OPENSTEREO: A COMPREHENSIVE BENCHMARK FOR STEREO MATCHING AND STRONG BASELINE

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

1 DATASETS AND EVALUATION METRICS

SceneFlow Mayer et al. (2016) is a synthetic stereo collection, counting 35,454/4,370 image pairs for training and testing, respectively. The resolution of SceneFlow is 960×540 and the ground truth is dense disparity maps. The data is split into two categories: cleanpass and finalpass. Cleanpass refers to the synthetic images that are generated by clean renderings without post-processing, while finalpass images are produced with photorealistic settings such as motion blur, defocus blur, and noise. In evaluations, we utilize the widely-used metrics the end point error (EPE) as the evaluation metrics.

KITTI. KITTI 2012 Geiger et al. (2012) and KITTI 2015 Menze & Geiger (2015) are datasets that captured from real-world scenes. KITTI 2012 contains 194 and 195 image pairs for training and testing, respectively. KITTI 2015 provides two sets with 200 image pairs for training and testing. All
KITTI datasets provide sparse ground-truth disparities guided by the LiDAR system. For evaluations, we calculate EPE and the percentage of pixels with EPE larger than 3 pixels in all (D1-all) regions. All two KITTI datasets are also used for cross-domain generalization performance evaluation, with EPE and a >3px metric (i.e., the percentage of points with absolute error larger than 3 pixels) reported.

Middlebury 2014 Scharstein et al. (2014) has two sets of 15 image pairs for both training and testing, respectively. The stereo images are captured from indoor scenes, with 3 resolution options, which are full, half, and quarter. In evaluations, only the training image pairs with half resolution will be used to evaluate the cross-domain generalization performance, and EPE and 3px Error metric are reported.

ETH3D Schops et al. (2017) has stereo images collected from both indoor and outdoor environments with grayscale formate. It comes with 27 and 20 image pairs for training and testing, respectively, and a sparsely labeled ground truth for reference. In evaluation, only the training set is used to report the cross-domain generalization performance. And we adopt the EPE and 3px Error as the metric.

DrivingStereo Yang et al. (2019) is a large-scale stereo dataset, which contains 180k images covering different weather conditions, such as foggy, cloudy, rainy, and sunny. The DrivingStereo dataset is hundreds of times larger than the KITTI Stereo dataset Geiger et al. (2012); Menze & Geiger (2015).

038 039

040

004

011

2 MAIN MODULES OF OPENSTEREO

Technically, we follow the design of most PyTorch deep learning projects and divide OpenStereo into
 three modules, *data*, *modeling*, and *evaluation*.

Data module includes three key components: data loader, which is responsible for loading and preprocessing the data; data sampler, which is used to select a subset of data for each iteration; and data transform, which applies various transformations to the data to increase the diversity and complexity of the training set.

Modeling module is built upon the BaseModel base class, which predefines many behaviors of the stereo matching during both training and testing phases. This module consists of four essential components, namely the *Feature Extraction, Cost Construction, Cost Aggregation,* and *Disparity Processor*, which are critical to current stereo matching algorithms.

Evaluation module is utilized to assess the performance of the obtained model. Recognizing that
 different datasets require distinct evaluation protocols, we have incorporated these varied protocols
 directly into OpenStereo, significantly simplifying the evaluation process.

054 3 SUPPORTED METHODS

PSMNet Chang & Chen (2018) is one of the most crucial and frequently cited networks in stereo matching. The official implementation code is available at https://github.com/JiaRenChang/PSMNet.

GwcNet Guo et al. (2019) employs a Group-wise Correlation Stereo Network to exploit both local and global matching cost volumes. https://github.com/xy-guo/GwcNet.

- AANet Xu & Zhang (2020) focuses on adaptively fusing multi-scale features through a lightweight
 and efficient adaptive aggregation module. https://github.com/haofeixu/aanet.
- FADNet++ Wang et al. (2021) employs a two-stage architecture, consisting of a feature-metric aggregation stage and a disparity refinement stage. https://github.com/HKBU-HPML/FADNet.

CFNet Shen et al. (2021) utilizes a collaborative feature learning strategy to enhance the representation capacity of features in the matching cost computation. https://github.com/gallenszl/CFNet.

- STTR Li et al. (2021) focuses on geometric correspondence problems, including stereo match ing, by employing transformers to capture long-range spatial and temporal context information.
 https://github.com/mli0603/stereo-transformer.
- ACVNet Xu et al. (2022) addresses the issue of constructing accurate and efficient cost volumes by adopting an asymmetric strategy. https://github.com/gangweiX/ACVNet.
- RAFT-Stereo Lipson et al. (2021) builds upon the RAFTTeed & Deng (2020) architecture, originally designed for optical flow estimation. https://github.com/princeton-vl/RAFT-Stereo.
- CoEx Bangunharcana et al. (2021) focuses on context-aware features and cost volume aggregation.
 https://github.com/antabangun/coex.
- CascadeStereo Gu et al. (2020) adopts a cascaded architecture for accurate and efficient disparity estimation. https://github.com/alibaba/cascade-stereo.
- MobileStereoNet Shamsafar et al. (2022) builds upon the StereoNet Khamis et al. (2018), which proposes Interlacing Cost Volume Construction. https://github.com/cogsys-tuebingen/mobilestereonet.
- IGEV Xu et al. (2023) builds a combined geometry encoding volume that not only encodes both
 geometric and contextual information but also intricately captures fine-grained details of local
 matching. https://github.com/gangweiX/IGEV.
- 086 087

088

- 4 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS OF DATA AUGMENTATION
- For the visualization of the various data augmentation techniques mentioned in Section 4.2.1 of the text, the following examples can be provided.
- It is particularly important to note that the disparity map for HFlip appears entirely white because
 flipping the left and right images in a stereo pair inversely affects the disparity values. When the
 views are horizontally flipped, the disparity values are multiplied by -1, leading to all disparity
 values becoming negative. In disparity visualization, negative values typically cannot be represented,
 resulting in the disparity map appearing as pure white. This phenomenon highlights the importance
 of considering the geometric relationship between stereo images when applying transformations like
 horizontal flipping in stereo vision tasks.
- 098 The flip data augmentation technique applies HFlips with a probability of 0.5, HSFlips with a proba-099 bility of 0.5, and VFlips with a probability of 0.1, introducing a variety of orientations in the training 100 data. In parallel, the color data augmentation method randomly applies photometric adjustments 101 either asymmetrically, with a probability of 0.2 to each image in the stereo pair individually, or 102 symmetrically to both images, enhancing the diversity and robustness of the dataset against varying 103 lighting and color conditions. The ScaleTransform data augmentation function scales both images in a 104 stereo pair and their disparity map, applying a base scaling factor with an additional random variation 105 in the x and y dimensions, each with a probability of 0.8, thus introducing variability in size and aspect ratio while adhering to minimum scale requirements. The Shift data augmentation technique 106 randomly shifts the right image horizontally within a range of -10 to +10 pixels, followed by cropping 107 both the left and right images to a predetermined size, thereby introducing spatial variability and



Figure 1: The visualization of stereo images and disparity with different data augmentation. The blue box represents the area where random cropping occurs during training. Notably, when the views are horizontally flipped, the disparity are multiplied by -1, making the disparity map appear pure white.

123 alignment challenges to the dataset. Lastly, the Random Erase data augmentation, with an eraser 124 probability of 0.5, randomly applies an erasing operation on images, where the dimensions of the 125 erased rectangle vary within a width and height range of 50 to 100 pixels.

126 Notably, the disparity map for HFlip appears entirely white because flipping the left and right images in a stereo pair inversely affects the disparity values.

128 129 130

127

120

121

122

5 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS OF STEREOBASE

131 We set the maximum disparity at 192. Training is driven by the smooth L1 Chang & Chen (2018) loss 132 function. We utilize the AdamW optimizer in conjunction with the OneCycle learning rate scheduler, 133 which adeptly modulates the learning rate to facilitate dynamic adjustments throughout the training 134 phase, enhancing the model's learning efficiency and convergence. For the SceneFlow Mayer et al. 135 (2016) dataset, our training regimen employs a batch size of 16 and a learning rate of 0.0002 over 136 90 epochs, with the model processing input resolutions set at [320, 736]. On the KITTI dataset, 137 we refine our model by finetuning the pre-trained Scene Flow model using a combined dataset 138 of KITTI2012 Geiger et al. (2012) and 2015 Menze & Geiger (2015) training image pairs. This 139 intensive finetuning process spans 500 epochs, ensuring that the model adapts optimally to the unique characteristics of automotive scenes captured in the KITTI datasets. And we maintain a 140 consistent training setup in terms of batch size, learning rate, optimizer choice, and input resolution 141 as established for the SceneFlow Mayer et al. (2016) dataset. Further adapting our methodology for 142 the DrivingStereo Yang et al. (2019) dataset, we increase the batch size to 48 and adjust the learning 143 rate to 0.0004 over 30 epochs, with the model handling input resolutions of [256, 512]. 144

145 REFERENCES 146

150

155

156

- 147 Antyanta Bangunharcana, Jae Won Cho, Seokju Lee, In So Kweon, Kyung-Soo Kim, and Soohyun 148 Kim. Correlate-and-excite: Real-time stereo matching via guided cost volume excitation. In IROS, 149 2021.
- Jia-Ren Chang and Yong-Sheng Chen. Pyramid stereo matching network. In CVPR, 2018. 151
- 152 Andreas Geiger, Philip Lenz, and Raquel Urtasun. Are we ready for autonomous driving? the kitti 153 vision benchmark suite. In CVPR, 2012. 154
 - Xiaodong Gu, Zhiwen Fan, Siyu Zhu, Zuozhuo Dai, Feitong Tan, and Ping Tan. Cascade cost volume for high-resolution multi-view stereo and stereo matching. In CVPR, 2020.
- 157 Xiaoyang Guo, Kai Yang, Wukui Yang, Xiaogang Wang, and Hongsheng Li. Group-wise correlation 158 stereo network. In CVPR, 2019. 159
- Sameh Khamis, Sean Fanello, Christoph Rhemann, Adarsh Kowdle, Julien Valentin, and Shahram 160 Izadi. Stereonet: Guided hierarchical refinement for real-time edge-aware depth prediction. In 161 ECCV, 2018.

162 163 164	Zhaoshuo Li, Xingtong Liu, Nathan Drenkow, Andy Ding, Francis X. Creighton, Russell H. Taylor, and Mathias Unberath. Revisiting stereo depth estimation from a sequence-to-sequence perspective with transformers. In <i>ICCV</i> , 2021.
166 167	Lahav Lipson, Zachary Teed, and Jia Deng. Raft-stereo: Multilevel recurrent field transforms for stereo matching. In <i>3DV</i> , 2021.
168 169 170	Nikolaus Mayer, Eddy Ilg, Philip Hausser, Philipp Fischer, Daniel Cremers, Alexey Dosovitskiy, and Thomas Brox. A large dataset to train convolutional networks for disparity, optical flow, and scene flow estimation. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2016.
171 172	Moritz Menze and Andreas Geiger. Object scene flow for autonomous vehicles. In CVPR, 2015.
173 174 175	Daniel Scharstein, Heiko Hirschmüller, York Kitajima, Greg Krathwohl, Nera Nešić, Xi Wang, and Porter Westling. High-resolution stereo datasets with subpixel-accurate ground truth. In <i>GCPR</i> , 2014.
176 177 178 179	Thomas Schops, Johannes L Schonberger, Silvano Galliani, Torsten Sattler, Konrad Schindler, Marc Pollefeys, and Andreas Geiger. A multi-view stereo benchmark with high-resolution images and multi-camera videos. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2017.
180 181	Faranak Shamsafar, Samuel Woerz, Rafia Rahim, and Andreas Zell. Mobilestereonet: Towards lightweight deep networks for stereo matching. In <i>WACV</i> , 2022.
182 183 184	Zhelun Shen, Yuchao Dai, and Zhibo Rao. Cfnet: Cascade and fused cost volume for robust stereo matching. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.04314</i> , 2021.
185 186 187	Zachary Teed and Jia Deng. Raft: Recurrent all-pairs field transforms for optical flow. In <i>Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 16, 2020.</i>
188 189 190 191	Qiang Wang, Shaohuai Shi, Shizhen Zheng, Kaiyong Zhao, and Xiaowen Chu. Fadnet++: Real-time and accurate disparity estimation with configurable networks. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.02582</i> , 2021.
192 193	Gangwei Xu, Junda Cheng, Peng Guo, and Xin Yang. Attention concatenation volume for accurate and efficient stereo matching. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2022.
194 195	Gangwei Xu, Xianqi Wang, Xiaohuan Ding, and Xin Yang. Iterative geometry encoding volume for stereo matching. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2023.
197 198	Haofei Xu and Juyong Zhang. Aanet: Adaptive aggregation network for efficient stereo matching. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2020.
199 200 201 202	Guorun Yang, Xiao Song, Chaoqin Huang, Zhidong Deng, Jianping Shi, and Bolei Zhou. Driv- ingstereo: A large-scale dataset for stereo matching in autonomous driving scenarios. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2019.
203 204	
205 206	
207	
208	
209	
210	
211	
212	
214	
215	