

NESTLE: AN EFFICIENT AND ROBUST DATA VALUATION FRAMEWORK FOR LARGE LANGUAGE MODELS

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

A APPENDIX

A.1 DATASET DESCRIPTION

We evaluated the effectiveness of the method using the Finance¹, Healthcare², Law³, Consult⁴, Medicine⁵, and TCM⁶ datasets. The Finance dataset contains 53.9k financial records, Healthcare contains 112k medical records, Law contains 9.2k legal records, Consult contains 549k medical consultation records, Medicine contains 20k Western medicine records, and TCM contains 113k traditional Chinese medicine records. We randomly selected 1000 entries as the target domain dataset.

A.2 CONTRIBUTION ANALYSIS IN COOPERATIVE GAMES

In order to extensively validate the efficacy of our model, we also estimated the contributions of participants in a cooperative game using Qwen1.5 and ChatGLM3. The experimental results are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. It can be observed that, for other models, the ranking of participant contributions is consistent with other performance metrics. Notably, due to the variability in metric evaluations, it is notable that evaluations on Qwen1.5 exhibit discrepancies specifically in the ROUGE-1, which also demonstrates the superior robustness of our method.

Table 1: Evaluation of participant contributions in cooperative games using the ChatGLM3-6B model. (P_i denotes the i provider.)

Multi-Source Cooperative Setting		Ground-truth Shapley value				OURS
		BLEU-4	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	
C_1	P_1	0.2963	0.3043	0.2849	0.3086	0.3056
	P_2	0.3250	0.3312	0.3314	0.3217	0.3324
	P_3	0.3785	0.3644	0.3835	0.3696	0.3619
C_2	P_1	0.3203	0.3242	0.3288	0.3220	0.3294
	P_2	0.3308	0.3266	0.3254	0.3286	0.3232
	P_3	0.3488	0.3491	0.3456	0.3492	0.3473
C_3	P_1	0.3255	0.3105	0.3186	0.3101	0.3111
	P_2	0.3308	0.3334	0.3281	0.3377	0.3276
	P_3	0.3435	0.3561	0.3533	0.3521	0.3613
C_4	P_1	0.3634	0.3679	0.3508	0.3805	0.3544
	P_2	0.3183	0.3160	0.3245	0.3097	0.3228
	P_3	0.3183	0.3160	0.3245	0.3097	0.3228

¹https://huggingface.co/datasets/4DR1455/finance_questions

²<https://huggingface.co/datasets/wangrongsheng/HealthCareMagic-100k-en>

³<https://huggingface.co/datasets/Alignment-Lab-AI/Lawyer-Instruct>

⁴https://huggingface.co/datasets/michaelwzhu/ChatMed_Consult_Dataset

⁵<https://github.com/CMKRG/QiZhenGPT>

⁶https://huggingface.co/datasets/michaelwzhu/ShenNong_TCM_Dataset

054
055
056
057
058
059
060
061
062
063
064
065
066
067
068
069
070
071
072
073
074
075
076
077
078
079
080
081
082
083
084
085
086
087
088
089
090
091
092
093
094
095
096
097
098
099
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107

Table 2: Evaluation of participant contributions in cooperative games using the Qwen1.5-7B model. (P_i denotes the i provider.)

Mulri-Source Cooperative Setting		Ground-truth Shapley value				OURS
		BLEU-4	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-2	ROUGE-L	
C_1	P_1	0.3250	0.3233	0.3215	0.3250	0.2973
	P_2	0.3321	0.3367	0.3334	0.3309	0.3404
	P_3	0.3428	0.3398	0.3450	0.3438	0.3623
C_2	P_1	0.3129	0.3131	0.3075	0.3186	0.2910
	P_2	0.3333	0.3138	0.3385	0.3362	0.3409
	P_3	0.3536	0.3729	0.3539	0.3450	0.3681
C_3	P_1	0.3282	0.3245	0.3219	0.3260	0.3173
	P_2	0.3342	0.3271	0.3313	0.3258	0.3389
	P_3	0.3375	0.3483	0.3467	0.3482	0.3436
C_4	P_1	0.3341	0.3297	0.3432	0.3394	0.3645
	P_2	0.3329	0.3351	0.3284	0.3302	0.3177
	P_3	0.3329	0.3351	0.3284	0.3302	0.3177