DomRec: Investigating Domain-centric Recommendation and Analysis of Entity Linking Methods

Abstract

Detecting and disambiguating textual mentions by linking them to corresponding entities in a knowledge base is an essential task, implicitly integrated within many information retrieval toolkits. A plethora of systems have been developed for various uses utilising techniques to varying success rates for the task at hand. However, we argue that the effectiveness of these systems is highly dependent on the domain of the text being processed. This paper investigates the relationship between textual domains and system performance, presenting a machine learning framework predicting a locally optimal system for a given domain based on learned topic vectors. By analysing domain-specific characteristics across multiple domains and methods, our approach demonstrates that no single technique excels across all domains, and that performance can be significantly enhanced by selecting the most suitable system for each context. Our findings underscore the importance of domain awareness in the development and deployment of text-processing systems, providing a pathway for more adaptable and robust methodologies. We release and open source all generated data, code and findings on our repository[1] and on Zenodo[2].

1 Introduction

Entity Linking (EL) serves as a fundamental task in natural language processing, aiming to disambiguate entity mentions in text by associating them with corresponding entries in a given knowledge base. Despite significant advances in EL, the performance of these systems can vary substantially across different textual domains, presenting a challenge for their deployment in diverse applications. Traditional EL approaches typically operate as standalone solutions, optimized for general-purpose tasks but often lacking the flexibility required to excel across varying domains. Due to a varying needs and a lack of effectiveness from general-purpose entity linking approaches (Zheng et al., 2015), over time *biomedical entity linking* became its own domain with special-purpose entity linking approaches targeting this area's needs in particular (French and McInnes, 2023). As such, an implicit divide in this field of natural language processing research followed as a result of domain-dependent results. Therewith sparking our research interests to explicitly explore such implicit reasoning in further depth.

Extant entity linking research has focused on attempting to create and identify coherent contexts within documents in order to successfully disambiguate candidate entities (Zu et al., 2024; Ayoola et al., 2022; Christmann et al., 2022; van Hulst et al., 2020; Nanni and Fabo, 2016; Flati and Navigli, 2014; Han and Sun, 2012). Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, no research has attempted to identify a deeper link between overall topics and system result quality - a lack we specifically address in this paper.

This paper addresses the critical interplay between domain-specific texts and entity linking system performance. Our investigation is motivated by the hypothesis that the effectiveness of text-processing techniques is not uniform across all domains, and that certain systems may perform optimally in particular contexts despite failing to do so in generalised domains. To explore this hypothesis, we developed a machine learning framework predicting the optimal system for a given domain by learning from topic vectors derived from domain-specific texts.

By leveraging this framework, we systematically analyse the relationships between text domains and system performance, providing insights into how different systems can be tailored or selected for specific contexts. Our findings reveal that the choice of method benefit from domain-dependent decision-

---

[1] https://anonymous.4open.science/r/domrec-6805/

[2] https://zenodo.org/records/13937651

making, with the potential to significantly enhance accuracy and efficiency in practical applications.

In this paper, we investigate and conduct our research endeavour to find answers to the following research questions:

RQ1 Is there a link (or bias) between domain and system performance?

RQ2 Is document domain a sufficient information source to identify an optimal system methodology?

RQ3 Is one method optimal across all documents or is there benefit in employing an appropriate system in its strongest field?

In attempting to qualitatively respond to the above questions, our developed contributions in this paper are as follows:

1. Domain-specific analysis of state-of-the-art data sets and named entity recognition and disambiguation methods.

2. Model architecture for context- and topic-sensitive recommendation of entity linking approaches.

3. Findings indicating a non-insignificant link between document domain and system performance.

4. We develop, evaluate and release annotated data from a variety of entity linking systems for various data sets incl. AIDA CoNLL-YAGO, RSS-500, Reuters-128, News-100, KORE50, MedMentions.

5. We render multi-system annotation data interoperable and improve reusability through a light-weight data structure.

6. Release of our embeddings, topics, code, approach and metadata for fully reproducible research and findings.

In doing so, we present the architecture and methodology of our approach based on topic modelling, followed by an evaluation of its effectiveness across identified domains. We conclude by discussing the implications of our findings for the broader field of entity linking, emphasizing the importance of domain awareness in the development and deployment of named entity recognition and disambiguation approaches.

2 Related Work

The relationship between textual domains and the performance of Natural Language Processing (NLP) systems has garnered considerable attention in recent years with large language models taking centre stage. In this section, we draw the links between our research exploring the domain-to-linker relationship and the various approaches developed to enhance EL performance across diverse domains. For the sake of identifying a variety of domains, topics and contexts, we make use of topic modelling techniques, allowing for the unsupervised detection and grouping of related and mentioned texts and phrases. In our research, we experimented with two state-of-the-art topic modelling techniques. One of which was Top2Vec (Angelov, 2020), a method learning topics directly from latent document representations by recognising dense regions within a given embedding space. Based on dense regions, it extracts groupings of most representative words given in order to define meaningful topics. Another approach we employ for our experiments is BERTopic (Grootendorst, 2022), a topic model utilising BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) embeddings combined with clustering techniques to find meaningful topics. To the best of our knowledge, scarce is state-of-the-art research in the domain of entity linker recommendation. In (João et al., 2020), the authors attempt to leverage systems' individual strengths on a mention to mention basis, recommending a particular linking technique. They acknowledge the assumed effect of domains, but did not investigate its impact. Additionally, the authors only utilised 3 entity linking systems (incl. Babelfy and TagMe - both systems included in this paper) and evaluate on 3 datasets. Noullet et al. present a framework in (Noullet et al., 2021) with a baseline linker recommendation module, allowing for a generic recommendation implementation, utilising data generated through use of their framework. Their approach uses a support vector machine model, but they do not further evaluate their methods based on the combination of multiple entity linking workflows, instead presenting it as a stepping stone to the broader research audience.

In (Flati and Navigli, 2014), Flati and Navigli introduce concepts from word sense disambiguation to entity linking and in combination with dense subgraph heuristics aim to create a consistent

and high-coherence context, yielding qualitative disambiguation results. With CLOCQ (Christmann et al., 2022), Christmann et al. improve upon existing approaches by working four levels of signals into their ranking algorithm. They introduce word-level scores for matching and relatedness, but further also include text-wide coherence and connectivity for disambiguation results along with dynamic candidate set size considerations. DBpediaSpotlight (Mendes et al., 2011) utilises a four-stage pipeline including spotting through an extended set of label lexicalizations identified and part-of-speech tagging mechanisms, a candidate selection step and an entity disambiguation step utilising vector space model representations with heuristics including customised inverse candidate frequency metrics.

Regarding annotated datasets, AIDA-CoNLL-YAGO (Hoffart et al., 2011) links entities to the YAGO, Wikipedia or Freebase Knowledge Base (KB), providing a Named-Entity Recognition (NER), Entity Disambiguation (ED) and EL dataset. KORE50^{DYWC}(Noullet et al., 2020) particularly contains less frequent and hard-to-disambiguate mentions of entities, making up a gold-level standard entity linking dataset, which links to various knowledge graphs or bases: DBpedia, YAGO, Wikidata and Crunchbase. Due to its small size, it mainly functions for evaluation purposes in related research. Further, with the N3 collection (Röder et al., 2014), Röder et al. (2014) introduce a collection made up of 3 data sets: News-100, Reuters-128 and RSS-500. News-100 is a dataset made up of 100 German news articles. Reuters-128 includes a subset of articles from the Reuters-21578[3] dataset, initially created for text categorization. Whereas RSS-500 is a corpus created from 1,457 RSS feeds as initially released by (Goldhahn et al., 2012) and contains a wide range of topics ranging from politics, business and science from major global news outlets. Another dataset investigated in this paper is MedMentions (Mohan and Li, 2019). It is derived from the MEDLINE and PubMed corpus, linked to the UMLS knowledge base and constitutes a large-scale dataset for specialised biomedical entity linking.

3 Methodology

For the sake of analysing the domain dependency of entity linking systems, creating a baseline and arguing domain-relevance for the purpose of entity linker recommendation as well as the qualitative analyses thereof, we designed 3 main experiments and models based on a variety of extant workflows and commonly used datasets. We generated interoperable annotation data based on 9 different systems for 6 data sets[4] with help of the linking framework described in (Noullet et al., 2021), adhering to data generation in pre-existing and interoperable formats.

3.1 Dataset Creation

We chose the following 9 systems Babelfy (Flati and Navigli, 2014), CLOCQ (Christmann et al., 2022), DBpediaSpotlight (Mendes et al., 2011), Falcon 2.0 (Sakor et al., 2020), OpenTapioca Delpeuch, 2020, ReFinED (Ayoola et al., 2022), Radboud Entity Linker (REL) (van Hulst et al., 2020), spaCy (Explosion, 2021), TagMe (Piccinno and Ferragina, 2014), and TextRazor (TextRazor Ltd., 2023) for our experiments. Our decision was motivated by the state-of-the-art, stability, widespread use in existing research to increase research benefit, compatibility, as well as ease of execution (e.g. via web APIs) for most up-to-date results.

Further, in order to maximise comparability, be able to analyse and create recommendations based on entity linking system performance, we employed commonly-used data sets spanning a variety of domains (AIDA-CoNLL-YAGO (Hoffart et al., 2011), MedMentions (Mohan and Li, 2019), RSS-500 (Röder et al., 2014), Reuters-128 (Röder et al., 2014), News-100 (Röder et al., 2014), KORE50 (Noullet et al., 2020)).

As such, in a data preparation step, we ran all system and dataset combinations available through use and extension of the entity linking framework presented in (Noullet et al., 2021). Thus, in the spirit of adhering to the FAIR principles (Dumontier, 2022), results are generated in an interoperable and freely accessible fashion, additionally to our rendering them findable and thus reusable as well as reproducible.

We evaluate annotation results based on ground

---

[3]https://www.daviddlewis.com/resources/testcollections/reuters21578/

[4]https://anonymous.4open.science/r/domrec-6805/

Topic	Abbr.	Subtopics
Medical Research	MED	
Pol. Conflict News	POL	Chin. Sociop., Pol. Elections, Kurd. Pol., Is.-Pal. Relations, Conflict & Pol. Violence
Fin. Market Trends	FINMA	Commodity Trading Dyn., Fin. Perf. Metrics, Fin. Market Insights
Gov. & Administration	GOV	
Sports Analysis	ANALYSIS	Cricket Perf. Metrics, Soccer Leagues and Comp., Int. Socc. Comp., Socc. and Player Profiles, Football League Anal.
Game Strat. & Players	PLAYERS	Sports Coach. & Mgmt, Baseball Inning Details, Football & Players, Baseball & Players
Corp. Market Insights	CORP	Corp. Collab., Stock Market Insights, Corp. Announcements
News & Celebrities	CELEBNEWS	Notable Athl. & Celeb., Research and Reports, News Outlets & Reporting
World Champ.	CHAMP	Tennis Tournaments and Champ., Athletic Achievements & Champ.
Sports Event Roundup	EVENT	Tennis Tournament Highlights, MLB Teams & Matchups, Sports Highlights and M.
League Matches	MATCHES	Sports League Standings, MLB Team Rivalries, Soccer Leagues and M.
German Language	GRMN	Misc. German Phrases, German Language Constructs

Table 1: Identified, annotated & grouped Topics and their abbreviations

truth labels in regards to F1 scores in a document-to-document fashion. Using these scores as a basis, each document & linking method pair is ranked and attributed one to *multiple* locally optimal labels, therewith maximising our models specifically in regards to F1 scoring.

In the following, we describe designed experimental setups for our classification task along with techniques necessary for the completion thereof.

3.2 Document Embeddings

Utilising BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), we generate sentence and document embeddings each mapped to one or more ground truth labels. With these we can investigate in a simple yet powerful fashion the potential of a highly specific input representation to an assumedly optimal output method.

3.3 Topic Model

Applying topic modelling techniques, we discover abstract topics occurring in a collection of unstructured text documents. Extracting topics enables better understanding of the dataset by identifying underlying themes and implicit structures within the data in an explicit fashion. For our experiments, we employed two state-of-the-art topic modelling techniques, namely Top2Vec (Angelov, 2020) and BERTopic (Grootendorst, 2022). Due to our experiments yielding similar results with negligible differences with both employed topic modelling techniques (see Jupyter Notebooks on our GitHub page for qualitative performance comparisons), all provided experimental results and visualisations in this paper were performed using BERTopic.

Through the definition of explicit topics, we consider it valuable to investigate the mapping function of identified topic to method class. Being a radical oversimplification of the recommendation problem, this allows us to detect the degree of skewness incurred by entity linking methods based on their domain. Reaching a relatively good performance despite the simplification of a topic-to-class interpretation would therefore imply a potential gap to be exploited in future qualitative result optimization endeavours.

For this line of experiments, we automatically extract topics within all of our investigated datasets. In Table 1, we list all 35 identified topics, reduced to 12 grouped topics for purposes of visualisation and figure simplification. Each abstract topic is labeled through use of a state-of-the-art large language model prior to grouping. Upon grouping of subtopics into parent topics, each parent topic's label is adjusted to match its encompassing members' contents. Further, in Figure 1a and Figure 1b we visualise the topic distribution for each dataset and EL methods, respectively.

We design experiments utilising both document-

(a) By normalised **dataset** topic scores for each topic (b) By normalised **system** topic scores for each topic

Figure 1: Proportions of Dataset and System topic scores for the whole dataset

specific topic vectors, as well as one hot encoding representations thereof, document embeddings and combinations thereof, among others. Document-specific topic vectors approximate document embedding representations with dimensions equal to the number of topics. In contrast, our one hot encoding representation is designed to radically define exactly one *main* topic per document. Please note that this intentionally is intended to be a highly limited signal with the purpose of identifying topic relevance for the linker recommendation task in mind.

3.4 Dimensionality Reduction

Principle Component Analysis (PCA) is a popular dimensionality lowering technique we employed transforming high-dimensional data into lower-dimensional representations, while retaining as much variance as possible. PCA identifies principal components, along which the variation is the highest, and then projects the data onto these components. We applied PCA in order to lower document embedding vector dimensionality to make up for their large difference in relation to computed topic vectors.

4 Evaluation

For our evaluation, we used multiple input representations and trained a variety of machine learning models allowing us to predict an appropriate

linking methodology for each. These models further allow us to analyse the data from different aspects due to their underlying assumptions and architectures. In this paper, due to the assumption of domain importance requiring multiple domains within its training set, we evaluate our models on the combined datasets with a 70/30 train-to-test split. Results including F1-score, precision, recall as well as Recall@2 and Recall@3 are displayed in Table 2 for a variety of input representations, each serving its own argumentation purpose relating to domain dependence. Dummy classifiers were employed to ensure meaningful, non-random results by setting a minimum threshold. Overall within our experiments, Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) perform best, trading between first and second places in many cases.

We note that our experiments utilising both document embeddings as stand-alone signals and in combination with topic vectors only diverge minimally despite the latter yielding slightly better results, particularly for MLP (with the exception of Recall@3 likely due to factors relating to randomness). Using document embedding vectors as predictors yielded some of the highest precision, recall and F1 scores, fulfilling the expectation of document embeddings representing the most informative latent representation of our data. Further, this proves the link expressed as intuition in prior research between a document's content and an ex-

pected top-performing method (label) due to every employed machine learning model being able to successfully predict target labels. Our trained random forest model achieved an F1 score of 40.79% despite intuitively being ill-suited to classifying within an embedding space, yet substantially better than random guesses as illustrated by dummy classifiers. Our best F1 prediction performance was produced by a MLP model (45.73%) in large parts due to a 5.1% improvement (45.41%) over SVM (40.31%) in precision despite being ranked second in recall (47.32%) to our SVM (48.80%). This further exemplifies the context-sensitive nature of our employed document embeddings, containing information on a word as well as contextual levels. While models based on our 1-Hot-Encoding representation only possess a very limited range of input signals, all trained models seem to relatively easily adapt to the simple data structure, reaching similar if not identical results as is the case with random forest, SVM and MLP. We note that the precision (41.86%) and recall (42.91%) scores are greatly above ground truth-based baseline results for *most frequent* (5.54% and 23.5%) and *uniform* distributions (16.49% and 13.71%) in every case. As our recall values for SVM spike from 48.80% to 71.76% for recall@2 in a combined setting, the 22.96% difference indicate a certain degree of ambiguity within the prediction. It seems as though our recommendation regardless of model used is hampered from having to choose one from among few ideal systems within a context. This could be an indication that multiple systems have similar detection results, making it inherently difficult for a model to choose the right one.

4.1 Domain-specificity

In a second part of our evaluation, we focus on analysing underlying topic distributions across different datasets (Fig. 1a) and annotators (Fig. 1b). We process each dataset through our topic model incl. the topic rankings and their corresponding scores, appropriately reflecting their importance for a given input. A larger relative bar indicates a prevalence of this topic in the case of datasets and better performance in the case of annotators for a given topic. For instance, we can clearly see that the dataset News-100 greatly contributes to the topic of *German Language* (GRMN) as can be expected due to its makeup consisting of German news articles. Similarly, MedMentions greatly con-

tributes to the domain of *Medical Research* (MED), an expected outcome considering its biomedical domain-specific nature. Particularly interesting is also to see the displayed strengths and weaknesses of certain systems. Among others, in Fig. 1b REL is shown to be mostly unsuited to the MED domain while performing well in the PLAYERS domain, a trait also shared by ReFinED and spaCy. While Fig. 1 presents an overview of our dataset, Fig. 2 shows the distribution across topics for our ground truth (Fig. 2a), document embeddings (Fig. 2b), 1-hot-encoding (Fig. 2c) as well as combined topic & document embeddings (Fig. 2d) when predicted with a MLP. Despite evaluation metrics not changing substantially between document embeddings and our combined approaches, it is noticeable that certain domains undergo substantial shifts. For instance, while for Fig. 2b DBpedia Spotlight is predicted in a uniform fashion, this method's prediction distribution in Fig. 2d resembles far more the ground truth's varying degrees of its use. Interestingly, comparing both representations again, one can notice that despite REL ideally being the most predicted system within the ground truth, its relative prediction likelihood is reduced in the combined approach rather than without explicitly included topic information.

In our naive approach to topic-based linker recommendation, one notices that 2 (Babelfy, DBpedia Spotlight) of the usually present systems have disappeared entirely. This implies that the datapoints previously predicted as one of these are now predicted as one or multiple of the other methods. Upon analysis of confusion matrices, we have discovered that our model has a higher likelihood of misclassifying Babelfy for TextRazor and DBpedia Spotlight for TagMe primarily and for each other's partner next. As such, it stands to reason that due to their absence, predictions ideally classified towards these methods, would be partially absorbed by the other. This phenomenon can be observed for instance by comparing Fig. 2a and Fig. 2c: TagMe goes from a relatively equal share with TextRazor towards clearly dominating the MED domain. From looking at our data visualizations, the ambiguity between Babelfy, TagMe and DBpedia Spotlight may be due to the systems having relatively similar results within varying domains and alternating for the top-ranked position. Moreover, another interesting finding is that spaCy disappears completely from its weakest or least-represented domains (MATCHES,

(a) Ground truth

(b) Doc. Embeddings

(c) 1-Hot-Encoding (Naive)

(d) Topic & Document Emb.

Figure 2: Topic distribution for Systems (Test data).

Representation (Dataset)	Model	F1-score	Precision	Recall	Recall@2	Recall@3
Ground Truth	Dummy (MF)	0.0897	0.0554	0.2354	0.4329	0.4823
	Dummy (Uniform)	0.1427	0.1649	0.1371	0.4329	0.4823
Doc. Embeddings	Random Forest	0.4079	0.4135	0.4573	0.6817	0.8442
	SVM	_0.4278_	0.4031	**0.4880**	**0.7196**	**0.8571**
	k-NN	0.4277	_0.4199_	0.4420	0.6663	0.8126
	MLP	**0.4573**	**0.4541**	_0.4732_	_0.6937_	_0.8562_
1-Hot-Encoding	Random Forest	_0.3284_	**0.4186**	**0.4291**	0.3744	0.5319
	SVM	_0.3284_	**0.4186**	**0.4291**	**0.3814**	_0.5323_
	k-NN	**0.3332**	0.3521	0.4238	0.3620	0.5232
	MLP	_0.3284_	**0.4186**	**0.4291**	_0.3752_	**0.5345**
Topic & Document Embeddings	Random Forest	0.4008	0.3928	0.4501	0.6908	0.8408
	SVM	0.4283	0.4049	**0.4880**	**0.7176**	**0.8591**
	k-NN	_0.4290_	_0.4188_	0.4463	0.6716	0.8135
	MLP	**0.4653**	**0.4599**	_0.4765_	_0.7004_	_0.8528_

Table 2: Evaluation metrics for different models.

GRMN) and nearly does so for MED, too, following a trend in accordance with our ground truth data.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that despite naive assumptions regarding domain representations, a non-negligible link between a topic and an optimal choice of system persists throughout domains and datasets. Further, this assumption holds true despite existing methodologies seemingly reigning supreme for given datasets. This seemingly would imply a large potential gap allowing for relatively unexplored alleys for performance optimization by utilising a combination of multiple technologies. Additionally, our analyses show that in some domains, multiple methods may perform similarly well to each other, potentially creating tie-breaking issues when it comes to recommendation as indicated by large jumps in performance between metrics@1 and metrics@2. Moreover, we have discovered that utilising highly naive signals to a recommendation, ambiguous results are swallowed up by one or more prediction labels, potentially hinting at a degree of system result overlap within given domains. Given the promising results of our experiments, we plan on creating complex domain-dependent recommendation mechanisms in the future for the sake of entity linking. We also plan on learning these biases as a means of automatically weighting a variety of methodologies within neural network architectures, allowing for on-the-fly combinations of systems resulting in complex system interactions and expecting to beat the current state-of-the-art. Finally, we plan on investigating more complex architectures for the sake of identifying links between input documents that would go deeper than identifying based on the human intuition of domains and topics.

6 Limitations

A limitation to our approach is that we create our ground truth dataset based on maximal F1 scores rather than for precision or recall, despite there being valid arguments to account for either of them instead. Due to the nature of the problem we are trying to solve, it is likely for there to be duplicate best systems for a given document. As such, we generate multiple labels in that regard, generalising, but also potentially confusing our model due to the similitude of the input signals expecting varying outputs. Further, we would ideally like to make use of more systems in the future and have an even more in-depth discussion on predictions and effective ways of exploiting domain information for the benefit of annotation quality and robustness.

References

Dimo Angelov. 2020. Top2vec: Distributed representations of topics. *CoRR*, abs/2008.09470.

Tom Ayoola, Shubhi Tyagi, Joseph Fisher, Christos Christodoulopoulos, and Andrea Pierleoni. 2022. ReFinED: An efficient zero-shot-capable approach to end-to-end entity linking. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies: Industry Track*, pages 209–220, Hybrid: Seattle, Washington + Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Philipp Christmann, Rishiraj Saha Roy, and Gerhard Weikum. 2022. Question entity and relation linking

to knowledge bases via CLOCQ (full paper). In *Joint Proceedings of SemREC 2022 and SMART 2022 co-located with 21st International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC 2022), Hybrid event, Hangzhou, China, October 24-27, 2022*, volume 3337 of *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, pages 33–47. CEUR-WS.org.

Antonin Delpeuch. 2020. OpenTapioca: Lightweight Entity Linking for Wikidata. In *Proceedings of the 1st Wikidata Workshop co-located with the 19th International Semantic Web Conference*, volume 2773 of *Wikidata'20*. CEUR-WS.org.

Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. BERT: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *CoRR*, abs/1810.04805.

Michel Dumontier. 2022. A formalization of one of the main claims of "the FAIR guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship" by wilkinson et al. 20161. *Data Sci.*, 5(1):53–56.

Explosion. 2021. spaCy, Industrial-Strength Natural Language Processing.

Tiziano Flati and Roberto Navigli. 2014. Three Birds (in the LLOD Cloud) with One Stone: BabelNet, Babelfy and the Wikipedia Bitaxonomy. In *Proceedings of the Posters and Demos Track of 10th International Conference on Semantic Systems*, volume 1224 of *SEMANTiCS'14*, pages 10–13. CEUR-WS.org.

Evan French and Bridget T. McInnes. 2023. An overview of biomedical entity linking throughout the years. *J. Biomed. Informatics*, 137:104252.

Dirk Goldhahn, Thomas Eckart, and Uwe Quasthoff. 2012. Building large monolingual dictionaries at the leipzig corpora collection: From 100 to 200 languages. In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation, LREC 2012, Istanbul, Turkey, May 23-25, 2012*, pages 759–765. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

Maarten Grootendorst. 2022. Bertopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF procedure. *CoRR*, abs/2203.05794.

Xianpei Han and Le Sun. 2012. An entity-topic model for entity linking. In *Proceedings of the 2012 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning, EMNLP-CoNLL 2012, July 12-14, 2012, Jeju Island, Korea*, pages 105–115. ACL.

Johannes Hoffart, Mohamed Amir Yosef, Ilaria Bordino, Hagen Fürstenau, Manfred Pinkal, Marc Spaniol, Bilyana Taneva, Stefan Thater, and Gerhard Weikum. 2011. Robust disambiguation of named entities in text. In *Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2011, 27-31 July 2011, John McIntyre Conference Centre, Edinburgh, UK, A meeting of SIGDAT, a Special Interest Group of the ACL*, pages 782–792. ACL.

Renato Stoffalette João, Pavlos Fafalios, and Stefan Dietze. 2020. Better together: An ensemble learner for combining the results of ready-made entity linking systems. In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, SAC '20, page 851–858, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Pablo N. Mendes, Max Jakob, Andrés García-Silva, and Christian Bizer. 2011. DBpedia Spotlight: Shedding Light on the Web of Documents. In *Proceedings the 7th International Conference on Semantic Systems*, I-SEMANTICS'11, pages 1–8. ACM.

Sunil Mohan and Donghui Li. 2019. Medmentions: A large biomedical corpus annotated with UMLS concepts. In *1st Conference on Automated Knowledge Base Construction, AKBC 2019, Amherst, MA, USA, May 20-22, 2019*.

Federico Nanni and Pablo Ruiz Fabo. 2016. Entities as topic labels: improving topic interpretability and evaluability combining entity linking and labeled LDA. In *11th Annual International Conference of the Alliance of Digital Humanities Organizations, DH 2016, Krakow, Poland, July 11-16, 2016, Conference Abstracts*, pages 632–635. Alliance of Digital Humanities Organizations (ADHO).

Kristian Noullet, Rico Mix, and Michael Färber. 2020. KORE 50^{DYWC}: An Evaluation Data Set for Entity Linking Based on DBpedia, YAGO, Wikidata, and Crunchbase. In *Proceedings of The 12th Language Resources and Evaluation Conference, LREC 2020, Marseille, France, May 11-16, 2020*, pages 2389–2395. European Language Resources Association.

Kristian Noullet, Samuel Printz, and Michael Färber. 2021. Clit: Combining linking techniques for everyone. In *The Semantic Web: ESWC 2021 Satellite Events - Virtual Event, June 6-10, 2021, Revised Selected Papers*, volume 12739 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 88–92. Springer.

Francesco Piccinno and Paolo Ferragina. 2014. From tagme to WAT: a new entity annotator. In *ERD'14, Proceedings of the First ACM International Workshop on Entity Recognition & Disambiguation, July 11, 2014, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia*, pages 55–62. ACM.

Michael Röder, Ricardo Usbeck, Sebastian Hellmann, Daniel Gerber, and Andreas Both. 2014. N^3 - A collection of datasets for named entity recognition and disambiguation in the NLP interchange format. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation, LREC 2014, Reykjavik, Iceland, May 26-31, 2014*, pages 3529–3533. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).

Ahmad Sakor, Kuldeep Singh, Anery Patel, and Maria-Esther Vidal. 2020. Falcon 2.0: An entity and relation linking tool over wikidata. In *CIKM '20: The 29th ACM International Conference on Information*

and Knowledge Management, Virtual Event, Ireland, October 19-23, 2020, pages 3141–3148. ACM.

TextRazor Ltd. 2023. TextRazor, Extract Meaning from your Text.

Johannes M. van Hulst, Faegheh Hasibi, Koen Dercksen, Krisztian Balog, and Arjen P. de Vries. 2020. Rel: An entity linker standing on the shoulders of giants. In *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, SIGIR '20. ACM.

Jinguang Zheng, Daniel Howsmon, Boliang Zhang, Juergen Hahn, Deborah L. McGuinness, James A. Hendler, and Heng Ji. 2015. Entity linking for biomedical literature. *BMC Medical Informatics Decis. Mak.*, 15-S(S-1):S4.

Lizheng Zu, Lin Lin, Song Fu, Jie Liu, Shiwei Suo, Wenhui He, Jinlei Wu, and Yancheng Lv. 2024. Pathel: A novel collective entity linking method based on relationship paths in heterogeneous information networks. *Inf. Syst.*, 126:102433.