Public Wisdom Matters! Discourse-Aware Hyperbolic Fourier Co-Attention for Social-Text Classification

Abstract

Social media has become the fulcrum of all forms of communication. Classifying social texts such as fake news, rumour, sarcasm, etc. has gained significant attention. The surface-level signals expressed by a social-text itself may not be adequate for such tasks; therefore, recent methods attempted to incorporate other intrinsic signals such as user behavior and the underlying graph structure. Oftentimes, the 'public wisdom' expressed through the comments/replies to a social-text acts as a surrogate of crowd-sourced view and may provide us with complementary signals. State-of-the-art methods on social-text classification tend to ignore such a rich hierarchical signal. Here, we propose `Hyphen`, a discourse-aware hyperbolic spectral co-attention network. `Hyphen` is a fusion of hyperbolic graph representation learning with a novel Fourier co-attention mechanism in an attempt to *generalise* the social-text classification tasks by incorporating *public discourse*. We parse public discourse as an Abstract Meaning Representation (AMR) graph and use the powerful hyperbolic geometric representation to model graphs with hierarchical structure. Finally, we equip it with a novel Fourier co-attention mechanism to capture the correlation between the source post and public discourse. Extensive experiments on four different social-text classification tasks, namely detecting fake news, hate speech, rumour, and sarcasm, show that `Hyphen` generalises well, and achieves state-of-the-art results on ten benchmark datasets. We also employ a sentence-level fact-checked and annotated dataset to evaluate how `Hyphen` is capable of producing *explanations* as analogous evidence to the final prediction.

1 Introduction

Social media has become a significant source of communication and information sharing. Mining texts shared on social media (*aka* social-texts) are indispensable for multiple tasks – online offence detection, sarcasm identification, sentiment analysis, fake news detection, etc. Despite the proliferation of research in social computing, there is a gap in capturing the heterogeneous signals beyond the standalone source text processing. Predictive models incorporating signals such as user profiles [1, 2, 3, 4], underlying user interaction networks [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and metadata information [10, 11, 12, 13], are far and few in between. These heterogeneous signals are challenging to obtain and may not always be available on different platforms (e.g., Reddit does not provide explicit user interaction network; YouTube does not release user activities publicly). On the other hand, comment threads following a source post are an equally rich source of heterogeneous signals, which are easier to obtain and uniformly available across social media platforms and forums. We hypothesise that such public discourse carries complementary and rich latent signals (public wisdom, worldly knowledge, fact busting, opinions, emotions, etc.), which would otherwise be difficult to obtain from just standalone source-post analysis. Therefore, public discourse can be used in unison with the

Figure 1: A motivating example (taken from our dataset) showing how user comments act as analogous evidence for a fake news article. The third comment hints towards a possible sense of harassment being brought out by the highlighted portion of the text (red) and that it is a possible fake news.

source posts to enhance social-text classification tasks. Figure 1 hints towards the motivation behind using public discourse as an implicit proxy for social-text classification.

In this work, we propose Hyphen, a discourse-aware hyperbolic spectral co-attention network that amalgamates the source post and its corresponding public discourse through a novel framework to perform generalised social-text classification. We parse individual comments on a source post as separate Abstract Meaning Representation (AMR) graphs [14], and merge them into one macro-AMR, representing mass perception and public wisdom about the source post. The AMR representation inherently abstracts away from syntactic representations, i.e., sentences which are similar in meaning are assigned similar AMRs, even if they are not identically worded [15]. The resultant macro-AMR graph represents the semantic information in a rich hierarchical structure [16, 17]. Hyphen aims to effectively utilise the hierarchical properties of the macro-AMR graph by using the hyperbolic manifold [18] for representation learning.

In order to fuse the source post with the public discourse, we propose a novel Fourier co-attention mechanism on the hyperbolic space. It computes pair-wise attention between user comments and the source post, thereby capturing the correlation between them. On a typical social media post, several users express their opinions, and there are several messages being conveyed by the source post itself, some of which are more relevant and/or common than the others. We use a novel discrete Fourier transform based [19] sublayer to filter the most-common user opinions expressed in the macro-AMR and most prominent messages being conveyed by the source post. Fourier transform is essentially a measurement of energy (i.e., strength of prevalence) of a particular frequency within a signal. We can extend this notion to quantify how dominant a particular frequency is within a signal. Building on this, we hypothesise that the time-domain signal isomorphically represents various user comments on the source post, and the Fourier transform over the comment representations yields the most-commonly occurring user *frequencies* (stance, opinions, interpretation, wisdom, etc.). Similarly, the Fourier transform over the sentence-level representations of the source post renders the *most intense* messages and facts being conveyed by it.

We perform extensive experiments with Hyphen on four social-text classification tasks – detecting fake news, hate speech, rumour, and sarcasm, on ten benchmark datasets. Hyphen achieves state-of-the-art results across all datasets when compared with a suite of generic and data-specific baselines. Further, to evaluate the efficacy of hyperbolic manifold and Fourier co-attention in Hyphen, we perform extensive ablation studies, which provide empirical justification behind the superiority of Hyphen. Finally, we show how Hyphen excels in producing explainability in the social-text classification.

2 Related Work

Generic social-text classification. There have been some attempts to arrive at a general architecture for social-text classification. Bi-RNODE [20] proposes to use recurrent neural ordinary differential equations by considering the time of posting. CBS-L [21] considers transformation of document representation from the traditional n-gram feature space to a center-based similarity (CBS) space to solve the issue of co-variate shift. Pre-trained Transformer-based models like RoBERTa-base [22],

76 BERTweet [23], ClinicalBioBERT [24], etc. also deliver benchmark results on generic social-text
77 classification [25]. FNet [26] proves to be competent at modeling semantic relationships by replacing
78 the self-attention layer in a Transformer encoder with a standard, non-parametric Fourier transform.

79 **Use of public discourse in social-text classification.** Multiple approaches have been proposed
80 to use public discourse as an attribute for classifying the social media posts. TCNN-URG [27]
81 utilises a CNN-based network to encode the content, and a variational autoencoder for modeling user
82 comments in fake news detection. CSI [28] is a hybrid deep learning model that utilizes subtle clues
83 from text, user responses, and the source post, while modeling the source post representation using
84 an LSTM-based network. Zubiaga et al. [29] use public discourse for rumour stance detection using
85 sequential classifiers. Lee et al. [30] propose sentence-level distributed representation for the source
86 post guided by the conversational structure. CASCADE [31] and CUE-CNN [32] use stylometric and
87 personality traits of users in unison with the discussion threads to learn contextual representations for
88 sarcasm detection. dEFEND [33] and GCAN [9] propose to use co-attention over user comments
89 and other social media attributes for detecting fake news and other social texts. The performance
90 of most of these models deteriorate when extended to multiple tasks and fail to filter out the least
91 relevant parts of their respective input modalities. Moreover, they operate on the Euclidean manifold,
92 and therefore, overlook the representation strength of hyperbolic geometry in modeling hierarchical
93 structures. Hyphen overcomes these limitations of the existing methods.

94 **Hyperbolic representation learning.** Hyperbolic representation learning has gained significant
95 attention in tasks in which the data inherently exhibits a hierarchical structure. HGCN [34] and HAT
96 [35] achieve state-of-the-art results in graph classification owing to their powerful representation
97 ability to model graphs with hierarchical structure. Unlike these two, H2H-GCN [36] directly works
98 on the hyperbolic manifold to keep global hyperbolic structure, instead of relying on the tangent space.
99 Furthermore, the recent GIL model [37] captures more informative internal structural features with
100 low dimensions while maintaining conformal invariance of both Euclidean and hyperbolic spaces.
101 However, for social-text classification, none of the above approaches simultaneously consider the
102 source- and discourse-guided representations. We build on this limitation and use public comments
103 in unison with the source post to further contextualise and improve a social-text classifier.

3   Background

105 **Hyperbolic geometry.** A Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ of dimension n is a real and smooth manifold
106 equipped with an inner product on *tangent* space $g_{\boldsymbol{x}} : \mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ at each point $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{M}$,
107 where the *tangent* space $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\mathcal{M}$ is an n-dimensional vector space and can be seen as a first-order local
108 approximation of $\mathcal{M}$ around point $\boldsymbol{x}$. In particular, hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}_c^n, g^c)$, a constant negative
109 curvature Riemannian manifold, is defined by the manifold $\mathbb{H}_c^n = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : c\|\boldsymbol{x}\| < 1\}$ equipped
110 with the following Riemannian metric: $g_{\boldsymbol{x}}^c = \lambda_{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 g^E$, where $\lambda_{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{2}{1-c\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2}$, and $g^E = \boldsymbol{I}_n$ is the
111 Euclidean metric tensor. The connections between hyperbolic space and *tangent* space are established
112 by the *exponential* map $\exp_{\boldsymbol{x}}^c : \mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\mathbb{H}_c^n \to \mathbb{H}_c^n$, and the *logarithmic* map $\log_{\boldsymbol{x}}^c : \mathbb{H}_c^n \to \mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\mathbb{H}_c^n$, as
113 follows,

$$\exp_{\boldsymbol{x}}^c(\mathbf{v}) = \boldsymbol{x} \oplus_c \left(\tanh\left(\sqrt{c}\frac{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{x}}^c\|\mathbf{v}\|}{2} \right) \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{c}\|\mathbf{v}\|} \right) \tag{1}$$

$$\log_{\boldsymbol{x}}^c(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{c}\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^c} \tanh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{c}\|-\mathbf{v} \oplus_c \mathbf{v}\| \right) \frac{-\mathbf{v} \oplus_c \mathbf{v}}{\|-\boldsymbol{x} \oplus_c \mathbf{v}\|} \tag{2}$$

114 where $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{H}_c^n$, $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\mathbb{H}_c^n$, and $\oplus_c$ represents *Möbius addition* as follows,

$$\boldsymbol{x} \oplus_c \boldsymbol{y} = \frac{(1 + 2c\langle\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\rangle + c\|\boldsymbol{y}\|^2)\boldsymbol{x} + (1 - c\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2)\boldsymbol{y}}{1 + 2c\langle\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\rangle + c^2\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^2\|\boldsymbol{y}\|^2} \tag{3}$$

115 Further, the generalization for multiplication in hyperbolic space can be defined by the *Möbius*
116 *matrix-vector multiplication* between vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}_c^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as shown below,

$$\mathbf{M} \otimes_c \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \tanh\left(\frac{\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{c}\|\mathbf{x}\|) \right) \frac{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}\|} \tag{4}$$

117 Hyperbolic space has been studied in differential geometry under five isometric models [18]. This
118 work mostly confines to the Poincaré ball model. It is a compact representation of the hyperbolic

space and has the principled generalizations of basic operations (e.g., addition, multiplication). We use $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E}$ in the superscript, to denote the Poincaré and Euclidean manifolds, respectively. We provide more insights into these models in the Appendix.

Discrete Fourier Transform. The Fourier transform decomposes a function into its constituent frequencies. Given a sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $n \in [0, N-1]$, the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) is defined as, $X_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} nk}$, where $0 \leq k \leq N-1$. For each k, the DFT generates a new representation X_k as a sum of all of the original input tokens x_n, with the *twiddle factors* [38, 19].

4   Architecture of `Hyphen`

In this section, we lay out the structural details of `Hyphen` (see Figure 2 for the schematic diagram). We propose individual pipelines for learning representations of the source post and the user comments on the hyperbolic space. We then combine both the representations using a novel hyperbolic Fourier co-attention mechanism that helps in simultaneously attending to both the representations. Lastly, we pass it to a feed-forward network for the final classification. Without loss of generality, we denote the Poincaré ball model ($\mathcal{P}$) as $\mathbb{H}_c^n$ (hyperbolic space) throughout the paper.

4.1   Encoding public discourse

In this section, we discuss the pipeline for encoding the public discourse. We parse the user comments into an AMR (Abstract Meaning Representation) [14] graph. The individual comment-level AMR graphs are merged to form a macro-AMR (discussed below), representing the global public wisdom and latent *frequencies* in the discourse. Next, we learn representations of the macro-AMR using a HGCN (Hyperbolic Graph Convolutional Network) [39]. This yields a representation for public discourse containing rich latent signals.

Macro-AMR graph creation. Considering a social media post containing several user comments $C = [c_1, c_2, ..., c_m]$, we obtain an AMR (Abstract Meaning Representation) [14] graph for each user comment. We merge all the comment-level AMR graphs into one macro-AMR (post-level) while preserving the structural context of the subgraphs (comment-level). Figure 2(a) contains the schematic for an example macro-AMR graph. In particular, we adopt three strategies – (a) *Add a global dummy-node*: We add a dummy node and connect it to all the root nodes of the comment-level AMRs, and add a comment tag `:COMMENT` to the edges. The dummy node ensures that all the AMRs are connected, so that information can be exchanged during graph encoding. (b) *Concept merging*: Since we consider comments made on a particular post, these comments will essentially discuss the same topic. Therefore, multiple user comments can have identical mentions, resulting in repeated concept nodes in the comment-level AMRs. We identify such repeated concepts, and add an edge with label `:SAME` starting from *earlier* nodes to *later* nodes (here *later* and *earlier* refer to the temporal order of the ongoing conversation on a social media post). (c) *Inter-comment co-reference resolution*: A major challenge for conversational understanding is posed by pronouns, which occur quite frequently in such social media comments. We conduct co-reference resolution on the comment-level AMRs to identify co-reference clusters containing concept nodes that refer to the same entity. We add edges labeled with the label `:COREF` between them, starting from *earlier* nodes to *later* nodes in a co-reference cluster to indicate their relation. Such types of connections can further enhance cross-comments information exchange. This step results in a post-level AMR graph $\mathcal{G}_{amr} = [g_s^1, g_s^2, ..., g_s^m]$, representing relations between various subgraphs $\{g_s^i = (v_s^i, e_s^i) | 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ (different user comments will correspond to different subgraphs). The merged-AMR presents a global view of the public wisdom and interpretations related to the social media post.

Hyperbolic graph encoder. We adopt the Poincaré ball model of HGCN [39] to encode the post-level AMR graph and form user comment representations. Since different comments correspond to different subgraphs of the post-level AMR, we ultimately aggregate the node representations to form subgraph embeddings. Each subgraph embedding represents how individual users interpret the source post (their opinion). In this section, we summarize the graph encoder architecture. Given a post-level AMR graph $\mathcal{G}_{amr} = (\mathcal{V}, E)$ and the Euclidean input node features, denoted by $(\mathbf{x}^{0,\mathcal{E}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_g}$, where d_g is the input embedding dimension for entities in the AMR graph, we first map the input from Euclidean to Hyperbolic space. Therefore, we interpret $\mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{E}}$ as a point in the

Figure 2: Dissecting the primary components of Hyphen. The overall model architecture shown in (a) contains two parallel pipelines to encode the candidate post and user comments; (c) encodes the candidate post's sentences using an attention-enhanced hyperbolic word encoder (Section 4.2), and (d) uses a hyperbolic GCN to encode the merged AMR containing latent user interpretations and form subgraph embeddings $\mathbf{g}_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ (Section 4.1). The final representations from (c) and (d), i.e., $\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{P}}$, are then passed to (b), which first transforms these through a Fourier sublayer and then computes the co-attention between user interpretation and the source post sentences in the hyperbolic space (Section 4.3).

tangent space $\mathcal{T_o}\mathbb{H}_c^{d_g}$ and map it to $\mathbb{H}_c^{d_g}$ with $\mathbf{x}^{0,\mathcal{P}} = \exp_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{x}^{0,\mathcal{E}})$. Our graph encoder then stacks multiple hyperbolic graph convolution layers to perform message passing (see Appendix for the background on HGCN). Finally, we aggregate the hyperbolic node embeddings $(\mathbf{x}_i^{L,\mathcal{P}})_{i \in \mathcal{V}}$ at the last layer to form subgraph (comments) embeddings as shown in Figure 2(d). We take the mean of the node embeddings for nodes present in a subgraph to yield the aggregated subgraph embedding: $\mathbf{g}_j^{\mathcal{P}} = \exp_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\sum_{i \in v_s^j}^n \log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{x}_i^{L,\mathcal{P}})/|v_s^j|)$. Here, $\mathbf{g}_j^{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{H}_c^{2d_g}$, the operator $|.|$ represents the number of nodes present in subgraph v_s^j, and L is the number of layers of HGCN. Therefore, the output for this encoder is $\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{P}} = [\mathbf{g_1}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathbf{g_2}^{\mathcal{P}}, ..., \mathbf{g_m}^{\mathcal{P}}]$, where $\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{H}^{2d_g \times m}$ is the matrix containing the learned representations for user interpretations (comments).

4.2 Hyperbolic Candidate Post Encoder

Inspired by [40], we propose to learn the source post content representations through a hierarchical attention network in the hyperbolic space. We know that not all sentences in a source post might contain relevant information. We thus employ a hierarchical attention-based network to capture the relative importance of various sentences. Consider the input embedding of the tth word appearing

in the ith sentence as $\mathbf{x}_{it}$, in the candidate post. We utilise a hyperbolic word-level encoder (see Appendix for the background of Hyperbolic Hierarchical Attention Network (HHAN)) to learn $\mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{K}w}$, the representation of the ith sentence. Now, similar to the word-level encoder, we utilize *Möbius*-GRU units to encode each sentence in the source post. We capture the sentence-level context to learn the sentence representation $\mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ from the sentence vector $\mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{P}w}$ obtained from the word-level encoder. Specifically, we use Poincaré ball model based *Möbius*-GRU to encode different sentences. We obtain outputs from the *Möbius*-GRU as $\mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{P}} = [\overrightarrow{GRU}_{mob}(\mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{P}w}), \overleftarrow{GRU}_{mob}(\mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{P}w})]$ as shown in Figure 2(e). Here, $\mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ is the final context-aware representation for the ith sentence in the source post in the hyperbolic space (Poincaré ball model), i.e., $\mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{H}_c^{2d_s}$, where d_s is the input embedding dimension for the words $\mathbf{x}_{it}$ in the source post's sentences. This finally gives us $\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{P}} = [\mathbf{s_1}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathbf{s_2}^{\mathcal{P}}, ..., \mathbf{s_n}^{\mathcal{P}}]$, where $\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{H}_c^{2d_s \times n}$ is the matrix containing the learned candidate post representations.

4.3 Hyperbolic Fourier Co-Attention

We hypothesise that the evidence for various social-text classification tasks can be unveiled by investigating how different parts of the post are interpreted by different users, and how they correlate to different user opinions. Therefore, we develop a hyperbolic Fourier co-attention mechanism to model the mutual influence between the source social media post (i.e., $\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{P}} = [\mathbf{s_1}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathbf{s_2}^{\mathcal{P}}, ..., \mathbf{s_n}^{\mathcal{P}}]$) and user comments (interpretation) embeddings (i.e., $\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{P}} = [\mathbf{g_1}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathbf{g_2}^{\mathcal{P}}, ..., \mathbf{g_m}^{\mathcal{P}}]$, where $\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{H}_c^{2d_s \times n}$ and $\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{H}_c^{2d_g \times m}$). Co-Attention [41] enables the learning of pairwise attentions, i.e., learning to attend based on computing word-level affinity scores between two representations. Once we have the public discourse (Section 4.1) and the social media text (Section 4.2) embeddings in the hyperbolic space, the next step is a Fourier sublayer, which applies a 2D DFT to its (sequence length, hidden dimension) embedding input – one 1D DFT along the sequence dimension, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{seq}}$, and one 1D DFT along the hidden dimension, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{h}}$:[1]

$$\mathbf{S}^{f\mathcal{P}} = \exp_{\mathbf{0}}^c \left(\mathcal{F}_{\text{seq}} \left(\mathcal{F}_{\text{h}} \left(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{S}^{\mathcal{P}}) \right) \right) \right), \ \mathbf{G}^{f\mathcal{P}} = \exp_{\mathbf{0}}^c \left(\mathcal{F}_{\text{seq}} \left(\mathcal{F}_{\text{h}} \left(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{G}^{\mathcal{P}}) \right) \right) \right) \tag{5}$$

The intuition behind taking the Fourier transform over the user interpretation embeddings can be thought of as an attempt to capture the most commonly occurring *frequencies* (public wisdom, worldly knowledge, fact busting, opinions, emotions, etc.) in the public discourse. These *frequencies* signify how the source post is being received by most of the people. Further, the Fourier transform over the source post embeddings hints towards the most prominent messages conveyed by the source post. This is depicted in Figure 2(b). Next, we compute a proximity matrix $\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. The affinity (proximity) matrix $\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{E}}$ can be thought to transform the user-interpretation attention space to the candidate post attention space, and vice versa for its transpose $\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{E}\top}$. It is computed as:

$$\mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{E}} = \tanh \left(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c \left(\mathbf{S}^{f\mathcal{P}\top} \otimes_c \mathbf{W}_{sg} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} \log_{\mathbf{0}}^c \left(\mathbf{G}^{f\mathcal{P}} \right) \right) \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{W}_{sg} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d_s \times 2d_g}$ is a matrix of learnable parameters. The operator $\otimes_c$ is the *Möbius Multiplication* operator (Equation 4), and $\otimes_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the simple euclidean matrix multiplication. By treating the affinity matrix as a feature, we can learn to predict candidate post and user interpretation attention maps $\mathbf{H}^{s\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{H}_c^{k \times n}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{g\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{H}_c^{k \times m}$, given by

$$\mathbf{H}^{s\mathcal{P}} = \exp_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\tanh(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{W}_s \otimes_c \mathbf{S}^{f\mathcal{P}} \oplus_c \exp_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{W}_g \otimes_c \mathbf{G}^{f\mathcal{P}})) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{E}\top}))))$$
$$\mathbf{H}^{g\mathcal{P}} = \exp_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\tanh(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{W}_g \otimes_c \mathbf{G}^{f\mathcal{P}} \oplus_c \exp_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{W}_s \otimes_c \mathbf{S}^{f\mathcal{P}})) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{F}^{\mathcal{E}})))) \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{W}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 2d_s}, \mathbf{W}_g \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 2d_g}$ are learnable parameters, k is the latent-dimension used in computing co-attention and $\oplus_c$ is the *Möbius Addition* operator (Equation 3). We can then generate the attention weights of source words and interaction users through the Softmax function:

$$\mathbf{a}^{s\mathcal{E}} = \text{softmax}(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{w}_{hs}^{\top} \otimes_c \mathbf{H}^{s\mathcal{P}})), \ \mathbf{a}^{g\mathcal{E}} = \text{softmax}(\log_{\mathbf{0}}^c(\mathbf{w}_{hg}^{\top} \otimes_c \mathbf{H}^{g\mathcal{P}})) \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{a}^{s\mathcal{E}} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times m}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{g\mathcal{E}} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ are the vectors of attention probabilities for each sentence in the source story and each user comment, respectively. $\mathbf{w}_{hs}, \mathbf{w}_{hg} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times k}$ are learnable weights. Eventually, we can generate the attention vectors of source sentences and user interpretation through weighted sum using the derived attention weights, given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_i^{s\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{s}_i^{\mathcal{E}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{g}}^{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{a}_j^{g\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{g}_j^{\mathcal{E}} \tag{9}$$

---

[1]The relative ordering of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{seq}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{h}}$ in Equation 5 is immaterial because the two 1D DFTs commute [26].

where $\hat{s}^{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2d_s}$ and $\hat{g}^{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 2d_g}$ are the learned co-attention feature vectors that depict how sentences in the source post are correlated to the user interpretations. Finally, we have a feed forward network which yields the final classification output as $\hat{y} = FFN[\hat{s}^{\mathcal{E}}, \hat{g}^{\mathcal{E}}]$, where $[.]$ is the concatenation operator. Equipped with co-attention learning, our model is further capable of generating suitable explanations (Section 6) by looking into the co-attention weights between different *frequencies* of users and in the source post.

5 Experiments

Datasets. We evaluate the performance of Hyphen on four different social-text classification tasks across ten datasets (c.f. Table 1) – (i) fake news detection (Politifact [42], Gossipcop [42], AntiVax [43]), (ii) hate speech detection (HASOC [44]), (iii) rumour detection (Pheme [45], Twitter15 [46], Twitter16 [46], RumourEval [47]), and (iv) sarcasm detection (FigLang-Twitter[48], FigLang-Reddit [48]). We augmented the datasets with public comments/replies to suite our experimental setting (see the Appendix for details on dataset preparation).

Experimentation details.
For both the hyperbolic encoders in Figures 2(c)-(d), we adopt the Poincaré model implementation of the respective frameworks. Due to limited machine precision, it is possible that the $\exp_0^c(.)$ and $\log_0^c(.)$ maps might sometimes return points that are not exactly located on the manifold. To avoid this and to ensure that points remain on the manifold and tangent vectors remain on the right tangent space, we clamp the maximum norm to $1 - e^{-14}$.

Dataset	# source posts	Avg. comments (per post)	SOTA-1	SOTA-2	SOTA-3
Politifact	415	29	*TCNN-URG [27]	HPA-BLSTM [49]	*CSI [28]
Gossipcop	2813	20	*TCNN-URG [27]	HPA-BLSTM [49]	*CSI [28]
Antivax	3797	3	*TCNN-URG [27]	HPA-BLSTM [49]	*CSI [28]
HASOC	712	10	CRNN	HPA-BLSTM	*CSI [28]
Twitter15	543	9	BiGCN [50]	GCAN [9]	AARD [51]
Twitter16	362	27	BiGCN [50]	GCAN [9]	AARD [51]
Pheme	6425	17	DDGCN [52]	*RumourGAN [53]	STS-NN [54]
Rumoureval†	446	17	CNN	DeClarE [55]	MTL-LSTM[56]
Figlang Twitter	5000	4	CNN + LSTM[57]	Ensemble {SVM, LSTM, CNN-LSTM, MLP}[58]	C-Net [59]
Figlang Reddit	4400	3	CNN + LSTM [57]	Ensemble {SVM, LSTM, CNN-LSTM, MLP} [58]	C-Net [59]

Table 1: The statistics of the datasets and the chosen data-specific baselines for four social-text classification tasks. * denotes those baseline models which utilise public discourse. † denotes the dataset with three classes, and the remaining datasets have two levels.

For optimization on the hyperbolic space, we use Riemannian Adam from Geoopt [60]. To find the optimal k (latent dimension, see Equation 5) for hyperbolic co-attention, we run grid search over $k = 50, 80, 128, 256$, and finally use $k = 128$. For HGCN, we use two layers with curvatures $K_1 = K_2 = $ -1. We detail all other hyper-parameters in the Appendix. We run all experiments for 100 epochs with early stopping patience of 10 epochs, on a NVIDIA RTX A6000 GPU.

Baseline methods. We compare Hyphen with two sets of baselines (c.f. Table 1) – **(i) Generic neural baselines:** We employ those models that are often used for social-text classification tasks and have been shown to perform comparatively. We consider different variations of the Transformer model and those who use social context as an auxiliary signal for social-text classification (dEFEND [33]). **(ii) Data-specific baselines**: We experimented with many data-specific and task-specific baselines and chose top three for every dataset based on the performance. Since top three models are data-specific, we call them with generic names – (a) SOTA-1, (b) SOTA-2, and (c) SOTA-3, respectively.

Performance comparison. Table 5 shows the performance comparison. The content-based pre-trained models, BERT and RoBERTa, outperform dEFEND which uses both the source content and user comments. We observe that dEFEND performs better than all the data-specific baselines because of the sophisticated use of co-attention. By incorporating public comments along with the social post, Hyphen shows significant[2] performance improvement over all the baselines. We observe that while the performance improvement over baselines is significant ($\sim 4\%$; $p < 0.005$) on datasets like Politifact, Gossipcop, and Twitter15, the performance improvement is not that significant ($p < 0.05$) on AntiVax and FigLang (Reddit). This is due to the fact that in the latter datasets, there are less number of comments available per the source posts (see Table 1). On Politifact and Gossipcop,

---

[2]We also perform statistical significance t-test comparing Hyphen and the other baselines.

Task	Dataset		Data-specific baseline			Generic neural baseline				Hyphen	
			SOTA-1	SOTA-2	SOTA-3	HAN	dEFEND	BERT	RoBERTa	Eucli.	Hyper.
Fake News Detection	Politifact	Pre.	0.712	0.894	0.847	0.852	0.902	0.911	0.924	0.951	**0.972**
		Rec.	0.785	0.868	0.897	0.958	0.956	0.904	0.903	0.936	**0.961**
		F1	0.827	0.881	0.871	0.902	0.928	0.905	0.906	0.940	**0.968**
	Gossipcop	Pre.	0.715	0.684	0.732	**0.818**	0.729	0.764	0.771	0.786	0.791
		Rec.	0.521	0.662	0.638	0.742	0.782	0.761	0.775	0.776	**0.788**
		F1	0.603	0.673	0.682	0.778	0.755	0.762	0.772	0.781	**0.816**
	ANTiVax	Pre.	0.829	0.865	0.901	0.806	0.935	0.943	0.948	0.941	**0.951**
		Rec.	0.825	0.864	0.912	0.862	0.934	0.941	**0.961**	0.937	0.927
		F1	0.872	0.865	0.908	0.833	0.935	0.942	0.939	0.937	**0.945**
Hate Speech Detection	HASOC	Pre.	0.531	0.652	0.686	0.658	0.667	0.646	0.647	0.712	**0.748**
		Rec.	0.529	0.697	0.699	0.681	0.672	0.651	0.661	0.703	**0.718**
		F1	0.591	0.634	0.698	0.614	0.657	0.641	0.648	0.702	**0.713**
Rumour Detection	Pheme	Pre.	0.785	0.816	0.846	0.821	0.841	0.861	0.852	0.854	**0.877**
		Rec.	0.783	0.791	0.841	0.779	0.842	0.862	0.851	0.843	**0.875**
		F1	0.782	0.801	0.844	0.799	0.841	0.861	0.852	0.844	**0.875**
	Twitter15	Pre.	0.866	0.824	0.928	0.929	0.851	0.899	0.913	0.943	**0.961**
		Rec.	0.794	0.829	0.954	0.839	0.849	0.891	0.909	0.937	**0.968**
		F1	0.811	0.825	0.941	0.881	0.848	0.891	0.908	0.936	**0.957**
	Twitter16	Pre.	0.871	0.759	0.901	0.941	0.892	0.921	0.895	0.944	**0.946**
		Rec.	0.751	0.763	**0.942**	0.842	0.888	0.918	0.891	0.936	0.937
		F1	0.778	0.759	0.919	0.889	0.887	0.919	0.892	0.937	**0.938**
	Rumour Eval	Pre.	0.545	0.583	0.571	0.655	0.631	0.556	0.602	**0.746**	0.721
		Rec.	0.676	0.777	**0.888**	0.444	0.555	0.533	0.602	0.686	0.718
		F1	0.598	0.667	0.695	0.518	0.573	0.533	0.595	0.697	**0.712**
Sarcasm Detection	FigLang Twitter	Pre.	0.701	0.741	0.751	0.734	0.758	0.797	0.822	0.811	**0.823**
		Rec.	0.669	0.746	0.751	0.718	0.742	0.798	0.796	0.802	**0.832**
		F1	0.681	0.741	0.752	0.721	0.757	0.797	0.801	0.812	**0.822**
	FigLang Reddit	Pre.	0.595	0.672	0.679	0.671	0.639	**0.723**	0.691	0.707	0.712
		Rec.	0.605	0.677	0.683	0.664	0.634	0.696	0.688	0.697	**0.704**
		F1	0.585	0.667	0.678	0.665	0.631	0.677	0.689	0.698	**0.701**

Table 2: Performance comparisons (Precision (Pre.), Recall (Rec.) and F1 score) of various baselines against Hyphen-hyperbolic (Hyper.) and Hyphen-euclidean (Eucli.). The best (*resp.* 2nd ranked) method is marked in bold (*resp.* underline). See Table 1 for other abbreviations.

Hyphen-hyperbolic has a performance gain of 3.9% and 3.8%, over the best baselines models, RoBERTa and dEFEND, respectively. Note that even when compared to the pre-trained Transformer architectures, Hpyhen shows decent improvement, while for the non-Transformer based baselines like HAN, there is a performance gain of 11.2% even on the AntiVax dataset. We explain the data-specific baselines, their modalities, and detailed analyses of their performance in the Appendix.

Ablation study. For the sake of brevity, we present the ablation results only for fake news detection across three datasets (see Appendix for the same across the other tasks). We further perform ablations with two variants of our model, namely Hyphen-hyperbolic and Hyphen-euclidean, in which Hyperbolic and Euclidean represent the underlying manifold of Hyphen.

■ **Effect of public wisdom.** When we remove user comments (Hyphen w/o comments: we consider only source post, get rid of the co-attention block for this analysis as we have just one modality, and keep the Fourier transform layer to capture the latent messages in the candidate post), the performance degrades. Table 3 shows that for Gossipcop and Politifact datasets, Hyphen-hyperbolic has a performance degradation of 7.23% and 7.4%, respectively. Due to the presence of less number of comments per source post in the AntiVax dataset, the degradation in performance is not that significant (i.e., 1.05%, $p < 0.1$). Since this is a content-only pipeline, in many cases, the model is outperformed by pre-trained Transformer baselines (BERT and RoBERTa).

Dataset	Model	Euclidean	Hyperbolic
		F1	F1
Politifact	Hyphen	**0.9401**	**0.9686**
	Hyphen w/o comments	0.8979 (↓ 4.22%)	0.8963 (↓ 7.23%)
	Hyphen w/o Fourier	0.9302 (↓ 0.99%)	0.9512 (↓ 1.74%)
Gossipcop	Hyphen	**0.7812**	**0.8167**
	Hyphen w/o comments	0.7551 (↓ 2.61%)	0.7407 (↓ 7.60%)
	Hyphen w/o Fourier	0.7584 (↓ 2.28%)	0.7607 (↓ 5.60%)
ANTiVax	Hyphen	**0.9373**	**0.9455**
	Hyphen w/o comments	0.9192 (↓ 1.81%)	0.9351 (↓ 1.04%)
	Hyphen w/o Fourier	0.9286 (↓ 0.87%)	0.9369 (↓ 0.86%)

Table 3: Ablation study showing the effect of public discourse, hyperbolic manifold, and Fourier transform layer on the performance of Hyphen for fake news detection (the trends remain identical for the other tasks; see Appendix). The decrease in performance of the ablation version of Hyphen w.r.t its original one is shown within parenthesis.

■ **Effect of hyperbolic space.** We evaluate Hyphen's performance by replacing the hyperbolic manifold with Euclidean. We observe that in support of our initial hypothesis, Hyphen-hyperbolic outperforms Hyphen-euclidean (see Table 3). The former records a considerable gain of 3.55% and

2.85% F1 score on Gossipcop and Politifact datasets, respectively, over the latter. For the AntiVax dataset, a smaller increment of 0.83% can be attributed to the less number of user comments available in the dataset. Note that for the variant, `Hyphen-hyperbolic w/o comments`, there is a performance degradation as compared to `Hyphen-euclidean` on Gossipcop and Politifact. This is intuitive as the sole advantage of hyperbolic space lies in capturing the inherent hierarchy of the macro-AMR graphs. Therefore, in case of a content-only model, `Hyphen-euclidean` performs better.

■ **Effect of Fourier transform layer.** Table 3 shows that including the Fourier transform layer to capture the most prominent user opinions about the source post and the most common (latent) messages conveyed by the source post, boosts the overall performance of `Hyphen`. There is an improvement of 5.6% F1 score on Gossipcop and 1.74% on Politifact due to the Fourier layer in `Hyphen-hyperbolic`. Because of the less number of comments per post in AntiVax, there is a smaller increment of 0.87% F1 score. Even for `Hyphen-euclidean`, there is an increase of 2.28% on Gossipcop and $\sim 1\%$ on Politifact. On applying co-attention over the outputs of Fourier transform layer, we are able to attend better to both the representations simultaneously, and thus the model's ability to capture the correlation between the two increases.

6 Explainability

Here, we demonstrate how `Hyphen` excels at providing explanations for social-text classification tasks. Using the hyperbolic co-attention weights $\mathbf{a}^{s\mathcal{E}}$ (Equation 8), we can provide an implicit rank list of sentences present in the source post in the order of their relevance to the final prediction. For instance, consider the scenario of fake news detection. A fake news is often created by manipulating selected parts of a true information. The generated rank list of sentences in this case would correspond to the sentences in the news article, which are possible misinformation. Furthermore, manual verification of all sentences in a news article is tedious, and therefore, a rank list based on the level of check-worthiness of sentences is convenient. To evaluate the performance of `Hyphen` in generating explanations, we consider Politifact, and for each source post, we manually annotate sentences present in the source post based on their relevance to the final level (fake/real) (see Appendix for dataset annotation details). The annotators also rank sentences of a source post in the order of their check-worthiness. We expect our model to produce a similar list of sentences for the source post.

We use dEFEND [33] as a baseline for comparing the rank correlations, and evaluate the rank list produced by `Hyphen` against this ground-truth *annotated rank list* using Kendall's τ and Spearman's ρ rank correlation coefficients. dEFEND is the only model among the chosen baselines, which produces a similar rank link using attention weights in an attempt to provide explanations (See Appendix for sample rank lists generated by

Model	Kendall's τ	Spearman's ρ
dEFEND	0.0231 ± 0.053	0.0189 ± 0.012
Hyphen-euclidean	0.4013 ± 0.072	0.4236 ± 0.072
Hyphen-hyperbolic	$\mathbf{0.4983 \pm 0.055}$	$\mathbf{0.5532 \pm 0.045}$

Table 4: Performance of `Hyphen` and dEFEND in providing explanations on Politifact. $\pm$ denotes std. dev. across 5 random runs.

dEFEND and `Hyphen`). Table 4 shows that the explanations produced by dEFEND have almost no correlation ($\tau = 0.0231, \rho = 0.0189$) to the annotated rank list. On the contrary, `Hyphen-hyperbolic` shows a high positive correlation ($\tau = 0.4983, \rho = 0.5532$). `Hyphen-euclidean` also shows comparable performance. The results present the efficacy of `Hyphen` in providing decent explanations for social-text classification. Note that to provide explanations, we rule out the Fourier sub-layer from `Hyphen` (see Appendix for more details).

7 Conclusion

Public wisdom on social media carries diverse latent signals which can be used in unison with the source post to enhance the social-text classification tasks. Our proposed `Hyphen` model uses a novel hyperbolic Fourier co-attention network to amalgamate both these information. Apart from the state-of-the-art performance in social-text classification, `Hyphen` shows the potential of generating suitable explanations to support the final prediction and works well in a *generalised* discourse-aware setting. In the future, mixed-curvature learning in product spaces [61] and hyperbolic-to-hyperbolic [36] representations could be employed to boost the learning capabilities of `Hyphen`.

Limitations. `Hyphen` resorts to using tangent spaces for computing Fourier co-attention which is inferior because tangent spaces are only a local approximation of the manifold. One may further incorporate other signals such as user interaction network and user credibility into the model.

References

[1] Elise Fehn Unsvåg and Björn Gambäck. The effects of user features on twitter hate speech detection. In *Proceedings of the 2nd workshop on abusive language online (ALW2)*, pages 75–85, 2018.

[2] Kai Shu, Xinyi Zhou, Suhang Wang, Reza Zafarani, and Huan Liu. The role of user profiles for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE/ACM international conference on advances in social networks analysis and mining*, pages 436–439, 2019.

[3] Anshu Malhotra, Luam Totti, Wagner Meira Jr, Ponnurangam Kumaraguru, and Virgilio Almeida. Studying user footprints in different online social networks. In *2012 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining*, pages 1065–1070. IEEE, 2012.

[4] Hani Nurrahmi and Dade Nurjanah. Indonesian twitter cyberbullying detection using text classification and user credibility. In *2018 International Conference on Information and Communications Technology (ICOIACT)*, pages 543–548. IEEE, 2018.

[5] Xiaoyu Yang, Yuefei Lyu, Tian Tian, Yifei Liu, Yudong Liu, and Xi Zhang. Rumor detection on social media with graph structured adversarial learning. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth International Conference on International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 1417–1423, 2021.

[6] Adrien Guille, Hakim Hacid, and Cécile Favre. Predicting the temporal dynamics of information diffusion in social networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.5235*, 2013.

[7] Ioannis Pitas. *Graph-based social media analysis*, volume 39. CRC Press, 2016.

[8] Adrien Guille, Hakim Hacid, Cecile Favre, and Djamel A Zighed. Information diffusion in online social networks: A survey. *ACM Sigmod Record*, 42(2):17–28, 2013.

[9] Yi-Ju Lu and Cheng-Te Li. Gcan: Graph-aware co-attention networks for explainable fake news detection on social media. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.11648*, 2020.

[10] Kai Shu, Suhang Wang, and Huan Liu. Beyond news contents: The role of social context for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the twelfth ACM international conference on web search and data mining*, pages 312–320, 2019.

[11] Van-Hoang Nguyen, Kazunari Sugiyama, Preslav Nakov, and Min-Yen Kan. Fang: Leveraging social context for fake news detection using graph representation. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM international conference on information & knowledge management*, pages 1165–1174, 2020.

[12] Arkaitz Zubiaga, Maria Liakata, and Rob Procter. Exploiting context for rumour detection in social media. In *International conference on social informatics*, pages 109–123. Springer, 2017.

[13] Lei Gao and Ruihong Huang. Detecting online hate speech using context aware models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.07395*, 2017.

[14] Laura Banarescu, Claire Bonial, Shu Cai, Madalina Georgescu, Kira Griffitt, Ulf Hermjakob, Kevin Knight, Philipp Koehn, Martha Palmer, and Nathan Schneider. Abstract meaning representation (amr) 1.0 specification. In *Parsing on Freebase from Question-Answer Pairs. In Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing. Seattle: ACL*, pages 1533–1544, 2012.

[15] Jeffrey Flanigan, Sam Thomson, Jaime G Carbonell, Chris Dyer, and Noah A Smith. A discriminative graph-based parser for the abstract meaning representation. In *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1426–1436, 2014.

[16] Peiyi Wang, Liang Chen, Tianyu Liu, Damai Dai, Yunbo Cao, Baobao Chang, and Zhifang Sui. Hierarchical curriculum learning for amr parsing, 2021.

[17] Bang Liu, Ting Zhang, Fred X Han, Di Niu, Kunfeng Lai, and Yu Xu. Matching natural language sentences with hierarchical sentence factorization. In *Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference*, pages 1237–1246, 2018.

[18] James W Cannon, William J Floyd, Richard Kenyon, Walter R Parry, et al. Hyperbolic geometry. *Flavors of geometry*, 31(59-115):2, 1997.

[19] James W Cooley and John W Tukey. An algorithm for the machine calculation of complex fourier series. *Mathematics of computation*, 19(90):297–301, 1965.

[20] Maunika Tamire, Srinivas Anumasa, and P. K. Srijith. Bi-directional recurrent neural ordinary differential equations for social media text classification, 2021.

[21] Geli Fei and Bing Liu. Social media text classification under negative covariate shift. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2347–2356, 2015.

[22] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*, 2019.

[23] Dat Quoc Nguyen, Thanh Vu, and Anh Tuan Nguyen. Bertweet: A pre-trained language model for english tweets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.10200*, 2020.

[24] Emily Alsentzer, John R Murphy, Willie Boag, Wei-Hung Weng, Di Jin, Tristan Naumann, and Matthew McDermott. Publicly available clinical bert embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.03323*, 2019.

[25] Yuting Guo, Xiangjue Dong, Mohammed Ali Al-Garadi, Abeed Sarker, Cecile Paris, and Diego Mollá Aliod. Benchmarking of transformer-based pre-trained models on social media text classification datasets. In *Proceedings of the The 18th Annual Workshop of the Australasian Language Technology Association*, pages 86–91, Virtual Workshop, December 2020. Australasian Language Technology Association.

[26] James Lee-Thorp, Joshua Ainslie, Ilya Eckstein, and Santiago Ontanon. Fnet: Mixing tokens with fourier transforms, 2021.

[27] Feng Qian, Chengyue Gong, Karishma Sharma, and Yan Liu. Neural user response generator: Fake news detection with collective user intelligence. In *IJCAI*, volume 18, pages 3834–3840, 2018.

[28] Natali Ruchansky, Sungyong Seo, and Yan Liu. Csi: A hybrid deep model for fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 797–806, 2017.

[29] Arkaitz Zubiaga, Elena Kochkina, Maria Liakata, Rob Procter, Michal Lukasik, Kalina Bontcheva, Trevor Cohn, and Isabelle Augenstein. Discourse-aware rumour stance classification in social media using sequential classifiers. *Information Processing & Management*, 54(2):273–290, 2018.

[30] Kangwook Lee, Sanggyu Han, and Sung-Hyon Myaeng. A discourse-aware neural network-based text model for document-level text classification. *Journal of Information Science*, 44(6):715–735, 2018.

[31] Devamanyu Hazarika, Soujanya Poria, Sruthi Gorantla, Erik Cambria, Roger Zimmermann, and Rada Mihalcea. Cascade: Contextual sarcasm detection in online discussion forums, 2018.

[32] Silvio Amir, Byron C. Wallace, Hao Lyu, and Paula Carvalho Mário J. Silva. Modelling context with user embeddings for sarcasm detection in social media, 2016.

[33] Kai Shu, Limeng Cui, Suhang Wang, Dongwon Lee, and Huan Liu. defend: Explainable fake news detection. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*, pages 395–405, 2019.

[34] Ines Chami, Zhitao Ying, Christopher Ré, and Jure Leskovec. Hyperbolic graph convolutional neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.

[35] Yiding Zhang, Xiao Wang, Xunqiang Jiang, Chuan Shi, and Yanfang Ye. Hyperbolic graph attention network, 2019.

[36] Jindou Dai, Yuwei Wu, Zhi Gao, and Yunde Jia. A hyperbolic-to-hyperbolic graph convolutional network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 154–163, 2021.

[37] Shichao Zhu, Shirui Pan, Chuan Zhou, Jia Wu, Yanan Cao, and Bin Wang. Graph geometry interaction learning, 2020.

[38] Matteo Frigo and Steven G Johnson. The design and implementation of fftw3. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 93(2):216–231, 2005.

[39] Ines Chami, Rex Ying, Christopher Ré, and Jure Leskovec. Hyperbolic graph convolutional neural networks, 2019.

[40] Chengkun Zhang and Junbin Gao. Hype-han: Hyperbolic hierarchical attention network for semantic embedding. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth International Conference on International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3990–3996, 2021.

[41] Jiasen Lu, Jianwei Yang, Dhruv Batra, and Devi Parikh. Hierarchical question-image co-attention for visual question answering. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 29, 2016.

[42] Kai Shu, Deepak Mahudeswaran, Suhang Wang, Dongwon Lee, and Huan Liu. Fakenewsnet: A data repository with news content, social context, and spatiotemporal information for studying fake news on social media. *Big data*, 8(3):171–188, 2020.

[43] Kadhim Hayawi, Sakib Shahriar, Mohamed Adel Serhani, Ikbal Taleb, and Sujith Samuel Mathew. Anti-vax: a novel twitter dataset for covid-19 vaccine misinformation detection. *Public health*, 203:23–30, 2022.

[44] Thomas Mandl, Sandip Modha, Prasenjit Majumder, Daksh Patel, Mohana Dave, Chintak Mandlia, and Aditya Patel. Overview of the hasoc track at fire 2019: Hate speech and offensive content identification in indo-european languages. In *Proceedings of the 11th forum for information retrieval evaluation*, pages 14–17, 2019.

[45] Cody Buntain and Jennifer Golbeck. Automatically identifying fake news in popular twitter threads. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Smart Cloud (SmartCloud)*, pages 208–215, 2017.

[46] Jing Ma, Wei Gao, and Kam-Fai Wong. Rumor detection on Twitter with tree-structured recursive neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1980–1989, Melbourne, Australia, July 2018. Association for Computational Linguistics.

[47] Genevieve Gorrell, Elena Kochkina, Maria Liakata, Ahmet Aker, Arkaitz Zubiaga, Kalina Bontcheva, and Leon Derczynski. Semeval-2019 task 7: Rumoureval, determining rumour veracity and support for rumours. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*, pages 845–854, 2019.

[48] Debanjan Ghosh, Avijit Vajpayee, and Smaranda Muresan. A report on the 2020 sarcasm detection shared task. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.05814*, 2020.

[49] Han Guo, Juan Cao, Yazi Zhang, Junbo Guo, and Jintao Li. Rumor detection with hierarchical social attention network. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM international conference on information and knowledge management*, pages 943–951, 2018.

[50] Zhixian Chen, Tengfei Ma, Zhihua Jin, Yangqiu Song, and Yang Wang. Bigcn: A bi-directional low-pass filtering graph neural network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.05519*, 2021.

[51] Yun-Zhu Song, Yi-Syuan Chen, Yi-Ting Chang, Shao-Yu Weng, and Hong-Han Shuai. Adversary-aware rumor detection. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 1371–1382, 2021.

[52] Matthew Korban and Xin Li. Ddgcn: A dynamic directed graph convolutional network for action recognition. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 761–776. Springer, 2020.

[53] Jing Ma, Wei Gao, and Kam-Fai Wong. Detect rumors on twitter by promoting information campaigns with generative adversarial learning. In *The world wide web conference*, pages 3049–3055, 2019.

[54] Qi Huang, Chuan Zhou, Jia Wu, Luchen Liu, and Bin Wang. Deep spatial–temporal structure learning for rumor detection on twitter. *Neural Computing and Applications*, pages 1–11, 2020.

[55] Aoshuang Ye, Lina Wang, Run Wang, Wenqi Wang, Jianpeng Ke, and Danlei Wang. An end-to-end rumor detection model based on feature aggregation. *Complexity*, 2021, 2021.

[56] Mostafa Karimzadeh, Samuel Martin Schwegler, Zhongliang Zhao, Torsten Braun, and Susana Sargento. Mtl-lstm: Multi-task learning-based lstm for urban traffic flow forecasting. In *2021 International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing (IWCMC)*, pages 564–569, 2021.

[57] Deepak Jain, Akshi Kumar, and Geetanjali Garg. Sarcasm detection in mash-up language using soft-attention based bi-directional lstm and feature-rich cnn. *Applied Soft Computing*, 91:106198, 2020.

[58] Jens Lemmens, Ben Burtenshaw, Ehsan Lotfi, Ilia Markov, and Walter Daelemans. Sarcasm detection using an ensemble approach. In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Figurative Language Processing*, pages 264–269, Online, July 2020. Association for Computational Linguistics.

[59] Amit Kumar Jena, Aman Sinha, and Rohit Agarwal. C-net: Contextual network for sarcasm detection. In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Figurative Language Processing*, pages 61–66, Online, July 2020. Association for Computational Linguistics.

[60] Max Kochurov, Rasul Karimov, and Serge Kozlukov. Geoopt: Riemannian optimization in pytorch, 2020.

[61] Albert Gu, Frederic Sala, Beliz Gunel, and Christopher Ré. Learning mixed-curvature representations in product spaces. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.