

Evaluation Metric	Gain		BW		PM		FoM	
	RMSE ↓	Pearson's r ↑	RMSE ↓	Pearson's r ↑	RMSE ↓	Pearson's r ↑	RMSE ↓	Pearson's r ↑
CktGNN	0.682 ± 0.004	0.723 ± 0.003	0.596 ± 0.009	0.806 ± 0.008	0.993 ± 0.002	0.288 ± 0.009	0.597 ± 0.009	0.806 ± 0.007
DAGNN	0.409 ± 0.002	0.911 ± 0.003	0.639 ± 0.002	0.778 ± 0.003	0.947 ± 0.004	0.412 ± 0.004	0.639 ± 0.002	0.778 ± 0.003
D-VAE	0.467 ± 0.004	0.881 ± 0.003	0.756 ± 0.003	0.667 ± 0.002	0.999 ± 0.004	0.265 ± 0.003	0.755 ± 0.004	0.668 ± 0.003

Table 1: Predictive performance on Ckt-Bench101. Shown is the mean \pm s.d. of 10 runs with different random seeds. **Best results** are highlighted. FoM characterizes the quality of the circuit, and it is the metric to optimize in most circuit optimization. Gain, bw, pm are other circuit properties.

Methods	Valid DAGs (%) ↑	Valid circuits (%) ↑	Novel circuits (%) ↑	Reconstruction (Acc) ↑
CktGNN	93.10	91.92	96.34	0.453
DAGNN	98.81	37.96	98.67	0.180
D-VAE	89.75	39.53	96.78	0.149

Table 2: Effectiveness in real-world electronic circuit design. Overall, the valid circuits proportion plays the most critical role in real-world circuit optimization through Bayesian optimization.

Methods	Bohamiann search		DNGO search	
	Best FoM (detected) \uparrow	Regret \downarrow	Best FoM (detected) \uparrow	Regret \downarrow
CktGNN	175.85 \pm 11.26	21.37 \pm 11.26	163.29 \pm 16.57	33.94 \pm 16.57
DAGNN	168.61 \pm 11.25	28.62 \pm 11.25	162.58 \pm 15.33	34.65 \pm 15.33
D-VAE	154.21 \pm 14.83	43.02 \pm 14.83	152.72 \pm 20.62	44.51 \pm 20.62

Table 3: Bayesian optimization results on Ckt-Bench301. Shown is the mean \pm s.d. of 20 runs with different random seeds. **Best results** are highlighted. Best FoM (detected) is the FoM of the circuit detected by the searching algorithm, while regret is the difference of it and the oracle optimal FoM.