
Muscles in Time - Rebuttal

1 Dear reviewers and chairs, we are thankful and appreciate the generally positive feedback on our
2 work. We address the key questions and suggestions from **Bfvp**, **pwPd**, **6vHW**, and **kyb6** in this
3 global rebuttal, detailed responses will further be provided directly to the respective reviewers.

4 **Improved Documentation** **Bfvp** rightfully pointed out lacking documentation of the MusclesInTime
5 repository. We added a detailed README and Jupyter notebooks for visualizing data samples
6 including motion and intend to migrate this information to a readthedocs.io space.

7 **SMPL-H** We acknowledge **Bfvp**'s concerns about SMPL-H motion quality, particularly for hands
8 and feet. The AMASS dataset largely lacks hand articulation, so our muscle simulation omits related
9 muscles, as shown in Figure 2. Unlike [9], which used VIBE for pose estimation, MinT's motions are
10 based on high quality motion capture. This difference is evident in the animations linked below as well
11 as in visualizations provided by the BABEL dataset: <https://human-movement.is.tue.mpg.de/explore/>.
12 Detailed marker visualization and used mesh vertex indices will shortly be added to the repository.

13 **Simulation quality and limitations** All reviewers acknowledged our efforts to list limitations which
14 are unavoidable when using simulated data. **Bfvp** highlighted lines 119-122 where we mention
15 that we follow the community in using pre-validated models, avoiding major changes. In line 128
16 we mention that we make use of Opensim's scaling mechanism, we means that we do scale the
17 mass properties and body segment length to match an individuals anatomical properties. We will
18 change the paper text to clarify this. We will also highlight the limitation of not adapting other
19 model parameters such as muscle forces, raising awareness in future users of our dataset. The
20 usage of pre-validated models is an important aspect of our simulation pipeline, specifically to
21 address the lack of EMG ground truth recordings for verification in AMASS, significant changes to
22 muscle geometry and properties would require an extensive per-individual re-validation using such
23 recordings. **kyb6** inquires about quantitative evaluation of data quality. The optimization loss used
24 in OpenSimAD which we use for muscle activation includes terms for position tracking, velocity, and
25 acceleration errors as well as terms for activation minimization and reserve actuator minimization.
26 While we do not make use of individual thresholds for these properties, we discard any results for
27 which the optimization problem does not converge within the tolerance recommended by the the
28 authors of OpenSimAD.

29 **Additional results and qualitative examples** **6vHW** and **kyb6** suggested evaluation on further
30 real world datasets. While Camargo *et al.* [4] and MiA [9] from Table 1 were the only viable
31 options, Camargo *et al.* do not provide upper body motion capture points. MiA provides only eight
32 EMG measurement points, four of which align with our model. We tried mapping the hamstring
33 measurement to the *biceps femoris long head* and the quadriceps to the *rectus femoris* muscle. Our
34 main problem is that MiA motions are stationary, hovering above the ground and not aligning well
35 with locomotion induced by the feet, making our model generalize badly due to its training on
36 very precise AMASS motions. Fine-tuning on MiA was necessary, as presented in Table A5 in the
37 supplementary. We plan to develop advanced domain adaptation methods to address these issues
38 in future work. **kyb6** suggested additional baseline experiments. The T2M-GPT architecture was
39 chosen for its effectiveness in generating useful intermediate representations for cross-modality
40 translation tasks in human motion, making it a stronger baseline than arbitrary architectures. To
41 address the request of **kyb6**, we are currently preparing additional baseline results which will be
42 provided during the discussion period. To address **kyb6**' suggestion for showing predictions on MinT
43 as well as for the model in Table A5 combined with the corresponding motion, we prepared two sets
44 of videos containing animated movements with muscle activations and their respective predictions.
45 These videos are available at <https://s.kit.edu/mint-vis> for MinT and at <https://s.kit.edu/mia-vis> for
46 MiA. The jupyter notebooks used for generation can be found in the MinT repository.