

000
001
002
003
004
005
006
007
008
009
010
011
012
013
014
015
016
017
018
019
020
021
022
023
024
025
026
027
028
029
030
031
032
033
034
035
036
037
038
039
040
041
042
043
044
045
046
047
048
049
050
051
052
053

054
055
056
057
058
059
060
061
062
063
064
065
066
067
068
069
070
071
072
073
074
075
076
077
078
079
080
081
082
083
084
085
086
087
088
089
090
091
092
093
094
095
096
097
098
099
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107

Are Your Models Still Fair? Fairness Attacks on Graph Neural Networks via Node Injections

Table R1. The attack performance of NIFA with different k .

	GCN			GraphSAGE		
	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}
clean	95.88±1.61	3.84±0.34	1.91±0.75	96.28±0.68	2.67±1.15	2.54±1.07
0.10	96.03±0.54	4.44±1.45	9.46±1.84	95.83±0.52	4.31±1.32	8.46±1.75
0.25	93.67±1.62	10.06±2.03	15.68±2.59	93.87±0.80	7.20±3.03	12.70±3.25
0.50	93.37±1.48	13.49±2.83	20.33±3.82	94.32±0.44	7.06±2.94	14.25±2.43
0.75	92.46±1.07	10.86±4.17	17.32±5.35	94.42±0.78	5.02±1.78	9.06±1.45

Table R2. The attack performance with more limited attack budget. The best fairness attack performance are **bold**.

DBLP	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}
Clean	95.88±1.61	3.84±0.34	1.91±0.75
FA-GNN	95.13±0.61	8.46±1.39	5.88±1.41
FATE	95.03±1.22	3.99±0.13	2.48±0.65
G-FairAttack	93.52±0.49	7.78±1.19	4.91±1.26
Ours	93.42±1.00	6.43±0.57	14.25±1.67

Table R3. The performance of NIFA with limited training nodes.

		Pokec-z			Pokec-n		
		Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}
train_ratio=25%	before	71.47±0.59	6.26±1.63	4.23±1.55	70.16±0.45	1.03±0.70	2.87±0.53
	after	70.48±0.41	15.16±1.64	13.18±1.77	69.49±0.31	9.79±2.02	9.52±1.84
train_ratio=10%	before	70.40±0.28	6.29±2.77	4.63±2.43	70.25±0.57	2.56±1.32	3.72±0.32
	after	70.14±0.26	15.26±3.02	13.36±3.06	69.25±0.62	11.94±1.78	12.00±1.75
train_ratio=5%	before	70.03±0.54	4.90±1.98	3.75±1.01	68.61±1.15	3.79±2.30	4.21±1.94
	after	69.49±0.65	14.35±2.03	12.42±2.04	68.05±1.63	10.31±5.68	10.60±5.04

Table R4. The fairness attack comparison with baselines on additional datasets. "*" denotes pokec datasets with smaller scales.

	Pokec-z*			Pokec-n*			German		
	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}
Clean	68.50±0.55	6.49±1.79	4.92±1.22	68.02±0.59	8.13±1.81	7.76±1.68	71.12±1.05	9.88±1.02	8.32±0.93
FA-GNN	69.32±0.59	13.53±1.75	11.65±1.76	69.49±0.31	9.79±2.02	9.52±1.84	70.33±1.32	6.10±8.24	6.55±6.87
FATE	68.57±0.80	7.33±2.02	5.48±1.90	67.68±0.73	11.05±1.88	10.56±1.86	71.04±0.60	11.57±5.27	9.43±4.55
G-FairAttack	69.77±0.26	8.06±1.45	6.05±1.08	68.58±0.42	9.70±1.43	8.93±1.57	72.80±0.48	5.62±0.45	9.27±2.18
NIFA	68.14±0.35	14.41±2.93	12.59±2.97	66.74±0.60	12.74±3.73	12.28±3.76	70.16±1.55	10.29±13.33	10.54±12.98

Table R5. The performance of defense strategies with NIFA.

	Pokec-z			Pokec-n			DBLP		
	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{EO}
Clean	71.22±0.28	7.13±1.21	5.10±1.28	70.92±0.66	0.88±0.62	2.44±1.37	95.88±1.61	3.84±0.34	1.91±0.75
NIFA	70.50±0.30	17.36±1.16	15.59±1.08	70.12±0.37	10.10±2.80	9.85±1.97	93.37±0.28	13.49±2.83	20.33±3.82
GNNGuard	71.50±0.35	18.13±2.31	16.32±1.44	70.55±0.43	13.82±1.76	13.38±1.42	95.73±0.28	7.42±1.82	14.94±1.58
ElasticGNN	71.36±0.20	13.53±0.92	11.36±1.23	70.32±0.28	6.90±1.02	6.44±1.32	94.22±0.37	9.76±1.65	17.04±2.01

Table R6. The attack performance on GCN with different architectures. We **bold** the results when NIFA successfully deteriorates the fairness of victim models.

		Pokec-z			Pokec-n		
		Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{SP}	Acc	Δ_{SP}	Δ_{SP}
Different model layers							
1-layer (128-dim)	before	71.07±0.30	6.36±1.67	4.60±1.33	71.20±0.44	0.97±0.28	1.63±0.78
	after	71.26±0.21	15.46±2.47	13.48±2.53	70.25±0.30	7.94±3.65	7.67±3.36
2-layer (128-dim)	before	71.56±0.24	6.52±0.91	4.41±0.96	70.93±0.27	1.02±0.52	1.82±1.09
	after	71.35±0.24	15.59±1.47	13.77±1.50	70.15±0.60	9.50±3.43	9.19±3.17
3-layer (128-dim)	before	70.81±0.27	9.13±1.23	7.05±1.33	70.17±0.39	1.17±0.61	2.78±0.98
	after	69.99±0.28	13.65±3.06	11.83±3.12	69.11±0.74	8.44±4.50	8.59±4.02
Different hidden dimension							
64-dim (2-layer)	before	71.63±0.19	6.52±1.64	4.47±1.68	70.26±0.56	1.09±0.69	3.09±0.33
	after	71.28±0.33	17.05±1.35	15.16±1.29	69.62±0.27	7.94±2.15	8.03±2.29
128-dim (2-layer)	before	71.56±0.24	6.52±0.91	4.41±0.96	70.93±0.27	1.02±0.52	1.82±1.09
	after	71.35±0.24	15.59±1.47	13.77±1.50	70.15±0.60	9.50±3.43	9.19±3.17
256-dim (2-layer)	before	71.33±0.24	7.06±1.16	5.01±1.18	71.52±0.30	1.13±0.51	1.94±0.97
	after	71.30±0.31	16.28±1.28	14.39±1.32	70.45±0.47	8.02±1.34	7.62±1.34