

# points	10	18	20	30	50	100
CO	2.46	1.99	2.02	2.04	2.06	2.07
$\rho = 3$	50.75	5.47	3.92	2.09	2.01	2.05
$\rho = 7$	2.70	1.96	2.00	2.06	—	—
$\rho = 100$	3.09	2.05	2.06	2.05	—	—

Table 1: Comparison of FID across different amounts of discretisation points for different schedules on CIFAR10. CO stands for our corrector optimised schedule.

# points	10	18	20	30	50	100
CO	3.94	3.78	3.78	3.80	3.81	3.81
$\rho = 3$	24.08	5.84	4.90	3.80	3.77	3.80
$\rho = 7$	4.02	3.76	3.76	3.78	—	—
$\rho = 100$	4.31	3.82	3.81	3.81	—	—

Table 2: Comparison of sFID across different amounts of discretisation points for different schedules on CIFAR10. CO stands for our corrector optimised schedule.




Figure 1: Comparison of FID and sFID across different amounts of discretisation points for different schedules on CIFAR10. CO stands for our corrector optimised schedule.




Figure 2: Comparison of Linear and Learned Schedules over Training Iterations for the bimodal example. Each point corresponds to 500 training iterations.