PROMOTE: Prior-Guided Diffusion Model with Global-Local Contrastive Learning for Exemplar-Based Image Translation

Anonymous Authors

ABSTRACT

Exemplar-based image translation has garnered significant interest from researchers due to its broad applications in multimedia/multimodal processing. Existing methods primarily employ Euclidean-based losses to implicitly establish cross-domain correspondences between exemplar and conditional images, aiming to produce high-fidelity images. However, these methods often suffer from two challenges: 1) Insufficient excavation of domain-invariant features leads to low-quality cross-domain correspondences, and 2) Inaccurate correspondences result in errors propagated during the translation process due to a lack of reliable prior guidance. To tackle these issues, we propose a novel prior-guided diffusion model with global-local contrastive learning (PROMOTE), which is trained in a self-supervised manner. Technically, global-local contrastive learning is designed to align two cross-domain images within hyperbolic space and reduce the gap between their semantic correlation distributions using the Fisher-Rao metric, allowing the visual encoders to extract domain-invariant features more effectively. Moreover, a prior-guided diffusion model is developed that propagates the structural prior to all timesteps in the diffusion process. It is optimized by a novel prior denoising loss, mathematically derived from the transitions modified by prior information in a self-supervised manner, successfully alleviating the impact of inaccurate correspondences on image translation. Extensive experiments conducted across seven datasets demonstrate that our proposed PROMOTE significantly exceeds state-of-the-art performance in diverse exemplar-based image translation tasks.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies → Artificial intelligence; Computer vision; Computer vision representations;

KEYWORDS

Prior, Diffusion Model, Contrastive Learning, Exemplar-based Imgae Translation

1 INTRODUCTION

Exemplar-based image translation aims to translate a user-provided conditional image, *e.g.* pose keypoints, edge maps, or strokes, into a realistic image with styles similar to those of an exemplar image [13, 43]. Compared to traditional image-to-image translation [12,

51 for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation 52 on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or 53 or 100 million of the second se

- 57 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnn.nnnnn
- 58

48

49

50

54

44, 48], this task has increasingly attracted the attention of both academic and industrial communities due to its high controllability and flexibility [36]. Meanwhile, it finds applications in various fields including makeup transfer, social media, and the metaverse [24].

Early pioneering works [11, 30] primarily adopt generative adversarial networks (GANs) [6] for exemplar-based image translation, enabling global style control of the generated images. However, these methods ignore spatial correlations between a conditional image and an exemplar, which potentially leads to a lack of faithful details. Consequently, subsequent works [47] attempt to establish cross-domain correspondences between exemplars and conditional images to enhance local style control. They utilize contrastive losses [10, 42] or design adaptive networks [13], generating a warped exemplar to guide subsequent image synthesis by a generator. More recently, benefiting from the advancements of diffusion models for image generation, Seo et al. [36] first introduced the diffusion model to this task. This approach interleaves cross-domain matching with the diffusion step, iteratively refining a warped image to gradually reduce errors.

Overall, existing methods [36, 43, 47] for exemplar-based image translation generally follow a GAN/Diffusion-based matching-thengeneration pipeline: two cross-domain images are first matched via a correspondence network to generate a warped image, and then a realistic image is translated by a generator optimized with several trivial Euclidean-based losses, as illustrated in Fig. 1 (a). Despite the remarkable success, these methods still suffer from two challenges: 1) Insufficient excavation of domain-invariant features. The Euclidean distance often fails to accurately measure the similarities between exemplars and conditional images due to the inherent domain gap. Relying solely on naive contrastive loss makes it difficult to effectively excavate domain-invariant features, potentially leading to sub-optimal correspondence. 2) Lack of reliable prior guidance in the translation process. Existing methods adopt progressive refinement that ignores reliable prior information from the target structure. Thus low-quality cross-domain correspondences will inevitably produce errors propagated throughout the generation step, significantly reducing the fidelity of the generated image.

Motivated by these issues, we propose a novel "**Prior**-guided Diffusion **M**odel with Global-Local Contrastive Learning" (PROMOTE) for exemplar-based image translation. The simplified framework of PROMOTE is depicted in Fig. 1 (b). In contrast to previous methods, our PROMOTE mainly designs global-local contrastive learning to effectively learn domain-invariant features within the hyperbolic space [18] and a prior-guided diffusion model that involves a self-supervised training scheme to generate realistic images. Specifically, to tackle the first challenge, we construct a hyperbolic space using exponential mapping [1] to facilitate the global alignment of representations between two cross-domain images. Compared to Euclidean space, hyperbolic geometry accounts for properties like curvature and nonlinearity, allowing it better to capture the

114 115 116

59 60

61 62

63 64

65 66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution.

republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific

ACM ADA 2004 A CH annu Antrol's

⁵⁵ ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

^{56 © 2024} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.



Figure 1: Comparison of simplified frameworks between existing methods and our proposed PROMOTE. (a) Existing methods adopt GAN/Diffusion-based matching-then-generation pipelines optimized by Euclidean-based losses. (b) Our PROMOTE is a prior-guided diffusion model trained by reliable guidance that effectively extracts domain-invariant features through contrastive learning in hyperbolic space.

consistent and discriminative features of cross-domain images and accurately measure their representation similarity. As an extension, a local contrastive loss defined by Fisher-Rao information [3, 31] is further designed to alleviate the domain gap by aligning their semantic correlation distributions, enabling exemplar and conditional encoders to more effectively capture domain-invariant features for subsequent translation. To address the second challenge, we leverage the structural information from the target, *i.e.* a conditional image, to modify the diffusion transition to generate prior noisy samples. We then mathematically derive a novel prior denoising loss to emphasize the preservation of the translated image's structure from the prior input. Notably, we employ several data augmentation strategies to construct ground truth and train our prior-guided diffusion model in a self-supervised manner, which results in more realistic images under reliable guidance. Extensive experiments conducted on seven datasets demonstrate that our PROMOTE achieves high-fidelity image translation and significantly outperforms state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods on all benchmarks. Our contributions are listed as follows:

- We propose global-local contrastive learning to effectively align two cross-domain images in hyperbolic space and reduce the representation gap between their semantic correlation distributions using the Fisher-Rao metric, thereby better extracting domain-invariant features.
- We propose a prior-guided diffusion model that leverages the structural prior from a target to modify the diffusion transitions. A novel prior denoising loss is mathematically derived by aligning the posterior and transition distributions, generating more realistic images.
- We are the first to construct reliable guidance in the diffusion model for this unsupervised task. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our PROMOTE significantly outperforms SOTA methods.

2 RELATED WORK

Exemplar-based Image Translation. Recently, exemplar-based image translation has attracted widespread attention [11, 26, 47]. Early works made use of global styles of exemplars to guide image generation. For instance, Park et al. [30] trained an encoder to

transform an exemplar into a global style vector, which is then used to guide the image translation process. While this global control strategy ensures overall style coherence, it falls short in generating intricate details. Recently, numerous approaches [13, 24, 42] have arisen to build dense correspondences to control local detailed styles. Zhang et al. [43] established correspondences on a position-wise basis by using cosine attention to warp an exemplar accordingly, and then the warped image is fed to an image generation process in a manner of SPADE [30]. To explore more accurate correspondences, Zhan et al. [42] employed a marginal contrastive loss to explicitly explore domain-invariant features, and Jiang et al. [13] devised a masked adaptive transformer to suppress unreliable spatial matching and emphasize features of interest. Benefiting from the advance of diffusion models, Seo et al. [36] first introduced a diffusion model in this task, which interleaves the cross-domain matching and the diffusion step to iteratively refine warped images. Compared with these methods, we align cross-domain images in hyperbolic space instead of Euclidean space to learn better domain-invariant features. What's more, we implement image translation in a self-supervised manner by designing a prior-guided diffusion model, emphasizing the modification of diffusion and reverse transitions through reliable prior information from the target structure.

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model. Arguing against the training instability and mode collapse of GANs [4, 11, 30], the denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM) [9] generates highquality samples by reversing the noising process. Notably, Nichol et al. [27] considered quality and speed, additionally predicting variance during the denoising process to improve the sampling process, while Song et al. [39] ensured fast and deterministic sampling by introducing a non-Markovian diffusion process. Rombach et al. [34] adopted an encoder-decoder network [7] to encode the input in a latent space and then trained the diffusion model to reduce computational complexity. Recently, some components containing external knowledge, e.g. classifiers [20, 37] and CLIP [19, 28], have been incorporated into the diffusion model to control the network for performance boosting. Besides revising network structure, Lee et al. [22] normalized the diffusion variables according to the timestep by leveraging fixed statistical information of conditional prior to improve the performance of speech synthesis. Differently, we exploit the target structure as instance-level prior to adaptively adjust

the mean and variance of diffusion distribution at each timestep. Besides, a novel prior denoising loss is mathematically derived to emphasize structure preservation in image translation.

3 METHOD

Given a conditional image x_A from domain \mathcal{A} and an exemplar image y_B from domain \mathcal{B} , the exemplar-based image translation task aims to generate a target image x_B that preserves the semantic structure of x_A but adopts the style of y_B . The overall architecture of our proposed PROMOTE is illustrated in Fig. 2, which mainly consists of two components: the visual encoders with global-local contrastive learning for extracting robust domain-invariant features and a prior-guided diffusion model for producing high-fidelity images. Notably, the positive image y_A from domain \mathcal{A} is derived from y_B for global-local contrastive learning. Unlike previous methods where x_A and x_B are irrelevant with y_A and y_B , our pipeline applies the same data augmentation techniques to y_A and y_B , generating credible x_A and x_B to train our diffusion model in a self-supervised manner.

3.1 Global-Local Contrastive Learning for Robust Cross-domain Correspondence

Given x_A and y_B , the visual domain-invariant representations $X_A \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$ and $Y_B \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$ are extracted by the conditional and exemplar encoders ε_A and ε_B , respectively, with *C* dimensions, height *H* and width *W* following [13]. To effectively build the crossdomain correspondence between two images, X_A and Y_B are expected to contain accurate and sufficient domain-invariant features [42]. Previous methods mainly apply Euclidean-based contrastive loss [13, 42] to minimize the global similarity between Y_B and its structurally identical conjugate representation Y_A to alleviate the domain shift. However, Euclidean space is very sensitive to the overall content and semantics of images, which means that even if two images with different styles have similar structures, their Euclidean distance is still very large, resulting in ineffective representations of images from different domains. Therefore, we propose a novel global-local contrastive learning framework for explicitly excavating domain-invariant features.

Global Contrastive Learning in Hyperbolic Geometry. Inspired by the success of hyperbolic embedding in image retrieval [1, 18], we motivate learning the contrastive and discriminant properties of cross-domain images in hyperbolic geometry. Unlike Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , hyperbolic space \mathbb{D}^n , as a Riemannian manifold [41] with constant negative curvature, derives some geometric properties such as curvature, symmetry, and nonlinearity [18], which can better capture the domain-invariant features and improve the consistency of cross-domain visual representations [14]. Given a Euclidean vector **v**, the exponential bijective mapping [18] \exp_p : $T_p\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{D}^n$ of the Poincaré ball model [29] $\mathbb{D}^n := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n | c || \mathbf{v} ||^2 < 1, c \ge 1\}$ with the curvature *c* projects **v** into hyperbolic space, denoted as:

$$\exp_{p}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq p \oplus \left(\tanh\left(\sqrt{c} \frac{\lambda_{p} \|\mathbf{v}\|}{2}\right) \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{c} \|\mathbf{v}\|} \right), \tag{1}$$

where \oplus is the differentiable Möbius addition, $p \in \mathbb{D}^n$ is the reference point empirically set to 0 for simplified computation, and $\lambda_p = \frac{2}{1-c\|\mathbf{v}\|}^2$ denotes the conformal factor that scales the local distance. Specifically, we follow Eq. (1) to obtain the hyperbolic representations $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_A$ and $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_B$ by applying the exponential mapping to \mathbf{Y}_A and \mathbf{Y}_B before performing the contrastive operation as illustrated in Fig. 2.

The hyperbolic geometry is globally differential to the Euclidean and provides the closed-form distance equation between two points in the hyperbolic space [5]:

$$d_{\rm hs}(a,b) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{c}} \arctan(\sqrt{c} \| - a \oplus b \|). \tag{2}$$

Then we replace cosine similarity [8, 33] with the distance of Eq. (2) to calculate the global contrastive loss of the cross-domain representations $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_A$, $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_B$ in the hyperbolic space:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{global}} = -\log \frac{\exp(d_{\text{hs}}(\mathbf{Y}_A, \mathbf{Y}_B))}{\exp(d_{\text{hs}}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_A, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_B)) + \sum_n \exp(d_{\text{hs}}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_n, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_B))}, \quad (3)$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{Y}_n}$ (n = A, B) are randomly selected negative samples from the same training batch, half of which come from domain \mathcal{A} and half from domain \mathcal{B} .

Patch-level Contrastive Learning with Semantic Correlation Alignment. Aligning cross-domain images solely at the image level is insufficient as it fails to address the challenges associated with exploring the semantic correlation of appearance attributes, which are crucial for exemplar-based image translation. Thus we design a novel patch-level contrastive learning approach to capture domain-invariant features in a fine-grained manner, as depicted in Fig. 3. Intuitively, semantic correlations among attributes (*e.g.* the relative positions of the eyes, nose, and mouth) within an image can be described by the similarity distribution [15]. Given two crossdomain images y_A and y_B with consistent structures, we propose to bridge their semantic correlation distributions via the shortest path in probability space, which is expected to be sufficiently small.

Practically, we first randomly select *K* patches in y_A and calculate the semantic correlation distribution \mathbf{D}_A^k for the *k*-th patch y_A^k in relation to other patches within the hyperbolic space:

$$\mathbf{D}_{A}^{k} = [d_{\rm hs}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{A}^{k}, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{A}^{1}), d_{\rm hs}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{A}^{k}, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{A}^{2}), ..., d_{\rm hs}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{A}^{k}, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{A}^{K})]^{\rm T},$$
(4)

Similarly, we extract *K* patches at the same location of y_B and obtain its similarity distribution \mathbf{D}_B^k :

$$\mathbf{D}_{B}^{k} = [d_{\mathrm{hs}}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{B}^{k}, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{B}^{1}), d_{\mathrm{hs}}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{B}^{k}, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{A}^{2}), ..., d_{\mathrm{hs}}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{B}^{k}, \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{B}^{K})]^{\mathrm{T}}.$$
 (5)

To align the semantic correlation distributions between the two images from different domains, we impose the consistency constrain on \mathbf{D}_A^k and \mathbf{D}_B^k for all K sampled patches by utilizing the shortest path, which can be given in closed-form p_{FR} under the Fisher-Rao information metric and Gaussian assumption, as illustrated in Theorem 3.1:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{local}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_{\text{FR}}(\mathbf{D}_{A}^{k}, \mathbf{D}_{B}^{k}).$$
(6)

THEOREM 3.1. Consider two independent Gaussian distributions: g_1 with mean μ_1 and standard deviation σ_1 , and g_2 with mean μ_2 and standard deviation σ_2 . Given the Riemannian metric defined by the Fisher-Rao information [3], the closed-form expression for the shortest

Anonymous Authors



Figure 2: The framework of our proposed method consists of conditional and exemplar encoders with global-local contrastive learning, a correspondence transformer, and a self-supervised prior-guided diffusion model. For encoders, x_A , y_B , and y_A denote the conditional image, the exemplar image, and the positive image, respectively. For the diffusion model, x_B , z_t , and \hat{x}_B denote the self-supervised ground truth, the prior-guided noise sample at timestep t and the denoising sample, respectively.



Figure 3: The illustration of semantic correlation alignment in our proposed patch-level contrastive learning.

path between these two Gaussian distributions is given by:

$$p_{FR}(g_1, g_2) = 2\sqrt{2} \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2 + 2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)^2}{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2 + 2(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^2}}\right).$$
 (7)

The proof is provided in Supplementary Material A. Notably, a balancing weight γ is employed to control the global and local alignment, and the total contrastive loss \mathcal{L}_{cl} is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{cl} = \mathcal{L}_{global} + \gamma \mathcal{L}_{local}.$$
 (8)

Benefiting from the global-local contrastive learning in the hyperbolic feature space, visual encoders can more accurately excavate domain-invariant features. Then, X_A is mapped to query $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times C}$, \mathbf{Y}_B is mapped to key $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times C}$ and value $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times C}$. These are fed into the correspondence transformer \mathcal{T}_{corr} , which produces the warping estimation $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times HW}$ and the

correspondence feature map $\mathbf{X}_{corr} \in \mathbb{R}^{HW \times C}$ following [13]. Since our approach effectively bridges the domain gap between the domain *A* and *B* to extract domain-invariant features of \mathbf{X}_A and \mathbf{Y}_B , it facilitates the accurate feature mapping by the correspondence transformer, thereby providing enhanced visual features for the subsequent image reconstruction.

3.2 Prior-guided Diffusion Model

In this section, we propose a novel prior-guided diffusion model trained in a self-supervised manner for exemplar-based image translation.

Self-supervised Training. Due to the lack of ground truth as a reference in exemplar-based image translation, most previous methods employ the learned correspondences to warp exemplars and implicitly constrain the structure and content of the generated images using several trivial losses. Inspired by [40], we train our diffusion model in a self-supervised manner by applying several data augmentation techniques (including horizontal flipping, rotation, and elastic deformation) to y_B and y_A . This generates a ground truth sample x_B and its conjugate image x_A , which are incorporated into the training scheme. Benefiting from the deterministic guidance of ground truth, the adverse impact of low-quality cross-domain correspondence during image translation is alleviated, which could avoid reliance on several trivial losses typically used by previous methods. Besides, we believe that this self-supervised training compels the network to comprehend the complex nonlinear mapping between cross-domain correspondence \mathbf{X}_{corr} and translated image \hat{x}_B , thereby producing high-fidelity images.

Prior-guided Forward Process. Aiming to produce an image \hat{x}_B that matches the style of y_B and maintains the structure of x_A , the prior-guided forward process leverages x_A to modify the

forward prior and generates a new Markov diffusion chain \tilde{q} to corrode x_B (denoted as $z_{0:T}$ for brevity) into a non-standard Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(r, \eta^2 \mathbf{I})$:

$$\tilde{q}(z_t|z_{t-1}) := \mathcal{N}(z_t; \sqrt{\alpha_t} z_{t-1} + (1 - \sqrt{\alpha_t})r, \beta_t \eta^2 \mathbf{I}), \qquad (9)$$

where $\{\beta_t\}_{t=1}^T$ denotes the variance schedule provided in DDPM [9], $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t, r = (1 - \eta)x_A$, and η is coefficient schedule that controls the prior intensity. Compared with regular Markov transitions, Eq. (9) can adaptively adjust the mean and variance of the distribution of diffusion target z_t at each timestep t based on the structural prior r. We also admit sampling z_t given by z_0 for any timestep tin closed-form:

$$\tilde{q}(z_t|z_0) = \mathcal{N}(z_t; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}z_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}r, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)\eta^2 \mathbf{I}).$$
(10)

where $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{i=1}^t \alpha_i$. At timestep T, $z_T = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_T} z_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_T} \eta \epsilon + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_T} r = \eta \epsilon + r \sim \mathcal{N}(r, \eta^2 \mathbf{I})$, where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$. Unlike conventional diffusion trajectories, the diffusion distribution at the end step evolves into a non-standard Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(r, \eta^2 \mathbf{I})$. Notably, we set $\eta = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} (1 - \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t})$ as a quadratic schedule to encourage random noise to corrupt the overall style and texture in early phases of the diffusion process, and then emphasize the structural prior in later steps, allowing our diffusion model to effectively preserve target semantic information during the generation process.

Denoising Loss for Reverse Process. The reverse process of the diffusion model essentially involves aligning the means of posterior and transition distributions [2]. Therefore, we combine Eq. (9) and Eq. (10) to derive a tractable posterior (see Supplementary Material B for detailed derivation):

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}(z_{t-1}|z_t, z_0) &= \frac{\tilde{q}(z_t|z_{t-1}, z_0) \cdot \tilde{q}(z_{t-1}|z_0)}{\tilde{q}(z_t|z_0)}, \\ &:= \mathcal{N}(z_{t-1}; \tilde{\mu}_q(z_t, z_0), \tilde{\Sigma}_q(z_t, z_0)), \\ \text{where } \tilde{\mu}_q(z_t, z_0) &= \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_t} \delta_{t-1} z_t + \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}} \beta_t z_0}{\delta_t} \\ &+ \frac{2\delta_{t-1} \beta_t - \sqrt{\alpha_t} \delta_{t-1} \eta}{\delta_t} r, \\ \delta_t &= 1 - \bar{\alpha}_t, \text{ and } \tilde{\Sigma}_q(z_t, z_0) = \frac{\delta_{t-1} \beta_t}{\delta_t} \eta^2. \end{split}$$
(11)

We next parameterize $\tilde{p}_{\theta}(z_{t-1}|z_t) := \mathcal{N}(z_{t-1}; \tilde{\mu}_{\theta}(z_t), \tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}(z_t)\mathbf{I})$ by employing a network and leverage the KL-divergence to minimize the difference between $\tilde{p}_{\theta}(z_{t-1}|z_t)$ and the posterior distribution $\tilde{q}(z_{t-1}|z_t, z_0)$. Since $\tilde{\Sigma}_q(z_t, z_0)$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\theta}(z_t)$ are variable-irrelevant constant terms, by aligning $\tilde{\mu}_q(z_t, z_0)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{\theta}(z_t)$, we can derive the prior denoising loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{diff}}$ of our diffusion model (see Supplementary Material C):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{diff}} = \|\tilde{\mu}_q(z_t, z_0) - \tilde{\mu}_\theta(z_t)\|^2 = \|\rho_t r + \epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} z_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \eta \epsilon + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} r, t)\|^2,$$
(12)

where $\rho_t = \frac{2\delta_{t-1}\beta_t - \sqrt{\alpha_t}\delta_{t-1}\eta}{\delta_t}$. The first two terms of our denoising loss can be viewed as adaptive modifications to the noises during the diffusion process based on prior, forcing our model to emphasize the target structure and improve the sampling quality under the prior guidance.

3.3 Loss Functions

In addition to the diffusion loss for denoising and the global-local contrastive loss for learning domain-invariant features, two necessary loss functions are incorporated to train our network in an end-to-end way following [24].

Correspondence Loss. Intuitively, we use the reliable correspondence $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}$ extracted by the correspondence transformer \mathcal{T}_{corr} to warp the exemplar y_B , expecting the resulting image should be as close to the ground truth x_B as possible. Therefore, the optimization objective for the learned correspondence is denoted as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{corr}} = \|\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathrm{DS}(y_B) - \mathrm{DS}(x_B)\|_1,$$
(13)

where $DS(\cdot)$ denotes the down-sampling operation used to resize the images y_B and x_B .

Cycle-Consistency Loss. To ensure that visual information is not discarded during the warping process, the warped exemplar is expected to be recovered to the original exemplar y_B under the guidance of the inverse correspondence, defined as the cycle-consistency loss [48]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cyc}} = \|\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathrm{DS}(y_B) - \mathrm{DS}(y_B)\|_1.$$
(14)

Total Loss. Benefiting from the self-supervised training, our approach constructs ground truth to explicitly guide the image translation, compared to unsupervised schemes, which could produce more faithful images. The overall optimization objective is expressed as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{diff}} + \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{\text{cl}} + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{\text{corr}} + \lambda_3 \mathcal{L}_{\text{cyc}}, \tag{15}$$

where λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 are the balancing weights.

4 EXPERIMENT

4.1 Datasets

We mainly conduct experiments on the following seven datasets: (1) **CelebA-HQ** [21] contains 30,000 real face images, of which 24,000 are selected for training and the remaining 6,000 are used for testing. (2) **Metfaces** [17] and (3) **Meticulous** consists of 1,336 artistic facial avatars and 931 Chinese ink paintings, respectively, which are randomly divided at a ratio of 8 : 2 for training and testing. (4) **Ukiyo-e** [32] contains high-quality Ukiyo-e faces. We randomly select 3,000 for training and 1,000 for testing [13]. (5) **AAHQ** [23] consists of facial avatars. We randomly choose 1,500 samples for training and 1,000 for testing following [13]. (6) **DeepFashion** [25] consists of 80,000 fashion images, which are trained and tested according to the official settings. (7) **ADE-20k** [46] contains 20,210 training images and 2,000 testing images, which are associated with a 150-class segmentation mask. It is a challenging dataset for most existing methods due to its large diversity.

4.2 Implementation Details

Experimental Settings. All the experiments are deployed on NVIDIA RTX A6000 GPUs and we use 4 GPUs for training and 1 GPU for inference. In all translation tasks, we specify the size of the input and output images as 256×256 . We build and initialize the visual encoders and correspondence network following [13]. The relevant settings of our diffusion scheduler refer to [9, 45], with diffusion steps T = 1000, a linear noise schedule, and a U-Net noise

582

583

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

Table 1: Quantitative results on the CelebA-HQ, Metfaces, Meticulous, Ukiyo-e, AAHQ, DeepFashion, and ADE-20k datasets, where FID and SWD are the main metrics for evaluating the perceptual quality of generated images, supplemented by Texture, Color and Semantic metrics.

	CelebA-HQ			Metfaces Meticulor		culous	Ukiyo-e		AAHQ		DeepFashion				
	FID ↓	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	Texture \uparrow	$\operatorname{Color} \uparrow$	Semantic↑	$\mathrm{FID}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{FID}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{FID}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{FID}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	FID \downarrow	SWD
PADE [30]	31.5	26.9	0.927	0.955	0.922	45.6	26.9	/	/	45.6	26.9	79.4	32.1	36.2	27.8
CoCosNet [43]	14.3	15.2	0.958	0.977	0.949	25.6	24.3	/	/	38.3	13.9	62.6	21.9	14.4	17.2
CoCosNet-v2 [47]	13.2	14.0	0.954	0.975	0.948	23.3	22.4	34.5	24.7	32.1	11.0	62.4	22.8	13.0	16.7
MCL-Net [42]	12.8	14.2	0.951	0.976	0.953	23.8	24.5	/	/	32.4	12.4	64.4	22.2	12.9	16.2
DynaST [24]	12.0	12.4	0.959	0.978	0.952	29.2	28.6	30.1	23.4	38.9	14.2	67.2	24.0	8.4	11.8
Midms [36]	15.6	12.3	0.962	0.982	0.915	28.3	23.0	32.9	23.6	31.8	13.4	59.4	21.6	10.8	10.1
MATEBIT [13]	11.5	13.2	0.966	0.986	0.949	26.0	19.1	30.3	21.8	30.3	11.5	56.0	19.5	8.2	10.0
PROMOTE (ours)	11.0	12.0	0.973	0.982	0.967	22.8	18.4	29.2	21.0	28.7	11.1	54.5	18.4	7.9	9.6

estimator. For the proposed global-local contrastive learning, we set the curvature c = 0.2 to project the data from Euclidian space to hyperbolic space. The weights γ , λ_1 , λ_2 , and λ_3 are set to 0.2, 0.5, 10.0, and 1.0, respectively. We set the batch size to 16 to train our model on DeepFashion for 200 epochs and on the remaining datasets for 100 epochs using the Adam optimizer with the learning rate 1e - 4.

Metrics. To evaluate the translation results comprehensively, we adopt several metrics: (1) Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) [35] and Sliced Wasserstein Distance (SWD) [16] to evaluate the perceptual quality of generated images. (2) color, texture, and semantic cosine similarities based on VGG-19 [38] to evaluate style relevance and semantic consistency of generated images [43].

Comparison with State-of-the-art 4.3

We compare the proposed PROMOTE with several SOTA methods, including CoCosNet [43], CoCosNet-v2 [47], DynaST [24], Midms [36] and MATEBIT [13] across seven datasets. All approaches are replicated by adhering to the settings described in their respective papers and source codes.

616 Quantitative Analysis. The quantitative comparison results are illustrated in Table 1. Compared to existing methods, our proposed 617 PROMOTE achieves the best FID and SWD scores on almost all 618 619 datasets, indicating that the perceptual quality of images generated by our method is superior and closest to real images. This superi-620 ority stems from our robust feature extraction encoders and the 621 self-supervised training framework integrating prior information. 622 Furthermore, our method also achieves the best performance on 623 semantic and texture metrics tested on CelebA-HQ, with improve-624 625 ments of 0.007 and 0.014, respectively. This demonstrates that the structural prior and self-supervised guidance significantly benefits 626 our model in preserving semantics and achieving more consistent 627 628 styles. Comparatively, since the structural prior (conditional im-629 ages) contains little color information, the improvement in color metric is not as pronounced. The comparison results on the ADE-630 20k dataset are presented in Table 2, where our method also shows 631 632 significant gains in challenging scenes with higher diversity and complexity, improving FID and SWD by 0.6 and 0.5, respectively. 633

Qualitative Analysis. Fig. 4 showcases translated images gener-634 ated by SOTA methods alongside their corresponding input images. 635 636 The images produced by previous methods exhibit significant flaws, such as geometric distortions, unnatural textures, loss of style, and 637 638

Table 2: Quantitative results on the ADE-20k dataset, where FID and SWD are the main metrics for evaluating the perceptual quality of generated images, supplemented by Texture, Color, and Semantic metrics.

	ADE-20k				
	FID ↓	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	Texture \uparrow	$\operatorname{Color} \uparrow$	Semantic↑
CoCosNet	26.4	10.5	0.941	0.962	0.862
CoCosNet-v2	25.2	9.9	0.948	0.970	0.877
MCL-Net	24.8	9.9	0.951	0.966	0.881
DynaST	24.6	10.1	0.960	0.967	0.875
MATEBIT	24.3	9.7	0.957	0.973	0.880
PROMOTE	23.7	9.2	0.966	0.978	0.893

semantic inconsistencies, marked respectively by blue, red, green, and yellow boxes. Benefiting from the global-local contrastive learning and the prior-guided diffusion model, the appearance and detailed style of images translated by our PROMOTE are closest to the exemplars and most semantically consistent with the conditional images, achieving the highest fidelity. Moreover, as illustrated in Fig. 5, thanks to the structural prior of conditional images mathematically modifying the diffusion target and transitions, PROMOTE can generate local attributes (e.g. glasses and earrings) with appropriate style even if they are not provided in exemplar images. This capability significantly enhances the practicality of our model.

4.4 Ablation Study

This experiment validates the effectiveness of the proposed globallocal contrastive learning (Glo. for the global part and Loc. for the local part) and the prior-guided diffusion model (Pri.). Table 3 illustrates the comparison results, where we remove all the components of Glo., Loc. and Pri. as our baseline model. From the results, we can draw the following conclusions: First, the introduction of Glo. decreases FID by 0.3 and 0.6 compared to the baseline model on the CelebA-HQ and Metfaces datasets, respectively. This proves the effectiveness of global cross-domain alignment between exemplars and conditional images in yielding robust domain-invariant features that facilitate accurate cross-domain correspondence. Second, the implementation of Loc. alleviates the domain gap at the patch level, resulting in 0.2 and 0.3 FID improvements on the two datasets, respectively. This confirms that Loc. aids in better extracting domain-invariant features by optimizing the shortest path

695



Figure 4: Qualitative results on the CelebA-HQ, Metfaces, Meticulous, Ukiyo-e, AAHQ, DeepFashion, ADE-20k datasets. These cases demonstrate some of the shortcomings of previous methods: geometric distortions, unnatural texture details, loss of style, and semantic inconsistency, indicated respectively by blue, red, green, and yellow boxes. Notably, our PROMOTE achieves the highest visual fidelity.



Figure 5: Generated results for attributes not provided in exemplar. Our PROMOTE can generate local attributes (*e.g.* glasses and earrings) with appropriate style.

between the semantic correlation distributions of the two images. Third, benefiting from the guidance of target structure prior in the diffusion model, the proposed *Pri*. achieves 0.2 FID improvement on each dataset. This enhancement underscores the value of incorporating structural prior into the diffusion process. Finally, by integrating all three components, our approach reduces the average FID and SWD by 0.75 and 0.7, respectively, which significantly surpasses the baseline model.

4.5 Analysis of Global-Local Contrastive Loss

This experiment analyzes the impact of different balancing weight values in Eq. (8) and distance measures on global-local contrastive learning. We vary the values of γ to observe the performance changes on CelebA-HQ and Metfaces datasets as presented in Table 4. When γ is set to 0, *i.e.* ignoring the optimization by the local

Table 3: Evaluation results of ablation studies on CelebA-HQ and Metfaces datasets.

Deteest	Diffe	erent S	ettings	Metric		
Dataset	Glo.	Loc.	Pri.	$\mathrm{FID}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	
	-	-	-	11.8	12.6	
	\checkmark	-	-	11.5	12.4	
Calaba HO	-	\checkmark	-	11.6	12.4	
CelebA-HQ	-	-	\checkmark	11.6	12.3	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	11.3	12.2	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	11.0	12.0	
	-	-	-	22.5	19.2	
	\checkmark	-	-	21.9	18.8	
Matfaaaa	-	\checkmark	-	22.2	18.7	
Metraces	-	-	\checkmark	22.3	19.1	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	-	21.9	18.6	
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	21.8	18.4	

Table 4: Evaluation results of the global-local contrastive loss with different weights γ and distance measurements on CelebA-HQ and Metfaces datasets.

Dataset	Y	0	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	Euc.
CalabA HO	$FID\downarrow$	11.4	11.2	11.0	11.1	11.3	11.5
CelebA-11Q	SWD↓	12.3	12.2	12.0	12.1	12.2	12.4
Matfaaaa	$\mathrm{FID}\downarrow$	22.3	22.0	21.8	22.1	22.4	22.5
Metraces	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	18.7	18.4	18.4	18.7	18.8	19.0

contrastive loss, the perceptual quality of the translated images is notably the worst. As γ increases from 0 to 0.2, performance consistently improves, which confirms the effectiveness of the local contrastive learning since the semantic correlation distributions between two cross-domain images are aligned by minimizing the shortest path measured by Fisher-Rao information. This alignment allows our model to better extract domain-invariant features. However, further increases in γ lead to a decline in performance, as an excessively large value of γ weakens the global semantic alignment.

Additionally, we perform global-local contrastive learning within the Euclidean distance-based feature space (denoted as *Euc.* in Table 4 and Fig. 6) with optimal hyperparameter settings instead of the hyperbolic representation space. Compared with hyperbolic space, using Euclidean space resulted in an average FID and SWD increase of 0.6 and 0.5 across the two datasets. Moreover, images generated in Euclidean spaces exhibit geometric distortion and unnatural texture details (see Fig. 6). Both qualitative and quantitative results illustrate that performance in Euclidean space is significantly inferior to that in hyperbolic space. This proves that the inaccurate measurement of two cross-domain visual representations by Euclidean distance makes it challenging to explore domain-invariant features, resulting in sub-optimal cross-domain correspondence.

4.6 Analysis of Training Manner

This experiment explores two training manners for the diffusion model including unsupervised ("PROMOTE-un") and self-supervised learning. In the unsupervised deployment, necessary perceptual Anonymous Authors



Figure 6: Comparison of generated images by different settings of our method on CelebA-HQ and Metfaces datasets.

Table 5: Evaluation results of the proposed PROMOTE with different training manners on CelebA-HQ and Metfaces.

Detect	Annroach	Me	etric	Training Speed		
Dataset	Approach	$\mathrm{FID}\downarrow$	$\mathrm{SWD}\downarrow$	(hour/epoch)		
Calaba HO	PROMOTE-un	11.7	12.9	20.347		
CelebA-IIQ	PROMOTE	11.0	12.0	0.252		
Matfagas	PROMOTE-un	24.3	21.2	1.023		
Metraces	PROMOTE	21.8	18.4	0.014		

and contextual losses are incorporated into our PROMOTE and optimized through iterative denoising, akin to Midms [36]. The quantitative and qualitative comparison results are presented in Table 5 and Fig. 6, respectively. Benefiting from the explicit guidance of ground truth constructed by self-supervised training, our diffusion model effectively addresses geometric distortion and style/semantic inconsistency, bringing significant performance boosting with 0.7 and 2.5 FID improvements as well as 0.9 and 2.8 SWD improvements on the two datasets, respectively. Moreover, images generated through self-supervised training more faithfully preserve details and styles. Meanwhile, the self-supervised PROMOTE avoids iterative refinement training and eliminates several trivial losses commonly employed in unsupervised deployment for performance enhancement, yielding faster training speed.

5 CONCLUSION

This work proposes a novel "Prior-guided Diffusion Model with Global-Local Contrastive Learning" (PROMOTE) for exemplarbased image translation. PROMOTE designs global-local contrastive learning to effectively excavate domain-invariant features by aligning cross-domain representations between exemplars and conditional images in hyperbolic space. Furthermore, it emphasizes the target structure as prior information to modify the diffusion transitions and mathematically derives a prior denoising loss. Finally, we tackle this task in a self-supervised manner, constructing deterministic ground truth to train our diffusion model. Our method with theoretical derivations can be generalized to contrastive representation learning and DDPM/DDIM for other generative tasks, facilitating multimedia/multimodal applications. Extensive experiments on seven datasets confirm the effectiveness of PROMOTE, yielding significant performance improvement compared to stateof-the-art methods.

PROMOTE: Prior-Guided Diffusion Model with Global-Local Contrastive Learning for Exemplar-Based Image Translation

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043 1044

929 **REFERENCES**

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

- Mina Ghadimi Atigh, Julian Schoep, Erman Acar, Nanne Van Noord, and Pascal Mettes. 2022. Hyperbolic image segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 4453–4462.
- [2] Sheng-Yen Chou, Pin-Yu Chen, and Tsung-Yi Ho. 2023. How to backdoor diffusion models?. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 4015–4024.
- [3] Sueli IR Costa, Sandra A Santos, and Joao E Strapasson. 2015. Fisher information distance: A geometrical reading. Discrete Applied Mathematics 197 (2015), 59–69.
- [4] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. 2021. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) 34 (2021), 8780–8794.
- [5] Songwei Ge, Shlok Mishra, Simon Kornblith, Chun-Liang Li, and David Jacobs. 2023. Hyperbolic contrastive learning for visual representations beyond objects. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 6840–6849.
 - [6] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2020. Generative adversarial networks. *Commun. ACM* 63, 11 (2020), 139–144.
- [7] Shuyang Gu, Dong Chen, Jianmin Bao, Fang Wen, Bo Zhang, Dongdong Chen, Lu Yuan, and Baining Guo. 2022. Vector quantized diffusion model for text-to-image synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 10696–10706.
- [8] Kaiming He, Haoqi Fan, Yuxin Wu, Saining Xie, and Ross Girshick. 2020. Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 9729–9738.
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- [10] Xueqi Hu, Xinyue Zhou, Qiusheng Huang, Zhengyi Shi, Li Sun, and Qingli Li. 2022. Qs-attn: Query-selected attention for contrastive learning in i2i translation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 18291–18300.
- [11] Xun Huang, Ming-Yu Liu, Serge Belongie, and Jan Kautz. 2018. Multimodal unsupervised image-to-image translation. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV). 172–189.
- [12] Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. 2017. Image-toimage translation with conditional adversarial networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 1125–1134.
- [13] Chang Jiang, Fei Gao, Biao Ma, Yuhao Lin, Nannan Wang, and Gang Xu. 2023. Masked and adaptive transformer for exemplar based image translation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 22418–22427.
- [14] Fangling Jiang, Qi Li, Pengcheng Liu, Xiang-Dong Zhou, and Zhenan Sun. 2023. Adversarial learning domain-invariant conditional features for robust face antispoofing. *International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV)* (2023), 1–24.
- [15] Chanyong Jung, Gihyun Kwon, and Jong Chul Ye. 2022. Exploring patch-wise semantic relation for contrastive learning in image-to-image translation tasks. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 18260–18269.
- [16] Tero Karras, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, and Jaakko Lehtinen. 2018. Progressive growing of GANs for improved quality, stability, and variation. In International Conference on Learning Representations (CVPR).
- [17] Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Janne Hellsten, Samuli Laine, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. 2020. Training generative adversarial networks with limited data. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) 33 (2020), 12104– 12114.
- [18] Valentin Khrulkov, Leyla Mirvakhabova, Evgeniya Ustinova, Ivan Oseledets, and Victor Lempitsky. 2020. Hyperbolic image embeddings. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 6418–6428.
- [19] Gwanghyun Kim, Taesung Kwon, and Jong Chul Ye. 2022. Diffusionclip: Textguided diffusion models for robust image manipulation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 2426– 2435.
- [20] Heeseung Kim, Sungwon Kim, and Sungroh Yoon. 2022. Guided-tts: A diffusion model for text-to-speech via classifier guidance. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*. PMLR, 11119–11133.
- [21] Cheng-Han Lee, Ziwei Liu, Lingyun Wu, and Ping Luo. 2020. Maskgan: Towards diverse and interactive facial image manipulation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 5549–5558.
- [22] Sang-gil Lee, Heeseung Kim, Chaehun Shin, Xu Tan, Chang Liu, Qi Meng, Tao Qin, Wei Chen, Sungroh Yoon, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2021. PriorGrad: Improving conditional denoising diffusion models with data-dependent adaptive prior. In International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR).

- [23] Mingcong Liu, Qiang Li, Zekui Qin, Guoxin Zhang, Pengfei Wan, and Wen Zheng. 2021. Blendgan: Implicitly gan blending for arbitrary stylized face generation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) 34 (2021), 29710– 29722.
- [24] Songhua Liu, Jingwen Ye, Sucheng Ren, and Xinchao Wang. 2022. Dynast: Dynamic sparse transformer for exemplar-guided image generation. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV). Springer, 72–90.
- [25] Ziwei Liu, Ping Luo, Shi Qiu, Xiaogang Wang, and Xiaoou Tang. 2016. Deepfashion: Powering robust clothes recognition and retrieval with rich annotations. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 1096–1104.
- [26] Liqian Ma, Xu Jia, Stamatios Georgoulis, Tinne Tuytelaars, and Luc Van Gool. 2019. Exemplar guided unsupervised image-to-image translation with semantic consistency. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- [27] Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. 2021. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). PMLR, 8162–8171.
- [28] Alexander Quinn Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob Mcgrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. 2022. GLIDE: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*. PMLR, 16784– 16804.
- [29] Maximilian Nickel and Douwe Kiela. 2017. Poincaré embeddings for learning hierarchical representations. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) 30 (2017).
- [30] Taesung Park, Ming-Yu Liu, Ting-Chun Wang, and Jun-Yan Zhu. 2019. Semantic image synthesis with spatially-adaptive normalization. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 2337– 2346.
- [31] Marine Picot, Francisco Messina, Malik Boudiaf, Fabrice Labeau, Ismail Ben Ayed, and Pablo Piantanida. 2022. Adversarial robustness via Fisher-Rao regularization. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (IEEE PAMI)* 45, 3 (2022), 2698–2710.
- [32] Justin N. M. Pinkney. 2020. Aligned Ukiyo-e faces dataset.
- [33] Joshua Robinson, Ching-Yao Chuang, Suvrit Sra, and Stefanie Jegelka. 2021. Contrastive learning with hard negative samples. In International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR).
- [34] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 10684–10695.
- [35] Maximilian Seitzer. 2020. pytorch-fid: FID Score for PyTorch. https://github.com/ mseitzer/pytorch-fid. version 0.2.1.
- [36] Junyoung Seo, Gyuseong Lee, Seokju Cho, Jiyoung Lee, and Seungryong Kim. 2023. Midms: Matching interleaved diffusion models for exemplar-based image translation. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), Vol. 37. 2191–2199.
- [37] Changhao Shi, Haomiao Ni, Kai Li, Shaobo Han, Mingfu Liang, and Martin Renqiang Min. 2023. Exploring compositional visual generation with latent classifier guidance. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 853–862.
- [38] K Simonyan and A Zisserman. 2015. Very deep convolutional networks for largescale image recognition. In *International Conference on Learning Representations* (ICLR).
- [39] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. 2020. Denoising diffusion implicit models. In International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR).
- [40] Binxin Yang, Shuyang Gu, Bo Zhang, Ting Zhang, Xuejin Chen, Xiaoyan Sun, Dong Chen, and Fang Wen. 2023. Paint by example: Exemplar-based image editing with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 18381–18391.
- [41] Can Yaras, Peng Wang, Zhihui Zhu, Laura Balzano, and Qing Qu. 2022. Neural collapse with normalized features: A geometric analysis over the riemannian manifold. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) 35 (2022), 11547–11560.
- [42] Fangneng Zhan, Yingchen Yu, Rongliang Wu, Jiahui Zhang, Shijian Lu, and Changgong Zhang. 2022. Marginal contrastive correspondence for guided image generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 10663–10672.
- [43] Pan Zhang, Bo Zhang, Dong Chen, Lu Yuan, and Fang Wen. 2020. Cross-domain correspondence learning for exemplar-based image translation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 5143–5153.
- [44] Min Zhao, Fan Bao, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. 2022. Egsde: Unpaired image-toimage translation via energy-guided stochastic differential equations. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS) 35 (2022), 3609–3623.
- [45] Guojin Zhong, Jin Yuan, Pan Wang, Kailun Yang, Weili Guan, and Zhiyong Li. 2023. Contrast-augmented diffusion model with fine-grained sequence alignment for markup-to-image generation. In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference

1045		on Multimedia (ACM MM). 5311–5320.	on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). 11465–11475.
1046	[46]	Bolei Zhou, Hang Zhao, Xavier Puig, Sanja Fidler, Adela Barriuso, and Antonio Torralba. 2017. Scene parsing through ade20k dataset. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE</i>	[48] Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A Efros. 2017. Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. In Pro-
1047		conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 633-641.	ceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV). 2223–
1048	[47]	Xingran Zhou, Bo Zhang, Ting Zhang, Pan Zhang, Jianmin Bao, Dong Chen,	2232.
1049		dence learning for image translation. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference</i>	
1050			
1051			
1052			
1053			
1054			
1055			
1056			
1057			
1058			
1059			
1060			
1061			
1062			
1063			
1064			
1065			
1066			
1067			
1068			
1069			
1070			
1071			
1072			
1073			
1074			
1075			
1076			
1077			
1078			
1079			
1080			
1081			
1082			
1083			
1084			
1085			
1086			
1087			
1088			
1089			
1090			
1091			
1092			
1093			
1094			
1095			
1096			
1097			
1098			
1099			
1100			
1101			
1102			