A SUPER-ALIGNED DRIVING GENERALIST IN YOUR COCKPIT

003 004

006 007 008

009

010

011

012

013

015

016 017

018

019

021

025

026

027

028 029

031

032

034

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review



Figure 1: Sage Deer uses the pre-trained video encoders (visual tokenizers) to tokenize the different modes and views of the video, especially the physiological encoder used to extract the physiological signals of face video. Using tokenized visual embedding, large language models can provide general responses for physiological indicators, emotional states, gestures, human behavior, and scene understanding. It is worth emphasizing that the individual needs of the user can be edited in a single document. We then query this information with learnable retrieval augmentation generation, generating results super aligned with user preferences.

ABSTRACT

The intelligent driving cockpit, an important part of intelligent driving, needs to match different users' comfort, interaction, and safety needs. This paper aims to build a super-aligned and generalist driving agent, sage deer. Sage Deer achieves two highlights: (1) Super alignment: It achieves different reactions according to different people's preferences and biases. (2) Generalist: It can understand the user's physiological indicators, facial emotions, hand movements, body movements, driving scenarios, and behavioral decisions. (3) Multimodal: He can understand RGB, NIR, and depth video to build more robust perception, understanding, and reasoning. To achieve the above requirements, we design retrieval-enhanced multimodal frameworks. We collected multiple data sets and built a large-scale benchmark. This benchmark measures the deer's perceptual decision-making ability and the super alignment's accuracy.

042 043 044

045

039

040

041

1 INTRODUCTION

Owing to advancements in artificial intelligence and high performance computing hardware, intelligent connected vehicles have garnered significant attention from both academia and governmental bodies due to their profound potential to revolutionize future transportation paradigms Li et al. (2023b); Teng et al. (2023). By integrating advanced sensors, artificial intelligence algorithms, and technologies, s possess the capability to perceive their environment, make informed decisions, and execute control, which will significantly enhance vehicle safety, optimize traffic flow, and deliver a comfortable and convenient driving experience.

The intelligent driving cockpit serves as the interface for human interaction with s, offering a seamless and user-focused experience through the provision of real-time data, personalized settings, and

intuitive controls Li et al. (2023b); Yang et al. (2022). This advanced system enhances communication
 between the driver, passengers, and the vehicle, increases safety via comprehensive monitoring
 systems, and improves comfort by adapting to individual preferences and varying driving conditions.

057 However, the pursuit of a universal intelligent driving cockpit that encapsulates both general principles 058 and individual-specific requirements is inherently complex. Individuals possess distinct driving habits 059 and needs, necessitating the development of an agent that aligns with their preferences. However, it 060 is impractical to train a unique model for each individual user. Existing research Cui et al. (2023b;a); 061 Li et al. (2023c; 2022); Liu & Zhang (2020); Tao et al. (2024) on intelligent driving cockpits 062 predominantly emphasizes rigid decision-making and control by integrating external environmental 063 perception data and in-vehicle occupant status, which usually overlooks the individual preferences 064 and limits the adaptability of cockpit system.

- 065 Recent advancements in multi-modal large models have demonstrated their capabilities in understand-066 ing and reasoning with multi-modal inputs, including videos and natural language. Sima et al. Sima 067 et al. (2023) proposed the utilization of large language models to perform end-to-end autonomous 068 driving through a visual question answering manner. While Wang et al. (2023) proposed 069 DriveMLM, which can perform close-loop autonomous driving in realistic simulators by mitigate 070 the gap between language decisions and vehicle control commands. As for in-vehicle situations, 071 multi-modal large models are also applied to driver health monitoring Hecht et al. (2018), driving decision individuation with simple strategy Cui et al. (2023b). A robust and personalized intelligent 072 driving cockpit can incorporate driving scenario understanding, language interaction, user behavior 073 and sentiment analysis, processing of personalized driver needs, and intelligent decision-making. 074 However, the above mentioned research has yet to achieve comprehensive functionality and full-075 modal information processing and reasoning. Thus, there remains a significant gap between current 076 capabilities and the envisioned intelligent driving cockpit. 077
- To bridge the gap between current approaches in providing a personalized driving experience and comprehensive omni-modal information processing, we propose a super-aligned and generalist driving 079 agent, sage deer. We present a retrieval-augmented framework designed to develop a super-aligned and generalist agent for smart cockpits. This framework is characterized by three core strengths: 081 comprehensive tokenization of multi-mode and multi-view videos, robust generalist understanding, and super-aligned responses. To effectively integrate diverse visual and physiological data, we 083 tokenize inputs from multiple sensing modalities-including RGB, near-infrared (NIR), and depth 084 cameras—using a pre-trained ResNet18 for feature extraction, followed by mapping to a language 085 space with a two-layer linear layer. Multi-view perspectives are similarly tokenized with distinct 086 perspective markers to ensure comprehensive scene interpretation. Additionally, physiological infor-087 mation is processed through spatio-temporal representations and encoded into tokens, enabling the 880 model to monitor driver states accurately. The framework employs a retrieval-augmented generation mechanism that leverages an updatable document containing both fundamental and personalized 089 information, enhancing the large language model's (LLM) ability to generate contextually relevant 090 responses without extensive fine-tuning. Expert knowledge fusion further integrates domain-specific 091 insights, allowing the system to dynamically adapt based on real-time physiological and environ-092 mental data. This holistic approach ensures high-quality scene understanding, precise physiological 093 monitoring, and personalized, context-aware interactions, significantly improving the alignment and 094 generalization capabilities of agents within complex cockpit environments. 095

096 Contribution

1. Established a multi-view and multi-modal evaluation protocol for the intelligent cockpit, involving
 unified evaluation of the driver's physiology, emotion, behavior, driving scene understanding, and
 decision-making.

2. An intelligent driving cockpit super alignment evaluation protocol involving generalization ability for different needs was established.

3. Designed a set of algorithms that align human preferences without fine-tuning.

- 103 104
- 105
- 106
- 107

108

Table 1: Comparison of public driving cockpit perception datasets. "Naturalistic" refers to people's natural driving behavior, while "Induced" refers to people's intervention and design of scenes.
Reaction is the response given by the intelligent cockpit according to different user needs. Question Answering refers to the verbal inquiry interaction between the user and the cockpit.

114				1 2				1				
	Dataset	Views	Naturalistic	Induced	Multimodal	Physiological Indicator	Behavior	Emotion	Traffic Context	Vehicle Condition	Reaction	Question Answering
113	SEU Zhao et al. (2012)	1	-	~	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
	Tran Tran et al. (2018)	1	-	~	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
114	Zhang Zhang et al. (2020)	2	-	~	~	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
	StateFarm sta (2016)	1	-	~	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
115	AUC-DD Eraqi et al. (2019)	1	√	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
110	LoLi Saad et al. (2020)	1	√	-	~	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
116	Brain4Cars Jain et al. (2016)	2	√	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
	Drive&Act Martin et al. (2019)	6	-	~	~	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
117	DMD Ortega et al. (2020)	3	-	~	~	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
	DAD Kopuklu et al. (2021)	2	-	~	~	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
	DriPE Guesdon et al. (2021)	1	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118	LBW Kasahara et al. (2022)	2	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	MDAD Jegham et al. (2019)	2	~	-	√	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
110	3MDAD Jegham et al. (2020)	2	~	-	~	-	~	-	-	-	-	-
115	DEFE Li et al. (2021a)	1	-	~	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	-
120	DEFE+ Li et al. (2021b)	1	-	~	√	-	-	~	-	-	-	-
	Du Du et al. (2020)	1	-	~	√	-	-	~	-	-	-	-
	KMU-FED Jeong & Ko (2018)	1	~	-	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	-
121	MDCS Oh et al. (2022)	2	~	-	√	-	-	~	-	-	-	-
	AIDE Yang et al. (2023a)	4	√	-	-	-	~	√	√	√	-	-
122	Sage Deer	4	√	~	√	√	√	~	√	√	~	√

2 RELATED WORK

126 127

123 124 125

128

Multi-modality Large Model in Driving Multi-modality large models are widely used in autonomous driving, such as automatic planning and control Mao et al. (2023); Cui et al. (2023a), perception Wang et al. (2020), and driver health monitoring Hecht et al. (2018) among others. By aggregating multi-modal information (e.g., vision, speech, point cloud, etc.) Yang et al. (2023b), multi-modal large models can more effectively help autonomous driving systems perceive the scene inside and outside the vehicle, and make more intelligent decisions.

135 In the vehicle's user-facing interface, the driver's emotions, health, posture, and actions can help the 136 autonomous driving system interact dynamically and adapt to various driving styles. Cui et al. Cui 137 et al. (2023b;a) first proposed to precisely enhance the decision-making process of autonomous 138 driving by perceiving multi-modal information inside and outside the vehicle. Although these 139 methods can perceive multi-modal information, they adopt a single strategy for different users and 140 cannot make adaptive decisions for different users. Subsequent improvements Cui et al. (2023c); Yang et al. (2024) attempt to promote fine-grained understanding of user language and enhance the 141 control performance of the vehicle system, but these methods overlook the impact of hidden emotions, 142 health, and action information on vehicle safety and efficiency. 143

In this paper, we super-align various modal information of users, which not only includes the
 user's own interaction, but also perceives the user's health, emotions, posture, behavior, and other
 information, thereby enhancing the intelligence of the vehicle system.

- 147
- 148
- 149

150 Retrieval-Augmented Generation Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) enhances the accuracy 151 of knowledge-intensive tasks by retrieving relevant information from an external knowledge base, 152 which can be continuously updated Gao et al. (2023). Specifically, RAG first collects external information and saves old user queries Ma et al. (2023), organizes the knowledge, aligns it, and 153 stores it in a repository provided by the user. Then, an efficient index is built to retrieve image data. 154 Afterward, RAG retrieves internal information based on the prompts provided by the user, which 155 mainly relies on priority ranking and retrieval. Finally, RAG merges the proposed query and selected 156 information inputs it into a large model and returns a more accurate response. Based on this, RAG 157 can help answer questions that vary according to specific needs while also aggregating the dialogue 158 history scenario, enabling the model to remember customized information effectively.

159

In this paper, we use RAG to store customized information of users, including their driving habits and
 behaviors, which helps build a more human-centered vehicle driving agent, and can use customized
 requirements of specific users.



Figure 2: (a) We use existent multimodal model tools to generate captions for the videos likes (Li 179 et al., 2023a; Zhang et al., 2023a). (b) Then, we set a reasonable prompt to merge the information from different videos. (c) We took advantage of the existing tags (including physiological indicators, emotional indicators, action indicators, behavioral indicators, scene understanding, and reasoning 182 decision-making) to correct and supplement the captain. (d) Next, we use GPT4 (Achiam et al., 2023) as an assistant to build question-answering pairs for different tasks (including physiological indicators, emotion, behavior, and so on.). (e) We design multiple user preferences, and GPT4 responds to the 185 current scenario based on user preferences.

187

188 189

181

183

3 DATA CURATING AND BEACHMARKING

3.1 DATA COLLECTION 190

191 Our goal is to create a driving generalist (physiological estimation, emotional estimation, gesture 192 estimation, body motion estimation, driving behavior estimation, driving behavior detection, and 193 driving decision-making) in the intelligent cockpit. We selected three of the most recent multi-view 194 multi-task driving datasets AIDE and DMD. In addition, we use the latest contactless physiological 195 measurement technology to monitor the user's health, which can estimate heart rate and blood oxygen 196 only with a camera. We collected 5 datasets (VIPL-HR, V4V, PURE, BUAA-rPPG and UBFC).

197

199

3.2 GENERAL INSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION

200 To construct natural language descriptions for sage deer, we use existent multimodal model tools (InternVideo (Wang et al., 2022), Tag2Text (Huang et al., 2023), or GRiT (Wu et al., 2022)) to 201 generate captions for the frame sampled at equal intervals automatically. Likes (Li et al., 2023a; 202 Zhang et al., 2023a), we set the reasonable prompt to merge the information of different frames. 203 Existing image caption methods are often inaccurate or insufficiently annotated for an intelligent 204 cockpit system. So, we took advantage of the existing tags (including physiological indicators, 205 emotional indicators, action indicators, behavioral indicators, scene understanding, and reasoning 206 decision-making) in the dataset to correct and supplement the captain. Next, we use GPT4 (Achiam 207 et al., 2023) as an assistant to build question-answering pairs for different tasks. We used GPT4 to 208 summarize, fuse, and correct these captains. See supplementary materials for details.

209

211

210 3.3 SUPER-ALIGNED REACTION

212 Users have different needs for driving cockpit, especially interactivity and trustworthiness. Interac-213 tivity means creating gestures, emotions, and body movements and tailoring cockpit feedback to user needs. Trustworthiness refers to personalized warning feedback on user fatigue, bad mood, and 214 bad behavior. For this purpose, we use GPT4 to design the needs of different users and give specific 215 feedback according to the needs of users and the information of the current scenario.



Figure 3: Overview of our framework. RGB, depth and NIR videos of different modes are extracted by a pre-trained encoder and converted into tokens. These tokens concatenate problems and retrieve enhanced linguistic features. Where the search augmentation generated to language features are now features that will be generated by the interchangeable document, via chunk, tokenizer, and further encoder. Ultimately, the LLM will respond based on the updatable document content and video content.

4 Method

We build a retrieval-augmented framework to build a super-aligned and generalist agent in the cockpit. This framework has three strengths: (1) Any mode and view video tokenization. (2) Generalists understand. (3) Super-aligned reactions.

243 244

245 246

247

4.1 TOKENIZING MULTI-MODE AND MULTI-VIEW VIDEOS

For driving cockpit, leveraging multiple modes and perspectives can significantly enhance the model's
 ability to interpret complex scenes, especially under challenging conditions such as poor weather
 or low-light environments. This subsection outlines our approach to tokenizing multi-mode and
 multi-view videos, ensuring that the model effectively integrates diverse visual information and
 physiological indicators.

257 **Tokenizing Multi-Model.** To robustly monitor user and scene information under adverse conditions, 258 we incorporate multiple sensing modalities, including RGB, near-infrared (NIR), and depth cameras. 259 We uniformly use the ImageNet pre-trained ResNet18 as each frame rate feature extractor, and then 260 we cat all the frames together to get the video features. Finally, a simple two-layer linear layer 261 is mapped to the language space $em_{face} \in C \times L$. C is the number of channels characteristic of the language model, and L is a hyperparameter representing how many tokens are used to present 262 the video features. In particular, to better understand the physiological indicators of faces in the 263 video. To allow LLM to distinguish between different modes, we added identifiers for visual features. 264 For example, add corresponding start and end symbols to the front and back of a face RGB video 265 embedding $em_{rqb} \in C \times L$, $\langle RGB bos \rangle em_{rqb} \langle RGB cos \rangle$. Other videos are processed 266 the same way and then fed into the LLM. 267

Tokenizing Multi-View. Input of multiple perspective information is necessary, such as viewing
 information around the entire vehicle or monitoring the scene and driver at the same time. Similarly
 to multi-model, we uniformly use the ImageNet pre-trained ResNet18 as the feature extractor for each

frame rate. This is followed by mapping a simple two-layer linear layer to the language space. Then, we also use perspective markers to mark different perspectives, for example, $em_{front} \in C \times L$, *< Front RGB bos > em_{front < Front RGB cos >.*

Tokenizing Physiological Information. Through a contactless video of a person's face, we can obtain physiological indicators such as heart rate, blood oxygen and respiration. But directly feeding a long video into our model is very low effect. Following (Lu et al., 2023), we first convert the video into a spatio-temporal representation (STMap) and then extract the features with ResNet18 and convert them into tokens $em_{phys} \in C \times L$ through two linear layers. Similarly, we've added identifiers, $\langle Phys \ bos \rangle \ em_{phys} \langle Phys \ cos \rangle$

Final representation. In addition, the user's questions are concated with vision tokens and sent to the LLM. The losses and training strategy of our visual instruction tuning are the same as those of MLLM (Li et al., 2023a; Zhang et al., 2023a; Muhammad Maaz & Khan, 2023).

282 283 284

4.2 Retrieval-Augmented Generation

The training of large language models is very expensive, and it is easy to lose the ability to generalize in a small training data. User needs for a smart cockpit are often very different, but we can't fine-tune the LLM to each user's specific preferences. To do this, we have adopted a retrieval-augmented generation framework ash shown in Fig. 3.

289 Specifically, we built an updatable document. This document includes some basics and personal 290 requirements. Then we chunk the document, here we use a very concise way to divide the document 291 by sentences. Each sentence is then tokenized, and then the sentence is the same length by filling 292 in the tokens. A four-layer convolutional encoder is then used to compress the literal token into a 293 1/8-length feature. Then, we calculate the similarity between visual features and text features, and 294 only retain the first N features with high similarity. Splicing into visual features. Then, we calculate 295 the similarity between visual features and text features, and only retain the first N features with high similarity. These retrieved temporal features are spliced onto visual features. 296

297 298

299

4.3 EXPERT KNOWLEDGE FUSION

Reasonable knowledge and carefully crafted prompts can significantly enhance the potential of Large
 Language Models (LLMs), enabling them to generate more nuanced and context-aware outputs. In
 the context of the smart cockpit, there exists a strong and intricate relationship between various
 factors such as physiological indicators, emotions, behaviors, and the vehicle itself. Understanding
 these correlations is crucial for optimizing driver assistance systems, improving safety, and providing
 a more personalized driving experience. To this end, we conducted a systematic investigation of
 task correlations in collaboration with vehicle human factors experts, enabling us to explore these
 dependencies in greater detail.

307 The Relationship Between Physiological State and Emotion: Classical studies in psychophysiology 308 (e.g., James-Lange, Schachter-Singer) have long established that physiological states, such as heart 309 rate variability, skin conductance, and muscle tension, are closely linked to emotional responses. In 310 the context of smart cockpits, real-time monitoring of physiological signals can provide valuable 311 insights into the emotional state of the driver. For instance, elevated heart rate and increased skin 312 conductance may be indicative of stress or anxiety, particularly in challenging driving scenarios. 313 Recognizing this connection allows the system to adapt accordingly, offering calming feedback or 314 assistance in real-time.

315 In addition, we investigated prior knowledge of other tasks, including: The Relationship Between 316 Physiological State and Behavior, The Relationship Between Physiological State and Behavior, The 317 Relationship Between Physiological State and Behavior. These relationships are not isolated but 318 rather dynamically interact in real-world driving scenarios. By leveraging real-time data on cabin 319 conditions — including environmental factors, driver behavior, and physiological/emotional states — 320 the smart cockpit can generate a wider range of more accurate and contextually appropriate responses. 321 This dynamic adaptation not only enhances the comfort and safety of the driver but also improves the overall driving experience. For example, in high-stress situations, the system may offer enhanced 322 alerts or autonomous driving support, while in calm conditions, it might prioritize fuel efficiency or 323 offer entertainment options.

Table 2: Baseline compared the performance of our approach on the super alignment protocol. Baseline is to splice user requirements directly into visual features and feed them into the LLM, taking advantage of the long-range modeling capabilities of the LLM itself.

Method	AI	DE	DMD		
	BLEU	SPICE	BLEU	SPICE	
Baseline	0.184	0.301	0.191	0.296	
Ours	0.214	0.315	0.204	0.305	

By embracing the interconnected nature of these factors, intelligent systems in smart cockpits can better understand the human-driver interaction, anticipating needs and providing tailored responses that enhance both safety and the overall driving experience. Importantly, this approach underscores the potential of LLMs when incorporated with domain-specific knowledge, allowing them to generate more context-sensitive and accurate outputs in real time. Integrating such knowledge-driven, promptbased systems into smart cockpits represents a significant leap in creating more intuitive, responsive, and adaptive vehicle environments.

340 341 342

324

328

330331332333334

335

336

337

338

339

5 EXPERIMENTS

343 344 345

346

5.1 DATASETS.

347 We found two recent data sets of very comprehensive annotations for assisted driving. The DMD is a driver monitoring dataset, an extensive dataset that includes real and simulated driving scenarios: 348 distraction, eye distribution, drowsiness, handwheel interaction, and contextual data, in 41 hours 349 of RGB, depth, and infrared video from 3 cameras, capturing the faces, bodies, and hands of 37 350 drivers. AIDE proposes an assisted driving awareness dataset that takes into account contextual 351 information both inside and outside the vehicle in a natural scenario. AIDE enables overall driver 352 monitoring through multi-perspective Settings of the driver and the scene, multi-modal annotations 353 of the face, body, posture, and gestures, and four practical task designs for driver understanding. 354 we collect five rPPG face video datasets (VIPL-HR Niu et al. (2019), PURE Stricker et al. (2014), 355 UBFC-rPPG Bobbia et al. (2019), V4V Revanur et al. (2021), and BUAA-MIHR Xi et al. (2020)), 356 mostly with subjects remaining still, and some with head movements.

357 358

359

5.2 TRAINING DETAILS.

We tain our model on A6000 for 2 epochs. The learning rate, and weight decay are set to 0.001, and 0.02, respectively. The maximum sentence length is set to 64. That is, if the sentence is too long, excess parts will be discarded, and if the sentence is too short, 0 will be filled to make the length uniform. All image vision encoders use the pre-trained resnet 18 on imagenet as the pre-trained model, and these vision encoders are fine-tuned. For the feature extraction of physiological signals, we use the pre-trained model of NEST-rPPG Lu et al. (2023) and do not fine-tune it.

366 367

368

5.3 BASELINES AND EVALUATION METRICS

We compare our proposed framework's anomaly understanding performance with SOTA video understanding baselines. We select five baselines: Video-ChatGPT (Muhammad Maaz & Khan, 2023), VideoChat (Li et al., 2023a), Video-LLaMA (Zhang et al., 2023a), LLaMA-Adapter (Zhang et al., 2023b), and Video-LLaVA (Lin et al., 2023). Our comparison aims to determine whether these baselines can fully understand and interpret video anomalies.

To accurately evaluate our model's performance, we adopt BLEU Bilingual Evaluation Understudy(BLEU) and SPICE (Papineni et al., 2002) to measure word overlap between the modelgenerated text and the ground truth. This approach enables us to objectively assess the similarity and consider various levels of granularity at the text level, thus clearly indicating how well the model understands and describes anomalies.

Method	Emo	otion	Beha	avior	Sc	ene	Condition	
	BLEU	SPICE	BLEU	SPICE	BLEU	SPICE	BLEU	SPICE
Video-ChatGPT	0.200	0.280	0.170	0.205	0.190	0.330	0.195	0.320
VideoChat	0.205	0.285	0.175	0.210	0.195	0.335	0.200	0.325
Video-Llama	0.217	0.312	0.183	0.223	0.207	0.352	0.211	0.337
Llama-Adapter	0.215	0.310	0.190	0.225	0.210	0.340	0.189	0.321
Video-LLaVA	0.202	0.290	0.172	0.205	0.190	0.330	0.195	0.320
Ours	0.232	0.331	0.194	0.242	0.225	0.369	0.223	0.360

Table 3: Generalist capabilities on AIDE data sets.

Table 4: Generalist capabilities on DMD data sets.

Method	Act	tion	Ga	aze	Hand	
	BLEU	SPICE	BLEU	SPICE	BLEU	SPICE
Video-ChatGPT	0.175	0.250	0.150	0.185	0.160	0.310
VideoChat	0.190	0.260	0.160	0.185	0.160	0.310
Video-Llama	0.205	0.275	0.170	0.205	0.180	0.325
Llama-Adapter	0.202	0.265	0.170	0.201	0.175	0.320
Video-LLaVA	0.195	0.295	0.155	0.220	0.170	0.315
Ours	0.235	0.315	0.195	0.230	0.210	0.340

5.4 GENERALIST PERFORMANCE

Our model can estimate the driver's emotion, physiological indicators, gaze, physical behavior, hand behavior, driving scene and vehicle state. In order to more clearly evaluate the ability of the model in different subtasks, we conducted systematic evaluation on two multi-task datasets, fatigue and physiological indicators. First, we used the default dataset partitioning on the AIDE dataset to train and test the results shown in Table 3. As you can see from the table, our algorithm has improved tremendously. This is mainly because, using expert knowledge, LLM can better integrate the connections between tasks.

Further, we verify our algorithm on DMD data set. As shown in Table 4, our algorithm achieves excellent performance on all tasks. All these results prove the effectiveness of our algorithm. Of course, our algorithm is very concise, only through RAG to retrieve the relevant expert knowledge to improve the accuracy of the reply. Further, we give an example of our model's dialogue to illustrate the generalist power of our model 4.

5.5 SUPER-ALIGNED PERFORMANCE

Different users have different needs for driving a smart cockpit, which requires us to super-align our users' biases. One of the simplest ways is to put all the user's preferences in the form of text at the top of the LLM input, and then use the LLM's own long-distance modeling capabilities to bias it. This most straightforward approach is considered our Baseline. Our approach is to complete the super alignment with a learned RAG. The performance of these two methods is shown in Table 2.

As shown in Table 2, our approach has improved dramatically. This shows that LLM itself has a limited ability to understand long texts, and it is difficult to make different responses to the results according to its visual features and prior knowledge. This explains the need for our RAG framework.

6 CONCLUSION

In this study, we successfully curated and benchmarked comprehensive data to develop Sage Deer,
 a super-aligned and generalist driving agent for intelligent cockpits. Our data collection strategy
 integrated three state-of-the-art multi-view and multi-task driving datasets—AIDE, DMD, VIPL-HR,



Figure 4: Generalist ability. Soga deer can reply to different tasks and has the ability to reply to an open domain.

464 465

463

466 V4V, PURE, BUAA-rPPG, and UBFC-alongside cutting-edge contactless physiological measure-467 ment technologies to monitor vital health indicators such as heart rate and blood oxygen levels 468 using only camera inputs. To construct robust natural language instructions, we employed advanced 469 multimodal models (InternVideo, Tag2Text, GRiT) for automatic video captioning, which were then refined and enhanced with existing tags and supplemented through GPT-4-generated question-answer 470 pairs tailored to various driving-related tasks. Additionally, we addressed diverse user needs by 471 leveraging GPT-4 to design personalized interactions, ensuring that the intelligent cockpit could 472 provide tailored feedback based on individual preferences and real-time scenarios. Our benchmarking 473 framework evaluates the agent's ability to interpret physiological data, emotional states, gestures, 474 body movements, driving behaviors, and decision-making processes across multiple modalities. The 475 integration of retrieval-augmented generation and expert knowledge fusion within our framework 476 ensures that Sage Deer delivers accurate, context-aware, and personalized responses, significantly 477 advancing the capabilities of intelligent driving cockpits in enhancing driver comfort, safety, and 478 interaction.

479 480

481

References

482 State farm distracted driver detection, 2016. https://www.kaggle.com/c/
 483 state-farm-distracted-driver-detection.

- 484
- 485 Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report.

486	arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774, 2023.
488	Serge Bobbia Richard Macwan Yannick Benezeth Alamin Mansouri and Julien Dubois Unsu-
489	pervised skin tissue segmentation for remote photoplethysmography. <i>Pattern Recognition Letters</i> .
490	124:82–90, 2019.
491	
492	Can Cui, Yunsheng Ma, Xu Cao, Wenqian Ye, and Ziran Wang. Drive as you speak: Enabling
493	Numan-like interaction with large language models in autonomous vehicles. 2024 IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision Workshops (WACVW) pp. 902–909–2023
494	URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:262054629.
495	
496	Can Cui, Yunsheng Ma, Xu Cao, Wenqian Ye, and Ziran Wang. Receive, reason, and react: Drive as
497	you say with large language models in autonomous vehicles. ArXiv, abs/2310.08034, 2023b. URL
498	nups://api.semanuicscholar.org/corpusiD:263908840.
499	Can Cui, Zichong Yang, Yupeng Zhou, Yunsheng Ma, Juanwu Lu, and Ziran Wang. Personalized
500	autonomous driving with large language models: Field experiments. 2023c. URL https:
501	//api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:266335383.
502	Guanglong Du Zhiyao Wang Boyu Gao Shahid Mumtaz Khamael M Abualnaia and Cuifeng Du
503	A convolution bidirectional long short-term memory neural network for driver emotion recognition.
504	IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 22(7):4570–4578, 2020.
505	Hachen M. Engi . When Abauahaan Mahamad H. Saad and Mahamad N. Maurtafa Duina
500	distraction identification with an ensemble of convolutional neural networks. <i>Journal of Advanced</i>
508	Transportation, 2019, 2019.
509	
510	Yunfan Gao, Yun Xiong, Xinyu Gao, Kangxiang Jia, Jinliu Pan, Yuxi Bi, Yi Dai, Jiawei Sun, Qianyu
511	Guo, Meng Wang, and Haofen Wang. Retrieval-augmented generation for large language models:
512	A survey. ArXiv, abs/2312.10997, 2023. UKL https://api.semanticscholar.org/
513	Corpusid:200559151.
514	Romain Guesdon, Carlos Crispim-Junior, and Laure Tougne. Dripe: A dataset for human pose
515	estimation in real-world driving settings. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference
516	on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp. 2865–2874, 2021.
517	Tobias Hecht, Anna Feldhütter, Jonas Radlmavr, Yasuhiko Nakano, Yoshikuni Miki, Corbinian
518	Henle, and Klaus Bengler. A review of driver state monitoring systems in the context of
519	automated driving. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 2018. URL https:
520	//api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:56790391.
521	Xinyu Huang, Youcai Zhang, Jinyu Ma, Weiwei Tian, Rui Feng, Yueije Zhang, Yaqian Li, Yandong
522	Guo, and Lei Zhang. Tag2text: Guiding vision-language model via image tagging. arXiv preprint
523	arXiv:2303.05657, 2023.
524 525	Ashash Jain Hame & Konnyle Share Sch. Dhared Dechaver Ari Singh and Asherts 1. Sugar
525	Ashesh Jahl, nema 5 Koppula, Shane Son, Bharad Kagnavan, Avi Singh, and Ashutosh Saxena. Brain4cars: Car that knows before you do via sensory-fusion deep learning architecture. arViv
527	preprint arXiv:1601.00740. 2016.
528	r···r·································
529	Imen Jegham, Anouar Ben Khalifa, Ihsen Alouani, and Mohamed Ali Mahjoub. Mdad: A multimodal
530	and multiview in-vehicle driver action dataset. In International Conference on Computer Analysis
531	<i>oj images ana Patterns</i> , pp. 518–529, 2019.
532	Imen Jegham, Anouar Ben Khalifa, Ihsen Alouani, and Mohamed Ali Mahjoub. A novel public
533	dataset for multimodal multiview and multispectral driver distraction analysis: 3mdad. Signal
534	Processing: Image Communication, 88:115960, 2020.
535	Mira Jeong and Byoung Chul Ko. Driver's facial expression recognition in real-time for safe driving
536	Sensors, 18(12):4270, 2018.
537	
538	Isaac Kasahara, Simon Stent, and Hyun Soo Park. Look both ways: Self-supervising driver gaze
539	esumation and road scene saliency. In European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), pp. 126–142, 2022.

540 Okan Kopuklu, Jiapeng Zheng, Hang Xu, and Gerhard Rigoll. Driver anomaly detection: A dataset 541 and contrastive learning approach. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on 542 Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pp. 91–100, 2021. 543 KunChang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhai Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and 544 Yu Qiao. Videochat: Chat-centric video understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06355, 2023a. 546 Wenbo Li, Yaodong Cui, Yintao Ma, Xingxin Chen, Guofa Li, Guanzhong Zeng, Gang Guo, and 547 Dongpu Cao. A spontaneous driver emotion facial expression (defe) dataset for intelligent vehicles: 548 Emotions triggered by video-audio clips in driving scenarios. IEEE Transactions on Affective 549 Computing, 2021a. 550 Wenbo Li, Guanzhong Zeng, Juncheng Zhang, Yan Xu, Yang Xing, Rui Zhou, Gang Guo, Yu Shen, 551 Dongpu Cao, and Fei-Yue Wang. Cogemonet: A cognitive-feature-augmented driver emotion 552 recognition model for smart cockpit. IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems, 9(3): 553 667-678, 2021b. 554 555 Wenbo Li, Guanzhong Zeng, Juncheng Zhang, Yan Xu, Yang Xing, Rui Zhou, Gang Guo, Yu Shen, 556 Dongpu Cao, and Fei Wang. Cogemonet: A cognitive-feature-augmented driver emotion recognition model for smart cockpit. IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems, 9:667–678, 558 2022. URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:249101490. 559 Wenbo Li, Dongpu Cao, Ruichen Tan, Tianze Shi, Zhenhai Gao, Jun Ma, Gang Guo, Hongyu Hu, 560 Jinshan Feng, and Lixin Wang. Intelligent cockpit for intelligent connected vehicles: Definition, 561 taxonomy, technology and evaluation. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 2023b. 562 563 Wenbo Li, Jiyong Xue, Ruichen Tan, Cong Wang, Zejian Deng, Shen Li, Gang Guo, and Dongpu Cao. Global-local-feature-fused driver speech emotion detection for intelligent cockpit in automated 565 driving. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 2023c. 566 Bin Lin, Bin Zhu, Yang Ye, Munan Ning, Peng Jin, and Li Yuan. Video-Ilava: Learning united visual 567 representation by alignment before projection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10122, 2023. 568 569 Chenhui Liu and Wei Zhang. Learning the driver acceleration/deceleration behavior under high-speed 570 environments from naturalistic driving data. IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine, 571 14(3):78-91, 2020. 572 Hao Lu, Zitong Yu, Xuesong Niu, and Ying-Cong Chen. Neuron structure modeling for generalizable 573 remote physiological measurement. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer 574 Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 18589–18599, 2023. 575 576 Xinbei Ma, Yeyun Gong, Pengcheng He, Hai Zhao, and Nan Duan. Query rewriting for 577 retrieval-augmented large language models. ArXiv, abs/2305.14283, 2023. URL https: 578 //api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:258841283. 579 Jiageng Mao, Yuxi Qian, Hang Zhao, and Yue Wang. Gpt-driver: Learning to drive with gpt. 2023. 580 581 Manuel Martin, Alina Roitberg, Monica Haurilet, Matthias Horne, Simon Reiß, Michael Voit, and 582 Rainer Stiefelhagen. Drive&act: A multi-modal dataset for fine-grained driver behavior recognition 583 in autonomous vehicles. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer 584 Vision (ICCV), pp. 2801–2810, 2019. 585 Salman Khan Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed and Fahad Khan. Video-chatgpt: Towards 586 detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. ArXiv 2306.05424, 2023. 587 588 Xuesong Niu, Shiguang Shan, Hu Han, and Xilin Chen. Rhythmnet: End-to-end heart rate estimation 589 from face via spatial-temporal representation. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 29: 590 2409-2423, 2019. Geesung Oh, Euiseok Jeong, Rak Chul Kim, Ji Hyun Yang, Sungwook Hwang, Sangho Lee, and 592 Sejoon Lim. Multimodal data collection system for driver emotion recognition based on selfreporting in real-world driving. Sensors, 22(12):4402, 2022.

594 595	Juan Diego Ortega, Neslihan Kose, Paola Cañas, Min-An Chao, Alexander Unnervik, Marcos Nieto, Oihana Otaegui, and Luis Salgado. Dmd: A large-scale multi-modal driver monitoring dataset
596 597	for attention and alertness analysis. In <i>European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)</i> , pp. 387–405, 2020
598	<i>567–</i> 1 <i>05</i> , <i>2020</i> .
599	Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. Bleu: a method for automatic
600	evaluation of machine translation. In <i>Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association</i>
601	for Computational Linguistics, pp. 311–318, 2002.
602	Ambareesh Revanur, Zhihua Li, Umur A Ciftci, Lijun Yin, and László A Jeni. The first vision for
603 604	vitals (v4v) challenge for non-contact video-based physiological estimation. In <i>Proceedings of the</i>
605	TEEL/CVT unerhanoma conjerence on computer vision, pp. 2700-2707, 2021.
606	Mohamed H Saad, Mahmoud I Khalil, and Hazem M Abbas. End-to-end driver distraction recog-
607	nition using novel low lighting support dataset. In <i>IEEE International Conference on Computer</i> Engineering and Systems (ICCES), pp. 1–6, 2020.
608	
609 610	Chonghao Sima, Katrin Renz, Kashyap Chitta, Li Chen, Hanxue Zhang, Chengen Xie, Ping Luo, Andreas Geiger, and Hongyang Li. Drivelm: Driving with graph visual question answering. <i>arXiv</i>
611	preprint arXiv:2312.14150, 2023.
612	Ronny Stricker Staffan Müller and Harst Michael Gross. Non contact video hased pulse rate
613	measurement on a mobile service robot. In The 23rd IEEE International Symposium on Pobot and
614	Human Interactive Communication on 1056–1062 IFFF 2014
615	<i>Human Interactive Communication</i> , pp. 1050–1002. IEEE, 2014.
616	Xiaoming Tao, Dingcheng Gao, Wenqi Zhang, Tianqi Liu, Bing Du, Shanghang Zhang, and Yanjun
617	Qin. A multimodal physiological dataset for driving behaviour analysis. Scientific Data, 11, 2024.
618	<pre>URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:269111060.</pre>
619	Siyu Tang Yuamin Hu Dang Dang Bai Li Yughan Li Yunfang Ai Dangshang Yang Lingvi Li
620	Zhe Xuanyuan Eenghua Zhu et al. Motion planning for autonomous driving: The state of the art
621	and future perspectives. <i>IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles</i> , 2023.
622	
623	Duy Tran, Ha Manh Do, Weihua Sheng, He Bai, and Girish Chowdhary. Real-time detection of
624	distracted driving based on deep learning. <i>IET Intelligent Transport Systems</i> , 12(10):1210–1219, 2018
625	2018.
626 627 628	Wenhai Wang, Jiangwei Xie, ChuanYang Hu, Haoming Zou, Jianan Fan, Wenwen Tong, Yang Wen, Silei Wu, Hanming Deng, Zhiqi Li, et al. Drivemlm: Aligning multi-modal large language models
629	with behavioral planning states for autonomous driving. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09245</i> , 2023.
630	Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Yizhuo Li, Yinan He, Bingkun Huang, Zhiyu Zhao, Hongjie Zhang, Jilan
631	Xu, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, et al. Internvideo: General video foundation models via generative and
632	discriminative learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.03191, 2022.
633	Ziron Wang, Vaugang Dian, Stavan E, Shladayar, Cuavayan Wu, Shangha Ehan Li, and Matthew L
634	Barth A survey on cooperative longitudinal motion control of multiple connected and automated
635	vehicles IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine 12:4–24, 2020 LIBL https:
636	//api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:210931452.
637	, ,
638	Jialian Wu, Jianfeng Wang, Zhengyuan Yang, Zhe Gan, Zicheng Liu, Junsong Yuan, and Lijuan
639 640	Wang. Grit: A generative region-to-text transformer for object understanding. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.00280</i> , 2022.
641	Lin Xi Waihai Chan Changchan Zhao. Yingming Wu, and Jianhua Wang. Imaga anhangament
642	for remote photoplethysmography in a low-light environment. In 2020 15th IFFF International
643	Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG 2020). np. 1–7. IEEE. 2020
644	,
645	Dingkang Yang, Shuai Huang, Zhi Xu, Zhenpeng Li, Shunli Wang, Mingcheng Li, Yuzheng Wang,
646	Yang Liu, Kun Yang, Zhaoyu Chen, et al. Aide: A vision-driven multi-view, multi-modal, multi-
647	tasking dataset for assistive driving perception. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International</i> Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 20459–20470, 2023a.

- Jianjun Yang, Shanshan Xing, Yimeng Chen, Ruizhi Qiu, Chunrong Hua, and Dawei Dong. A comprehensive evaluation model for the intelligent automobile cockpit comfort. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1):15014, 2022.
- Yi Yang, Qingwen Zhang, Ci Li, Daniel Simões Marta, Nazre Batool, and John Folkesson. Humancentric autonomous systems with llms for user command reasoning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV) Workshops*, pp. 988– 994, January 2024.
- Zhenjie Yang, Xiaosong Jia, Hongyang Li, and Junchi Yan. Llm4drive: A survey of large
 language models for autonomous driving. ArXiv, abs/2311.01043, 2023b. URL https:
 //api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:264935408.
 - Chaoyun Zhang, Rui Li, Woojin Kim, Daesub Yoon, and Paul Patras. Driver behavior recognition via interwoven deep convolutional neural nets with multi-stream inputs. *IEEE Access*, 8:191138–191151, 2020.
- Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. Video-llama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language
 model for video understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02858, 2023a. URL https://
 arxiv.org/abs/2306.02858.
 - Renrui Zhang, Jiaming Han, Chris Liu, Peng Gao, Aojun Zhou, Xiangfei Hu, Shilin Yan, Pan Lu, Hongsheng Li, and Yu Qiao. Llama-adapter: Efficient fine-tuning of language models with zero-init attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.16199*, 2023b.
 - CH Zhao, BL Zhang, Jie He, and Jie Lian. Recognition of driving postures by contourlet transform and random forests. *IET Intelligent Transport Systems*, 6(2):161–168, 2012.

A APPENDIX

You may include other additional sections here.