MMMU-PRO: A MORE ROBUST MULTI-DISCIPLINE MULTIMODAL UNDERSTANDING BENCHMARK

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

This paper introduces MMMU-Pro, a robust version of the Massive Multidiscipline Multimodal Understanding and Reasoning (MMMU) benchmark. MMMU-Pro rigorously assesses multimodal models' true understanding and reasoning capabilities through a three-step process based on MMMU: (1) filtering out questions answerable by text-only models, (2) augmenting candidate options, and (3) introducing a vision-only input setting where questions are embedded within images. This setting challenges AI to truly "see" and "read" simultaneously, testing *a core human cognitive skill of seamlessly integrating visual and textual information*. Results show that model performance is substantially lower on MMMU-Pro than on MMMU, ranging from 16.8% to 26.9% across models. We explore the impact of OCR prompts and Chain of Thought (CoT) reasoning, finding that OCR prompts have minimal effect while CoT generally improves performance. MMMU-Pro provides a more rigorous evaluation tool, closely mimicking realworld scenarios and offering valuable directions for future multimodal research.¹

025 026

027

033

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

1 INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in multimodal large language models (MLLMs) have led to remarkable progress in tackling complex reasoning tasks that combine textual and visual information (Yin et al., 2023a; Jin et al., 2024). Models like GPT-40 (OpenAI, 2024a) have achieved impressive results, e.g., on the Massive Multi-discipline Multimodal Understanding and Reasoning (MMMU) benchmark (Yue et al., 2024), reaching an accuracy of 69.1% on college-level questions that integrate text and images.

While these achievements are significant, they raise a critical question: Do the current benchmark results truly reflect a deep, multifaceted understanding of diverse subjects, or are these models exploiting subtle shortcuts and statistical patterns to arrive at correct answers without genuine comprehension and reasoning?

This question has profound implications for the development and deployment of AI systems in realworld applications. If models rely on superficial cues rather than true multimodal understanding (Du et al., 2023; Yuksekgonul et al., 2023), we risk overestimating their capabilities and potentially deploying systems that fail in unpredictable ways when faced with novel scenarios (Wu & Xie, 2024; Tong et al., 2024b).

To address this concern and push the boundaries of multimodal AI evaluation, we introduce MMMU-Pro, a more robust and challenging version of the MMMU benchmark. MMMU-Pro is designed to more accurately and rigorously assess a model's true multimodal understanding and reasoning capabilities across a wide range of academic disciplines. The development of MMMU-Pro is motivated by key observations, including the text-only solvability of some benchmark questions, limited option space in multiple-choice formats (Wang et al., 2024), and the need to challenge models' ability to jointly understand different modalities in a more integrated way.

MMMU-Pro employs a rigorous three-step construction process (as shown in Figure 1) that builds
 upon MMMU (Yue et al., 2024): (1) filtering out questions answerable by text-only language models, (2) augmenting candidate options to reduce the effectiveness of guessing based on the options,

¹All code, data are available at Anonymous Github Link

054 055 056

063

1 LLM Filtering $\bigvee^{\frac{1}{2}}$	2 Option Augme	ntation	3 Ph	otos/Screenshots 🔀
🎇 ммми	Filtered MM	ми		Manually take photos
LLMs w/ text-only input	Augment up to 10 options va	luman	<u>,</u>	Synthetic artifacts
Highly image-	↓ Option augmented	questions		Different font styles

Figure 1: An overview of the construction process of MMMU-Pro.

and (3) introducing a vision-only input setting (as shown in Figure 3) where models are presented with questions embedded in a screenshot or photo.

The introduction of the vision-only input setting is particularly crucial, as it tests a fundamental human cognitive ability: *the seamless integration and switching between visual and textual information*. This setting challenges models to develop the capability to truly "see" and "read" simultaneously, mirroring how humans effortlessly process complex scenes where text and images are intertwined. This ability is crucial for tasks ranging from interpreting scientific diagrams (Li et al., 2024d) to navigating graphical user interfaces (Liu et al., 2024b; Zheng et al., 2024; Koh et al., 2024). Moreover, this approach aligns with how users naturally interact with AI systems, often sharing screenshots or photos rather than meticulously separating text and images.

Our experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of MMMU-Pro in providing a more rigorous evaluation of multimodal models. We observe significant performance drops across all tested models when compared to the original MMMU benchmark, with decreases ranging from 16.8% to 26.9%. These results highlight the limitations of current state-of-the-art models in true multimodal understanding and reasoning. Furthermore, our analysis reveals that while CoT (Wei et al., 2022) prompting generally improves performance, the benefits vary across models and settings.

Interestingly, we find that explicit OCR prompts do not significantly impact performance for most models, suggesting that advanced multimodal models have already developed robust text extraction capabilities from images. However, this result also underscores that simple OCR is insufficient for the challenges presented by MMMU-Pro's vision-only input setting. Our further qualitative analysis indicates that when text is embedded within images, it significantly increases the overall complexity of the visual input, requiring models to not only recognize text but also understand its context, relationship to visual elements, and relevance to the question. These findings not only provide a more accurate assessment of current multimodal AI capabilities but also highlight the need for more sophisticated multimodal reasoning abilities.

089 090

091

092

094

095

096

098

099

100

101

102

103

104

2 MMMU-PRO: A MORE ROBUST VERSION OF MMMU

2.1 REVISITING THE MMMU BENCHMARK

The Massive Multi-discipline Multimodal Understanding and Reasoning (MMMU) benchmark (Yue et al., 2024) is a comprehensive dataset designed to evaluate multimodal AI models on college-level tasks that require subject-specific knowledge and deliberate reasoning. MMMU consists of 11.5K

Question: <image 1> The Grange, represented by the standing figure in the cartoon, received its strongest Question: Label the five stages of a bacteriophage infection in the figure. Options: support from which of the following groups? (A) A- Attachment. B- Penetration. C- Biosynthesis. D- Maturation.E- Lysis (B) A- Penetration. B- Attachment. C- Biosynthesis. D- Maturation. E- Lysis Biosynthesis. B- Penetration. C- Attachment.D- Maturation.E-(A) Western farmers (C) Wealthy industrialists (B) Urban immigrants (D) Middle-class managers (D) A- Attachment, B- Maturation, C- Biosynthesis, D- Penetration, E- Lysis Llama3-70B-Instruct: I do not Llama3-70B-Instruct: The Grange, or the Patrons of Husbandry, was an see the image, but the correct organization founded in the late 19th century to support and advocate for sequence based on the standard the interests of farmers, particularly in the Western United States. So the steps involved in bacteriophage answer is (A) Western farmers infection is likely to be (A)

- 105 106 107
- Figure 2: Two MMMU questions that are answered correctly by a text-only LLM Llama-3-70B Instruct. The model finds shortcuts or correlations in the text question and the candidate options.

\$455

No. 23: Each o









wo works exhibit? [image 1] [image 2]

Figure 3: Sample questions from MMMU-Pro Vision. The model is required to answer a multiple-choice question with up to 10 options, each embedded within a screenshot or photo. The images were manually captured by annotators in diverse display environments to reflect real-world cases.

carefully curated multimodal questions from college exams, quizzes, and textbooks, covering six core disciplines across 30 subjects and 183 subfields. Each question in MMMU is a multimodal image-text pair with 4 multiple-choice options, featuring 30 diverse image types such as charts, diagrams, maps, and chemical structures. MMMU quickly becomes a standard evaluation tool in the field, used to assess the capabilities of many prominent multimodal models upon their release (Ope-nAI, 2024a;b; Anthropic, 2024; Reid et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024a).

However, we find that text-only LLMs can accurately answer some questions without requiring any visual input. We take a closer look at these questions and identify two main issues: 1) Text-Only Dependency: Certain questions are relatively independent or irrelevant to the corresponding images. 2) Shortcut Exploitation: Even when questions require images for humans to answer correctly, models often find shortcuts or correlations within the candidate options, leveraging their pre-existing knowledge (from pre-training) to arrive at the correct answer. Two examples that are answered correctly by Llama-3-70B Instruct (Dubey et al., 2024) are shown in Figure 2.

2.2 Methods

To address these issues and build a more robust benchmark, we implemented a three-step approach.

Filtering Questions: We begin by filtering out questions that can be answered by text-only LLMs. We select four strong open-source LLMs: Llama3-70B-Instruct (Dubey et al., 2024), Qwen2-72B-Instruct (Yang et al., 2024), Yi-1.5-34B-Chat (Young et al., 2024), and Mixtral-8×22B-Instruct (gpt-40)—and task them with answering the MMMU questions without access to images. The models are required to provide answers even when they indicate that visual input is necessary. We repeat this process ten times for each model, considering a question as "answerable" if a model correctly answers it more than five times. We then exclude any question where at least three out of the four models answer correctly across the majority of trials. We randomly sample 1800 questions from the remaining pool, evenly distributed across 30 subjects (60 questions per subject).

162 Augmenting Candidate Options: Despite the 163 filtering, some questions can still be answered 164 by text-only LLMs, often exploiting subtle hints 165 within the candidate options. To counteract this, 166 we increase the number of candidate options from four to ten, making it more challenging 167 for models to rely on guessing. This augmen-168 tation is done by human experts with the assistance of GPT-40, with additional validation steps 170 to ensure the quality and diversity of the options. 171 Specifically, GPT-40 generates and Claude 3.5 172 filters the options, followed by two rounds of hu-173 man review to refine and verify the augmented



Figure 4: Accuracy of text-only LLMs in different sets of MMMU questions.

options. This augmentation is done by human experts with the assistance of GPT-40. During this
process, experts also review the original annotated questions to ensure their relevance to the images
and to eliminate any questions that lack a clear connection or coherence. This step filters out 70
questions, and we obtain 1730 questions in total.

As illustrated in Figure 4, these two steps significantly reduce the accuracy of text-only models attempting to guess the answers.

180 181

Enhancing Evaluation with a Vision-Only Setting: To further challenge the multimodal under-182 standing of models, we introduce a vision-only input setting in MMMU-Pro. In this setting, the 183 model is presented with a question embedded within a screenshot or photo, without any text explic-184 itly fed into the model. To implement this setting, we ask the human annotators to manually capture 185 photos and screenshots over a simulated display environment. This process involves varying the backgrounds, font styles, and font sizes to replicate the diversity of real-world conditions. By using 187 different combinations of these elements, we create a broad range of visual contexts, ensuring that the models are not only challenged by the integration of text and images but also by the variability 188 in how this content is presented. Examples of the vision-only input setting are shown in Figure 3. 189

190 The motivation for introducing this setting stems from real-world usage patterns and the fundamental 191 cognitive abilities of humans. In everyday scenarios, users often take shortcuts by simply capturing 192 screenshots of questions that include both text and images, rather than inputting text separately. 193 This habit of feeding integrated visual-textual content reflects a natural human tendency to process information holistically. Humans excel at interpreting and understanding information when both text 194 and images are presented together, and our goal with this setting is to push models toward achieving 195 a similar level of comprehension. By mimicking this real-world behavior, the vision-only input 196 setting not only adds realism to the benchmark but also ensures that models are better equipped to 197 handle the complexities of multimodal tasks as they appear in practical applications. 198

After these three steps, we finally obtain a total of 3460 questions (1730 samples are in the standard format, and the other 1730 are in screenshot or photo form).

201 202

203

3 EXPERIMENTS

204 205 3.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUPS

Baselines. To establish a comprehensive understanding of MMMU-Pro's difficulty and to provide
 reference points for future research, we evaluate a diverse set of state-of-the-art multimodal models
 as baselines. These models represent a range of training approaches and capabilities in the field of
 multimodal AI. Our baseline models include:

Proprietary Models: GPT-40 (0513) (OpenAI, 2024a) and GPT-40 mini (OpenAI, 2024b), Claude 3.5 Sonnet (Anthropic, 2024), and Gemini 1.5 Pro (0801 and 0523 versions) (Team et al., 2023; Reid et al., 2024). These models represent the cutting edge of multimodal AI capabilities.

Open-source models: We evaluate a range of open-source models, including InternVL2 (8B, 40B, and Llama3-76B versions) (Chen et al., 2024), LLaVA (OneVision-7B, OneVision-72B, and various NeXT versions) (Li et al., 2024a; Liu et al., 2024a), VILA-1.5-40B (Lin et al., 2024), MiniCPM-

	Ν	IMMU-Pro				
	Standard (4 Opts)	Standard (10 Opts)	Vision	MMMU (Val)	Δ_1	Δ_2
Random Choice	24.9	12.8	12.4	22.1	-9.3	-9.7
Frequent Choice	27.8	12.1	12.1	26.8	-14.7	-14.7
Human Expert (Low)	75.4	73.0	73.0	76.2	-3.2	-3.2
Human Expert (Medium)	82.1	80.8	80.8	82.6	-1.8	-1.8
Human Expert (High)	88.6	85.4	85.4	88.6	-3.2	-3.2
GPT-40 (0513) (OpenAI, 2024a)	64.7	54.0	49.7	69.1	-15.1 († 1)	-19.4 (-)
Claude 3.5 Sonnet (Anthropic, 2024)	63.7	55.0	48.0	68.3	-13.3 (↓ 1)	-20.3 (-)
Gemini 1.5 Pro (0801) (Reid et al., 2024)	60.6	49.4	44.4	65.8	-16.4 (-)	-21.4 (-)
Gemini 1.5 Pro (0523) (Reid et al., 2024)	57.6	46.5	40.5	62.2	-15.7 (-)	-21.7 (-)
GPT-40 mini (OpenAI, 2024b)	55.3	39.9	35.2	59.4	-19.5 († 1)	-24.2 († 1
Qwen2-VL-72B (Qwen, 2024)	59.3	49.2	43.3	64.5	-15.3 (-)	-21.2 (-)
InternVL2-Llama3-76B (Chen et al., 2024)	55.0	41.9	38.0	58.3	-16.4 (↓ 1)	-20.3 (↓ 1
InternVL2-40B (Chen et al., 2024)	47.4	36.3	32.1	55.2	-18.9 (-)	-23.1 (↓ 1
LLaVA-OneVision-72B (Li et al., 2024a)	52.3	38.0	24.0	56.8	-18.8 (-)	-32.8 († 5
Qwen2-VL-7B (Qwen, 2024)	46.6	34.1	27.0	54.1	-20.0 († 1)	-27.1 (↓ 1
Pixtral-12B (Mistral, 2024)	47.5	33.4	25.0	52.5	-19.1 († 1)	-27.5 (-)
InternVL2-8B (Chen et al., 2024)	42.6	32.5	25.4	51.2	-18.7 (-)	-25.8 (↓ 3
MiniCPM-V2.6 (Yao et al., 2024)	40.6	30.2	24.2	49.8	-19.6 († 1)	-25.6 (↓ 3
VILA-1.5-40B (Lin et al., 2024)	46.8	35.9	14.1	51.9	-16.0 (↓ 2)	-37.8 († 9
LLaVA-NEXT-72B (Liu et al., 2024a)	43.0	31.0	19.2	49.9	-18.9 (-)	-30.7 (-)
LLaVA-OneVision-7B (Li et al., 2024a)	42.8	29.5	18.7	48.8	-19.3 († 2)	-30.1 (↓ 1
LLaVA-NeXT-34B (Liu et al., 2024a)	44.5	30.3	17.2	48.1	-17.8 (\ 2)	-30.9 <mark>(↓</mark> 1
Idefics3-8B-Llama3 (Laurençon et al., 2024)	40.8	30.1	15.6	46.6	-16.5 <mark>(↓ 1)</mark>	-31.0 (-)
Qwen2-VL-2B (Qwen, 2024)	34.8	25.3	17.2	41.1	-15.8(-)	-23.9 (↓ 3
Phi-3.5-Vision (Abdin et al., 2024)	37.8	26.3	13.1	43.0	-16.7 (-)	-29.9 († 3
LLaVA-NeXT-7B (Liu et al., 2024a)	33.7	19.4	14.6	35.3	-15.9 (-)	<u>-20.7</u> (↓ 3
LLaVA-NeXT-13B (Liu et al., 2024a)	33.9	19.8	14.5	36.2	-16.4 (-)	-21.7 (↓ 1

²⁴¹

Table 1: Results of models on MMMU-Pro and MMMU (Val). Δ_1 : Standard (10 options) - MMMU (Val); Δ_2 : Vision - MMMU (Val). (\downarrow) represents a decrease in ranking, while (\uparrow) indicates an increase. The best-performing model in each category is **in-bold**, and the second best is underlined.

244 245

256

V2.6 (Yao et al., 2024), Phi-3.5-Vision (Abdin et al., 2024), and Idefics3-8B-Llama3 (Laurençon et al., 2024). These models showcase the current state of publicly available multimodal AI systems. We evaluate these models across three different settings: 1) Standard setting without augmented options (usually 4 options); 2) Standard setting with augmented options (usually 10 options); 3)Vision-only input setting.

The overall performance score for MMMU-Pro is calculated as the average of scores from settings
(2) and (3). We include setting (1) and report the original MMMU validation set performance solely for comparison purposes, to highlight the increased difficulty of MMMU-Pro.

We evaluate the models with both *Direct* and *CoT* prompts (as shown in Appendix B), and report the higher ones in the overall results. We also discuss the influence of the CoT prompt in subsection 3.3.

Approximating Human Expert Performance. While rigorous human evaluation of MMMU-Pro 257 provides valuable insights, conducting such an assessment is both time-consuming and costly. In-258 stead, we develop an approach to approximate human expert performance based on the original 259 MMMU human evaluation data.² This approximation is justified by several key factors. Firstly, 260 the core content and difficulty of the questions remain unchanged in MMMU-Pro, supporting the 261 validity of using the original human performance data as a close approximation. Secondly, in the 262 original MMMU evaluation, human experts are required to write out their problem-solving pro-263 cesses, significantly reducing the likelihood of random guessing. For questions without detailed 264 solving processes, we randomly select one option from the augmented candidates and recalculate 265 the accuracy. Finally, human experts, with their innate ability to seamlessly integrate visual and 266 textual information, are expected to perform similarly in the vision-only input setting as they do 267 in the original format. Based on these considerations, we posit that human expert performance on MMMU-Pro closely aligns with the original MMMU results, allowing us to maintain a human per-268

²We contacted the MMMU authors and obtained their original human evaluation raw data.



Figure 5: Impact of CoT prompting of different models in the two settings of MMMU-Pro.

formance benchmark without incurring the substantial costs of a new expert evaluation. More details of the human estimation performance can be found in Appendix C.

289 3.2 OVERALL RESULTS

290 We presented the overall results of MMMU-Pro of different models in Table 1. 291

292 **Effect of Increased Candidate Options:** The shift from 4 to 10 candidate options (Δ_1) reveals a 293 significant drop in performance for all models. GPT-40 (0513) experienced a decrease of 10.7%, from 64.7% to 54.0%. This indicates that increasing the number of options effectively reduces the 294 likelihood of models guessing the correct answer, forcing them to engage more deeply with the 295 multimodal content. 296

297 **Impact of Vision-Only Setting:** The introduction of the vision-only input setting further challenges 298 models, as evidenced by the additional drop in performance when comparing the vision-only results 299 to the 10-option standard (Δ_2). For instance, GPT-40 (0513) dropped another 4.3% in accuracy 300 when evaluated in the vision-only setting, and LLaVA-OneVision-72B saw a dramatic 14.0% decrease. This suggests that the vision-only setting successfully tests the models' ability to integrate 301 visual and textual information, highlighting their limitations when the text is not explicitly provided. 302

303 Combined Effects on MMMU-Pro: The overall Δ_3 , representing the difference between MMMU-304 Pro and MMMU (Val), shows a significant decrease across the board. For instance, models like 305 Gemini 1.5 Pro (0801) and Claude 3.5 Sonnet exhibited declines of 18.9% and 16.8%, respectively, 306 while more drastic drops were seen in models like VILA-1.5-40B with a 26.9% decrease.

307 This significant reduction in accuracy across the board suggests that MMMU-Pro successfully miti-308 gates the shortcuts and guessing strategies that models could exploit in the original benchmark.

309 310

311

284 285

286

287 288

- **DOES COT HELP IN ANSWERING MMMU-PRO QUESTIONS?** 3.3 312
- 313 Figure 5 examines the effectiveness of Chain of Thought (CoT) prompting in enhancing model 314 performance on the MMMU-Pro benchmark, both in the Standard and Vision Input settings. Across 315 both settings, the introduction of CoT prompts generally led to improved performance. However, the extent of improvement varied significantly among models. For instance, Claude 3.5 Sonnet 316 demonstrated a substantial increase in the Standard setting, improving from 42.7% to 55.0%. In 317 contrast, models like LLaVA-OneVision-72B showed only minimal improvement. 318

319 Interestingly, we observed a significant performance drop for some models, such as VILA1.5-40B. 320 This decline might be attributed to challenges in instruction-following abilities. When a model 321 struggles to follow instructions accurately, generating CoT explanations becomes more difficult. Additionally, these models may face issues with maintaining the correct response format, leading 322 to what is known as "boiled response format" problems. These findings highlight the potential of 323 CoT to enhance model performance in complex, real-world tasks that require nuanced reasoning

Discipline	LLa	vA-OneVisio	n-72B	GPT4o			
Discipline	CoT Acc	Direct Acc	Difference	CoT Acc	DIRECT Acc	Difference	
Art and Design	20.42%	37.53%	-17.12%	63.14%	61.55%	1.58%	
Science	23.89%	22.61%	1.28%	46.67%	38.46%	8.22%	
Business	29.26%	24.50%	4.76%	57.45%	42.79%	14.66%	
Humanities and Social Science	32.14%	36.60%	-4.46%	60.08%	57.87%	2.21%	
Health and Medicine	19.22%	20.78%	-1.56%	49.68%	44.34%	5.34%	
Tech and Engineering	22.98%	20.65%	2.33%	37.72%	23.23%	14.49%	

Table 2: Comparison of CoT and direct accuracy of two representative models across disciplines in the Vision Input setting. Difference = CoT Acc - Direct Acc.

and integration of multiple information sources. However, they also underscore the importance of robust instruction-following capabilities as a prerequisite for effective CoT implementation.

The effectiveness of CoT prompting across disciplines is summarized in Table 2 and Figure 10, comparing CoT and direct accuracy for GPT-40 and LLaVA-OneVision 72B. CoT shows significant improvements in reasoning-intensive fields like Tech and Engineering (e.g., a 14.49% gain for GPT-40) and Science (8.22% gain). Smaller yet consistent gains are observed for LLaVA-OneVision 72B, such as 2.33% in Tech and Engineering. However, CoT's benefits are limited or negative in fields like Art and Design, where GPT-40 gains only 1.58%, and LLaVA-OneVision 72B sees a 17.12% decline. These results underscore CoT's strengths in structured reasoning tasks but its reduced effectiveness in domains requiring subjective interpretation.

3.4 DOES OCR HELP IN THE VISION SETTING?

In the Vision Input setting, one natural question is whether Optical Character Recognition (OCR) helps improve model performance on MMMU-Pro. We answer this question by first calculating the OCR accuracy of different models. Specifically, we ask the model to extract the full text of the question and answer choices. Then the OCR accuracy is calculated by comparing the text extracted with the original text using Levenshtein distance, which measures the difference between the two strings. The similarity between the extracted and original text is computed as: OCR Accuracy = $1 - \text{Levenshtein.distance(text1, text2)}/\max(\text{len(text1), len(text2)}).$



		Vision Setting Acc.				
Model	OCR Acc.	w/ OCR Prompt	w/o OCR Prompt			
GPT-40	92.3	49.7	49.4			
Gemini 1.5 Pro(0801)	89.7	44.4	43.6			
GPT-40 mini	89.6	35.2	35.6			
InternVL2-Llama3-76B	88.1	38.0	37.9			
InternVL2-Llama3-40B	85.5	32.1	28.9			
Pixtral-12B	83.1	25.0	24.1			
LLaVA-OneVision-72B	87.8	24.0	23.8			
InternVL2-8B	85.2	25.4	24.6			
MiniCPM-V2.6	67.0	24.2	21.1			
LLaVA-NEXT-72B	62.0	19.2	20.0			
Idefics3-8B-Llama3	68.5	15.6	14.1			
LLaVA-NeXT-7B	36.6	14.6	14.3			
LLaVA-NeXT-13B	51.1	14.5	12.8			

Figure 6: Correlation between OCR accuracy and MMMU-Pro Vision performance.



Table 3 shows that although most of the models demonstrate strong OCR capabilities, as indicated
by high similarity scores. Based on the result, we then explore whether explicitly asking the model
to first extract the question and then solve it (with an OCR prompt shown in Appendix B) could
help in improving performance within the Vision Input setting of MMMU-Pro. Across the models
evaluated, the inclusion of OCR prompts did not significantly alter performance. These minimal
differences suggest that strong capable models are already proficient at extracting and understanding
textual information from images, even without explicit OCR prompts.



431 at a definitive choice, leading to increased errors with more options, as shown in Figure 11. Conceptually similar options, particularly in nuanced questions, can cause confusion. For instance,

432 in conceptual questions, models struggled to differentiate subtle distinctions within a subject area, 433 revealing limitations in fine-grained understanding. 434



Figure 8: Error distribution of 60 annotated GPT-40 errors.

Figure 9: GPT-40 outputs' length comparison between the Standard and Vision settings.

450 Increased Cognitive Load in Vision-Text Integration. Processing visual and textual inputs simul-451 taneously increases the cognitive load on models. An example is shown in Figure 7. The model 452 perfectly extracted the text from the image but still failed to answer the question correctly. Another 453 case is shown in Figure 21. The graph's similar lines and overlapping data points may distract the 454 model from distinguishing between the two unemployment categories, leading to the error.

455 **Overemphasis on Visual Cues in Multimodal Reasoning.** When visual cues dominate over tex-456 tual reasoning, models may incorrectly prioritize less relevant information from the images. In the 457 Figure 33 example, the Vision Setting incorrectly chose the League of Nations by focusing on the 458 World War I image, missing the broader context of World War II and the United Nations. A proper 459 balance between visual and textual information is essential to avoid such mistakes.

460 Impact of Context Switching. Rapid transitions between visual and textual information can cause 461 models to lose focus or misinterpret key data. For example, in Figure 26, the model initially correctly 462 defined both the objective function and the algebraic constraints. However, due to context switching 463 between the textual description and the geometric figure, it misinterpreted the feasible region. 464

These cases highlight MMMU-Pro's effectiveness in exposing the limitations of current multimodal 465 models, particularly in handling increased complexity and integrating diverse information types. 466

3.6 ERROR ANALYSIS

469 Following the MMMU error analysis, we analyze 60 error cases from GPT-40 in the Vision setting 470 to better understand the error reasons (Figure 8). Consistent with MMMU findings, the errors are 471 broadly categorized into three main types: perception errors, knowledge errors, and reasoning errors. 472 However, reasoning errors account for 46% of cases, a significant increase from the original MMMU distribution (26%). Within perception errors, text recognition and OCR do not prove to be the 473 primary bottleneck. Instead, the main challenges lie in the integration and interpretation of visual 474 and textual information. This shift in error distribution highlights the increased difficulty for models 475 in transitioning from accurate perception to complex multimodal reasoning. 476

477 478

467

468

437

441

447 448

449

3.7 **RESPONSE LENGTH COMPARISON BETWEEN THE SETTINGS**

479 One interesting observation we have from the previous qualitative examples is that responses (espe-480 cially the reasoning sentences) of GPT-40 under the Vision Input setting seem to be shorter than the 481 Standard setting. We quantify this phenomenon by asking another LLM (Qwen2-72B-Instruct (Yang 482 et al., 2024)) to classify the GPT-4o's responses into "Descriptive" sentences and "Analytical" sen-483 tences. As shown in Figure 9, GPT-40 generates significantly shorter responses but uses more tokens for "Descriptive" rather than "Analytical". One possible reason is that the increased cognition work-484 load of the vision inputs requires the model to focus more on visual processing, which distracts the 485 model from generating extensive reasoning chains.

486 4 GUIDE FOR FUTURE MODEL TRAINING

487 488 489

490

The results of MMMU-Pro provide valuable insights into the challenges faced by current multimodal models and suggest several promising directions for future model development.

Scaling of LLM Backbones. As demonstrated in Table 1, increasing the scale of large language
model (LLM) backbones consistently enhances both perception and reasoning capabilities. For
example, larger models such as GPT-40 outperform their smaller counterparts like GPT-40 mini,
while LlavaOneVision-72B achieves better results than LlavaOneVision-7B. Similarly, InternVL278B demonstrates superior performance compared to InternVL2-8B. This trend underscores the
importance of scaling as a critical factor in improving multimodal understanding and reasoning.

More Capable Vision Encoders that Highlights 497 Visual Representation Learning. We train two 498 Cambrian Tong et al. (2024a) models on 1M Cam-499 brian data with two different vision encoders to 500 explore their impact (more details of the setup 501 are in Appendix F). As shown in Table 4, en-502 coders such as Siglip ViT-SO400M-14 (Zhai et al., 503 2023), trained with extensive language supervision, 504 perform well on MMMU (Val) but struggle on

Method	MMMU (Val)	MMMU-Pro (Vision)
DINOv2 ViT-G-14	37.1	17.4
Siglip ViT-SO400M-14	37.9	16.7

Table 4: Performance of an MLLM with different vision encoders on MMMU and MMMU-Pro.

MMMU-Pro (Vision). In comparison, self-supervised encoders like DINOv2 ViT-G-14 (Oquab
 et al., 2023) achieve better results on the Vision input setting. These findings suggest future work
 may focus on further enhancing visual feature learning while exploring the integration of language based training objectives with self-supervised training objectives.

 Better Integration of Vision and Text Modalities. The integration of visual and textual information remains a key challenge for multimodal models. Current architectures often struggle with tasks requiring deep cross-modal understanding. Developing models with better cross-modal attention and effective feature fusion is critical for bridging this gap.

CoT Data Generation. The CoT prompting technique shows significant benefits in reasoning-heavy domains within MMMU-Pro, as reflected in Figure 5 and Table 2. While domains like *Tech and Engineering* and *Business* see notable improvements, CoT performance remains weak or even detrimental in areas such as *Art and Design*. To address these gaps, future efforts focus on synthesizing more diverse reasoning-intensive CoT data and tailoring strategies for domains where CoT impact is minimal. Leveraging inference-compute concepts (Welleck et al., 2024) further enhances CoT capabilities, enabling models to generalize more effectively across varied reasoning tasks.

 Text-Rich Image Generation in Reasoning Scenarios. Our analysis shows that strong OCR accuracy and reasoning performance on traditional benchmarks do not always translate to success on MMMU-Pro Vision. A potential reason is the lack of training data with text-rich images in reasoning-intensive contexts. To address this, we developed a tool leveraging the MMMU-Pro Vision human annotation process. This tool processes a JSON file with questions and images and outputs screenshots embedding both. Such tools can further generate similar datasets at scale, enhancing models' ability to integrate visual and textual information in real-world scenarios.

⁵²⁷ By focusing on these directions, future modeling efforts can address limitations highlighted by
 ⁵²⁸ MMMU-Pro and push multimodal understanding and reasoning boundaries.

- 529
- 530 531

531 5 CONCLUSION

MMMU-Pro presents a more robust multimodal understanding and reasoning benchmark compared
 with its predecessor MMMU. Our results demonstrate MMMU-Pro's effectiveness in exposing the
 limitations of current state-of-the-art multimodal models, with significant performance drops across
 all tested systems. MMMU-Pro opens up several important avenues for future research: 1) Devel oping models with consistent performance across all MMMU-Pro settings, particularly in bridging
 the gap between standard and vision-only inputs. 2) Improving vision-text integration capabilities to
 handle complex, mixed-format inputs more effectively. 3) Exploring advanced reasoning techniques
 to address the increased complexity of MMMU-Pro questions.

540 ETHICAL STATEMENT

541 542

The MMMU-Pro benchmark is designed with ethical considerations to ensure fair and responsible
AI evaluation. The dataset excludes sensitive content, and the assessment focuses on testing multimodal capabilities without introducing bias. We aim for transparency in reporting model limitations
and encourage further research to address any societal impacts related to the use of these models in
real-world applications.

547 548

549

555 556

562

563

564 565

566

567

Reproducibility Statement

To promote transparency and reproducibility, all code, data, and experimental results for MMMU-Pro are made publicly available through an anonymous GitHub repository (link provided in the paper). The detailed methodology for constructing the benchmark, including the filtering process, option augmentation, and vision-only input setting, is clearly documented, enabling other researchers to replicate or extend the benchmark.

References

- Marah Abdin, Sam Ade Jacobs, Ammar Ahmad Awan, Jyoti Aneja, Ahmed Awadallah, Hany Awadalla, Nguyen Bach, Amit Bahree, Arash Bakhtiari, Harkirat Behl, et al. Phi-3 technical report: A highly capable language model locally on your phone. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2404.14219, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.14219.
 - Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katherine Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, et al. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022.
 - Anthropic. Claude 3.5 sonnet. https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-5-sonnet, 2024. URL https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-5-sonnet.
- Stanislaw Antol, Aishwarya Agrawal, Jiasen Lu, Margaret Mitchell, Dhruv Batra, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. VQA: visual question answering. In 2015 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2015, Santiago, Chile, December 7-13, 2015, pp. 2425–2433.
 IEEE Computer Society, 2015. doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2015.279. URL https://doi.org/10. 1109/ICCV.2015.279.
- Anas Awadalla, Irena Gao, Josh Gardner, Jack Hessel, Yusuf Hanafy, Wanrong Zhu, Kalyani
 Marathe, Yonatan Bitton, Samir Gadre, Shiori Sagawa, et al. Openflamingo: An opensource framework for training large autoregressive vision-language models. ArXiv preprint,
 abs/2308.01390, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.01390.
- 577 Yen-Chun Chen, Linjie Li, Licheng Yu, Ahmed El Kholy, Faisal Ahmed, Zhe Gan, Yu Cheng, and Jingjing Liu. Uniter: Universal image-text representation learning. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 104–120, 2020.
- Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2404.16821, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16821.
- Chenhang Cui, Yiyang Zhou, Xinyu Yang, Shirley Wu, Linjun Zhang, James Zou, and Huaxiu
 Yao. Holistic analysis of hallucination in gpt-4v (ision): Bias and interference challenges. ArXiv
 preprint, abs/2311.03287, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.03287.
- Wenliang Dai, Junnan Li, DONGXU LI, Anthony Tiong, Junqi Zhao, Weisheng Wang, Boyang Li, Pascale N Fung, and Steven Hoi. Instructblip: Towards generalpurpose vision-language models with instruction tuning. In A. Oh, T. Naumann, A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 36, pp. 49250–49267. Curran Associates, Inc., 2023. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2023/ file/9a6a435e75419a836fe47ab6793623e6-Paper-Conference.pdf.

594 Mengnan Du, Fengxiang He, Na Zou, Dacheng Tao, and Xia Hu. Shortcut learning of large language 595 models in natural language understanding. Communications of the ACM, 67(1):110–120, 2023. 596 Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha 597 Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The llama 3 herd of models. 598 ArXiv preprint, abs/2407.21783, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.21783. 600 Xingyu Fu, Yushi Hu, Bangzheng Li, Yu Feng, Haoyu Wang, Xudong Lin, Dan Roth, Noah A 601 Smith, Wei-Chiu Ma, and Ranjay Krishna. Blink: Multimodal large language models can see but not perceive. ArXiv preprint, abs/2404.12390, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/ 602 2404.12390. 603 604 Peng Gao, Jiaming Han, Renrui Zhang, Ziyi Lin, Shijie Geng, Aojun Zhou, Wei Zhang, Pan Lu, 605 Conghui He, Xiangyu Yue, et al. Llama-adapter v2: Parameter-efficient visual instruction model. 606 ArXiv preprint, abs/2304.15010, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.15010. 607 Yash Goyal, Tejas Khot, Douglas Summers-Stay, Dhruv Batra, and Devi Parikh. Making the V in 608 VQA matter: Elevating the role of image understanding in visual question answering. In 2017 609 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2017, Honolulu, HI, USA, 610 July 21-26, 2017, pp. 6325–6334. IEEE Computer Society, 2017. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2017.670. 611 URL https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2017.670. 612 613 gpt-40. Cheaper, better, faster, stronger. https://mistral.ai/news/mixtral-8x22b/, 2024. URL https: //mistral.ai/news/mixtral-8x22b/. 614 615 Dongfu Jiang, Xuan He, Huaye Zeng, Cong Wei, Max Ku, Qian Liu, and Wenhu Chen. Mantis: 616 Interleaved multi-image instruction tuning. ArXiv preprint, abs/2405.01483, 2024. URL https: 617 //arxiv.org/abs/2405.01483. 618 Yizhang Jin, Jian Li, Yexin Liu, Tianjun Gu, Kai Wu, Zhengkai Jiang, Muyang He, Bo Zhao, Xin 619 Tan, Zhenye Gan, et al. Efficient multimodal large language models: A survey. ArXiv preprint, 620 abs/2405.10739, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.10739. 621 622 Jing Yu Koh, Robert Lo, Lawrence Jang, Vikram Duvvur, Ming Lim, Po-Yu Huang, Graham Neubig, Shuyan Zhou, Russ Salakhutdinov, and Daniel Fried. VisualWebArena: Evaluating multimodal 623 agents on realistic visual web tasks. In Lun-Wei Ku, Andre Martins, and Vivek Srikumar (eds.), 624 Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Vol-625 ume 1: Long Papers), pp. 881–905, Bangkok, Thailand, 2024. Association for Computational 626 Linguistics. URL https://aclanthology.org/2024.acl-long.50. 627 628 Hugo Laurençon, Andrés Marafioti, Victor Sanh, and Léo Tronchon. Building and better under-629 standing vision-language models: insights and future directions. ArXiv preprint, abs/2408.12637, 630 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.12637. 631 Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Liangyu Chen, Jinghao Wang, Jingkang Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Otter: A 632 multi-modal model with in-context instruction tuning. ArXiv preprint, abs/2305.03726, 2023. 633 URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.03726. 634 Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Dong Guo, Renrui Zhang, Feng Li, Hao Zhang, Kaichen Zhang, Yanwei 635 Li, Ziwei Liu, and Chunyuan Li. Llava-onevision: Easy visual task transfer. ArXiv preprint, 636 abs/2408.03326, 2024a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.03326. 637 638 Bohao Li, Yuying Ge, Yixiao Ge, Guangzhi Wang, Rui Wang, Ruimao Zhang, and Ying Shan. 639 Seed-bench: Benchmarking multimodal large language models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF 640 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp. 13299–13308, 2024b. 641 Feng Li, Renrui Zhang, Hao Zhang, Yuanhan Zhang, Bo Li, Wei Li, Zejun Ma, and Chunyuan Li. 642 Llava-next-interleave: Tackling multi-image, video, and 3d in large multimodal models. ArXiv 643 preprint, abs/2407.07895, 2024c. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.07895. 644 Xiujun Li, Xi Yin, Chunyuan Li, Pengchuan Zhang, Xiaowei Hu, Lei Zhang, Lijuan Wang, Houdong 645 Hu, Li Dong, Furu Wei, et al. Oscar: Object-semantics aligned pre-training for vision-language 646 tasks. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 647

2020, Proceedings, Part XXX 16, pp. 121-137. Springer, 2020.

665

667

673

677

678

- 648 Zekun Li, Xianjun Yang, Kyuri Choi, Wanrong Zhu, Ryan Hsieh, HyeonJung Kim, Jin Hyuk Lim, 649 Sungyoung Ji, Byungju Lee, Xifeng Yan, et al. Mmsci: A multimodal multi-discipline dataset 650 for phd-level scientific comprehension. ArXiv preprint, abs/2407.04903, 2024d. URL https: 651 //arxiv.org/abs/2407.04903.
- 652 Ji Lin, Hongxu Yin, Wei Ping, Pavlo Molchanov, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Song Han. Vila: On pre-653 training for visual language models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer 654 Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 26689–26699, 2024. 655
- 656 Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr 657 Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In Computer 658 Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13, pp. 740–755. Springer, 2014. 659
- Fuxiao Liu, Tianrui Guan, Zongxia Li, Lichang Chen, Yaser Yacoob, Dinesh Manocha, and Tianyi 661 Zhou. Hallusionbench: You see what you think? or you think what you see? an image-context 662 reasoning benchmark challenging for gpt-4v (ision), llava-1.5, and other multi-modality models. 663 ArXiv preprint, abs/2310.14566, 2023a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.14566.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning. In NeurIPS 2023 Workshop on Instruction Tuning and Instruction Following, 2023b. URL 666 https://openreview.net/forum?id=yx3Hkx5ved.
- 668 Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. In 669 A. Oh, T. Naumann, A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine (eds.), Advances in 670 Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 36, pp. 34892–34916. Curran Associates, Inc., 671 2023c. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2023/ 672 file/6dcf277ea32ce3288914faf369fe6de0-Paper-Conference.pdf.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae 674 Lee. Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, 2024a. URL https: 675 //llava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/. 676
 - Junpeng Liu, Yifan Song, Bill Yuchen Lin, Wai Lam, Graham Neubig, Yuanzhi Li, and Xiang Yue. Visualwebbench: How far have multimodal llms evolved in web page understanding and grounding? Conference on Language Modeling, 2024b.
- 680 Yuan Liu, Haodong Duan, Yuanhan Zhang, Bo Li, Songyang Zhang, Wangbo Zhao, Yike Yuan, Jiaqi 681 Wang, Conghui He, Ziwei Liu, et al. Mmbench: Is your multi-modal model an all-around player? 682 ArXiv preprint, abs/2307.06281, 2023d. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.06281. 683
- 684 Jiasen Lu, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, and Stefan Lee. Vilbert: Pretraining task-agnostic visiolinguistic representations for vision-and-language tasks. In Hanna M. Wallach, Hugo Larochelle, 685 Alina Beygelzimer, Florence d'Alché-Buc, Emily B. Fox, and Roman Garnett (eds.), Ad-686 vances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Informa-687 tion Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 688 pp. 13-23, 2019. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2019/hash/ 689 c74d97b01eae257e44aa9d5bade97baf-Abstract.html. 690
- 691 Pan Lu, Hritik Bansal, Tony Xia, Jiacheng Liu, Chunyuan Li, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Hao Cheng, Kai-692 Wei Chang, Michel Galley, and Jianfeng Gao. Mathvista: Evaluating mathematical reasoning of 693 foundation models in visual contexts. ArXiv preprint, abs/2310.02255, 2023a. URL https: //arxiv.org/abs/2310.02255. 694
- Yujie Lu, Xiujun Li, William Yang Wang, and Yejin Choi. Vim: Probing multimodal large language 696 models for visual embedded instruction following. ArXiv preprint, abs/2311.17647, 2023b. URL 697 https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.17647.
- Kenneth Marino, Mohammad Rastegari, Ali Farhadi, and Roozbeh Mottaghi. OK-VQA: A visual 699 question answering benchmark requiring external knowledge. In IEEE Conference on Computer 700 Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2019, Long Beach, CA, USA, June 16-20, 2019, pp. 3195-3204. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 2019. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2019.00331.

702 URL http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content_CVPR_2019/html/Marino_ 703 OK-VQA_A_Visual_Question_Answering_Benchmark_Requiring_External_ 704 Knowledge_CVPR_2019_paper.html. 705 Mistral. Pixtral-12b. https://mistral.ai/news/pixtral-12b, 2024. URL https://mistral.ai/ 706 news/pixtral-12b. 707 708 Masoud Monajatipoor, Liunian Harold Li, Mozhdeh Rouhsedaghat, Lin Yang, and Kai-Wei Chang. 709 MetaVL: Transferring in-context learning ability from language models to vision-language mod-710 els. In Anna Rogers, Jordan Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (eds.), Proceedings of the 61st 711 Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), pp. 712 495–508, Toronto, Canada, 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/ 713 2023.acl-short.43. URL https://aclanthology.org/2023.acl-short.43. 714 OpenAI. Gpt-4v(ision) system card, 2023. URL https://cdn.openai.com/papers/ 715 GPTV_System_Card.pdf. 716 717 OpenAI. Hello gpt4-o. https://openai.com/index/hello-gpt-4o/, 2024a. URL https://openai. 718 com/index/hello-gpt-4o/. 719 720 OpenAI. Gpt-40 mini: advancing cost-efficient intelligence. https://openai.com/index/gpt-40-721 mini-advancing-cost-efficient-intelligence/, 2024b. URL https://openai.com/index/ gpt-4o-mini-advancing-cost-efficient-intelligence/. 722 723 Maxime Oquab, Timothée Darcet, Théo Moutakanni, Huy Vo, Marc Szafraniec, Vasil Khalidov, 724 Pierre Fernandez, Daniel Haziza, Francisco Massa, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, et al. Dinov2: Learning 725 robust visual features without supervision. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.07193, 2023. 726 727 Qwen. Qwen2-vl: To see the world more clearly. https://qwen1m.github.io/blog/qwen2-vl/, 2024. 728 Machel Reid, Nikolay Savinov, Denis Teplyashin, Dmitry Lepikhin, Timothy Lillicrap, Jean-729 baptiste Alayrac, Radu Soricut, Angeliki Lazaridou, Orhan Firat, Julian Schrittwieser, et al. Gem-730 ini 1.5: Unlocking multimodal understanding across millions of tokens of context. ArXiv preprint, 731 abs/2403.05530, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.05530. 732 733 Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, 734 Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. Gemini: a family of highly 735 capable multimodal models. ArXiv preprint, abs/2312.11805, 2023. URL https://arxiv. 736 org/abs/2312.11805. 737 Shengbang Tong, Ellis Brown, Penghao Wu, Sanghyun Woo, Manoj Middepogu, Sai Charitha 738 Akula, Jihan Yang, Shusheng Yang, Adithya Iyer, Xichen Pan, et al. Cambrian-1: A fully open, 739 vision-centric exploration of multimodal llms. ArXiv preprint, abs/2406.16860, 2024a. URL 740 https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.16860. 741 742 Shengbang Tong, Zhuang Liu, Yuexiang Zhai, Yi Ma, Yann LeCun, and Saining Xie. Eyes wide 743 shut? exploring the visual shortcomings of multimodal llms. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF 744 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 9568–9578, 2024b. 745 Yubo Wang, Xueguang Ma, Ge Zhang, Yuansheng Ni, Abhranil Chandra, Shiguang Guo, Weiming 746 Ren, Aaran Arulraj, Xuan He, Ziyan Jiang, et al. Mmlu-pro: A more robust and challenging 747 multi-task language understanding benchmark. ArXiv preprint, abs/2406.01574, 2024. URL 748 https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.01574. 749 750 Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny 751 Zhou, et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. Advances in 752 neural information processing systems, 35:24824–24837, 2022. 753 Sean Welleck, Amanda Bertsch, Matthew Finlayson, Hailey Schoelkopf, Alex Xie, Graham Neubig, 754 Ilia Kulikov, and Zaid Harchaoui. From decoding to meta-generation: Inference-time algorithms 755 for large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.16838, 2024.

- Penghao Wu and Saining Xie. V*: Guided visual search as a core mechanism in multimodal llms. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 13084–13094, 2024.
- Peng Xu, Wenqi Shao, Kaipeng Zhang, Peng Gao, Shuo Liu, Meng Lei, Fanqing Meng, Siyuan Huang, Yu Qiao, and Ping Luo. Lvlm-ehub: A comprehensive evaluation benchmark for large vision-language models. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2306.09265, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.09265.
- An Yang, Baosong Yang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, Chengpeng Li,
 Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, et al. Qwen2 technical report. ArXiv preprint,
 abs/2407.10671, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.10671.
- Yuan Yao, Tianyu Yu, Ao Zhang, Chongyi Wang, Junbo Cui, Hongji Zhu, Tianchi Cai, Haoyu Li,
 Weilin Zhao, Zhihui He, et al. Minicpm-v: A gpt-4v level mllm on your phone. *ArXiv preprint*,
 abs/2408.01800, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.01800.
- Qinghao Ye, Haiyang Xu, Guohai Xu, Jiabo Ye, Ming Yan, Yiyang Zhou, Junyang Wang, Anwen Hu, Pengcheng Shi, Yaya Shi, et al. mplug-owl: Modularization empowers large language models with multimodality. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2304.14178, 2023a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.14178.
- Qinghao Ye, Haiyang Xu, Jiabo Ye, Ming Yan, Haowei Liu, Qi Qian, Ji Zhang, Fei Huang, and Jingren Zhou. mplug-owl2: Revolutionizing multi-modal large language model with modality collaboration. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2311.04257, 2023b. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.04257.
- Shukang Yin, Chaoyou Fu, Sirui Zhao, Ke Li, Xing Sun, Tong Xu, and Enhong Chen. A survey on multimodal large language models. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2306.13549, 2023a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.13549.
- Zhenfei Yin, Jiong Wang, Jianjian Cao, Zhelun Shi, Dingning Liu, Mukai Li, Xiaoshui Huang, 783 Zhiyong Wang, Lu Sheng, LEI BAI, Jing Shao, and Wanli Ouyang. Lamm: Language-assisted 784 multi-modal instruction-tuning dataset, framework, and benchmark. In A. Oh, T. Naumann, 785 A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine (eds.), Advances in Neural Informa-786 tion Processing Systems, volume 36, pp. 26650-26685. Curran Associates, Inc., 2023b. 787 URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2023/file/ 788 548a41b9cac6f50dccf7e63e9e1b1b9b-Paper-Datasets and Benchmarks. 789 pdf. 790
- Alex Young, Bei Chen, Chao Li, Chengen Huang, Ge Zhang, Guanwei Zhang, Heng Li, Jiangcheng Zhu, Jianqun Chen, Jing Chang, et al. Yi: Open foundation models by 01. ai. ArXiv preprint, abs/2403.04652, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.04652.
- Weihao Yu, Zhengyuan Yang, Linjie Li, Jianfeng Wang, Kevin Lin, Zicheng Liu, Xinchao Wang, and Lijuan Wang. MM-vet: Evaluating large multimodal models for integrated capabilities. In Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Zico Kolter, Katherine Heller, Adrian Weller, Nuria Oliver, Jonathan Scarlett, and Felix Berkenkamp (eds.), *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 235 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 57730–57754. PMLR, 2024. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/yu240.html.
- Xiang Yue, Yuansheng Ni, Kai Zhang, Tianyu Zheng, Ruoqi Liu, Ge Zhang, Samuel Stevens,
 Dongfu Jiang, Weiming Ren, Yuxuan Sun, et al. Mmmu: A massive multi-discipline multi modal understanding and reasoning benchmark for expert agi. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 9556–9567, 2024.
- Mert Yuksekgonul, Federico Bianchi, Pratyusha Kalluri, Dan Jurafsky, and James Zou. When and
 why vision-language models behave like bags-of-words, and what to do about it? In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- Xiaohua Zhai, Basil Mustafa, Alexander Kolesnikov, and Lucas Beyer. Sigmoid loss for language
 image pre-training. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 11975–11986, 2023.

841

842

843

846

847

861 862 863

- 810 Pan Zhang, Xiaoyi Dong, Yuhang Zang, Yuhang Cao, Rui Qian, Lin Chen, Qipeng Guo, Haodong 811 Duan, Bin Wang, Linke Ouyang, Songyang Zhang, Wenwei Zhang, Yining Li, Yang Gao, Peng 812 Sun, Xinyue Zhang, Wei Li, Jingwen Li, Wenhai Wang, Hang Yan, Conghui He, Xingcheng 813 Zhang, Kai Chen, Jifeng Dai, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Jiaqi Wang. Internlm-xcomposer-2.5: A 814 versatile large vision language model supporting long-contextual input and output. ArXiv preprint, abs/2407.03320, 2024a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.03320. 815
- 816 Pengchuan Zhang, Xiujun Li, Xiaowei Hu, Jianwei Yang, Lei Zhang, Lijuan Wang, Yejin Choi, and 817 Jianfeng Gao. Vinvl: Revisiting visual representations in vision-language models. In IEEE Con-818 ference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2021, virtual, June 19-25, 2021, pp. 819 5579–5588. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 2021. doi: 10.1109/CVPR46437.2021.00553. 820 URL https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content/CVPR2021/html/Zhang_ 821 VinVL_Revisiting_Visual_Representations_in_Vision-Language_ 822 Models_CVPR_2021_paper.html.
- 823 Renrui Zhang, Jiaming Han, Aojun Zhou, Xiangfei Hu, Shilin Yan, Pan Lu, Hongsheng Li, Peng 824 Gao, and Yu Qiao. Llama-adapter: Efficient fine-tuning of language models with zero-init at-825 tention. ArXiv preprint, abs/2303.16199, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2303. 826 16199. 827
- Renrui Zhang, Dongzhi Jiang, Yichi Zhang, Haokun Lin, Ziyu Guo, Pengshuo Qiu, Aojun Zhou, 828 Pan Lu, Kai-Wei Chang, Peng Gao, et al. Mathverse: Does your multi-modal llm truly see the 829 diagrams in visual math problems? arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14624, 2024b. 830
- 831 Bo Zhao, Boya Wu, and Tiejun Huang. Svit: Scaling up visual instruction tuning. ArXiv preprint, 832 abs/2307.04087, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.04087. 833
- Haozhe Zhao, Zefan Cai, Shuzheng Si, Xiaojian Ma, Kaikai An, Liang Chen, Zixuan Liu, Sheng 834 Wang, Wenjuan Han, and Baobao Chang. Mmicl: Empowering vision-language model with 835 multi-modal in-context learning. The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representa-836 tions, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.07915. 837
- 838 Boyuan Zheng, Boyu Gou, Jihyung Kil, Huan Sun, and Yu Su. Gpt-4v(ision) is a generalist web 839 agent, if grounded. In Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, 2024. URL 840 https://openreview.net/forum?id=piecKJ2D1B.
- Luowei Zhou, Hamid Palangi, Lei Zhang, Houdong Hu, Jason J. Corso, and Jianfeng Gao. Unified vision-language pre-training for image captioning and VQA. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 34, pp. 13041–13049. AAAI Press, 2020. URL https: 844 //aaai.org/ojs/index.php/AAAI/article/view/7005. 845
- Deyao Zhu, Jun Chen, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Minigpt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. ArXiv preprint, abs/2304.10592, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.10592. 848

Table of Contents in Appendix

866	•	Dolo	tod Work	10
867 868	A	Nela		19
869	В	Eval	uation Prompts	20
870				
871 872	С	App	roximating Human Expert Performance	21
873	n	Fnsi	uring Quality and Diversity of Expanded Ontions	23
874 875	υ	Elist	in ing Quanty and Diversity of Expanded Options	23
876	Е	Ana	lysis of CoT's Impact	24
877				
878 879	F	Expo	erimental Setup of Vision Encoder Impact	25
880 881	G	Com	parison With and Without Augmented Options	25
882				
883	Н	Com	parison of Model Outputs Across Different Input Modes	26
884 885	т	Oua	litativa Evamples	28
886	I	Qua T 1	Art and Dasian Art	20
887		1.1	Art and Design. Art Theorem	20
888 889		1.2	Art and Design: Art Theory	29
890		1.3	Art and Design: Design	30
891		I.4	Art and Design: Music	31
892 893		I.5	Business: Accounting	32
894		I.6	Business: Economics	33
895		I.7	Business: Finance	34
896 897		I.8	Business: Manage	35
898		I.9	Business: Marketing	36
899		I.10	Science: Biology	37
900 901		I.11	Science: Chemistry	38
902		I.12	Science: Geography	39
903		I.13	Science: Math	40
904 905		I.14	Science: Physics	41
906		L15	Health and Medicine: Basic Medical Science	42
907		I 16	Health and Medicine: Clinical Medicine	43
908		I.10 I 17	Health and Medicine: Diagnostics and Laboratory Medicine	13
910		1.17 1 10	Health and Medicine: Diagnostics and Laboratory Medicine	44
911		1.10 1.10	Health and Medicine. Phalmacy	43
912		1.19		40
914		1.20	Humanities and Social Science: History	47
915 016		1.21	Humanities and Social Science: Literature	48
917		I.22	Humanities and Social Science: Sociology	49
		I.23	Humanities and Social Science: Psychology	50

918	I.24	Tech and Engineering: Agriculture	51
920	I.25	Tech and Engineering: Architecture and Engineering	52
921	I.26	Tech and Engineering: Computer Science	53
922	I.27	Tech and Engineering: Electronics	54
923 924	L28	Tech and Engineering: Energy and Power	55
925	1 29	Tech and Engineering: Materials	56
926	1.20	Tech and Engineering, Machanical Engineering	50
927 928	1.50		57
929			

930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969

972 A RELATED WORK

Multimodal Large Language Models. Recent progress in multimodal AI has been marked by innovative training approaches (Lu et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020; Alayrac et al., 2022; Awadalla et al., 2023). Inspired by the success of large language models, researchers have developed various models with improved instruction-following capabilities (Liu et al., 2023c;b; 2024a; Li et al., 2024a; Dai et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2023; Ye et al., 2023a;b; Zhao et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023; Monajatipoor et al., 2023; Zhao et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024c; Lin et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024a). Proprietary models such as GPT-4V (OpenAI, 2023), GPT-4o (OpenAI, 2024a), Gemini (Team et al., 2023), and Claude-3.5 (Anthropic, 2024) have demonstrated strong performance across various vision-language tasks. However, a significant challenge remains in accurately evaluating the capabilities of these advanced LMMs, highlighting the need for more robust and comprehensive benchmarks.

MLLM Benchmarks. The rise of more advanced multimodal pre-training and instruction tun-ing has exposed the limitations of earlier benchmarks like VQA (Antol et al., 2015; Goyal et al., 2017), OK-VQA (Marino et al., 2019), and MSCOCO (Lin et al., 2014), which no longer suffice to evaluate the full spectrum of LMMs capabilities. To address this, recent benchmarks such as LAMM (Yin et al., 2023b), LVLM-eHub (Xu et al., 2023), SEED (Li et al., 2024b), MMBench (Liu et al., 2023d), CV-Bench (Tong et al., 2024a), MM-Vet (Yu et al., 2024), Mantis (Jiang et al., 2024), and BLINK (Fu et al., 2024) have emerged, covering aspects from basic perception to hallucination detection (Cui et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023a). However, existing benchmarks often fall short in evaluating expert-level domain knowledge and complex reasoning (Lu et al., 2023a; Zhang et al., 2024b). While MMMU (Yue et al., 2024) made strides by incorporating multimodal, college-level questions, it still permits text-only models to find shortcuts (Lu et al., 2023b; Zhang et al., 2024b). To address these limitations, we introduce MMMU-Pro, a more robust benchmark that removes text-only answerable questions, expands candidate options, and includes a vision-only input setting to better reflect real-world multimodal scenarios.

1026 **EVALUATION PROMPTS** В 1027

Evaluation Prompts: OCR Prompt

OCR Prompt:

1028

1029 1030

1031

1032

1033 1034

1035

1036

1037

1039 1040

1041

1043

1044

1045

1046 1047

1048 1049

1050

1051

1052

1053 1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1062 1063

1064

1065

1067

1068

1069

"Write out the multiple-choice question in the image and then solve it. The last line of your response should be of the following format: 'Answer: \$LETTER' (without quotes) where LETTER is one of the options. Think step by step before answering."

w/o OCR Prompt:

"Answer the following multiple-choice question in the image. The last line of your response should be of the following format: 'Answer: \$LETTER' (without quotes) where LETTER is one of the options. Think step by step before answering."

Evaluation Prompts: Direct vs CoT

Direct:

"Answer directly with the option letter from the given choices."

CoT:

"Answer the following multiple-choice question. The last line of your response should be of the following format: 'Answer: \$LETTER' (without quotes) where LETTER is one of the options. Think step by step before answering."

Evaluation Prompts: OCR Task

OCR Task Prompt:

"Extract and output the full text of the question, including any introductory descriptions, as well as the corresponding answer choices from the multiple-choice question in the image. Exclude any text from associated images or the question number. Perform OCR only; do not attempt to solve the question."

Evaluation Prompts: Split Response Task

Split Response Task Prompt:

Your task is to split the given answer into two distinct parts: the part that describes the question and the part that analyzes the answer. This is a splitting task, so ensure you do not omit any content or generate any additional content not present in the input. Follow these guidelines:

- 1. Description of the Question:
- Extract the portion of the answer that describes the question being addressed.
- Ensure that this part is clear and provides enough context to understand the question.

2. Analysis of the Answer:

- Extract the portion of the answer that provides the analysis or reasoning behind the answer.
- Ensure that this part is detailed and provides a complete explanation or solution.

Please split the following answer into the two parts described above and output them in JSON format: Answer: \$LETTER

"description_of_question": "Extracted description of the question",

- "analysis_of_answer": "Extracted analysis of the answer"
- 1070 1071

ł

- 1077
- 1078

¹⁰⁸⁰ C APPROXIMATING HUMAN EXPERT PERFORMANCE

Establishing a reliable benchmark for human performance on MMMU-Pro is crucial to evaluating
the true capabilities of multimodal AI models. Conducting new and rigorous human evaluations,
however, is both time-consuming and expensive. To address this issue, we developed an approximation method based on the existing human evaluation data from the original MMMU. The resulting
estimates are presented in Table 5.

	Overall	Art & Design	Business	Science	Health & Medicine	Human & Social Sci.	Tech & Eng.
Low	73.0	77.4	77.9	78.5	65.2	63.6	73.5
Medium	80.8	83.3	88.4	84.9	72.8	75.8	78.2
High	85.4	85.7	89.5	86.0	84.8	81.8	84.4

1091 1092

1093 1094

1095

Table 5: Estimated human performance on MMMU-Pro across different disciplines, based on the original MMMU evaluation data. The table presents low, medium, and high performance estimates in terms of overall accuracy and discipline-specific breakdowns.

The validity of using this approximation method relies on several key factors. Firstly, the core 1098 content and difficulty of the questions in MMMU-Pro remain unchanged from those in the original 1099 MMMU, supporting the use of the original human performance data as a valid proxy. Secondly, 1100 in the initial MMMU evaluation, human experts were required to document their problem-solving 1101 processes, which significantly reduced the likelihood of random guessing. For questions lacking 1102 detailed solution processes, we simulated random selection from expanded candidate options and 1103 recalculated the accuracy. Finally, human experts inherently excel at seamlessly integrating visual 1104 and textual information, suggesting that their performance in a purely visual input setting would be analogous to their performance in the original format. 1105

Given that the 577 questions in MMMU-Pro are sourced from the MMMU validation set, we extracted the corresponding data from the evaluations of the 90 human experts involved in the original MMMU assessment. We categorized and counted these questions based on whether they included a detailed solution process (**w**/ Solution) or were subjected to guessing due to the lack of a detailed solution process (**w**/o Solution). We then counted the correct and incorrect answers in each category, as summarized in Table 6. Specifically, the categorization is defined in Equation 1:

1112

1118 Using these counts, we can estimate the lower bound of human performance on MMMU-Pro with 1119 Equation 2:

1120

 $Num_{Estimate(correct)} = Num_{w/Solution(correct)} + \left\lfloor \left(\frac{Num_{w/o \ Solution}}{Num_{total}} \right) \times Num_{w/o \ Solution} \right\rceil$ (2)

This formula considers the number of correctly solved questions with detailed solution processes and the proportion of correctly guessed questions without detailed solution processes, ensuring a conservative estimate.

In summary, by leveraging the original MMMU human evaluation data and applying our estimation method, we provide a reasonable approximation of human performance on MMMU-Pro. This approach maintains the human performance benchmark without incurring the substantial costs associated with new expert evaluations.

- 1131
- 1132

1	1	34
1	1	35

-1	-1	л	5
		4	Э
			-

		Lov	v			Medi	ium		High			
-	w/o Sol. (w/c)	w/ Sol. (w/c)	Est. (w/c)	Acc	w/o Sol. (w/c)	w/ Sol. (w/c)	Est. (w/c)	Acc	w/o Sol. (w/c)	w/ Sol. (w/c)	Est. (w/c)	Acc
Art & Design	4/11	11/64	19/65	77.4	5/1	8/70	14/70	83.3	4/2	6/72	12/72	85.7
Art	2/2	2/14	4/14	77.8	1/0	1/16	2/16	88.9	0/1	0/17	1/17	94.4
Art Theory	1/2	2/18	5/18	78.3	1/1	2/19	4/19	82.6	1/1	3/18	5/18	78.3
Design	1/4	4/10	5/10	66.7	1/0	2/12	3/12	80.0	1/0	1/13	2/13	86.7
Music	0/3	3/22	6/22	78.6	2/0	3/23	5/23	82.1	2/0	2/24	4/24	85.7
Business	4/11	11/73	21/74	77.9	4/1	6/84	11/84	88.4	2/3	5/85	10/85	89.5
Accounting	0/3	3/19	6/19	76.0	2/0	1/22	3/22	88.0	0/2	1/22	3/22	88.0
Economics	0/4	4/13	5/13	72.2	1/0	1/16	2/16	88.9	1/0	0/17	1/17	94.4
Finance	1/2	2/15	4/15	78.9	0/0	1/18	1/18	94.7	0/0	2/17	2/17	89.5
Manage	2/2	2/8	4/9	69.2	1/1	2/9	4/9	69.2	1/1	2/9	4/9	69.2
Marketing	1/0	0/18	2/18	90.0	0/0	1/19	1/19	95.0	0/0	0/20	0/20	100.0
Science	3/12	12/72	20/73	78.5	3/1	10/79	14/79	84.9	3/1	9/80	13/80	86.0
Biology	0/5	5/13	7/13	65.0	2/0	5/13	7/13	65.0	1/1	5/13	7/13	65.0
Chemistry	0/3	3/14	4/14	77.8	0/1	2/15	3/15	83.3	1/0	2/15	3/15	83.3
Geography	2/0	0/8	2/8	80.0	0/0	1/9	1/9	90.0	0/0	1/9	1/9	90.0
Math	1/4	4/14	7/14	66.7	1/0	1/19	2/19	90.5	1/0	1/19	2/19	90.5
Physics	0/0	0/23	1/23	95.8	0/0	1/23	1/23	95.8	0/0	0/24	0/24	100.0
Health & Med.	3/22	22/58	32/60	65.2	9/0	17/66	25/67	72.8	5/4	6/77	14/78	84.8
Basic Med.	2/2	2/9	4/10	71.4	1/0	2/11	3/11	78.6	1/0	1/12	2/12	85.7
Clinical Med.	1/6	6/8	9/9	50.0	3/0	5/10	7/11	61.1	2/1	1/14	3/15	83.3
Diagnostics	0/6	6/14	9/14	60.9	3/0	4/16	7/16	69.6	2/1	2/18	5/18	78.3
Pharmacy	0/3	3/13	4/13	76.5	1/0	3/13	4/13	76.5	0/1	1/15	2/15	88.2
Public Health	0/5	5/14	6/14	70.0	1/0	3/16	4/16	80.0	0/1	1/18	2/18	90.0
Humani. & Soc.	5/14	14/40	24/42	63.6	3/5	9/49	16/50	75.8	5/3	5/53	12/54	81.8
History	1/4	4/4	6/4	40.0	1/0	1/8	2/8	80.0	0/1	1/8	2/8	80.0
Literature	2/2	2/15	5/16	76.2	1/2	2/16	5/16	76.2	2/1	0/18	3/18	85.7
Sociology	0/5	5/8	7/9	56.3	1/2	4/9	6/10	62.5	2/1	2/11	4/12	75.0
Psychology	2/3	3/13	6/13	68.4	0/1	2/16	3/16	84.2	1/0	2/16	3/16	84.2
Tech & Eng.	3/25	25/106	39/108	73.5	9/4	20/114	32/115	78.2	6/7	10/124	23/124	84.4
Agriculture	0/6	6/10	9/10	52.6	1/2	5/11	8/11	57.9	2/1	2/14	5/14	73.7
Archi. Eng.	2/2	2/17	5/17	77.3	1/1	2/18	4/18	81.8	1/1	0/20	2/20	90.9
Computer Sci.	0/0	0/17	2/17	89.5	1/0	1/17	2/17	89.5	0/1	2/16	3/16	84.2
Electronics	0/0	0/8	1/8	88.9	0/0	0/9	0/9	100.0	0/0	0/9	0/9	100.0
Energy Power	0/4	4/20	6/20	76.9	2/0	4/20	6/20	76.9	1/1	1/23	3/23	88.5
Materials	0/3	3/22	5/22	81.5	1/1	3/22	5/22	81.5	1/1	2/23	4/23	85.2
Mechanical Eng.	1/10	10/12	13/12	48.0	3/0	5/17	8/17	68.0	1/2	3/19	6/19	76.0
Overall	22/95	95/413	156/421	73.0	33/12	70/462	111/466	80.8	25/20	41/491	84/493	85.4



Table 6: Detailed breakdown of estimated human performance on MMMU-Pro for low, medium, and high performance levels across various disciplines. Abbreviations: "w/o Sol." (without Solution), "w/ Sol." (with Solution), "Est." (Estimate), and "w/c" (number of wrong/correct answers).

1188 D ENSURING QUALITY AND DIVERSITY OF EXPANDED OPTIONS

Expanding the number of answer options naturally increases the difficulty of the benchmark, but its effectiveness relies heavily on the quality, diversity, and contextual relevance of these additional options. To ensure this, we implemented a rigorous multi-stage validation process, combining automated and human efforts to produce high-quality results.

Initial Model-Based Option Augmentation and Filtering. We began by leveraging large language models (LLMs) to automate the initial generation and filtering of expanded options. Specifically, GPT-40 was used to generate additional options, while Claude 3.5 acted as a preliminary filter to remove options that were contextually irrelevant or logically inconsistent. This step significantly reduced the workload for human reviewers by pre-screening the candidates.

Two Rounds of Human Review. To further enhance quality and eliminate potential issues, we conducted two rounds of meticulous human validation:

- First Round of Review: Individual reviewers assessed the expanded options for each question. They ensured that the options were diverse, logically distinct, and free from ambiguity. If any flaws were identified, reviewers were instructed to correct the issues or create new options to maintain the integrity of the question.
- Second Round of Review: A double-check process followed, involving two additional human experts who cross-validated each question and its options. This iterative step eliminated any residual inconsistencies or errors and provided an additional layer of assurance.

By combining automated methods with multi-stage human validation, we ensured that each expanded option met high standards of quality, robustness, and alignment with the intended challenges of the benchmark. This approach not only addressed potential weaknesses in automated generation but also significantly improved the reliability of the dataset.

1242 E ANALYSIS OF COT'S IMPACT



Figure 10: Comparison of CoT and Direct Accuracy across subcategories within major domains for GPT-40 and LLaVA-OneVision 72B.

1298

1299

1301

1302

1303 1304 1305

1296 **EXPERIMENTAL SETUP OF VISION ENCODER IMPACT** F 1297

To evaluate the influence of vision encoders on model performance, we conduct experiments using the open-source architecture Cambrian-1. These experiments fix both the training data (Cambrian-1300 1 1M SFT data) and the large language model (Llama 3.1 8B) to isolate the impact of different vision encoders. Inspired by Cambrian-1: A Fully Open, Vision-Centric Exploration of Multimodal LLMs (Tong et al., 2024a), we follow their methodology by interpolating visual features to a fixed number of tokens (576) and concatenating them along the feature dimension.

COMPARISON WITH AND WITHOUT AUGMENTED OPTIONS G



COMPARISON OF MODEL OUTPUTS ACROSS DIFFERENT INPUT MODES Η



1411

1413

1414

1415

1416

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436 1437

1440 1441

1404



GPT-40 (Standard Setting): Examining the radiograph, several findings suggest an abnormality in the gastrointestinal tract. The signs interpreted here are the presence of distended loops of bowel with air-fluid levels, especially in the central part of the abdomen. Here's a step-by-step approach. 1. Identify Structures: The intestines are centrally located, typically showing loops that can be traced through an x-ray.2. Abnormal Findings: Look out for distended loops and presence of multiple air-fluid levels consistent with obstruction or abnormality. 3. Location Specificity: The loops involved appear to be small bowel loops rather than colonic, suggesting the small intestine. 4. Ruling Out Options: The liver, pancreas, spleen, gallbladder, kidney, and stomach are not displaying any direct signs of abnormality on this image. The duodenum is a part of the small intestine, and any segment could be implicated. However, such generalized distension is more typical of issues broadly affecting the small intestine rather than a specific segment like the duodenum. The large intestine typically shows haustra, which aren't prominent here. The esophagus is not visible in a manner suggesting any abnormality. Final Decision: Considering the distension and air-fluid levels within the bowel loops, the likely abnormal organ is the small intestine. Answer: B

1438 Figure 13: Example of GPT-40 output comparison in different settings. Descriptions are highlighted in orange, and **analyses** are highlighted in light red. 1439

- 1442 1443 1444 1445 1446 1447 1448 1449 1450 1451 1452
- 1453
- 1454
- 1455 1456
- 1457

1458 I QUALITATIVE EXAMPLES

I.1 ART AND DESIGN: ART



1512 I.2 ART AND DESIGN: ART THEORY



1	5	1	3
1	5	1	4

1515 1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1525

1527

1529

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543 1544 1545

involves the use of arches. There are no indications of arches here. Cantilevering: Involves beams extending beyond their supports, typically without as many vertical columns as depicted. Tensile structure: Relies on tension rather than columns or rigid supports. Not applicable here. Post and lintel system: This system uses vertical posts (columns) and horizontal lintels (beams). The grid of columns suggests this system could be used. Skeletal structure: Utilizes a framework, often with a regular grid of columns and beams. This can fit the observed plan. Groin vaults: These are intersecting arches, not evidenced in the grid pattern here. Shell structure: Thin, curved surfaces used as structural elements. The grid of columns does not suggest a shell structure. Fan vaults: Characterized by ribbed vaults that spread out like fans, not visible in the plan. Trabeated system: Another term for the post and lintel system. The grid suggests it could be used. Geodesic dome: Involves a dome-like, spherical structure composed of a network of triangles. There's no indication of a dome structure here. 3. Conclusion: The consistent grid of vertical columns is characteristic of the post and lintel system (alternative term: trabeated system) or skeletal structure. Given the straightforward column and beam pattern, the post and lintel description seems most fitting. Answer: D

Figure 15: Example of a different input setting in Art and Design (subfield: Art Theory).

1	546
1	547
1	548
1	549
1	550
1	551
1	552
1	553
1	554
1	555
1	556
1	557
1	558
1	559
1	560
1	561
1	562
1	563

- 1564 1565

1566 L.3 ART AND DESIGN: DESIGN 1567

1568 1569 1570 No. 24: Which of the following best describes the image? [image 1] 1571 1572 1573 1574 1575 (A)A rhythm that is asymmetrical in nature (C)A rhythm with a more rare form of repetition (E)A rhythm created by using different sizes of (G)A rhythm achieved through the use of negative 1579 (I)A rhythm created by using a combination of 1581 Ground Truth: (C) A rhythm with a more rare form of repetition GPT-40 (Vision Setting): No. 24: Which of the following best 1585 describes the image? [image 1] Options: (A) A rhythm that is asymmetrical in nature (B) A rhythm achieved through the 1586 use of color (C) A rhythm with a more rare form of repetition 1587 (D) A rhythm achieved through varied textures (E) A rhythm created by using different sizes of elements (F) A rhythm that is symmetrical in nature (G) A rhythm achieved through the use of negative space (H) A rhythm achieved by using 1590 shadows and highlights (I) A rhythm created by using a combination of shapes (J) A rhythm achieved through 1591 animation. 1592 The image features circles of different sizes positioned in a specific order, forming a visual rhythm. Step-by-step solution: 1. Option (A) mentions asymmetrical rhythm, but the image shows a consistent pattern; hence symmetrical is more appropriate. 2. Option (B) mentions color usage, but the 1596 description best fits size. 3. Option (C) mentions a rare form of repetition, but common repetition fits the image. 4. Option (D) mentions varied textures, which isn't relevant. 5. Option 1598 (E) mentions different sizes of elements, which is accurate, 6. Option (F) mentions symmetrical rhythm and is applicable. 7. Option (G) mentions negative space, which is not well defined in the image. 8. Option (H) mentions shadows and highlights, which isn't relevant.\n9. Option (I) mentions a combination of shapes, but the rhythm is specifically due to size variation of the same shape. 10. Option (J) mentions animation, which isn't relevant. The better fit is using different sizes and symmetrical rhythm. Answer: (E) 1609 1610 1611 1612 1613 1614 1615

1616

1617

1619

Art and Design: Design

(B)A rhythm achieved through the use of color

(D)A rhythm achieved through varied textures

(F)A rhythm that is symmetrical in nature

(J)A rhythm achieved through animation

(H)A rhvtl

highlights

GPT-40 (Standard Setting): The image features a series of blue circles that progressively get smaller as they move diagonally upward from the bottom left corner toward the top right corner. 1. A. Asymmetrical rhythm: The rhythm could seem asymmetrical since the placement is not mirrored or identical on both sides. 2. B. Rhythm through the use of color: The image primarily uses shades of blue. 3. C. Rare form of repetition: Repetition is present, but this is not a particularly rare form. 4. D. Varied textures: The texture does not appear varied, 5. E. Rhythm using different sizes: The circles vary in size, getting smaller as they move along the path. 6. F. Symmetrical rhythm: The design is not symmetrical. 7. G. Use of negative space: Negative space is used, but it is not the primary focus for rhythm creation. 8. H. Shadows and highlights: No noticeable shadows or highlights are used to create rhythm. 9. I. Combination of shapes: Only circles are used here. 10. J. Animation: This is a static image. Considering the elements within the image and how rhythm is created through the changing sizes of circles, the best description of the image is option E. Answer: E

Analysis: Both settings incorrectly chose E, focusing on size variation. The key feature is the rare repetition of circles decreasing in size diagonally, making C the correct answer.

Figure 16: Example of a different input setting in Art and Design (subfield: Design). Back to Appendix

1620 I.4 ART AND DESIGN: MUSIC 1621

Art and Design: Music No. 5: [image 1] Circle the correct key of the melody. Dig Aminor C\$ minor F\$ mino F\$ major Options: (A)circle the fourth (B)circle the first option option (C)circle the eighth (D)circle the tenth option option (\mathcal{E}) circle the sixth (7) circle the ninth option option (H)circle the seventh (G)circle the second option option (1) circle the third (9) circle the fifth option option Ground Truth: (G) circle the second option Analysis: Both the Standard Setting and Vision Input models misinterpreted the key of the melody due to different misunderstandings of the musical notation. The Standard Setting incorrectly ignored the key signature, while the Vision Input misinterpreted the tonic note of the melody. The correct answer is (G) circle the second option.

1622 1623 1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1633

1635

1637

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1650

1652

1654

1656

1657 1658 1659

GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To find the correct key of the melody, let's analyze the musical notation provided. 1. The notation shows a G clef (treble clef). 2. The melody starts with an A note, followed by sequences of notes: A, C, E, A. 3. The chord names below the notation are: A minor, F# major, C# minor, F# minor. Each key has a corresponding cluster of notes:- A minor: A, C, E- F# major: F#, A#, C#- C# minor: C#, E, G#- F# minor: F#, A, C#. The melody's notes (A, C, E, A) match the key of A minor exactly. Therefore, the correct key of the melody is A minor. According to the provided options, the first option corresponds to 'circle the first option,' which aligns with A minor. Answer: B

GPT-40 (Vision Setting): The multiplechoice question is: "No. 5: [image I] Circle the correct key of the melody. Options:(A) circle the fourth option(B) circle the first option(C) circle the eighth option(D) circle the tenth option(E) circle the sixth option(F) circle the ninth option(G) circle the second option(H) circle the seventh option(I) circle the third option(J) circle the fifth option" To determine the correct key of the melody, look at the key signature of the sheet music:- The key signature has three sharps (F#, C#, and G#). The possible keys with three sharps are A major or F# minor. Next, analyze the melody and its emphasis on certain notes:- The last note of the melody is F# (this is indicative of F# minor as the final note usually shows the key's tonic note).Therefore, the correct key of the melody is F# minor, which corresponds to the fifth option. Hence: Answer: J

Figure 17: Example of a different input setting in Art and Design (subfield: Music). Back to Appendix

1674 I.5 BUSINESS: ACCOUNTING 1675

1676		
1677	Business: Accounting	
1678		Analysis: The
1679	No. 22: Each situation below relates to an independent company's Owners'	Standard
1680	Equity. [image 1] Calculate the missing values of company 2.	Setting
1681		calculated the
1682	Beginning Net Balance Endinge Co.1 \$163,800 \$16,500 \$ 0 ? \$1,250 \$254,150	ending balance
1683	Co.2 63,180 0 12,000 \$ 0 51,180 ? Co.3 0 0 ? 150,000 0 101,400	as \$0 by
1684	Options:	owners' equity
1685	(A)\$40,000 (B)\$20,000	formula. The
1686	(C)\$51,180 (D)\$15,000	Vision Input
1687	(E)\$0 (F)\$5,000	ed the process
1688	(G)\$12,000 (H)\$30,000	by incorrectly
1689	(1)\$25,000 (J)\$1,620	focusing on
1690		investments,
1691	Cround Truth: (E) \$0	wrong answer
1692	Ground Hutt. (E) 40	of (A) \$40,000.
1693	GPT-40 (Standard Setting): Let's analyze the owner's equity changes for Comp	any 2 step by step.
1694	We start with the given equation pattern: Beginning Balance + Net Income - Net I	loss + Investments;
1695	For Company 2, we know Beginning Balance \$63.180: Net Income: \$0: 1	Net Loss: \$12.000
1696	Investments: \$0; Distributions: \$51,180. Let (Ending Balance) be (E). Now, input	the known values
1697	into the equation: $63,180 + 0 - 12,000 + 0 - 51,180 = E$. Simplify the equation: $63,180$) - 12,000 - 51,180 =
1698	E; $E = 63,180 - 63,180$; $E = 0$; So the missing value for Company 2's Ending Balance	e 15 \$0. Answer: E
1699	GPT-40 (Vision Setting): To calculate the missing investments value for Compar	ny 2 in the Owners'
1700	Equity schedule, we'll use the Owners' Equity formula: Ending Balance = Begin	ning Balance + Net
1701	Income = Net Loss = \$12,000: Investments = 2: Distributions = \$51,180: Endi	race = \$63,180; Net
1702	need to solve for "Investments". First, isolate the "Investments" term in the equation	on: Ending Balance
1703	= Beginning Balance + Net Income - Net Loss + Investments - Distributions. Subs	tituting the known
1704	Values: $? = 563,180 + 50 - 512,000 + Investments - 551,180$. Rearrange to solve Investments = Ending Balance + $$12,000 + $51,180 - $63,180$. We will calculate it si	tep by step: Ending
1705	Balance + \$12,000 + \$51,180 - \$63,180. Since we're not given the ending balance of	lirectly in this part
1706	of the question, let's find it out using the pattern from the preceding values fo	r other companies:
1707	Company I Ending Balance = $163800 + 16500 - 0 + ? - 1/50 = 254150$. I will rebalance as x because it is not provided directly. However, inspecting the option	present the ending
1708	the Investments without ending value explicitly needed in a traditional numeric	al sense by solving
1709	for unknowns while seeing investment values as discreet variables from opti	ons given. Getting
1710	equality: Let's approximate investment towards standard net assumptions be	alance pattern: By
1711	intuition calculation and typical signs patterning expected around investment op	erational equities it
1712	should best reflect around \$40,000. Thus, Answer: \$40,000 i.e., Option (A). Answe	r: A
1713		
1714	Figure 18: Example of a different input setting in Dusiness (subfield)	(counting)
1715	Rack to Appendix	secounting).
1716	Dack to Appendix	
1717		
1718		
1719		
1720		
1721		
1722		
1723		
1724		
1725		
1726		
1727		

1728 I.6 BUSINESS: ECONOMICS

	Business: Economics
No. 9: Suppose that a ty prices for Apples and Te	pical market based in Japan consists of 200 Apples and 290 Textbooks. The table below shows data on xtbooks in Japan for three years. [image 1] Assume that the base year is 2015. What is CPI in 2014?
Year⊖ Price of A	applese Price of Textbooksee
2014 € \$1.40 €	
2015∈ \$1.10⊲	140<
2016∉ \$1.10€	2004 4
Options:	
(A)173	(B)190
(C)105	(D)143
(E)180	(F)160
(G)135	(H)150 (J)125
	(0)120
Ground Truth: (E) \$	0
GPT-40 (Standard S	etting): To calculate the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for 2014, we'll follow these a cost of the market backet in each year. The market backet consists of 200
apples and 290 textb	ooks.2. Calculate the base year (2015) cost of the market basket Cost in 2015 =
(200 apples * \$1.10/a	apple) + (290 textbooks * \$140/textbook) - Cost in 2015 = (200 * \$1.10) + (290 *
\$140) - Cost in 201	5 = \$220 + \$40,600 - Cost in 2015 = \$40,8203. Calculate the cost of the market
in $2014 = (200 * \$1.4)$	40 + (290 * \$200) - Cost in 2014 = \$280 + \$58,000 - Cost in 2014 = \$58,2804.
Calculate the CPI for	r 2014 CPI = (Cost of market basket in 2014 / Cost of market basket in base
year 2015) * 100 - C 2014 is approximatel	$PI = ($58,280 / $40,820) * 100 - CPI = 1.427570 * 100 - CPI \approx 14356, the CPI for v 143. Answer: D$
CPT 42 (Vision Est	ting). Question: Summers that a tunical mention based in Lange consists of 200
Apples and 290 Text	books. The table below shows data on prices for Apples and Textbooks in Japan
for three years. Assu	me that the base year is 2015. What is CPI in 2014? Table:
	Year Price of Apples Price of Textbooks
	2014 \$1.40 200
	2015 \$1.10 140
Options: (A) 173 (B)	2016 \$1.10 200 190 (C) 105 (D) 143 (E) 180 (E) 160 (G) 135 (H) 150 (I) 210 (I) 125
Step-by-Step Solutio	n: 1. Calculate the cost of the market basket for each year: Market basket in 2015
(base year): (200 App	$s = 140 + (290 \text{ Textbooks} \times $140) = (200 \text{ Textbooks} \times $140) = (200 \text{ Textbooks} \times $140) = (200 \text{ Textbooks} \times $200) = (200 \text{ Textbooks} \times $200 \text{ Textbooks} \times $200 \text{ Textbooks} \times $200) = (200 \text{ Textbooks} \times $200 Text$
CPI for 2014 using th	(250 + 250) = (250 + 250) = (250 + 250,000 = (550,200), 2. Calculate the ine formula:
$CPI_{max} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	Cost of market basket in 2014 $\times 100$ CPL $= \left(\frac{\$58,280}{\$100}\right) \times 100 \approx 142.78$
	Cost of market basket in $2015/11000112014$ (\$40,820) (\$40,820)
The closest option to	142.78 IS 143. Answer: D
Analysis: Both the S	tandard Setting and Vision Input models correctly calculated the CPI for 2014 as
(D) 143, following the then using the CPI fo	e correct process of computing the cost of the market basket for 2014 and 2015,
alen abilig the CITIC	
10 5 1	
gure 19: Example	of a different input setting in Business (subfield: Economics).
	васк ю Аррепсіх

1782 I.7 BUSINESS: FINANCE

1835

1784 **Business: Finance** 1785 1786 GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To 1787 No. 15: Consider the following two projects: [image 1]. What are the internal determine the internal rates of rates of return on the two projects? 1788 return (IRR) for Project A and Project B, we use the cash flow 1789 schedules provided. The formula 1790 for calculating IRR involves 1791 finding the rate *r* at which the net present value (NPV) of all cash 1792 **Options:** flows equals zero, which can be set (B)Project A: 22%; Project B: 23.37% (A)Project A: 21%; Project B: 25.69% 1793 as: $NPV = 0 = \sum \frac{C_t}{(1+r)^t}$ Using the (C)Project A: 24%; Project B: 27.37% (D)Project A: 22%; Project B: 28.69% (F)Project A: 18%; Project B: 23.37% given cash flows: Project A: $-200 + \frac{80}{(1+r)^1} + \frac{80}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{80}{(1+r)^3} +$ (E)Project A: 18%; Project B: 28.69% 1795 (G)Project A: 16%; Project B: 27.69% (H)Project A: 19%; Project B: 22.37% (I)Project A: 20%; Project B: 24.5% (J)Project A: 23%; Project B: 29.69% $\frac{80}{(1+r)^4} = 0$ 1797 To solve this, trial and error or Ground Truth: (B) Project A: 22%; Project B: 23.37% 1798 financial calculators/tools (like 1799 Excel's IRR function) can be used: GPT-40 (Vision Setting): To find the internal rate of return $$\begin{split} \text{IRR} &= 22; \ \text{Project} \quad \text{B:} \quad -200 + \\ \frac{100}{(1+r)^1} + \frac{100}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{100}{(1+r)^3} + \frac{100}{(1+r)^4} = 0 \end{split} .$$ (IRR) for both Project A and Project B, we need to use the 1801 cash flows and solve for the discount rate that makes the Net Present Value (NPV) zero for each project. Project A: The Similarly, solving this would give: cash flows for Project A are $C_0 = -200, C_1 = 80, C_2 =$ IRR = 28.69 Matching these results 1803 80, $C_3 = 80$, $C_4 = 80$. The IRR can be found by solving the to the options provided, we get: following equation: $-200 + \frac{80}{(1+r)} + \frac{80}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{80}{(1+r)^3} + \frac{80}{(1+r)^4} = 0$ Project B: The cash flows for Project B are: $C_0 = -200$, $C_1 = -200$, $C_1 = -200$, $C_1 = -200$, $C_2 = -200$, $C_3 = -200$, $C_4 = -200$, $C_5 = -200$, 1804 Answer: D 1806 $100, C_2 = 100, C_3 = 100$. The IRR can be found by solving the following equation: $-200 + \frac{100}{(1+r)} + \frac{100}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{100}{(1+r)^3} +$ Analysis: In both Standard Setting $\frac{100}{(1+r)^4} = 0$. We'll utilize financial calculators or software (like and Vision Input, the model needs 1808 to correctly extract the cash flows 1809 Excel) to solve these equations since they are polynomial and apply the IRR formula to equations and don't have simple algebraic solutions. Steps: 1. 1810 calculate the returns. In both cases, Using Excel, we can use the `IRR` function: For Project A: the correct answer is (B) Project A: 1811 =IRR([-200, 80, 80, 80, 80]); For Project B: =IRR([-200, 100, 22%; Project B: 23.37%. The key to 1812 100, 100, 100]) 2. Calculate the IRR for each project. After solving this problem lies in calculating: The IRR for Project A is approximately 21%. The 1813 accurately interpreting the cash IRR for Project B is approximately 25.69%. Matching the flow data and using the IRR 1814 Options: Based on the calculations, Project A's IRR is 21% calculation appropriately. and Project B's IRR is 25.69%, which matches option (A). 1815 Answer: A 1816 1817 1818 Figure 20: Example of a different input setting in Business (subfield: Finance). 1819 Back to Appendix 1820 1821 1824 1825 1826 1831

1836 I.8 BUSINESS: MANAGE

1838

1840

1841

1843

1845

1849

1851

1855

1857

1860

1862

1863

1864

1866

1868

1870

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876 1877 1878

1879

1880

Business: Manage

No. 2: Graph 1 shows the number of unemployed (in thousands) over a five-month period, organized by level of education completed. [image 1] The difference between the number of unemployed high school graduates and the number of unemployed workers without a high school diploma was smallest in

• •	Less than a high school diploma
	High school diploma, no college
	Some college
	Bachelor's degree and higher
June July A	lugust September October
March	(B)Septer
) March June	(B) Septer (D) Janu
)March June August	(B) Septer (D) Janu (T) Tebru
March June August December	(В) Septer (Д) Janu (Г) Febru (Н) Octor

Ground Truth: (B) September

Analysis: In this question, the Standard Setting model succeeded because it processed the text and graph separately, allowing it to focus on extracting the unemployment data and calculating the differences between high school graduates and workers without a diploma. This led to the correct conclusion that September had the smallest difference. In contrast, the Vision Input model handled both the text and image together, which increased complexity and distractions, causing it to miss the correct month.

GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To determine the month in which the difference between the number of unemployed high school graduates and the number of unemployed workers without a high school diploma was the smallest, follow these steps:1. Identify the lines: "Less than a high school diploma" is represented by diamonds. "High school diploma, no college" is represented by squares.2. Calculate the difference between the two categories for each month: June: ~12,000 (less than HS diploma); ~8,000 (HS diploma) = ~4,000; July: ~12,000 (less than HS diploma); ~8,000 (HS diploma) = ~4,000; August: ~11,000 (less than HS diploma); ~8,000 (HS diploma) = ~3,000; September: ~10,500 (less than HS diploma); ~8,000 (HS diploma) = ~2,500; October: ~11,500 (less than HS diploma); ~7,500 (HS diploma) = ~4,0003. Compare the differences: June: ~4,000; July: ~4,000; August: ~3,000; September: ~2,500; October: ~4,000. The smallest difference is in September. Answer: B

GPT-40 (Vision Setting): Sure, let's first clearly write out the multiple-choice question from the image: Question: Graph 1 shows the number of unemployed (in thousands) over a five-month period, organized by level of education completed. The difference between the number of unemployed high school graduates and the number of unemployed workers without a high school diploma was smallest in: Options:(A) March(B) September(C) June(D) January(E) August(F) February(G) December(H) October(I) November(J) July.

Solution: 1. Identify the values for unemployed workers without a high school diploma, and those with a high school diploma (no college) in each of the five months present in Graph 1.2. Calculate the difference for each month. 3. Compare the differences to determine which month has the smallest difference. From the graph: June: Less than high school diploma: ~12.5; High school diploma, no college: ~8; Difference: ~12.5 - 8 = 4.5; July: Less than high school diploma: ~12 - High school diploma, no college: ~7.5; Difference: ~12 - 7.5 = 4.5; August: Less than high school diploma: ~11.5; High school diploma, no college: ~7; Difference: ~11.5 - 7 = 4.5; September: Less than high school diploma: ~11; High school diploma, no college: ~8 ; Difference: ~11 - 8 = 3; October: Less than high school diploma: ~11; High school diploma, no college: ~8; Difference: ~11 - 8 = 3. The smallest difference is found in September and October, and since "October" is one of the given options, we choose that. Answer: H

Figure 21: Example of a different input setting in Business (subfield: Manage). Back to Appendix

- 1886
- 1007
- 1880

1890 I.9 BUSINESS: MARKETING

1892

1894

1897

1899

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1907

1909

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

1915

1916

1917

1918

1919

1920

1921

1922

1923

1924

1926

1927

1928 1929

1930

Business: Marketing

No. 20: The graph in Figure 3.17 displays the sample sizes and percentages of people in different age and gender groups who were polled concerning their approval of Mayor Ford's actions in office. The total number in the sample of all the age groups is 1,045. [image 1] Out of the age groups, what percent are more than 44 years old?



options.	
(A)77%	(B)81%
(C)79%	(D)84%
(E)78%	(F)76%
(G)82%	(H)80%
(I)75%	(J)83%

Ground Truth: (C) 79%

GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To determine the percentage of people in the sample who are more than 44 years old, we first need to identify the sample sizes for the age groups "45-54", "55-64", and "65+". From the graph: Sample size for 45-54 = 226; Sample size for 55-64 = 268; Sample size for 65+ = 331. We add these sample sizes to get the total number of people over 44: 226 + 268 + 331 = 825. The total sample size is 1,045. To find the percentage of people more than 44 years old: *Percentage* = $\binom{825}{1045} \times 100 \approx 78.95\%$.

Rounding 78.95% to the nearest whole number: 79%. Thus, the correct answer is: **Answer: C**

GPT-40 (Vision Setting): To solve this problem, we need to determine the percentage of people older than 44 years from the given age groups. The age groups in the graph are: 18-34-35-44-45-54-55-64-65+. The age groups 45-54, 55-64, and 65+ all fall into the "more than 44 years old" category. First, sum the sample sizes for the age groups more than 44 years old: 45-54: 268- 55-64: 331- 65+: 478Adding these together: 268 + 331 + 478 = 1,077. Now, find the percentage of this number out of the total sample size $(1045): \frac{1,077}{1,045} \times 100$

Calculating 1,077 ÷ 1,045 ≈ this: $1.0306, 1.0306 \times 100 \approx 103.06\%$. This value seems incorrect as it exceeds 100%. Let's correct our approach by checking if the total sample size or age group sizes are properly considered. After reviewing, it seems we earlier wrongly interpreted the plot. The corrected sum should be for correct age groups only (45-54, 55-64, and 65+) from original plot for given individuals. Thus, these might actually be represented correctly only by correct approach. Let's re-assess, as graph correctness should provide basis. On correct recall, percentage sum re-evaluated gives closest in actual list: Answer: (None, should re-check summarily per correct assessment.)

Analysis: In this question, the Standard Setting accurately calculated the percentage of people over 44 years old by correctly identifying and summing the relevant age groups, arriving at the correct answer of (C) 79%. The Vision Input method, however, misinterpreted the graph, leading to incorrect totals exceeding 100%, showing that combined text and image inputs can sometimes cause errors in reading numerical data.

Figure 22: Example of a different input setting in Business (subfield: Marketing). Back to Appendix

1941

1938 1939

1944 I.10 SCIENCE: BIOLOGY

1946 1947 1948

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

1957

1959

1961

1963

1964

1965

1966

1967

1968

1969

1972

1974

1975

1976

1977

1978

1981

1982

1984

1987

(C).

Science: Biology

GPT-40 (Standard Setting): The developing embryo in the image appears to exhibit segmentation as indicated by the No. 17: Which feature(s) of this developing distinct stripe patterns along its body. Additionally, there embryo are already established at the stage shown in [image 1] is an evident head-tail orientation, showing that the anterior-posterior axis is established. To identify which features are established at this stage:1. Segmentation: The image shows clear segmented bands. 2. Head-tail orientation: There is distinct anterior (head) and posterior (tail) differentiation. 3. Type of symmetry: The bilateral Options: symmetry is not explicitly seen but may be inferred based (B)segmentation and on typical embryonic development patterns. Given these (A)segmentation head-tail orientation features, let's check against the options provided: A. (C)segmentation, type Segmentation only; This is partially correct. B. (D)head-tail of symmetry and Segmentation and head-tail orientation; This encompasses orientation head-tail orientation both observed features. C. Segmentation, type of (E)limb placement and symmetry, and head-tail orientation ; The type of (F)limb placement segmentation symmetry isn't explicitly visible. D. Head-tail orientation (G)type of symmetry (H)type of symmetry only; This is partially correct. E. Limb placement and and head-tail and limb placement segmentation; Limb placement isn't evident. F. Limb orientation placement only; Not evident. G. Type of symmetry and (J)segmentation, head-tail orientation; Type of symmetry isn't explicitly (I)type of symmetry head-tail orientation visible. H. Type of symmetry and limb placement; Neither and limb placement is evident. I. Type of symmetry only; Not evident. J. Ground Truth: (C) segmentation, Segmentation, head-tail orientation, and limb placement; type of symmetry and head-tail Limb placement isn't evident. Considering all the orientation observations, the best answer is B. Answer: B GPT-40 (Vision Setting): No.17: Which feature(s) of this developing embryo are already established at the stage shown in [image 1]? Options: (A) segmentation (B) segmentation and head-tail orientation (C) segmentation, type of symmetry and head-tail orientation (D) head-tail orientation (E) limb placement and segmentation (F) limb placement (G) type of symmetry and head-tail orientation (H) type of symmetry and limb placement (I) type of symmetry (J) segmentation, headtail orientation and limb placement. Solution: To determine which features are established at the stage shown in image 1, let's analyze the visual information and typical developmental stages of embryos: 1. Segmentation: The embryo in the image has distinct, repeating segments along its body, indicating that segmentation is established. 2. Head-Tail Orientation: The pattern and coloration in the image suggest an established head-tail axis. 3. Type of Symmetry: As embryos develop, bilateral symmetry (left and right sides that are mirror images) is established, which is a critical feature in development. Limb placement is unlikely to be established at this early stage, as limb development typically occurs later. From the options given, the greatest combination of established features that matches these observations is segmentation, type of symmetry, and head-tail orientation. Answer: C) segmentation, type of symmetry and head-tail orientation Answer: C Analysis: Standard Setting missed the presence of type of symmetry, leading to an incomplete answer, while Vision Input successfully identified all three features, resulting in the correct answer,

Figure 23: Example of a different input setting in Science (subfield: Biology). Back to Appendix

- 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994
- 995
- 1990

1998 I.11 SCIENCE: CHEMISTRY

2000 2001 2002

2003

2004

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2024

Science: Chemistry



Ground Truth: (B)

GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To determine the most stable isomer among the given options, we need to analyze their structures in terms of steric hindrance and the preferred orientation of substituents in the chair conformation of cyclohexane. Step-by-step analysis: 1. Substituent Positions: Substituents on a cyclohexane ring prefer to be in the equatorial position to minimize steric hindrance. 2. Chair Conformation: Cyclohexane can flip between two chair conformations. Stability increases when bulky substituents occupy the equatorial positions. 3. Examine Each Isomer: (a) has hydroxyl (OH) at axial position which creates more steric hindrance. (b) positions both OH and OMe likely to minimize steric hindrance, having one in axial and one in equatorial position. (c) positions OMe in the axial position which is not ideal due to steric hindrance. (d) positions the hydroxyl group in the axial position which is less favorable than having bulky groups in equatorial positions. Conclusion: Isomer (b) is the most stable because it places the larger methoxy (OMe) group in the equatorial position, thus minimizing steric interactions. Answer: B

GPT-40 (Vision Setting): Let's follow the steps systematically:1. Identify the multiple-choice question in the image: No. 21: Among the following, the most stable isomer is : Options: (A) (c) ; (B) (d); (C) (a) ; (D) (b) 2. To determine the most stable isomer, we need to analyze the chair conformations of each option and see which one has the substituents in the more stable (equatorial) positions. Chair conformers with substituents in the equatorial positions are generally more stable than those with substituents in the axial positions due to less 1,3-diaxial interactions (steric hindrance). 3. Review each option: Option (A) (c): In this structure, the methoxy (OMe) group is axial, and the hydroxyl (OH) group is equatorial. Option (B) (d): Both hydroxyl (OH) and methoxy (OMe) groups are axial. Option (C) (a): Both hydroxyl (OH) and methoxy (OMe) groups are equatorial. Option (D) (b): The hydroxyl (OH) group is axial, and the methoxy (OMe) group is equatorial. 4. Identify the most stable form: - Option (C) (a), where both substituents are equatorial, is the most stable conformation as it minimizes steric clashes. Answer: C

Analysis: The Standard Setting incorrectly analyzes chair and selected (b) as the most stable isomer, and incorrectly maps (b) to (B) instead of (D). The answer is correct but the reasoning is wrong. Vision Input made an error in interpreting the positions of the substituents, leading to the incorrect selection of (C).

Figure 24: Example of a different input setting in Science (subfield: Chemistry). Back to Appendix

I.12 SCIENCE: GEOGRAPHY



I'11

I.13 SCIENCE: MATH



2160 I.14 SCIENCE: PHYSICS





²²⁶⁸ I.16 HEALTH AND MEDICINE: CLINICAL MEDICINE

2270 Health and Medicine: Clinical Medicine 2271 2272 Analysis: The Standard 2273 Setting step-by-step analysis 2274 connected the hip fracture rg a fall down a flight of stairs at work, a 64-year-old which she is hospitalized. She undergoes surgery for placement of a hip pro-A week later she from the fall at work, from the surgery when she suddenly develops dysp ea and expires in only 5 m subsequent surgery, and the Autopsy reveals the finding seen here. A 1 cm infiltrating ductal ca ast, but there are no metastases. Which of the following statem ants as anding death contilicate resulting pulmonary 2277 ate in her case? Timage 17 thromboembolism, correctly 2278 identified the mode 2279 (manner) of death as The "accident". Vision Setting incorrectly 2281 (B) This is a r concluded that the death 2282 of death is breast (D) The was "a result of surgical (P) The mode (manner) of death is natura View Available 2283 complications," focused on Computer Science (2)760 ... the immediate post-surgical (G) 740 events but missed linking 2285 (1) The use of death is the hip fractur (9) The mo death in The the initial fall as the 2286 Hil MSc Appli underlying accidental cause. Ground Truth: (F) The mode (manner) of death is accident 2287 GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To determine the most accurate statement regarding death certification in this case, we need to assess the situation step by step.1. Incident and Surgery: The patient, a 64-yearold woman, fell down a flight of stairs at work and fractured her right hip. She was hospitalized and 2290 underwent surgery for a hip prosthesis. 2. Post-Surgical Event: A week after surgery, she developed sudden dyspnea and expired within 5 minutes despite resuscitative efforts. Autopsy revealed 2291 significant findings including a 1 cm infiltrating ductal carcinoma in her right breast without metastases. 3. Cause of Death Analysis: The provided autopsy image suggests a large pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE), a common post-operative complication, especially in orthopedic surgery involving the hip. This is likely the immediate cause of death. 4. Determining the Mode (Manner) of 2294 Death and Causes: Immediate Cause of Death: The dilated and obstructed pulmonary artery visible in the image suggests that a pulmonary embolism caused her sudden death. Underlying Cause: The hip 2296 fracture and its associated surgery, which led to her being immobilized; a risk factor for developing a thromboembolism. Mode of Death: Given that the hip fracture occurred due to a fall at work, the underlying incident is accidental. Based on the step-by-step evaluation, the most accurate statement 2298 regarding the death certification is: The mode (manner) of death is accident. Answer: F GPT-40 (Vision Setting): Question: Following a fall down is most accurate in her case? (image 1) Options:(A) This is a therapeutic misadventure (B) This is a result of surgical complications (J) 2300 The mode (manner) of death is suicide. Step-by-Step Analysis:1. Incident: The patient suffered a fall leading to a hip fracture, which is a significant trauma causing her hospitalization.2. Intervention: She 2302 underwent surgery for a hip prosthesis placement.3. Post-operative Period: Within a week from surgery, she developed sudden dyspnea and died within 5 minutes, despite resuscitative efforts.4. Autopsy Findings: No metastasis was found, but an infiltrating ductal carcinoma was present.5. Conclusion: The death occurred shortly after a hip fracture and surgery, suggesting the potential causes related to these incidents. Considering the sequence of events:- Hip fracture and subsequent surgery are significant recent events.- Sudden dyspnea and quick demise point toward a possible 2306 thromboembolic event (common postoperative complication).- The infiltrating ductal carcinoma in the 2307 breast is less likely to be related directly to the sudden death because it shows no metastasis. Therefore, 2308 the death is most likely an outcome of the post-surgical complications following the hip fracture and surgery. Correct Answer:(B) This is a result of surgical complications. Answer: B 2309 2310 Figure 29: Example of a different input setting in Health and Medicine (subfield: Clinical 2311 Medicine). 2312 Back to Appendix 2313 2314 2315 2316 2317

- 2319
- 222



2322 I.17 HEALTH AND MEDICINE: DIAGNOSTICS AND LABORATORY MEDICINE

2376 I.18 HEALTH AND MEDICINE: PHARMACY



2430 I.19 HEALTH AND MEDICINE: PUBLIC HEALTH



2484 I.20 HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCE: HISTORY

Humanities and Social Science: History 2487 2488 Analysis: In this 2489 question, No. 27: [image 1] [image 2] Which the Setting Standard 2490 VICTORY BONDS WILL HELP STOP THIS. KUL correctly identified FUR VS. HUMANITY," CANADA, 1918 2491 formation the of UNITED STATES, FADILY international 2492 LUB organizations like the United Nations as a 2493 direct response to the 2494 World Wars. The analysis accurately 2495 recognized that such 2496 organizations were established 2497 facilitate international cooperation and 2498 prevent future global Opti conflicts. Conversely, 2499 the Vision Setting focusing on the establishment of the 2501 League of Nations as a reaction to World War I but missing the 2503 broader context of the of the European Union creation of the United Nations post-World Ground Truth: (G) International organizations to facilitate international cooperation, such as 2505 War II. the United Nations 2506 GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To identify the correct answer, we need to consider what the posters are depicting and the 2507 historical context around them. The first image is from Canada in 1918 and refers to "Victory Bonds.". The second poster is from the United States in the early 1940s and encourages car-sharing to support the war effort, referencing the fight against 2508 Nazi Germany. Analyzing the options: A. Groups like the Non-Aligned Movement were created after World War II to stay neutral during the Cold War, not directly a reaction to these wars. B. Movements promoting global disarmament may be 2509 partially relevant, though disarmament efforts became more prominent after the nuclear age began. C. The formation of global environmental organizations took prominence later, not directly linked to wars. D. Economic sanctions by major world 2510 powers have been a persistent tool but not solely a result of these conflicts. E. Movements that used violence against civilians 2511 to achieve political aims were not formed as a direct reaction to these conflicts but were more prevalent in later contexts. F. The WTO was created in 1995 for global trade regulation, not a direct result of these wars. G. International organizations to 2512 facilitate cooperation, such as the United Nations, were indeed formed in direct response to World War II, with the aim of 2513 preventing future global conflicts. H. The establishment of the League of Nations was a direct result of World War I, aimed at 2514 preventing future wars. I. The European Union formation, particularly as the European Economic Community, was a response to integrate and stabilize Europe post-World War II, though it evolved significantly later. J. The formation of military 2515 alliances, including NATO and the Warsaw Pact, was a reaction to the post-World War II Cold War and is a bit more indirect in this context. Considering the timing and direct reactions, the League of Nations and the United Nations were created 2516 specifically in response to World War I and World War II, respectively. Answer: G 2517 GPT-40 (Vision Setting): The multiple-choice question in the image is: No. 27: [Image 1] [Image 2] Which of the to the 2518 conflicts shown in the images? Options:(A) Groups that promoted alternatives to the existing order, such as the Non-Aligned Movement (J) The formation of military alliances, including NATO and the Warsaw Pact. To solve it, we need to 2519 identify the nature of the conflicts shown in the images and link the correct option as a direct reaction to these conflicts:1. Image 1: "VICTORY BONDS WILL HELP STOP THIS. KULTUR VS. HUMANITY," Canada, 1918. This poster is from World War I, aiming to sell war bonds to support the war effort.2. Image 2: "WHEN YOU RIDE ALONE YOU RIDE WITH HITLER! JOIN A CAR-SHARING CLUB TODAY!" United States, early 1940s. This poster is from World War II, encouraging carpooling to save fuel for the war effort. Given these are related to the World Wars, the responses must relate directly to the 2522 aftermath or results of these wars. World War I led to the creation of the League of Nations to promote international cooperation and achieve peace. Therefore, the correct option in this context is (H) "The establishment of the League of 2523 Nations.". Answer: H 2524 2525 Figure 33: Example of a different input setting in Humanities and Social Science (subfield: 2526 History). 2527 Back to Appendix 2528 2529 2530 2531

- 2535
- 2536

2538 I.21 HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCE: LITERATURE 2539

2540		
2541	Humanities and Social Science: Literature	
2542		Analysis: In this
2543	No. 18: Which of the following options best relates to the poem. 'So Mexicans Are Taking Johs Away From Americans' Jimage 17?	question, both
2544		the Standard
2545		Setting and
2546	So Mexicans Are Taking	vision Setting
2547		identified that
2548	O Yiel? 200 meV some som tosses 1 i see small sinne farmer Strang for the some some some some some some some som	the poem "So
2549	dergy our wallet inne a blinket. geread ever the ground, and walk assay? Less Martin and blinke and y and heart only a few people the martine and heart only a few people	Mexicans Are
2550	The measure is along by the part of the pa	Away From
2551	saying, I want your (ob? millionead equipped influt laives, search for equipped influt laives, search for equipped influt laives, Even on TV, an aufhanatic leader of their Aromas, bold their breath for years from the research for the disciple search for the disciple sea	Americans" by
2557	early think next, taking on a lossion, a structure of the second of the	Jimmy Santiago
2552	"They're taking our johs puwy." or TV, it the streets, in offices, should be surging. "We area" giving the children a chance to low:" Well, I've gone about trying to find them.	baca addresses
2555	aking jur where the hell are three fighters. What they really say is, let them the, and the children too. The rifles I hear sound in the midel many is and the children too.	marginalized
2554	are white famore solventy cover an overall whose mb leage statistical of a second solution of the second solution	people being
2555	1 Set Inf [Soft Informe for a set	unfairly blamed.
2000	Options:	correctly linked
2007	(A) The government is making (B) The writer uses images from nature	the poem's
2008	marginalized people the enemy. In the cold dessert.	theme to the
2559	(C)The Mississippi River and Abraham (D)The would-be-thief was motivated	given option,
2560	Lincoln are mentioned. by blue suede shoes.	capturing the
2561		essence of the
2562	Ground Truth: (A) The government is making marginalized people the enemy.	poem.
2563	GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To determine which option best relates to the poem 'So	Mexicans Are Taking
2564	Jobs Away From Americans' by Jimmy Santiago Baca, let's analyze the given option poem: A The government is making marginalized people the enemy. This option seen	is in the context of the
2565	discusses how the blame is placed on Mexicans for taking jobs, which is instead a refl	ection of deeper socio-
2566	economic issues. The poet points out the misplaced blame and the real struggle of n	narginalized people. B.
2567	poem. The poem does not mention nature or a cold desert. C. The Mississippi River and	d Abraham Lincoln are
2568	mentioned. These specific references do not appear in the poem. Therefore, this option	is not relevant. D. The
2569	related to this poem. Reviewing the poem once more confirms that Option A is the m	other context that is not ost accurate relation to
2570	the content of the poem: it highlights how marginalized people are being unfairly blam	ed. Answer: A
2571	GPT-40 (Vision Setting): Question: No. 18: Which of the following options best re-	elates to the poem 'So
2572	Mexicans Are Taking Jobs Away From Americans' [Image 1]?Options:(A) The g	overnment is making
2573	Mississippi River and Abraham Lincoln are mentioned.(D) The would-be-thief was m	otivated by blue suede
2574	shoes. To solve this question, read the poem carefully. The poem $\"So$ Mexicans	Are Taking Jobs from
2575	Americans\" by Jimmy Santiago Baca talks about the struggle of Mexican workers and for taking away jobs from Americans. It speaks to issues of marginalization, economic	d how they are blamed
2576	injustice. Option A states, \"The government is making marginalized people the enemy	y.\" This option relates
2577	to the overall theme of the poem, as it discusses how Mexican workers are unfairly ta	rgeted and blamed for
2578	not relevant to the poem's content. Option C is incorrect because the Mississippi River	and Abraham Lincoln
2579	are not mentioned in the poem. Option D is also incorrect because there is no mention	of a thief or blue suede
2580	snoes in the poem. Answer: A	
2581		
2582 F	igure 34: Example of a different input setting in Humanities and Soc	cial Science (subfield:
2583	Literature).	
2584	Back to Appendix	
2585		
2586		
2587		
2588		
2589		
2590		
2591		

L.22 HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCE: SOCIOLOGY



multiple-choice question carefully and find the correct answer by following these steps: Question: Which statement symbolic interactionism? Options:(A) Teachers continue to struggle to keep benefits such as good retirement plans (J) Professional athletes often earn more than teachers and nurses. Step-by-Step Analysis:- Symbolic interactionism is a sociological perspective that focuses on the symbolic meaning that people develop and rely upon in the process social interaction. This of perspective emphasizes subjective meanings, social symbols, and the significance individuals place on objects and roles.-Statements that include subjective feelings, perceptions based on social symbols, and interaction scenarios most aligned with symbolic are interactionism. Evaluating Options:(A) & (B) reflect systemic issues not directly related to subjective meanings or social interaction symbols.(C) & (I) describe personal feelings of being out of place or comfortable based on social symbols (blue-collar vs. whitecollar environments, truck stop vs. French restaurant).(D), (E), (F), (G), (H) & (J) describe socio-economic disparities but do not directly touch on subjective meanings or symbols of interaction from a symbolic interactionist perspective. Best Choice:(C) An office worker might feel out of place in a blue-collar pub.(I) After work, Pat, a janitor, feels more comfortable eating in a truck stop than a French restaurant. Both these options touch upon social symbols and individuals' feelings of fitting in or comfort in particular social settings, which align well with the symbolic interactionist perspective. Among these, let's go with (C) which clearly shows 'feeling out of place' based on social interaction symbols. Answer: (C)

Analysis: In this question, the Standard correctly identified the Setting statement representing stratification from the perspective of Symbolic Interactionism. On the other hand, the Vision Setting focuses on feeling out of place in a different social setting but is less directly tied to the symbols of social hierarchy, incorrectly chose option (C)

Figure 35: Example of a different input setting in Humanities and Social Science (subfield: Sociology).

Back to Appendix

2637

2638

2594

1.23 HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCE: PSYCHOLOGY



2700 I.24 **TECH AND ENGINEERING: AGRICULTURE** 2701

2702



Tech and Engineering: Agriculture

GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To identify the issue with the young citrus tree, let's examine the key points:1. Roots: The roots appear thin and not very developed. They also show some deformity, with one root forming a noticeable lasso shape indicating potential problems below the surface.2. Leaves: The leaves are relatively green and do not show signs of heavy yellowing or browning, which might eliminate several issues such as sunburn, mineral deficiency, or underwatering.3. Overall Look: There's no visible fungal infection or obvious signs of pests (like holes or chew marks on leaves).Considering the deformation in the roots, this is indicative of nematode attack. Nematodes can cause significant root damage, leading to the formation of galls, root knots, and other deformities. Answer: H

GPT-40 (Vision Setting): Question: What seems to be the issue with this young citrus tree? Options: (A) Sunburn (B) Fungal infection (C) Don't know and don't want to guess (D) Pot bound (E) Pests infestation (F) Overwatering (G) There is no problem (H) Nematode attack (I) Mineral deficiency (J) Underwatering. Step-by-Step Solution: 1. Observation: The image depicts a young citrus tree, with its roots exposed.2. Options Analysis: (A) Sunburn: This affects mainly the leaves and bark, not the roots. (B) Fungal infection: Would typically show signs on the leaves or roots, but doesn't seem likely from the image. (C) Don't know and don't want to guess: This is not a solution to the actual problem. (D) Pot bound: Pot-bound plants have roots that circle around the pot and become dense, which might relate to the image. (E) Pests infestation: Would often have visible symptoms on leaves or roots. (F) Overwatering: Could lead to root problems such as root rot. (G) There is no problem: If there were no problem, this wouldn't be a multiple-choice question. (H) Nematode attack: Causes root damage, but nematode damage isn't clearly visible in the image. (I) Mineral deficiency: Usually seen in the foliage as discolouration or poor growth. (J) Underwatering: Leads to dry, brittle roots, not the dense circling of roots shown. From the image, the roots appear to be entangled and dense, which is a characteristic of a pot-bound plant. Answer: D

Figure 37: Example of a different input setting in Tech and Engineering (subfield: Agriculture). Back to Appendix

the problem to

setting

This

incorrectly

"nematode

attributed

attack."

misinterpreted the root deformation as

caused by nematodes, despite the absence of

typical damage signs like galls or root knots.

2754 **I.25** TECH AND ENGINEERING: ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING 2755 2756 Tech and Engineering: Architecture and Engineering 2757 2758 No. 29: The table below lists data used in obtaining a mix design for an asphalt paving mixture. If the maximum Analysis: The 2759 Setting Standard specific gravity of the mixture is 2.41 and the bulk specific gravity is 2.35, determine the asphalt absorbed. [image 1] 2760 calculated the absorbed asphalt 2761 Mix Composition by Weight of Total Mix percentage as Specific Gravity Material 2762 0.44%, while the Asphalt cement 1.02 6.40 2763 Setting Vision Coarse aggregate 2.51 52.35 arrived at 0.54%. Fine aggregate 2.74 33.45 2764 Mineral filler 2 69 7.80 Both settings failed 2765 Options: to correctly 2766 identify and (A)1.14% (B)0.44% 2767 calculate the accurate 2768 (D)0.34% (C)0.54% percentage of 2769 absorbed asphalt. (E)0.64% (F)0.84% However, the 2770 Vision Setting 2771 (G)0.74% (A)1.04% provided a more ()0.94% detailed 2773 calculation process. Ground Truth: (F) 0.84% 2774 GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To determine the asphalt absorbed by the aggregate, we need to 2775 calculate the percentage of the asphalt that is absorbed into the pores of the aggregate. The formula 2776 for absorbed asphalt (P_{abs}) is: $P_{abs} = (\frac{G_{mm} - G_{mb}}{G_{mb} \cdot 100}) \cdot 100$. Where: G_{mm} = maximum specific gravity of 2777 the mixture; G_{mb} = bulk specific gravity of the mixture; Given G_{mm} = 2.41; G_{mb} = 2.35. Plugging in these values: $P_{abs} = (\frac{2.41-2.35}{2.35\cdot100}) \cdot 100; P_{abs} = (\frac{0.06}{2.35\cdot100}) \cdot 100; P_{abs} = (\frac{0.06}{2.35\cdot100}) \cdot 100; P_{abs} = 0.0002553 \cdot 100;$ 2778 2779 *P_{abs}* = 2.553. Answer: B. 0.44%" 2780 GPT-40 (Vision Setting): First, let's transcribe the question and table from the image. Question: No. 29: The 2781 table below lists data used in obtaining a mix design for an asphalt paving mixture. If the maximum specific 2782 gravity of the mixture is 2.41 and the bulk specific gravity is 2.35, determine the asphalt absorbed. Table: Material Specific Gravity | Mix Composition by Weight of Total Mix (%) 2783 2784 Asphalt cement | 1.02 6 4 0 Coarse aggregate | 2.51 52 35 2785 | 2.74 Fine aggregate 33.45 2786 Mineral filler 2.69 7.80 Options: (A) 1.14% (B) 0.44% (C) 0.54% (D) 0.34% (E) 0.64% (F) 0.84% (G) 0.74% (H) 1.04% (I) 0.94% 2787 Solution: The asphalt absorbed (P_{abs}) is calculated using the formula: $P_{abs} = (\frac{Gmm-Gmb}{Gmm\cdot Ps_{asphalt}+Gmb\cdot(1-Ps_{asphalt})}) \times$ 2788 100%. Where: Gmm = Maximum specific gravity of the mixture; Gmb = Bulk specific gravity of the mixture; Ps_{asphalt} = Percentage by weight of asphalt cement. Given data: Gmm = 2.41; Gmb = 2.35; Ps_{asphalt} = 6.40%. First, convert $Ps_{asphalt}$ to decimal form: $Ps_{asphalt} = 6.40 / 100 = 0.064$. Now, plug in the values: $P_{abs} =$ 2791 2.2006=2.35484; Calculate the numerator: 2.41 - 2.35 = 0.06. Now compute the fraction: $\frac{0.06}{2.35484} \times 100\% \approx$ 2792 $0.02547 \times 100\% \approx 2.547\%$. The options appear to require rechecking the calculations because they seem fit for 2793 adjustment, but after verifying the specific formulas typically used in vessel water absorption adjustments; 2794 verifying for standard: Correct measure lies specifically on 0.54% contributing logically in similar designs 2795 particularly. Thus revising comprehensively: Answer: C indicating a typical closely variant match 2796 2797 Figure 38: Example of a different input setting in Tech and Engineering (subfield: Architecture and 2798 Engineering). 2799 Back to Appendix 2802 2805





²⁸⁶² I.27 TECH AND ENGINEERING: ELECTRONICS



2916 I.28 TECH AND ENGINEERING: ENERGY AND POWER

Tech and Engineering: Energy and Power

2920 Analysis: In this question, both the Vision Setting and 2921 Standard Setting attempt to calculate the first-law and No. 15: Air flows into a heat engine second-law efficiencies of the heat engine but end up with 2922 at ambient conditions of 100 kPa, incorrect results. 300 K. as shown in [image 1]. Energy is supplied as 1200 kJ per GPT-40 (Standard Setting): To find the first-law and kilogram of air from a 1500 K 2925 second-law efficiencies for the given heat engine, we follow source, and in some part of the these steps: First-Law Analysis:1. Energy Added to the 2926 process a heat transfer loss of 300 System q_H : Given: $q_H = 1200kJ/kg$. 2. Heat Loss q_{loss} : 2927 Given: $q_{loss} = 300kJ/kg$. 3. Work Output w: Using the first kJ/kg air occurs at 750 K.The air law of thermodynamics: $q_H = w + q_{loss}$. Rearrange to solve leaves the engine at 100 kPa, 800 for w: $q_H = w + q_{loss} = 1200 kJ/kg - 300 kJ/kg = 900 kJ/kg 4$. 2929 K. Find the first- and second-law First-Law Efficiency (eta_l) : $eta_l = \frac{w}{q_H} = \frac{900}{1200} = 0.75$. Secondefficiencies 2930 Law Analysis: 1. Heat Transfer with Entropy Consideration: 2931 High-Temperature Source: $T_H = 1500K$. Loss Temperature: $T_M = 750 \hat{K}$. 2. Second-Law Efficiency Formula: The second-2933 law efficiency (eta_{II}) considers the ideal Carnot efficiency and the actual work output: $eta_{II} = \frac{actual work}{ideal work}$. Carnot 2935 Efficiency: The Carnot efficiency is calculated between the source temperature T_H and ambient temperature T_0 : $eta_{Carnot} = 1 - \frac{T_0}{T_H} = 1 - \frac{300K}{1500K} = 1 - 0.2 = 0.8$. Ideal Work: Options: (A)0.74,0.32 (B)0.45, 0.56 The ideal work is given by: $w_{idea} = eta_{Carnot} \times q_H = 0.8 \times 1200 kJ/kg = 960 kJ/kg$. Second-Law Efficiency: $eta_{II} =$ 2938 (C)0.35, 0.75 (D)0.32 0.67 $\frac{W}{w_{idea}} = \frac{900kJ/kg}{960kJ/kg} = 0.9375.$ 3. Efficiency for Heat Loss: For the 2939 (E)0.50, 0.50 (F)0.55. 0.45 2940 (G)0.40, 0.68 (H)0.60, 0.40 heat loss, we should consider the temperature at which it occurs: $eta_{II,loss} = 1 - \frac{T_0}{T_M} = 1 - \frac{300K}{750K} = 1 - 0.4 = 0.6$. Since 2941 (1)0.32,0.74(J)0.67,0.32 2942 this model might not exactly account for every intricacy, let's 2943 verify within the choices provided as efficiency boundaries Ground Truth: (D) 0.32 0.67 might negligibly differ from textbook values. Answer: F 2944 GPT-40 (Vision Setting): The multiple-choice question in the image is as follows: No. 15:Air flows into a heat engine at ambient conditions of 100 kPa, 300 K, as shown in [image 1]. Energy is supplied as 1200 kJ per kilogram of air from a 1500 K source, and in some part of the process a heat transfer 2947 loss of 300 kJ/kg air occurs at 750 K. The air leaves the engine at 100 kPa, 800 K. Find the first- and 2948 second-law efficiencies. Options:(A) 0.74, 0.32(B) 0.45, 0.56(C) 0.35, 0.75(D) 0.32, 0.67(E) 0.50, 0.50(F) 0.55, 0.45(G) 0.40, 0.68(H) 0.60, 0.40(I) 0.32, 0.74 (J) 0.67, 0.32 . Solution Steps:1. Determine the Work Done (w): Energy supplied $(q_H) = 1200 \text{ kJ/kg}$ Heat loss $(q_{loss}) = 300 \text{ kJ/kg}$; w = $q_H - q_{loss}$; w = 1200kj/kg - 300kj/kg = 900kj/kg. 2. Calculate First-Law Efficiency (η_l) : $eta_l = \frac{w}{q_H} = \frac{900}{1200} = 0.75$. 3. Determine the Exergy Destruction (ΔX): Ambient temperature $T_0 = 300K$. Heat transfer loss at 750 K. $(q_{loss}) = 300 \text{ kJ/kg}$. Source temperature $T_H = 1500K$; $\Delta X = q_{loss} = 1 - \frac{T_0}{T_H}$; $\Delta X = 300 \left(1 - \frac{300}{750}\right) = 1000 \text{ kJ/kg}$. $300(1-0.4) = 300 \times 0.6 = 180 kJ/kg$. 4. Calculate Second-Law Efficiency (η_{II}) : $\eta_{II} = \frac{w}{q_{H} - \Delta x}$ 2954 $\frac{900}{1200-180} = \frac{900}{1020} \approx 0.88$. The question asks for the choice of the efficiencies which are close to the 2955 calculated values: $\eta_I \approx 0.75$, $\eta_{II} \approx 0.88$. Correct answer: **Answer: C** 2956 2957

Figure 41: Example of a different input setting in Tech and Engineering (subfield: Energy and Power).

Back to Appendix

2918

2919







Back to Appendix