

# Cover Letter

February 6, 2024

## 1 Addressing the Reviewers Comments

We thank the reviewers for their comments and constructive criticism of our work. We address the comments, that helped us to further improve our work.

### 1.1 Reviewer AjaV

**It is unclear to me how the interactions were exactly labeled. For example, "Reflection" would look entirely different using the SE method vs. the MRB method.** We addressed the comments of Reviewer AjaV by clarifying our description regarding the mapping of tool interactions to overarching strategies in the "Interaction with Support Tools" subsection.

### 1.2 Reviewer JSZC

**The study could be more robust if they could design a Physics LLM and test students in the domain specific interface.** We further clarified our design rationale of using a model- and domain-agnostic approach and its advantages over domain-specific models.