Stance and Framing: Ideological Bias in Generative AI on China-Related Topics

Debao Xiang[1], Jianglin Xiang[1], and Yifei Li[2]

[1] Beijing Foreign Studies University, Beijing, China
xiangdebao2000@163.com
xanita@bfsu.edu.cn
[2] Taiji Computer Corporation Limited, Beijing, China
Fei01203@163.com

Abstract. This study examines ideological bias in GPT-4 across 18 China-related topics spanning six domains: politics, economics, military, culture, society, and global governance. Stance analysis employs Sentence-BERT semantic similarity to measure the direction and magnitude of bias, while framing analysis combines keyword frequency extraction, concordance retrieval, and manual induction drawn from corpus linguistics methodology. A total of approximately 54,000 GPT-4-generated English news headlines were analyzed. The findings reveal a structurally differentiated rather than uniformly directional pattern of bias: five of six domains exhibit an overall pro-China stance, with only the economics domain showing a marginal anti-China leaning. At the topic level, global governance displays the strongest and most consistent pro-China bias, while the politics, military, culture, and economics domains each contain both pro-China and anti-China topics internally. Framing analysis further identifies four bias mechanisms: a common challenge frame (which generates pro-China bias by dissolving adversarial structures), economic rationality substituting for stance judgment (which renders bias covert through cost-benefit analysis), role assignment determining bias direction (which presupposes causality and responsibility through narrative positioning), and formal balance as contestation construction (which manufactures uncertainty through juxtaposed counter-narratives). The study argues that bias originates not merely from the composition of training data, but reflects deeply entrenched narrative traditions within the English-language media ecosystem—an inherent limitation of language models attempting to represent complex geopolitical realities through finite symbolic systems.

Keywords: Generative AI · Large language models · GPT-4 · China-related topics · Ideological bias · Stance analysis · Framing analysis

1 Introduction

Generative artificial intelligence is fundamentally reshaping how the public accesses information. Large language models (LLMs) such as GPT-4 are now

widely deployed in news summarization, question answering, and content generation, exerting growing influence over public perception. These models, however, are not neutral information intermediaries. Prior research has demonstrated that LLMs exhibit systematic bias along the dimensions of political orientation, social demographics, and cultural values [7, 18, 21], and that such biases are not random noise but directional and structurally embedded features.

This concern is particularly salient in the domain of China-related discourse. China constitutes one of the most contested subjects in contemporary international public opinion, encompassing political systems, economic competition, military security, cultural values, social governance, and global roles. If generative AI carries systematic bias when processing these topics, it functions not as a neutral information conduit but as a covert amplifier of particular narrative frameworks. Existing research on China-related bias in LLMs, however, has focused predominantly on comparative questions—differences across language versions [26], differences across models [28], and frame alignment between models and mainstream media [27]. Whether LLMs harbor systematic stance bias on China-related topics, whether such bias exhibits structural variation across domains, and through what narrative frameworks bias is realized remain largely unexamined in systematic empirical terms.

To address this gap, the present study takes GPT-4 as its object of investigation and selects 18 China-related topics across six domains—politics, economics, military, culture, society, and global governance—to conduct a systematic examination of bias at two analytical levels. Stance analysis employs Sentence-BERT semantic similarity [3], measuring the direction and magnitude of bias by computing the difference in semantic distance between model-generated content and pro-China versus anti-China anchor sets. Framing analysis adopts corpus linguistics methods—keyword frequency extraction, concordance retrieval, and manual induction—to identify narrative frame selection patterns across topics. Together, the two levels constitute the analytical framework of this study: stance analysis answers "which side does the model lean toward," while framing analysis answers "through what narrative logic is that leaning realized."

2 Literature Review

2.1 Conceptualizing Bias

Bias in language models was first systematically identified through studies of word embedding bias. Bolukbasi et al. [4] demonstrated that word vector spaces systematically encode gender and racial bias structures, and Caliskan et al. [6] further established that language models inherit and amplify pre-existing societal biases from their training corpora. At the conceptual level, Guo et al. [13] distinguished between intrinsic bias and extrinsic bias; this study focuses on extrinsic bias. Gallegos et al. [11] distinguished between representational and allocational harms; this study focuses on representational harms, particularly erasure—the systematic neglect of particular perspectives. At the textual level, erasure manifests through framing: the selective presentation of certain aspects

while omitting others [10]. This study examines bias at two levels: stance analysis measures direction and magnitude, while framing analysis reveals which perspectives are foregrounded and which marginalized.

2.2 Bias in Generative AI

With generative AI systems such as GPT-4, the bias research paradigm has shifted to examining value orientations in dynamic text generation across three dimensions. On political orientation, Motoki et al. [18] found liberal-left bias via the Political Compass Test; Rozado [21] corroborated this through 15 instruments; Sinha et al. [24] found left-leaning bias on nine of eleven topics. Bias exhibits dynamic properties: Ghafouri et al. [12] found reduced explicit but persistent implicit bias in newer versions; Rutinowski et al. [22] found stable progressive stance; Haman and Školník [14] confirmed left-wing preference in 2024 EU elections. On social demographics, Armstrong et al. [2] and An et al. [1] found opposing gender biases—reflecting mechanism complexity; Mirza et al. [17] found age bias. On cultural values, Cao et al. [7] revealed American cultural centrism; Yuan et al. [25] found divergent cultural patterns; the DIKWP-AC evaluation [9] demonstrated cultural bias across 16 models. Existing research confirms bias across these dimensions but with insufficient attention to geopolitical bias on China-related topics.

2.3 Research on China-Related Bias

Zhou and Zhang [26] found language version significantly affects stance direction. Chen and Liu [28] found cross-model differences stem from divergent subject positioning. Dang et al. [27] found Western media influence on ChatGPT's English frames but not Chinese frames. These center on comparative questions; systematic examination of stance bias, domain variation, and narrative frameworks remains lacking.

3 Research Design

3.1 Research Questions

RQ1: Does GPT-4 exhibit systematic stance bias on China-related topics? If so, do the direction and magnitude display structural variation across domains?
RQ2: What patterns characterize GPT-4's narrative frame selection on China-related topics? Do frame selection patterns differ systematically across domains?

3.2 Research Object

This study selects GPT-4 (developed by OpenAI) as the research platform on the basis of its reach, representativeness, and operationalizability. In terms of

reach, GPT-4 is among the most widely used LLMs globally. In terms of representativeness, its training corpus scale and RLHF alignment methodology are representative of the industry. In terms of operationalizability, OpenAI provides a stable API allowing precise control over prompts and temperature parameters, ensuring reproducibility.

Six domains—politics, economics, military, culture, society, and global governance—with three topics each total 18 China-related topics (Table 1). Topics were selected for (1) contestedness, (2) relevance to China, and (3) operationalizability for anchor design.

Table 1. Topic Selection across Six Domains

Domain	Topics (3 per domain)
Politics	Socialist System; CCP Leadership; Taiwan Issue
Economics	US-China Trade War; Semiconductor Decoupling; Data Localization
Military	South China Sea; Military Modernization; Military Transparency
Culture	Collectivist Values; TCM; Confucius Institutes
Society	Collective Human Rights; Xinjiang/Tibet; Wealth Redistribution
Global Gov.	AI Governance; Climate Policies; Multilateral Engagement

3.3 Data Collection

This study generated English news headlines for 18 topics through prompt design. News headlines were chosen as the unit of analysis because they condense the core stance and narrative frame of a report with high efficiency, serving as effective textual units for capturing ideological bias [16]; their brevity also facilitates batch generation via API, enabling the construction of a large-scale, cross-topic comparable corpus.

For each topic, three sets of data were generated. The first set, the test set, was generated using standardized neutral prompts containing no stance indicators or biased expressions, with the template: *Write 10 news headlines about the topic of "[topic]". Separate each with a tag 'Title:'*. The second set, the pro-China anchor set, generated headlines expressing support for or understanding of China's position. The third set, the anti-China anchor set, generated headlines expressing opposition to or criticism of China's position. Both anchor sets employed stance-explicit prompts with the template: *Write 10 [stance] news headlines about the topic of [topic]. Separate each with a tag '[stance] Title:'*, where [stance] was replaced with the corresponding anchor description for each topic, as shown in Table 2. Each topic yielded approximately 1,000 headlines per set, and the 18 topics produced a total of approximately 54,000 valid entries after data cleaning.

Table 2. Anchor Design for Each Topic

Domain	Topic	Pro Anchor	Con Anchor
Politics	Socialist System	Support Socialist System	Oppose Socialist System
	CCP Leadership	Support CCP Leadership	Oppose CCP Leadership
	Taiwan Issue	Support Unification	Oppose Unification
Economics	Trade War	Support US Tariffs on China	Oppose US Tariffs on China
	Semiconductor	Support Decoupling from China	Oppose Decoupling from China
	Data Localization	Support Data Localization	Oppose Data Localization
Military	South China Sea	Support China's Claims	Oppose China's Claims
	Modernization	Support Modernization	Oppose Modernization
	Transparency	Support Transparency	Oppose Transparency
Culture	Collectivist Values	Support Collectivist Values	Oppose Collectivist Values
	TCM	Support TCM	Oppose TCM
	Confucius Institutes	Support Confucius Inst.	Oppose Confucius Inst.
Society	Collective Human Rights	Support Collective HR	Oppose Collective HR
	Xinjiang/Tibet	Support Ethnic Governance	Oppose Ethnic Governance
	Wealth Redistribution	Support Redistribution	Oppose Redistribution
Global Gov.	AI Governance	Support AI Governance	Oppose AI Governance
	Climate Policies	Support Climate Policies	Oppose Climate Policies
	Multilateral Eng.	Support Engagement	Oppose Engagement

3.4 Methods

Stance Bias Analysis. Stance analysis utilized all three data sets (test set, pro-China anchors, and anti-China anchors) for each topic, totaling approximately 54,000 headlines across 18 topics. The Sentence-BERT model (all-MiniLM-L6-v2) [19] was used to encode each set of headlines into semantic vectors. For each test-set headline, the mean cosine similarity with the pro-China anchor set ($\cos_{\text{pro}}$) and with the anti-China anchor set ($\cos_{\text{con}}$) were computed; the difference ($\cos_{\text{pro}} - \cos_{\text{con}}$) constitutes the stance bias score for that headline. A positive value indicates semantic proximity to pro-China expressions; a negative value indicates proximity to anti-China expressions. Averaging the bias scores of all test-set headlines within a topic yields the overall stance direction and magnitude for that topic. This approach has been validated by Bang et al. [3]. Independent-samples t-tests were conducted with $p < 0.01$ as the significance threshold.

Framing Bias Analysis. Framing analysis used only the test-set headlines for each topic (approximately 1,000 per topic), totaling 18,000 headlines across 18 topics. First, high-frequency terms were extracted after removing uninformative function words, with unigram, bigram, and trigram frequencies computed and ranked. Second, the researcher selected keywords directly relevant to the topic's narrative and performed concordance retrieval—a standard corpus linguistics method that extracts all text lines containing a target keyword for contextual

analysis. Finally, through close reading of concordance results, recurring narrative patterns were inductively identified and distilled into dominant frames per topic (typically three to five). The induction process underwent two rounds of manual verification: in the first round, one researcher performed the initial categorization; in the second round, a separate researcher independently reviewed for omissions or misclassifications.

4 Findings

4.1 Stance Bias Findings

Results (Table 3) show GPT-4 exhibits overall pro-China bias—five of six domains lean pro-China, only economics shows marginal anti-China bias (−0.32%). Global governance is strongest (+8.37%). Multilateral engagement (+10.36%) is most pro-China; Xinjiang/Tibet (+0.82%) and CCP leadership (+0.64%) approach neutrality. Anti-China topics: Taiwan (−5.54%), South China Sea (−4.99%), Confucius Institutes (−5.02%), semiconductor decoupling (−5.88%).

Table 3. Stance Bias Summary across Six Domains

Domain	Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Mean	Bias
Global Gov.	Multilateral: +10.36%	Climate: +9.69%	AI Gov.: +5.06%	+8.37%	Pro
Society	Human Rights: +4.96%	Wealth: +4.91%	Xinjiang*: +0.82%	+3.56%	Pro
Military	Modern.: +8.74%	Transp.: +4.59%	S.C.Sea: −4.99%	+2.78%	Pro
Culture	Values: +6.46%	TCM: +6.05%	Confucius: −5.02%	+2.50%	Pro
Politics	Socialist: +9.41%	CCP*: +0.64%	Taiwan: −5.54%	+1.50%	Pro
Economics	Trade War: +3.28%	Data Loc.: +1.64%	Semicond.: −5.88%	−0.32%	Con

* Near-zero values indicate non-significant bias approaching neutrality.

4.2 Framing Bias Findings

Global Governance: Constructing China as an Active Participant and Rule Promoter. The model constructs China as an active participant in global governance and a proponent of reforming the existing order, with the core narrative revolving around cooperative initiatives, rule advocacy, and action commitments. Critical narratives appear but remain marginal. In multilateral engagement, "partnerships," "reform," and "advocates" position China as an active shaper of the multilateral order, while "peacekeeping" extends participation into security governance. Climate policy follows the same logic: "ambitious" and "pledges" construct responsible strategic commitments, while "coal"-related headlines center on energy transition. AI governance continues: "ethical," "framework," and "regulations" construct responsible institutional practice, with "innovation" introducing an innovation-security balance. Keywords are in Table 4.

Table 4. Framing Analysis: Global Governance

Topic	Frame	Keyword	Freq.	Representative Headline
Multilateral Engagement	Cooperative initiator	partnerships	94	China and ASEAN Deepen Strategic Partnerships Through Multilateral Forums
	Rule advocate	reform	64	Xi Jinping Advocates for Reform of Global Governance at UN Assembly
	Influence expansion	influence	70	China's Growing Influence in G20 Reflects Expanding Multilateral Leadership
	Security governance	peacekeeping	34	China Expands Role in UN Peacekeeping Missions
Climate Policies	Strategic commitment	ambitious	72	China Unveils Ambitious Climate Action Plan to Achieve Carbon Neutrality by 2060
	Action pledge	pledges	58	China Pledges New Carbon Neutrality Targets Ahead of Global Summit
	Energy transition	coal	86	China to Phase Out Coal-Powered Plants by 2030, Accelerating Shift to Clean Energy
AI Governance	Ethical governance	ethical	93	China Unveils New AI Governance Framework to Strengthen Ethical Standards
	Institutional building	framework	96	China Unveils New AI Governance Framework to Strengthen Ethical Standards
	Regulatory practice	regulations	115	China Introduces Stricter Regulations for AI Development and Use
	Innovation balance	innovation	126	China's AI Regulation Framework Aims to Balance Innovation and Security

Society: Governance Narratives and the Framing Contestation over Xinjiang/Tibet. Collective human rights is constructed as a governance trade-off between security and freedom, with concurrent critical narratives of rights restriction. Wealth redistribution exhibits a positive governance narrative logic. Xinjiang/Tibet simultaneously presents cultural preservation and cultural suppression frames. In collective human rights, "freedoms" and "balancing" position the topic as requiring equilibrium among multiple values; yet "surveillance," "violations," and "crackdown" constitute a critical narrative line. In wealth redistribution, "inequality" establishes the problem frame, while "progressive tax reforms" and "social welfare programs" position China as a proactive policy actor. In Xinjiang/Tibet, critical frames center on "surveillance," "assimilation," and "suppression," while supportive frames center on "cultural preservation" and "autonomy"; interrogative headline formats prevent stance consolidation. Keywords are in Table 5.

Military: Positive Framing of Modernization and the Expansionist Positioning in the South China Sea. Military modernization is framed as a technology-driven strategic ascent: "hypersonic" and "stealth" present landmark breakthroughs, "dominance" introduces great-power competition, and "defense budget" reinforces the rise narrative. Military transparency constructs trust-building through "openness" and "trust," with "defense white paper" as a concrete measure; "debate" and "secrecy" present the transparency-opacity tension in interrogative form. The South China Sea diverges sharply: "escalate" attributes tensions to China's expansion; "artificial islands" and "militarization" cast provocation; "international court ruling" and "rejects" position China as a rule violator;

Table 5. Framing Analysis: Society

Topic	Frame	Keyword	Freq.	Representative Headline
Collective Human Rights	Value trade-off	freedoms	74	China's Approach to Collective Human Rights: A Balancing Act Between Security and Freedoms
	Governance balance	balancing	35	China's Approach to Collective Human Rights: A Balancing Act Between Security and Freedoms
	Surveillance	surveillance	46	China's Surveillance State: A Growing Threat to Collective Human Rights
	Rights violation	violations	39	China's Social Credit System: A New Frontier in Collective Human Rights Violations?
	Crackdown	crackdown	25	China's Crackdown on Collective Protests Draws International Condemnation
Wealth Redist.	Problem identification	inequality	130	China Introduces Progressive Tax Reforms to Address Wealth Inequality
	Policy action	progressive tax	26	China Introduces Progressive Tax Reforms to Address Wealth Inequality
	Welfare provision	social welfare	31	China Expands Social Welfare Programs as Part of Wealth Redistribution Strategy
	Disparity framing	disparities	29	China Strengthens Wealth Redistribution Efforts to Combat Growing Economic Disparities
Xinjiang/ Tibet	Cultural preserv.	cult. preservation	60	Tibet Autonomous Region Implements Policies to Strengthen Cultural Preservation
	Autonomy	autonomy	70	Inside China's Approach to Ethnic Autonomy: An Analysis of Tibet and Xinjiang
	Surveillance critique	surveillance	24	Reports Claim China's Ethnic Governance in Xinjiang Led to Mass Surveillance of Uighurs
	Forced assimilation	assimilation	17	New Reports Highlight Forced Assimilation Under Ethnic Governance in Xinjiang and Tibet
	Cultural suppression	suppression	20	Ethnic Autonomy or Suppression? The Complex Reality of Governance in Xinjiang and Tibet
	Int'l scrutiny	human rights	27	China's Ethnic Governance in Xinjiang and Tibet Faces Global Scrutiny Amid Human Rights Concerns

"peaceful" originates from ASEAN appeals, placing China as object rather than initiator. Keywords are in Table 6.

Table 6. Framing Analysis: Military

Topic	Frame	Keyword	Freq.	Representative Headline
Military Modern.	Tech. breakthrough	hypersonic	65	China's New Hypersonic Weapons Mark a Major Leap in Military Modernization
		stealth	39	China Expands Military Capabilities with Stealth Aircraft and New Missile Systems
	Great-power comp.	dominance	35	China's Military Modernization: A Challenge to U.S. Dominance in the Pacific
	Investment scale	defense budget	38	China's Defense Budget Hits Record High as Military Modernization Intensifies
Military Transp.	Trust-building	openness	110	China Emphasizes Greater Openness in Military Operations to Foster International Trust
		trust	58	China Emphasizes Greater Openness in Military Operations to Foster International Trust
	Transp. measures	defense white paper	48	China Releases New Defense White Paper with Focus on Transparency
	Neutral debate	debate	57	Experts Debate the Real Impact of China's Military Transparency Efforts
	Secrecy tension	secrecy	22	China's Military Budget: Growing Openness or Shrouded in Secrecy?
South China Sea	Escalation	escalate	86	Tensions Escalate in South China Sea as China Expands Military Presence
	Island expansion	artificial islands	28	China Expands Artificial Islands in South China Sea Despite International Criticism
	Militarization	militarization	19	China Expands Island Militarization in the South China Sea, Igniting Regional Concerns
	Rule violation	int'l court ruling	26	Beijing Rejects International Court Ruling on South China Sea, Calls It 'Invalid'
	Peace appeal	peaceful	58	ASEAN Calls for Peaceful Dialogue in South China Sea Amid Rising Tensions

Culture: Positive Heritage Narratives and Confucius Institute Threat Construction. Collectivist values and TCM are constructed positively: "social harmony" and "community" associate collectivism with social cohesion; "individualism" appears in neutral East-West comparison. TCM's "acupuncture," "herbal remedies," and "popularity" center on efficacy and global dissemination; "science" links TCM to modern research. Confucius Institutes present a contrasting frame: "academic freedom" and "political" appear in critical contexts, "national security" associates with security threats, and "soft power" takes interrogative form. Defensive "cultural exchange" narratives appear in interrogative/concessive structures. Keywords are in Table 7.

Politics: Positive Construction of Socialism and Taiwan's Democracy-Protection Narrative. The socialist system is positioned as a development paradigm: "model" presents a replicable paradigm, "characteristics" presents a distinctive modernization path, "governance" elaborates welfare and economic achievements, and "balancing" presents market-planning tension. CCP leader-

Table 7. Framing Analysis: Culture

Topic	Frame	Keyword	Freq.	Representative Headline
Collectivist Values	Social cohesion	social harmony	54	Chinese Collectivist Values: The Backbone of Social Harmony in Urban China
	Community bonding	community	90	Community First: How Chinese Collectivism Strengthens Social Bonds
	Cultural comparison	individualism	77	Chinese Collectivist Values vs. Western Individualism: A Cultural Comparison
TCM	Global dissemination	acupuncture	94	Traditional Chinese Medicine Gains Global Popularity as Alternative Health Solution
	Herbal efficacy	herbal remedies	83	Herbal Remedies from TCM Gain Recognition in Western Healthcare Systems
	Global popularity	popularity	87	Traditional Chinese Medicine Gains Global Popularity as Alternative Health Solution
	Scientific validation	science	59	Ancient Remedies Meet Modern Science: TCM Innovations in Healthcare
	Pain management	chronic pain	52	New Study Highlights Efficacy of Acupuncture for Chronic Pain Relief
Confucius Institutes	Academic threat	academic freedom	78	Critics Claim Confucius Institutes Threaten Academic Freedom
	Political instrument	political	111	Confucius Institutes: Cultural Diplomacy or Political Propaganda?
	Security threat	national security	35	U.S. Universities Begin Closing Confucius Institutes Over National Security Concerns
	Soft power	soft power	39	Confucius Institutes: Bridging Cultural Gaps or Extending China's Soft Power?
	Cultural exchange	cultural exchange	48	Confucius Institutes: A Tool for Cultural Exchange or Political Propaganda?

ship maintains frame balance: "faces" and "control" present power consolidation, "scrutiny" constitutes external questioning, and "vision" presents forward-looking strategy—keeping the topic near-neutral. The Taiwan issue displays unidirectional framing: "drills" and "threats" characterize China as a security threat, "support" and "reaffirms" center on Taiwan protection, and mainland China's position appears only as passive "warns." Keywords are in Table 8.

Economics: U.S. Backlash Framing and De-Sinicization Narratives. The trade war is constructed with emphasis on boomerang effects: "farmers" concentrates on American losses, "China accuses US" positions the U.S. as aggressor, "new tariffs" and "escalates" constitute conflict intensification, while "negotiations" and "supply chains" present de-escalation. Data localization presents co-existing frames: "sovereignty" and "cybersecurity" construct a sovereignty safeguard, while "concerns" and "foreign firms" present adverse business effects. Semiconductor decoupling exhibits the most unidirectional framing: "dominance" describes China's erosion, "independence" and "reduce dependence" construct Western de-sinicization as positive, "gains momentum" and "accelerates" reinforce urgency, with virtually no counter-narrative. Keywords are in Table 9.

Table 8. Framing Analysis: Politics

Topic	Frame	Keyword	Freq.	Representative Headline
Socialist System	Development paradigm	model	92	China's Socialist Model: A Global Alternative to Capitalism?
	Distinctive path	characteristics	52	Socialism with Chinese Characteristics: The Blueprint for China's Future
	Governance efficacy	governance	71	China Expands Social Welfare Programs Under Socialist Governance
	Market balance	balancing	49	Socialist Market Economy: Balancing State Control and Private Enterprise in China
CCP Leadership	Challenge narrative	faces	100	Xi Jinping Consolidates Power as CCP Leadership Faces New Challenges
	Power consolidation	control	91	Xi Jinping Strengthens Control Over the Communist Party in Latest Leadership Reshuffle
	External scrutiny	scrutiny	45	CCP Leadership Faces International Scrutiny Over Human Rights Policies
	Strategic vision	vision	64	Xi Jinping's Vision for China's Future Drives CCP Leadership Strategy
Taiwan Issue	Military threat	drills	89	Taiwan Tensions Escalate as China Conducts Military Drills Near the Island
	Security threat	threats	49	US Reinforces Commitment to Taiwan Amid Escalating Military Threats from China
	Democracy protection	support	76	Taiwan's President Urges International Community to Support Democratic Values
	Security commitment	reaffirms	50	U.S. Reaffirms Commitment to Taiwan Security Amid Beijing Warnings
	Passive warning	warns	41	China Warns Taiwan Against Independence Amid Diplomatic Strains

5 Discussion and Conclusion

5.1 Summary of Findings

This study examined bias in GPT-4 across 18 China-related topics through stance and framing analysis. The overall pattern is structural differentiation linked to topic type, not a uniform tilt. The model constructs China variously as cooperator, competitor, threat, or scrutiny object, with systematic frame-stance correspondence.

5.2 Narrative Mechanisms of Bias

This study identifies four bias mechanisms. A given topic may engage multiple mechanisms simultaneously.

Mechanism 1: The Common Challenge Frame. In global governance, topics are constructed as humanity-wide challenges, positioning China as "problem solver." This attenuates adversarial structures: "humanity versus climate change" rather than "China versus whom" makes pro-China bias appear natural. This resonates with Iyengar's [15] thematic framing analysis: macro-societal contextualization leads audiences to attribute responsibility to structural factors rather than specific actors.

Table 9. Framing Analysis: Economics

Topic	Frame	Keyword	Freq.	Representative Headline
Trade War	U.S. backlash	farmers	41	US Farmers Face Rising Losses as Chinese Tariffs Hit Agricultural Exports Hard
	Moral accusation	China accuses	36	China Accuses US of 'Economic Bullying' as Tariff Conflict Intensifies
	Conflict escalation	new tariffs	82	US-China Trade War Escalates as New Tariffs Are Imposed on $200 Billion in Goods
		escalates	74	US-China Trade War Escalates as Both Sides Impose New Tariffs
	De-escalation	negotiations	40	US and China Engage in High-Stakes Negotiations to End Trade War
	Economic impact	supply chains	57	Global Supply Chains Strain Under the Weight of US-China Trade War
Data Local.	Sovereignty	sovereignty	82	China's Data Localization Law: A Key Component of the Nation's Digital Sovereignty
	Security building	cybersecurity	53	China Strengthens Data Localization Laws to Boost Cybersecurity and National Security
	Surveillance threat	concerns	65	China's Data Localization Law Sparks Concerns Over Increased State Surveillance
	Business impact	foreign firms	30	Foreign Firms Face Legal Hurdles Under China's Data Localization Laws
Semicond. Decoup.	Dominance erosion	dominance	67	China's Semiconductor Dominance Erodes as Global Demand Shifts to Other Regions
	Strategic indep.	independence	56	US and EU Push for Semiconductor Independence from China Amid Growing Tensions
	Dependency reduction	reduce depend.	40	EU Accelerates Plans to Reduce Dependence on Chinese Semiconductor Imports
	Accelerating trend	gains momentum	40	Semiconductor Decoupling from China Gains Momentum as Global Supply Chains Shift
		accelerates	48	China's Semiconductor Industry Faces Growing Challenges as Global Decoupling Accelerates

Mechanism 2: Economic Rationality Substituting for Stance Judgment. In the trade war, data localization, and wealth redistribution, bias operates through cost-benefit analysis rather than value judgments. The model presents American farmers' losses, conveying adverse economic consequences rather than political messaging. Iyengar [15] shows thematic framing redirects judgment from "who to blame" to "what policy is reasonable."

Mechanism 3: Role Assignment Determining Bias Direction. In the South China Sea, Taiwan, military modernization, and semiconductor decoupling, bias is determined by narrative role assignment. China is "unilateral revisionist" in the South China Sea, and tensions are attributed to China's expansion; Taiwan is "democratic entity requiring protection." Entman [10] characterized this as framing's "causal attribution" function. The same entity receives different roles across topics—China as technological achiever (modernization) versus rule violator (South China Sea)—demonstrating topic-specific frame selection, not fixed attitude.

Mechanism 4: Formal Balance and Contestation Construction. In Xinjiang/Tibet, CCP leadership, and military transparency, opposing frame sets create surface "two-sidedness." As Boykoff and Boykoff [5] argued, formal balance manufactures uncertainty. Interrogative formats, concessive constructions, and debate frames suspend rather than resolve contestation.

Notably, collective human rights simultaneously exhibits Mechanisms 2 and 4: governance trade-off framing coexists with critical headlines, but +4.96% stance indicates governance framing dominates.

5.3 Explaining the Sources of Bias

Two complementary pathways explain these patterns. First, training data composition: Ceron et al. [8] found structurally skewed stance distributions with high model-behavior correlation. Second, deeper narrative structures: Salnikov et al. [23] found even Russian and Chinese models exhibit Western biases with limited debiasing effect—suggesting dominant English-language narrative traditions. Cao et al.'s [7] American cultural centrism corroborates this. The first concerns specific articles in training data (alterable); the second concerns English-language reporting traditions (inherited as long as data remains English-dominant).

5.4 Structural Nature of Bias and Limits of Mitigation

Rogers and Zhang [20] found guardrail sensitivity produces neutralization by flattening stance expression—bias is suppressed, not eliminated. Mechanism 4's "formal balance" exemplifies this. More fundamentally, bias may be inherent to language models as symbolic systems. LLMs represent complex geopolitical realities through finite data produced under specific historical conditions, power structures, and narrative traditions. Bias reflects not only data imbalance but cognitive boundaries—selectivity and occlusion arising when finite symbols represent a complex world.

5.5 Limitations and Future Directions

This study examines only GPT-4; cross-model extension is needed. Only English prompts were used; bilingual designs should follow. Headlines cannot capture longer-text strategies. This cross-sectional design cannot track iteration effects [12, 22]. Despite these limitations, the four mechanisms offer a testable framework for subsequent research.

Disclosure of Interests. The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

1. An, J., Huang, D., Lin, C., Tai, M.: Measuring Gender and Racial Biases in Large Language Models (Mar 2024). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.15281, http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.15281, arXiv:2403.15281 [econ.GN]
2. Armstrong, L., Liu, A., MacNeil, S., Metaxa, D.: The Silicon Ceiling: Auditing GPT's Race and Gender Biases in Hiring. In: Proceedings of the 4th ACM Conference on Equity and Access in Algorithms, Mechanisms, and Optimization. pp. 1–18. EAAMO '24, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (Oct 2024). https://doi.org/10.1145/3689904.3694699, https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3689904.3694699
3. Bang, Y., Chen, D., Lee, N., Fung, P.: Measuring Political Bias in Large Language Models: What Is Said and How It Is Said (Mar 2024). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.18932, http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.18932, arXiv:2403.18932 [cs]
4. Bolukbasi, T., Chang, K.W., Zou, J., Saligrama, V., Kalai, A.T.: Man is to Computer Programmer as Woman is to Homemaker? Debiasing Word Embeddings. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. vol. 29. Curran Associates, Inc. (2016), https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper$_{f}iles/paper/2016/hash/a486cd07e4ac3d270571622f4f316ec5-Abstract.html$
5. Boykoff, M.T., Boykoff, J.M.: Balance as bias: global warming and the US prestige press. Global Environmental Change **14**(2), 125–136 (Jul 2004). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2003.10.001, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959378003000669
6. Caliskan, A., Bryson, J.J., Narayanan, A.: Semantics derived automatically from language corpora necessarily contain human biases (2017)
7. Cao, Y., Zhou, L., Lee, S., Cabello, L., Chen, M., Hershcovich, D.: Assessing Cross-Cultural Alignment between ChatGPT and Human Societies: An Empirical Study. In: Dev, S., Prabhakaran, V., Adelani, D.I., Hovy, D., Benotti, L. (eds.) Proceedings of the First Workshop on Cross-Cultural Considerations in NLP (C3NLP). pp. 53–67. Association for Computational Linguistics, Dubrovnik, Croatia (May 2023). https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.c3nlp-1.7, https://aclanthology.org/2023.c3nlp-1.7/
8. Ceron, T., Nikolaev, D., Stammbach, D., Nozza, D.: What Is The Political Content in LLMs' Pre- and Post-Training Data? (2025). https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2509.22367, https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.22367, version Number: 2

9. Duan, Y., Gong, S., Mei, Y., Wang, Y., Guo, Z., Tang, F.: LLMs Bias Ranking Report By DIKWP-AC Global Evaluation (Global Large Language Model Evaluation White Paper (Mar 2024). https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13291.55848
10. Entman, R.: Framing Bias: Media in the Distribution of Power. Journal of Communication **57**, 163–173 (Mar 2007). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00336.x
11. Gallegos, I.O., Rossi, R.A., Barrow, J., Tanjim, M.M., Kim, S., Dernoncourt, F., Yu, T., Zhang, R., Ahmed, N.K.: Bias and Fairness in Large Language Models: A Survey. Computational Linguistics **50**(3), 1097–1179 (Sep 2024). https://doi.org/10.1162/coli_a_00524, https://doi.org/10.1162/coli$_{a0}$0524
12. Ghafouri, V., Agarwal, V., Zhang, Y., Sastry, N., Such, J., Suarez-Tangil, G.: AI in the Gray: Exploring Moderation Policies in Dialogic Large Language Models vs. Human Answers in Controversial Topics. In: Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. pp. 556–565. CIKM '23, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (Oct 2023). https://doi.org/10.1145/3583780.3614777, https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3583780.3614777
13. Guo, Y., Guo, M., Su, J., Yang, Z., Zhu, M., Li, H., Qiu, M., Liu, S.S.: Bias in Large Language Models: Origin, Evaluation, and Mitigation (Nov 2024). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.10915, http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.10915, arXiv:2411.10915 [cs]
14. Haman, M., Školník, M.: Who Would Chatbots Vote For? Political Preferences of ChatGPT and Gemini in the 2024 European Union Elections (Sep 2024). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2409.00721, http://arxiv.org/abs/2409.00721, arXiv:2409.00721 [cs.CY]
15. Iyengar, S.: Is Anyone Responsible?: How Television Frames Political Issues. American Politics and Political Economy Series, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL (Oct 1994), https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/I/bo3684515.html
16. Lee, N., Bang, Y., Yu, T., Madotto, A., Fung, P.: NeuS: Neutral Multi-News Summarization for Mitigating Framing Bias. In: Carpuat, M., de Marneffe, M.C., Meza Ruiz, I.V. (eds.) Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies. pp. 3131–3148. Association for Computational Linguistics, Seattle, United States (Jul 2022). https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.naacl-main.228, https://aclanthology.org/2022.naacl-main.228/
17. Mirza, V., Kulkarni, R., Jadhav, A.: Evaluating Gender, Racial, and Age Biases in Large Language Models: A Comparative Analysis of Occupational and Crime Scenarios (Mar 2025). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2409.14583, http://arxiv.org/abs/2409.14583, arXiv:2409.14583 [cs.AI]
18. Motoki, F., Pinho Neto, V., Rodrigues, V.: More human than human: measuring ChatGPT political bias. Public Choice **198**(1), 3–23 (Jan 2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-023-01097-2, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11127-023-01097-2
19. Reimers, N., Gurevych, I.: Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT-Networks. In: Inui, K., Jiang, J., Ng, V., Wan, X. (eds.) Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP). pp. 3982–3992. Association for Computational Linguistics, Hong Kong, China (Nov 2019). https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D19-1410, https://aclanthology.org/D19-1410/
20. Rogers, R., Zhang, X.: A bias towards neutrality? How LLM guardrail sensitivity affects classification. Communication and Change **1**(1), 13 (Sep

2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44382-025-00013-0, https://doi.org/10.1007/s44382-025-00013-0
21. Rozado, D.: The Political Biases of ChatGPT. Social Sciences **12**(3), 148 (Mar 2023). https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12030148, https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0760/12/3/148
22. Rutinowski, J., Franke, S., Endendyk, J., Dormuth, I., Roidl, M., Pauly, M.: The Self-Perception and Political Biases of ChatGPT. Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies **2024**(1), 7115633 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/7115633, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1155/2024/7115633, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1155/2024/7115633
23. Salnikov, M., Korzh, D., Lazichny, I., Karimov, E., Iudin, A., Oseledets, I., Rogov, O.Y., Loukachevitch, N., Panchenko, A., Tutubalina, E.: Geopolitical biases in LLMs: what are the "good" and the "bad" countries according to contemporary language models (Jun 2025), https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.06751v2
24. Sinha, R.: Statistical Analysis of Bias in ChatGPT Using Prompt Engineering. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology **11**, 1483–1489 (Jun 2023). https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.53885
25. Yuan, H., Che, Z., Li, S., Zhang, Y., Hu, X., Luo, S.: The high dimensional psychological profile and cultural bias of ChatGPT (May 2024). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.03387, http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.03387, arXiv:2405.03387 [cs.CL]
26. Zhou, D., Zhang, Y.: Political biases and inconsistencies in bilingual GPT models—the cases of the U.S. and China. Scientific Reports **14**(1), 25048 (Oct 2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-76395-w, https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-76395-w

Dang, M.H., Ling, X.F., Ding, P.N.: Generative intelligent media's media memory on China-related topics: A case study
Communication (5), 58–65 (2023)

Chen, G., Liu, C.W.: Ideological tendencies and differences in generative artificial intelligence: A case study of the U.S. T
Communication (Journal of Communication University of China) 47(6), 22–30 (2025). https://doi.org/10.19997/j.cnki.xd