed 3D-aware self-conditioning (Chen et al., 2022) strategy for both training and inference. The original multi-view denoising network  $F_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}; \sigma)$  is augmented with 3D-aware feedback, formulated as  $F_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}; \sigma, \mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0))$ , where  $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0)$  is the rendered maps of the reconstruction module.

**Training Strategy** As illustrated in Algorithm 1 of Appendix A.3, the training of the 3D-aware multi-view generation network involves a probabilistic self-conditioning mechanism. During each training iteration, the network uses the rendered results from a feed-forward model as self-conditioning input with a probability of 0.5. Specifically, if the 3D reconstruction result is not used, the input  $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0)$  is set to 0. This approach ensures balanced learning and prevents the model from over-relying on the 3D information.

**Inference/Sampling Strategy** As illustrated in Algorithm 2 of Appendix A.3, the initial condition  $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0)$  is set to zero. At each subsequent timestep, this condition is updated based on the previous reconstruction result. This iterative updating process refines the 3D representation, enhancing the consistency of multi-view images and improving the quality of the reconstructed 3D models.

# 4 EXPERIMENTS

293

294

295

296

297 298

299 300

301

# 4.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Datasets We use a filtered subset of the Objaverse (Deitke et al., 2023) dataset to train our model.
Following LGM (Tang et al., 2024a), we implemented a rigorous filtering process to remove bad models with bad captions or missing texture. It leads to a final set of around 80K 3D objects. We render 2 16-frame RGBA orbits at 512 × 512. For each orbit, the cameras are positioned at a randomly sampled elevation between [-5, 30] degrees. During training, we subsample any 8-frame orbit by picking any frame in one orbit as the first frame (the conditioning image), and then choose every 2nd frame after that.

We evaluate the synthesized multi-view images and reconstructed 3D Gaussian Splatting (3DGS) on the unseen GSO (Downs et al., 2022) dataset. We filter 100 objects to reduce redundancy and maintain diversity. For each object, we render ground truth orbit videos and pick the first frame as the conditioning image.

**Experimental Settings** Our Ouroboros3D is trained for 30,000 iterations using 8 A100 GPUs with a total batch size of 32. We clip the gradient with a maximum norm of 1.0. We use the AdamW optimizer with a learning rate of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  and employ FP16 mixed precision with DeepSeed(Rasley et al., 2020) with Zero-2 for efficient training. At the inference stage, we set the number of sampling steps as 25, which takes about 20 seconds to generate a 3d model.

Metrics We compare generated multi-view images and rendered views from reconstructed 3DGS with the ground truth frames, in terms of Learned Perceptual Similarity (LPIPS (Zhang et al., 2018)), Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR), and Structural SIMilarity (SSIM).

Baselines In terms of multi-view generation, we compare Ouroboros3D with SyncDreamer (Liu et al., 2023c), SV3D (Voleti et al., 2024), VideoMV (Zuo et al., 2024). For image-to-3D creation, we adopt feed-forward reconstruction models or pipelines as baseline methods, including 326

347

348 349

350 351 352

353

354 355

356

	Method	Resolution	<b>PSNR</b> ↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓
	SyncDreamer (Liu et al., 2023c)	$256 \times 256$	20.056	0.8163	0.1596
Imaga ta Multiviav	SV3D (Voleti et al., 2024)	$576 \times 576$	21.042	0.8497	0.1296
Image-to-Muniview	VideoMV (Zuo et al., 2024)	$256 \times 256$	18.605	0.8410	0.1548
	Ouroboros3D (SVD)	$512 \times 512$	21.770	0.8866	0.1093
	TripoSR (Tochilkin et al., 2024)	$256 \times 256$	18.481	0.8506	0.1357
	LGM (Tang et al., 2024a)	$512 \times 512$	17.716	0.8319	0.1894
Image-to-3D	VideoMV(GS) (Zuo et al., 2024)	$256 \times 256$	18.764	0.8449	0.1569
	InstantMesh (NeRF) (Xu et al., 2024a)	$512 \times 512$	19.948	0.8727	0.1205
	Ouroboros3D (LGM)	$512 \times 512$	21.761	0.8894	0.1091

Table 1: Quantitative comparison on the quality of generated multi-view images and 3D representation for image-to-multiview and image-to-3D tasks.



Figure 4: Qualitative comparisons of generated multi-view images. Our method achieves better consistency and quality.

TripoSR (Tochilkin et al., 2024), LGM (Tang et al., 2024a) and InstantMesh (Xu et al., 2024a), where LGM and InstantMesh adopt two-stage methods to achieve image-to-3D creation.

# 4.2 COMPARISON WITH EXISTING ALTERNATIVES

357 **Image-to-Multiview generation** We compare our method with SyncDreamer (Liu et al., 2023c), 358 SV3D (Voleti et al., 2024) and VideoMV (Zuo et al., 2024), as shown in Fig. 4. SyncDreamer and 359 SV3D fine-tune image or video diffusion models on 3D datasets but lack explicit 3D information, 360 often resulting in blurry textures or inconsistent details. VideoMV aggregates rendered views 361 from reconstructed 3D models at the inference stage but fails to take into account the "data bias" 362 between two stages. Although VideoMV improves the multi-view consistency, it introduces biased information from the reconstruction stage, leading to results that are unaligned with the input image. Our Ouroboros3D uses joint training of the two stages and uses geometry and appearance feedback 364 for multi-view generation, generating consistent and high-quality multi-view images.

366 **Image-to-3D** generation We compare our method with TripoSR (Tochilkin et al., 2024), 367 VideoMV (Zuo et al., 2024), LGM (Tang et al., 2024a) and InstantMesh (Xu et al., 2024a), as 368 visualized in Fig. 5. TripoSR struggles with high-quality geometry and appearance due to lacking large pre-trained generative models. VideoMV reconstructs 3DGS from its generated multi-view 369 images, but its inherent biases in multiview generation can lead to misaligned textures and distorted 370 geometries. Two-stage methods such as LGM and InstantMesh, which comprise an off-the-shelf 371 image-to-multiview generation method followed by reconstruction models for the image-to-3D gener-372 ation process, often yield incomplete geometry due to the disparity between multiview generation and 373 3D reconstruction. In contrast, our framework integrates multiview generation and 3D reconstruction, 374 enhancing each module's strengths to produce high-quality 3D assets. 375

Generalizability Ouroboros3D exhibits remarkable generalizability, adept at producing high quality 3D models from images that fall outside its training distribution, including real-world images.
 This capability is demonstrated in the results shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 9.



Figure 5: Qualitative comparisons for image-to-3D generation.



Figure 6: Generalizability of our 3D generation. We can generate high-quality 3D models given image inputs outside the distribution, including real world images.

#### 4.3 ABLATION STUDY

To assess the effectiveness of our 3D-aware feedback mechanism, we conducted ablation experiments on the generated 3DGS for different configurations (Fig. 7 and Table 2). We start with a base framework that does not jointly trains the multi-view generation module and the reconstruction module, or use feedback mechanism. We then incrementally add components of our proposed approach. The full model (the last setting) means that we use both geometry and appearance information as conditions to guide the multi-view generation.

418 The reconstructed results shown in Fig. 7 demonstrate that, only the coordinates map feedback 419 produces blurry textures, and only the color map has poor geometric quality in fine details. Our full 420 setting leads to superior performance in both geometry and texture. Table 2 reports the quantitative 421 results, which demonstrate significant improvements by enhancing both geometric consistency and 422 texture details. We also report the absolute distances of performance metrics between the generated 423 multiviews and 3DGS. It can be observed that our framework reduces the performance difference 424 between the generated multi-view images and 3D representation, and improves the combined perfor-425 mance.

426 427

428

392 393

396 397

406

407

408 409 410

411

4.4 DISCUSSION

Recursive Generation Process We visualize the reconstructed results at different denoising steps
 in Fig. 8. It can be observed that floaters and distorted geometries are generated in the early stages
 due to multi-view inconsistency. As the denoising process progresses, these artifacts are significantly
 reduced, which is attributed to our recursive diffusion method with the feedback mechanism within

CC	M Feedback	RGB Feedback	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	$\Delta \text{PSNR} \downarrow$	$\Delta SSIM\downarrow$	$\Delta LPIPS\downarrow$
	×	×	20.549	0.8651	0.1183	0.511	0.0094	0.0070
	1	×	21.325	0.8937	0.1092	0.304	0.0036	0.0018
	×	✓	21.542	0.8871	0.1103	0.100	0.0101	0.0036
	1	✓	21.761	0.9094	0.0991	0.009	0.0028	0.0002

Table 2: Ablation study of different feedback mechanisms. Results show that our 3D-aware feedback
 mechanism lead to superior generalization performance.



Figure 7: Qualitative ablation study on the reconstruction results with two types of feedback.

the iterative denoising process. This mechanism not only refines the visual quality by smoothing out inconsistencies but also enhances the fidelity of the reconstructed geometries and material properties.

Alternative 3D Representations Our model currently utilize 3D Gaussian splatting as the generated 3D representation, which is not as widely used in the gaming field as meshes. Replacing the reconstruction module with CRM (Wang et al., 2024) or InstantMesh (Xu et al., 2024a) can enable our framework to generate meshes from a single image. In addition, experiments on 3D scene dataset will also be an extension of our framework.

460 461

448 449

450 451 452

453

454

Training and Inference Efficiency While 462 our joint training method enhances model 463 performance, it also increases computational 464 demands. Simultaneously training both the 465 multi-view generation and 3D reconstruction networks-coupled with the feedback mecha-466 nism-requires additional time and GPU mem-467 ory. To quantify this, we measured the time 468 required for 1,000 training steps on an A100 469 GPU. As shown in Table 3, Ouroboros3D takes 470 longer to train than the individual components 471 when trained separately, primarily due to the ex-472 tra computations and the need for simultaneous 473 optimization. 474

Despite the higher training cost, the inference efficiency of our method is comparable to that of the baselines. We evaluated the inference speed of baseline methods under identical settings to ensure fairness. The LGM baseline employs ImageDream (Wang & Shi, 2023) to generate 4

Table 3: Comparison of training speed with the method of training each module separately. We show the time it takes to train 1,000 steps.

Setting	Training Time (1,000 steps)
SVD	15 min
LGM	10 min
Ouroboros3D	36 min

Table 4: Comparison of inference speed with baseline methods. We show the time it takes to generate one sample.

Method	Inference time
ImageDream + LGM	1.225s
SV3D + LGM	24.18s
Ouroboros3D	25.19s

views at 256 × 256 resolution, which are then reconstructed into a 3D Gaussian Splatting (3DGS)
representation. In contrast, our Ouroboros3D approach utilizes SVD to generate 8 views at 512 × 512 resolution. For a fair comparison, we report the inference time of "SV3D + LGM", where
SV3D (Voleti et al., 2024) is a multi-view generator fine-tuned from SVD. Compared to it, the
additional overhead in our method mainly stems from the feedback mechanism at each step, involving
VAE decoding, 3D reconstruction and conditioning injection. However, the impact on inference speed is minimal, rendering Ouroboros3D efficient for practical applications once training is complete.



Figure 8: Visualization of the reconstruction results at different denoising steps. In the early stages, floaters and distorted geometries are generated due to multi-view inconsistency. The quality of geometry and appearance tends to be higher in denoising process.



Figure 9: More visualization results of our image-to-3D creation. Our method is able to generate cohesive objects (*e.g.* action figures) and geometrically fragmented items (*e.g.* magic wands). The inclusion of detailed, delicate structures like the wands highlights the ability of Ouroboros3D to capture fine-grained geometric complexities, benefiting from its 3D-aware recursive diffusion process.

# 5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduce Ouroboros3D, a unified framework for single image-to-3D creation that
integrates multi-view image generation and 3D reconstruction in a recursive diffusion process. We In
our framework, these two modules are jointly trained through a self-conditioning mechanism, which
allows them to adapt to the inherent characteristic of each stage, leading to more robust generation. By
establishing a recursive relationship between these two stages through a self-conditioning mechanism,
our approach effectively mitigates the data bias encountered in existing two-stage methods. Experiments demonstrate that Ouroboros3D not only generates consistent and high-quality multi-view
images, but also produces 3D objects with superior geometric consistency and details.

# 540 REFERENCES 541

542 543 544	Jonathan T Barron, Ben Mildenhall, Matthew Tancik, Peter Hedman, Ricardo Martin-Brualla, and Pratul P Srinivasan. Mip-nerf: A multiscale representation for anti-aliasing neural radiance fields. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 5855–5864, 2021.
545 546 547	Kevin Black, Michael Janner, Yilun Du, Ilya Kostrikov, and Sergey Levine. Training diffusion models with reinforcement learning. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13301</i> , 2023.
549 550 551	Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Sumith Kulal, Daniel Mendelevitch, Maciej Kilian, Dominik Lorenz, Yam Levi, Zion English, Vikram Voleti, Adam Letts, et al. Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127</i> , 2023.
552 553 554 555	Tim Brooks, Bill Peebles, Connor Holmes, Will DePue, Yufei Guo, Li Jing, David Schnurr, Joe Taylor, Troy Luhman, Eric Luhman, Clarence Ng, Ricky Wang, and Aditya Ramesh. Video generation models as world simulators, 2024. URL https://openai.com/research/video-generation-models-as-world-simulators.
556 557 558	Ting Chen, Ruixiang Zhang, and Geoffrey Hinton. Analog bits: Generating discrete data using diffusion models with self-conditioning. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04202</i> , 2022.
559 560 561 562	Matt Deitke, Dustin Schwenk, Jordi Salvador, Luca Weihs, Oscar Michel, Eli VanderBilt, Ludwig Schmidt, Kiana Ehsani, Aniruddha Kembhavi, and Ali Farhadi. Objaverse: A universe of anno- tated 3d objects. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 13142–13153, 2023.
563 564 565 566	Matt Deitke, Ruoshi Liu, Matthew Wallingford, Huong Ngo, Oscar Michel, Aditya Kusupati, Alan Fan, Christian Laforte, Vikram Voleti, Samir Yitzhak Gadre, et al. Objaverse-xl: A universe of 10m+ 3d objects. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 36, 2024.
567 568 569 570	Laura Downs, Anthony Francis, Nate Koenig, Brandon Kinman, Ryan Hickman, Krista Reymann, Thomas B McHugh, and Vincent Vanhoucke. Google scanned objects: A high-quality dataset of 3d scanned household items. In 2022 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pp. 2553–2560. IEEE, 2022.
571 572 573	Ruiqi Gao, Aleksander Holynski, Philipp Henzler, Arthur Brussee, Ricardo Martin-Brualla, Pratul Srinivasan, Jonathan T Barron, and Ben Poole. Cat3d: Create anything in 3d with multi-view diffusion models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.10314</i> , 2024.
574 575 576 577 578	Yuan-Chen Guo, Ying-Tian Liu, Ruizhi Shao, Christian Laforte, Vikram Voleti, Guan Luo, Chia- Hao Chen, Zi-Xin Zou, Chen Wang, Yan-Pei Cao, and Song-Hai Zhang. threestudio: A unified framework for 3d content generation. https://github.com/threestudio-project/ threestudio, 2023.
579 580 581	Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 770–778, 2016.
582 583 584	Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
585 586 587	Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J Fleet, et al. Imagen video: High definition video generation with diffusion models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303</i> , 2022a.
588 589 590 591	Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, Alexey Gritsenko, William Chan, Mohammad Norouzi, and David J Fleet. Video diffusion models. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 35:8633–8646, 2022b.
592 593	Yicong Hong, Kai Zhang, Jiuxiang Gu, Sai Bi, Yang Zhou, Difan Liu, Feng Liu, Kalyan Sunkavalli, Trung Bui, and Hao Tan. Lrm: Large reconstruction model for single image to 3d. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04400</i> , 2023.

594 595 596	Li Hu. Animate anyone: Consistent and controllable image-to-video synthesis for character animation. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition</i> , pp. 8153–8163, 2024.
597	
598	Zehuan Huang, Hao Wen, Junting Dong, Yaohui Wang, Yangguang Li, Xinyuan Chen, Yan-Pei
599	Cao, Ding Liang, Yu Qiao, Bo Dai, et al. Epidiff: Enhancing multi-view synthesis via localized
600	epipolar-constrained diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06725, 2023.
601	Henvion Liong Zhanun Liong Via Zhao, and Oiving Huang, Loon, Liberata sparse view 2d modeling
602 603	from camera poses. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01410</i> , 2023.
604 605 606	Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, and Samuli Laine. Elucidating the design space of diffusion- based generative models. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 35:26565–26577, 2022.
607 608 609 610	Bernhard Kerbl, Georgios Kopanas, Thomas Leimkuehler, and George Drettakis. 3d gaussian splatting for real-time radiance field rendering. <i>ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)</i> , 42(4): 1–14, 2023.
611 612 613	Jeong-gi Kwak, Erqun Dong, Yuhe Jin, Hanseok Ko, Shweta Mahajan, and Kwang Moo Yi. Vivid- 1-to-3: Novel view synthesis with video diffusion models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.01305</i> , 2023.
614	Jiahao Li, Hao Tan, Kai Zhang, Zexiang Xu, Fujun Luan, Yinghao Xu, Yicong Hong, Kalvan
615	Sunkavalli, Greg Shakhnarovich, and Sai Bi. Instant3d: Fast text-to-3d with sparse-view generation
616	and large reconstruction model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.06214, 2023a.
617	
618	Weiyu Li, Rui Chen, Xuelin Chen, and Ping Tan. Sweetdreamer: Aligning geometric priors in 2d
619	diffusion for consistent text-to-3d. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02596, 2023b.
620	Chen-Hsuan Lin, Jun Gao, Luming Tang, Towaki Takikawa, Xiaohui Zeng, Xun Huang, Karsten
621	Kreis, Sania Fidler, Ming-Yu Liu, and Tsung-Yi Lin. Magic 3d: High-resolution text-to-3d content
622 623	creation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 300–309, 2023.
624	
625 626 627	Zero-1-to-3: Zero-shot one image to 3d object. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International</i> <i>Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 9298–9309, 2023a.
628	
629	Ruosni Liu, Rundi wu, Basile van Hoorick, Pavel Tokmakov, Sergey Zakharov, and Carl vondrick.
630 631	Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 9298–9309, 2023b.
632 633	Yuan Liu, Cheng Lin, Zijiao Zeng, Xiaoxiao Long, Lingjie Liu, Taku Komura, and Wenping Wang. Syncdreamer: Generating multiview-consistent images from a single-view image. <i>arXiv preprint</i>
634	arXiv:2309.03453, 2023c.
635	Yigoyigo Long, Yuan Chen Guo, Cheng Lin, Yuan Liu, Zhiyang Dou, Lingija Liu, Vuovin Ma
636	Song-Hai Zhang Marc Habermann Christian Theobalt et al Wonder2d: Single image to 2d using
637	cross-domain diffusion arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.15008, 2023
638	cross domain diffusion, while proprint while 2510,15000, 2025.
639	Xin Ma, Yaohui Wang, Gengyun Jia, Xinyuan Chen, Ziwei Liu, Yuan-Fang Li, Cunjian Chen, and
640	Yu Qiao. Latte: Latent diffusion transformer for video generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.03048,
641	2024.
642	Luka Malas Kuriari Ira Laina Christian Dunnracht Natalia Navarava Andrea Vadaldi Orar Cafri
643	and Filinnos Kokkinos. Im.3d: Iterative multiview diffusion and reconstruction for high quality 2d
644	generation arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.08682.2024
645	50101u101. arxiv proprint arxiv.2702.00002, 2027.
646 647	Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. <i>Communications of the ACM</i> , 65(1):99–106, 2021.

648 649 650	Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Yanze Wu, Jian Zhang, Zhongang Qi, and Ying Shan. T2i- adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion models. In <i>Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence</i> , volume 38, pp. 4296–4304, 2024.
651 652 653 654	Thomas Müller, Alex Evans, Christoph Schied, and Alexander Keller. Instant neural graphics primitives with a multiresolution hash encoding. <i>ACM transactions on graphics (TOG)</i> , 41(4): 1–15, 2022.
655 656 657	Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01952</i> , 2023.
658 659 660	Ben Poole, Ajay Jain, Jonathan T Barron, and Ben Mildenhall. Dreamfusion: Text-to-3d using 2d diffusion. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14988</i> , 2022.
661 662 663 664	Jeff Rasley, Samyam Rajbhandari, Olatunji Ruwase, and Yuxiong He. Deepspeed: System optimiza- tions enable training deep learning models with over 100 billion parameters. In <i>Proceedings of</i> <i>the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery &amp; Data Mining</i> , pp. 3505–3506, 2020.
665 666 667	Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High- resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF confer-</i> <i>ence on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 10684–10695, 2022.
669 670 671 672	Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. <i>Advances in neural information processing systems</i> , 35:36479–36494, 2022.
673 674 675	Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, Tim Dockhorn, Andreas Blattmann, Patrick Esser, and Robin Rombach. Fast high-resolution image synthesis with latent adversarial diffusion distillation. <i>arXiv preprint</i> <i>arXiv:2403.12015</i> , 2024.
676 677 678 679	Wenzhe Shi, Jose Caballero, Ferenc Huszár, Johannes Totz, Andrew P Aitken, Rob Bishop, Daniel Rueckert, and Zehan Wang. Real-time single image and video super-resolution using an efficient sub-pixel convolutional neural network. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 1874–1883, 2016.
680 681 682	Yichun Shi, Peng Wang, Jianglong Ye, Mai Long, Kejie Li, and Xiao Yang. Mvdream: Multi-view diffusion for 3d generation. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16512</i> , 2023.
683 684 685	Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792</i> , 2022.
687 688 689 690 691	Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In Francis Bach and David Blei (eds.), <i>Proceedings</i> of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning, volume 37 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, pp. 2256–2265, Lille, France, 07–09 Jul 2015. PMLR. URL https:// proceedings.mlr.press/v37/sohl-dickstein15.html.
692 693 694	Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13456</i> , 2020.
695 696 697 698	Jiaxiang Tang, Zhaoxi Chen, Xiaokang Chen, Tengfei Wang, Gang Zeng, and Ziwei Liu. Lgm: Large multi-view gaussian model for high-resolution 3d content creation. <i>arXiv preprint</i> <i>arXiv:2402.05054</i> , 2024a.
699 700 701	Shitao Tang, Jiacheng Chen, Dilin Wang, Chengzhou Tang, Fuyang Zhang, Yuchen Fan, Vikas Chandra, Yasutaka Furukawa, and Rakesh Ranjan. Mvdiffusion++: A dense high-resolution multi-view diffusion model for single or sparse-view 3d object reconstruction. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12712</i> , 2024b.

- 702 Dmitry Tochilkin, David Pankratz, Zexiang Liu, Zixuan Huang, Adam Letts, Yangguang Li, Ding 703 Liang, Christian Laforte, Varun Jampani, and Yan-Pei Cao. Triposr: Fast 3d object reconstruction 704 from a single image. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.02151, 2024. 705 Vikram Voleti, Chun-Han Yao, Mark Boss, Adam Letts, David Pankratz, Dmitry Tochilkin, Christian 706 Laforte, Robin Rombach, and Varun Jampani. Sv3d: Novel multi-view synthesis and 3d generation 707 from a single image using latent video diffusion, 2024. 708 709 Peng Wang and Yichun Shi. Imagedream: Image-prompt multi-view diffusion for 3d generation. 710 arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02201, 2023. 711 Peng Wang, Lingjie Liu, Yuan Liu, Christian Theobalt, Taku Komura, and Wenping Wang. Neus: 712 Learning neural implicit surfaces by volume rendering for multi-view reconstruction. arXiv 713 preprint arXiv:2106.10689, 2021. 714 715 Yaohui Wang, Xinyuan Chen, Xin Ma, Shangchen Zhou, Ziqi Huang, Yi Wang, Ceyuan Yang, Yinan 716 He, Jiashuo Yu, Peiqing Yang, et al. Lavie: High-quality video generation with cascaded latent 717 diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15103, 2023. 718 Zhengyi Wang, Yikai Wang, Yifei Chen, Chendong Xiang, Shuo Chen, Dajiang Yu, Chongxuan Li, 719 Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. Crm: Single image to 3d textured mesh with convolutional reconstruction 720 model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05034, 2024. 721 722 Tong Wu, Jiarui Zhang, Xiao Fu, Yuxin Wang, Jiawei Ren, Liang Pan, Wayne Wu, Lei Yang, Jiaqi Wang, Chen Qian, et al. Omniobject3d: Large-vocabulary 3d object dataset for realistic perception, 723 reconstruction and generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision 724 and Pattern Recognition, pp. 803-814, 2023. 725 726 Desai Xie, Jiahao Li, Hao Tan, Xin Sun, Zhixin Shu, Yi Zhou, Sai Bi, Sören Pirk, and Arie E Kaufman. 727 Carve3d: Improving multi-view reconstruction consistency for diffusion models with rl finetuning. 728 In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 729 6369-6379, 2024. 730 Jiale Xu, Weihao Cheng, Yiming Gao, Xintao Wang, Shenghua Gao, and Ying Shan. Instantmesh: 731 Efficient 3d mesh generation from a single image with sparse-view large reconstruction models. 732 arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.07191, 2024a. 733 734 Yinghao Xu, Hao Tan, Fujun Luan, Sai Bi, Peng Wang, Jiahao Li, Zifan Shi, Kalyan Sunkavalli, 735 Gordon Wetzstein, Zexiang Xu, et al. Dmv3d: Denoising multi-view diffusion using 3d large 736 reconstruction model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.09217, 2023. 737 Yinghao Xu, Zifan Shi, Wang Yifan, Hansheng Chen, Ceyuan Yang, Sida Peng, Yujun Shen, and 738 Gordon Wetzstein. Grm: Large gaussian reconstruction model for efficient 3d reconstruction and 739 generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14621, 2024b. 740 741 Jiavu Yang, Ziang Cheng, Yunfei Duan, Pan Ji, and Hongdong Li. Consistnet: Enforcing 3d consistency for multi-view images diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10343, 2023. 742 743 Xianggang Yu, Mutian Xu, Yidan Zhang, Haolin Liu, Chongjie Ye, Yushuang Wu, Zizheng Yan, 744 Chenming Zhu, Zhangyang Xiong, Tianyou Liang, et al. Mvimgnet: A large-scale dataset of 745 multi-view images. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern 746 recognition, pp. 9150-9161, 2023. 747 Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image 748 diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 749 pp. 3836–3847, 2023. 750 751 Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable 752 effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on 753 computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 586–595, 2018. 754
- 755 Chuanxia Zheng and Andrea Vedaldi. Free3d: Consistent novel view synthesis without 3d representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04551*, 2023.

Zi-Xin Zou, Zhipeng Yu, Yuan-Chen Guo, Yangguang Li, Ding Liang, Yan-Pei Cao, and Song-Hai
Zhang. Triplane meets gaussian splatting: Fast and generalizable single-view 3d reconstruction with transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09147*, 2023.

Qi Zuo, Xiaodong Gu, Lingteng Qiu, Yuan Dong, Zhengyi Zhao, Weihao Yuan, Rui Peng, Siyu Zhu, Zilong Dong, Liefeng Bo, et al. Videomv: Consistent multi-view generation based on large video generative model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12010*, 2024.

777

782 783 784

787 788

792

797 798 799

800 801 802

803 804

760

761

# A APPENDIX

#### 766 767 A.1 VIDEO MODEL FINE-TUNING

Based on the approach outlined in (Blattmann et al., 2023), the generation process employs the EDM framework(Karras et al., 2022). Let  $p_{data}(\mathbf{x}_0)$  represent the video data distribution, and  $p(\mathbf{x}; \sigma)$  be the distribution obtained by adding Gaussian noise with variance  $\sigma^2$  to the data. For sufficiently large  $\sigma_{max}$ ,  $p(x; \sigma_{max}^2)$  approximates a normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{max}^2)$ . Diffusion models (DMs) leverage this property and begin with high variance Gaussian noise,  $x_M \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{max}^2)$ , and then iteratively denoise the data until reaching  $\sigma_0 = 0$ .

In practice, this iterative refinement process can be implemented through the numerical simulation of the Probability Flow ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$d\mathbf{x} = -\dot{\sigma}(t)\sigma(t)\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\log p(\mathbf{x};\sigma(t))\,dt\tag{3}$$

where  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p((\mathbf{x}; \sigma) \text{ is called as score function.}$ 

780 DM training is to learn a model  $s_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}; \sigma)$  to approximate the score function  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p((\mathbf{x}; \sigma))$ . The model can be parameterized as:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p((\mathbf{x}; \sigma) \approx s_{\theta}((\mathbf{x}; \sigma)) = \frac{D_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}; \sigma) - \mathbf{x}}{\sigma^2},$$
(4)

where  $D_{\theta}$  is a learnable denoiser that aims to predict ground truth  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

The denoiser  $D_{\theta}$  is trained via denoising score matching (DSM):

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0 \sim p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x}_0), (\sigma, n) \sim p(\sigma, n)} \left[ \lambda_\sigma \| D_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0 + n; \sigma) - \mathbf{x}_0 \|_2^2 \right],$$
(5)

where  $p(\sigma, n) = p(\sigma)\mathcal{N}(n; 0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $p(\sigma)$  is a distribution over noise levels  $\sigma$ ,  $\lambda_{\sigma}$  is a weighting function. The learnable denoiser  $D_{\theta}$  is parameterized as:

$$D_{\theta}(\mathbf{x};\sigma) = c_{\text{skip}}(\sigma)\mathbf{x} + c_{\text{out}}(\sigma)F_{\theta}(c_{\text{in}}(\sigma)\mathbf{x};c_{\text{noise}}(\sigma)), \tag{6}$$

<sup>793</sup> where  $F_{\theta}$  is the network to be trained.

We sample  $\log \sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(P_{\text{mean}}, P_{\text{std}}^2)$ , with  $P_{\text{mean}} = 1.0$  and  $P_{\text{std}} = 1.6$ . Then we obtain all the parameters as follows:

$$c_{\rm in} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + 1}}\tag{7}$$

$$c_{\rm out} = \frac{-\sigma}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + 1}} \tag{8}$$

$$c_{\rm skip}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2 + 1} \tag{9}$$

$$c_{\text{noise}}(\sigma) = 0.25 \log \sigma \tag{10}$$

$$\lambda(\sigma) = \frac{1 + \sigma^2}{\sigma^2} \tag{11}$$

807

We fine-tune the network backbone  $F_{\theta}$  on multi-view images of size  $512 \times 512$ . During training, for each instance in the dataset, we uniformly sample 8 views and choose the first view as the input view. view images of size  $512 \times 512$ .



To avoid the above issues caused by self-normalization, we use canonical coordinate maps (CCM). Coordinate maps transform the depth value d to a common world coordinate system using the camera's intrinsic and extrinsic parameters, represented as (X, Y, Z). The transformation formula is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = K^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot d$$

where (u, v) are the pixel coordinates, d is the corresponding depth value, and K is the camera intrinsic matrix. Then the coordinate values of all views will be multiplied by a **global** scale and added an offset value to convert to the range of 0 to 1. This representation makes the correlation between different views more significant and is helpful for multi-view generation.

A.3 Algorithm

841

842

843

844 845

846

<b>Dutput:</b> loss / Returns the loss on a training example x. Details about EDM are omitted her <b>begin</b>   noise $\leftarrow$ Sample from Normal Distribution noisy_x $\leftarrow$ Add_Noise(x, noise, timestep) pred_x $\leftarrow$ F(noisy_x, cond_image, timestep, cameras) pred_i $\leftarrow$ VAE_Decoder(pred_x)   noise(x, noise, timestep)
Returns the loss on a training example x. Details about EDM are omitted her <b>begin</b> noise $\leftarrow$ Sample from Normal Distribution noisy_x $\leftarrow$ Add_Noise(x, noise, timestep) pred_x $\leftarrow$ F(noisy_x, cond_image, timestep, cameras) pred_i $\leftarrow$ VAE_Decoder(pred_x)
egin noise $\leftarrow$ Sample from Normal Distribution noisy_x $\leftarrow$ Add_Noise(x, noise, timestep) pred_x $\leftarrow$ F(noisy_x, cond_image, timestep, cameras) pred_i $\leftarrow$ VAE_Decoder(pred_x)
noise $\leftarrow$ Sample from Normal Distribution noisy_x $\leftarrow$ Add_Noise(x, noise, timestep) pred_x $\leftarrow$ F(noisy_x, cond_image, timestep, cameras) pred_i $\leftarrow$ VAE_Decoder(pred_x)
noisy_x $\leftarrow$ Add_Noise(x, noise, timestep) pred_x $\leftarrow$ F(noisy_x, cond_image, timestep, cameras) pred_i $\leftarrow$ VAE_Decoder(pred_x)
pred_x $\leftarrow$ F(noisy_x, cond_image, timestep, cameras) pred_i $\leftarrow$ VAE_Decoder(pred_x)
$pred_i \leftarrow VAE_Decoder(pred_x)$
$16 \dots 1 $
self_cond $\leftarrow \mathcal{G}(\text{pred}_1, \text{ cameras}, \text{ timestep})$
<b>if</b> $Random\_Uniform(0, 1) > 0.5$ <b>then</b>
pred_x $\leftarrow$ F(noisy_x, cond_image, timestep, cameras, self_cond)
end
$loss_mv \leftarrow MSE\_Loss(pred_x, x)$
$loss\_recon \leftarrow MSE\_Loss(self\_cond, x) + LPIPS\_Loss(self\_cond, x)$
$loss \leftarrow loss_mv + loss_recon$







Table 5: The detailed structure of all layers in the feedback injection network.

Input	$inp \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 512 \times 512}$
PixelUnshuffle (Shi et al., 2016)	$192\times 64\times 64$
ResBlock $\times 3$	$320 \times 64 \times 64$
ResBlock $\times 3$	$640 \times 32 \times 32$
ResBlock $\times 3$	$1280 \times 16 \times 16$
ResBlock $\times 3$	$1280\times8\times8$

Figure 11: Architecture of the residual block used in the feedback stage.

With reference to Section 3.3 in the main paper, Fig. 11 and Table 5 provide a detailed illustration of the feedback injection network. We use two networks to inject the coordinates map and RGB texture map feedback into the score function. Each network consists of four feature extraction blocks and three downsample blocks to adjust the feature resolution. The reconstruction coordinates map and RGB texture map initially have a resolution of  $512 \times 512$ . We employ the pixel unshuffle operation to downsample these maps to  $64 \times 64$ .

At each scale, three residual blocks(He et al., 2016) are used to extract the multi-scale feedback features, denoted as  $F_P = \{F_p^1, F_p^2, F_p^3, F_p^4\}$  and  $F_T = \{F_t^1, F_t^2, F_t^3, F_t^4\}$  for the coordinates map and RGB texture map, respectively. These feedback features match the intermediate features  $F_{\text{enc}} = \{F_{\text{enc}}^1, F_{\text{enc}}^2, F_{\text{enc}}^3, F_{\text{enc}}^4\}$  in the encoder of the UNet denoiser. The feedback features  $F_P$  and  $F_T$  are added to the intermediate features  $F_{enc}$  at each scale as described by the following equations: 

$$\mathbf{F}_{p} = \mathcal{F}^{0}(P) \tag{12}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_t = \mathcal{F}^1(T) \tag{13}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{enc}}^{i} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{enc}}^{i} + \mathbf{F}_{p}^{i} + \mathbf{F}_{t}^{i}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$
(14)

where P represents the coordinates map feedback input, and T represents the RGB texture feedback input.  $\mathcal{F}^0$  and  $\mathcal{F}^1$  denote the functions of the feedback inject network applied to the coordinates map and RGB texture map, respectively.