
Appendix

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

1 Additional Experiment Settings

In the finetuning process, we consider 8 benchmark binary classification datasets from MoleculeNet. The detailed statistics of datasets are listed in Table 1. The detailed information of these benchmark datasets are listed below:

- muv** is a subset of PubChem BioAssay by applying a refined nearest neighbor analysis. It is designed for the validation of virtual screening techniques.
- clintox** compares drugs approved through FDA and drugs eliminated due to the toxicity during clinical trials.
- sider** records marketed drugs along with its adverse drug reactions, also known as the side effect resource.
- hiv** records the experimentally measured abilities to inhibit HIV replication.
- tox21** is a public database measuring the toxicity of compounds, which has been used in the 2014 Tox21 Data Challenge.
- bace** is collected for recording compounds which could act as the inhibitors of (BACE-1) in the past few years.
- toxcast** contains multiple toxicity labels over thousands of compounds by running high-throughput screening tests on thousands of chemicals.
- bbbp** involves records of whether a compound carries the permeability property of penetrating the blood-brain barrier.

Table 1: Dataset statistics

Dataset	muv	clintox	sider	hiv	tox21	bace	toxcast	bbbp
Number of molecules	93087	1478	1427	41127	7831	1513	8575	2039
Number of tasks	17	2	27	1	12	1	617	1

2 Multi-level Self-supervised Pre-training

Here, we show the pseudo code of the multi-level self-supervised pre-training (Algorithm 1). We adopt the MGDA-UB algorithm from multi-task learning to efficiently solve the optimization problem. Since MGDA-UB calculates the weights λ_i by Frank-Wolfe algorithm in each training step, we do not have to provide the weights explicitly.

The input of Multi-level self-supervised pre-training is the molecule datasets, a list of self-supervised tasks. The output is the model parameters of pre-trained GNNs. At the beginning of pre-training, we first randomly initialized the task-specific parameters and the parameters of the pre-trained GNN model. In each training iteration, we update the parameters of all the pretext models. Then we

Algorithm 1 Multi-level Self-supervised Pre-training

Input: Set of input molecules X , set of self-supervised tasks T , learning rate α

Output: Pre-trained parameter θ ;

```
1:  $T = \{atom, bond, motif\}$ 
2: Initialize self-supervised task-specific parameters,  $\phi_{atom}$ ,  $\phi_{bond}$  and  $\phi_{motif}$ .
3: Initialize the parameters of pre-trained GNN model,  $\theta$ .
4: while not convergence do
5:   Randomly sample and pre-process input molecules  $X$ ,
   generate self-supervised labels  $Y_{atom}$ ,  $Y_{bond}$ ,  $Y_{motif}$ .
6:   for  $i \in T$  do
7:      $\phi_i \leftarrow \phi_i - \alpha \nabla_{\phi_i} \mathcal{L}_i(X, Y_i, \theta, \phi_i)$ 
8:   end for
9:    $\lambda_{atom}, \lambda_{bond}, \lambda_{motif} \leftarrow \text{FRANKWOLFE}(\theta, \phi_{atom}, \phi_{bond}, \phi_{motif})$ 
10:   $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \sum_{i \in T} \lambda_i \nabla_Z \mathcal{L}_i(X, Y_i, \theta, \phi_i)$ ,  $Z = \text{GNN}(X; \theta)$ 
11: end while
```

29 apply the Frank-Wolfe algorithm [1] to choose the weights, which solves the following optimization
30 problem:

$$\min_{\lambda_i, i \in T} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i \in T} \lambda_i \nabla_Z \mathcal{L}_i(X, Y_i, \theta, \phi_i) \right\|_2, \sum_{i \in T} \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (1)$$

31 where $Z = \text{GNN}(X; \theta)$ is the representation of molecules. $\nabla_Z \mathcal{L}_i(X, Y_i, \theta, \phi_i)$ can be computed in
32 a single backward pass for all tasks. With the calculated weights, we can update the parameters of
33 pre-trained GNN model. More details can be found in the original paper of MGDA-UB [2].

34 References

- 35 [1] Martin Jaggi. Revisiting frank-wolfe: Projection-free sparse convex optimization. In *ICML*,
36 pages 427–435. PMLR, 2013.
- 37 [2] Ozan Sener and Vladlen Koltun. Multi-task learning as multi-objective optimization. *NeurIPS*,
38 2018.