Penetrative AI: Making LLMs Comprehend the Physical World

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

Recent developments in Large Language Models (LLMs) have demonstrated their remarkable capabilities across a range of tasks. Questions, however, persist about the nature of LLMs and their potential to integrate common-sense human knowledge when performing tasks involving information about the real physical world. This paper delves into these questions by exploring how LLMs can be extended to interact with and reason about the physical world through IoT sensors and actuators, a concept 011 that we term "Penetrative AI". The paper explores such an extension at two levels of LLMs' 014 ability to penetrate into the physical world via the processing of sensory signals. Our preliminary findings indicate that LLMs, with 017 ChatGPT being the representative example in our exploration, have considerable and unique proficiency in employing the embedded world 019 knowledge for interpreting IoT sensor data and reasoning over them about tasks in the physical 021 realm. Not only this opens up new applications for LLMs beyond traditional text-based tasks, but also enables new ways of incorporating human knowledge in cyber-physical systems.

1 Introduction

027

Large Language Models (LLMs) have made remarkable strides (Brown et al., 2020; Scao et al., 2022; Zeng et al., 2022). A particularly revolutionary milestone is ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2023b), which excels in fluid, human-like conversations, marking a new era in human-AI interactions. These latest LLMs cultivated on extensive text datasets have showcased remarkable capabilities across diverse tasks, including coding and logical problemsolving (Creswell et al., 2022). These out-of-thebox capabilities have demonstrated that they already comprise enormous amounts of world knowledge ¹.



Figure 1: Overview of Penetrative AI.

040

041

042

043

045

051

054

057

060

061

062

063

065

This paper is motivated by an essential and intriguing question: can we enable LLMs to complete tasks in the real physical world? We delve into this inquiry and explore extending the boundaries of LLMs' capabilities by directly letting them interact with the physical world through Internet of Things (IoT) sensors. A basic example of this process is depicted in Figure 1, where different from the conventional way of LLMs, an LLM is expected to analyze sensor data which are indeed projections from the physical world. We conjecture that LLMs, having been trained on vast amounts of human knowledge, learned the physical world which can be directly harnessed for analysis of such sensory information to derive deep insights that traditionally require background knowledge from human experts and/or bespoke machine learning models trained with large amounts of labeled sensor data.

As illustrated in Figure 1, we formulate such a problem from a signal processing's point of view, and specifically explore the LLMs' penetration into the physical world at two signal processing levels with the sensor data: i) with the textualized signals derived from underlying sensor data, and ii) with the digitized signals, essentially numerical sequences of raw sensor readings. We term

¹Some studies referred to it as a world model (LeCun, 2022) of how the world works.



Figure 2: Overview of user activity sensing with LLMs.

this endeavor "*Penetrative AI*" – where the embedded world knowledge in LLMs serves as a foundation model, seamlessly integrated with the Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) for perceiving and intervening in the physical world.

Our methodology is exemplified through two illustrative applications at two different levels, respectively - user activity sensing where textualized signals from smartphone accelerometer, satellite, and WiFi data are analyzed to discern user motion and environment conditions, and human heartbeat detection where digitized electrocardiogram (ECG) data are utilized to derive the heartbeat rate. Preliminary findings are encouraging, showcasing LLMs' proficiency in interpreting IoT sensor data and performing perception tasks in the physical world. Our exploration also underscores that existing LLMs like ChatGPT-4 may already possess the capability to establish intricate connections among world knowledge and can be guided to tackle CPS tasks.

Section 2 and Section 3 will elaborate on the design and experiment results of these two illustrative applications. Section 4 sets the scope of penetrative AI and shares our insights on the foreseeable challenges to advance this burgeoning research frontier. We present related works in Section 5 and conclude this paper in Section 6.

2 Penetrative LLM with Textualized Signals

This section describes tasking LLMs to comprehend sensor data at the textualized signal level.

2.1 An Illustrative Example

We take activity sensing as an illustrative example, where LLMs interpret sensor data collected from smartphones to derive user activities. The input sensor data encompass smartphone accelerometer, satellite, and WiFi signals, and the desired output is to discern the user motion and environment context. Figure 2 presents the overview of this LLM-based design – the sensor data are pre-processed by individual sensing components and the textualized sensor states are supplied to the LLM with a fixed prompt for activity inference. 101

102

103

104

105

106

107

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

Objective and Rationale. We convey a clear task to LLMs – "determine a user's motion and surrounding conditions by analyzing sensor data from their smartphones". The basic idea is that when the user conducts different activities in different environments, the collected sensor data would exhibit varied patterns, which reveal the users' activities.

Data Preparation. To facilitate LLMs comprehension of the sensor data, we undertake a preprocessing step where raw data from different sensing modules are separately converted into textualized states that are expected interpretable by LLMs. Figure 2 illustrates such a step.

To pre-process long accelerometer readings (6,000 samples from 10 seconds of triaxial accelerations sampled at 200 Hz), we employ the Android step detector, which is a built-in step-counting implementation (Developers, 2023b) and can transform the 6,000 raw data points into a single textually expressed state, e.g., "step count: 5/min".

The Android system also offers a comprehensive set of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) satellite measurements (Developers, 2023a), including information like Pseudo-Random Noise as a satellite identifier, Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR), and many others. To streamline the data for LLMs interpretation, we filter and distill the satellite data into two key attributes: the number of detected satellites and their average SNR.

096



Figure 3: Response examples of ChatGPT-4 for activity sensing.

The Android system supports scanning for nearby APs and provides comprehensive information about scanned APs (Developers, 2023c). Similar to satellite data, we disregard less relevant details and focus on critical information – Service Set Identifier (SSID) and Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI). To streamline the data and reduce text length, we further filter APs with an RSSI lower than -70 and instruct LLMs to analyze the SSIDs to capture useful location information.

138

139

140 141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

152

153

155

156

158

159

160

163

166

167

168

170

Expert Knowledge. We guide LLMs by including explicit text-based descriptions of the relationship between sensor patterns and user activity states in the prompts, as illustrated in Figure 2. For instance, a high satellite count and carrierto-noise density indicate an outdoor setting with strong satellite signals.

Reasoning Examples. Following expert knowledge, we can provide reasoning examples to enhance the proficiency of LLMs. Each example includes the data for processing, a step-by-step reasoning process, and a brief summary of the ground truth context, which adopts the chain of thought (CoT) (Wei et al., 2022) prompting. Figure 2 illustrates this with the reasoning example section.

Complete Prompt. A full prompt includes a defined objective and expert knowledge of the sensor data, all in natural language as demonstrated in Figure 2. Essentially, the way we construct the prompt serves as a means to educate and instruct LLMs to interpret sensor data and formulate its answers into a concise format. We thereafter present the prompt with succinct textualized sensor data

of novel queries to LLMs as shown in Figure 2, which we expect to generate the inference results as a concise description of the user's activity. Note that the prompt, once completed, is frozen and we simply supply new textualized sensor data for new inferences without altering the prompt any further.

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

182

183

184

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

194

195

196

197

198

200

202

2.2 Experiment Results

We conduct experiments in various scenarios – on university campuses, commercial buildings, subway stations, outdoor spaces, and across different cities. All sensor data are collected using a Samsung Galaxy S8 Android smartphone. Accelerometer data are sampled at 100 Hz, while the satellite and WiFi data are sampled at 0.2 Hz. Sensor data are gathered from time windows spanning durations of 10 to 60 seconds and the latest satellite and WiFi scanning results are adopted. The evaluation is carried out using PaLM 2 (Anil et al., 2023), ChatGPT-3.5 (gpt-3.5-turbo-0613) and ChatGPT-4 (gpt-4-0613) (OpenAI, 2023b), accessible through the official API with default parameter settings.

Figure 3 shows several example answers of ChatGPT-4 together with ground-truth contexts. Due to space limits, we only show the detailed response for the first case. The results suggest ChatGPT-4's ability to identify user motion and indoor/outdoor states with the provided textualized sensor data. Additionally, it demonstrates an impressive capacity to deduce intricate details about the user's surroundings, e.g., it reasons that the user is likely inside a shopping mall by analyzing the

Task	Metric	Failure Rate (↓)			Classification Accuracy (†)		
	Prompt	plain	w/ e.k.	w/ e.k. +1 exam.	plain	w/ e.k.	w/ e.k. +1 exam.
Motion Detection	PaLM 2 ChatGPT-3.5 ChatGPT-4	0% 3% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 0%	1.00 0.97 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00
Indoor/outdoor Detection	PaLM 2 ChatGPT-3.5 ChatGPT-4	0% 15% 0%	0% 0% 0%	0% 3% 0%	0.79 0.70 0.88	0.88 0.82 0.91	0.91 0.88 0.94

Table 1: Overall performance of LLMs in activity sensing. 'e.k.' indicates the expert knowledge and 'exam.' indicates a reasoning example.

scanned WiFi SSIDs in the first case.

To quantitatively assess the efficacy of such an approach, we tasked LLMs to explicitly provide the states of motion (between "stationary" and "motion") and environment (between "indoors" and "outdoors"). We experiment with varied settings – plain, with additional expert knowledge, as well as with the additional reasoning example in the prompt. To assess the performance of the penetrative LLMs, we utilize two key metrics: the failure rate and classification accuracy. In our cases, "failure" refers to instances where the LLMs are unable to generate valid states relevant to the task. The failure rate is thus calculated as the proportion of such instances to the total number of cases.

Table 1 summarizes the overall performance of different LLMs on the two tasks. ChatGPT-3.5 occasionally outputs 'unknown' states leading to higher failure rates in the two tasks. This rate can be effectively reduced to 0% by incorporating expert knowledge. The results show three models perform reasonably well in the motion detection task. The task of discerning indoor/outdoor is more challenging, largely due to its reliance on the fusion of multimodal sensor data. Nevertheless, a notable enhancement is achieved when prompts are enriched with expert knowledge and one reasoning example. PaLM 2 and ChatGPT-4 achieve above 90% accuracy with the best prompt scheme.

Overall, the above experiment results suggest LLMs can be effective in analyzing sensor signals when properly abstracted into textual representations.

3 Penetrative LLM with Digitized Signals

This section describes our effort that goes beyond the general expectations of the textualized signal processing ability of LLMs. We specifically study the potential of LLMs in comprehending digitized sensor signals.

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

3.1 An Illustrative Example

We take human heart rate detection as an illustrative example, where we task LLMs with the input of ECG waveforms to identify the R-peaks, based on which we can then derive the heartbeat rate. Fundamentally different from the previous example, all sensor data in this application are expressed as sequences of digitized samples. Figure 4 provides an overview of the design.

Objective and Rationale. The sensor data consist of a numerical sequence representing an ECG waveform. Our objective for LLMs is to identify the "R-peaks" (Yanowitz, 2010), which are tall upward deflections in ECG data and correspond to the red dots in Figure 4. The objective part of the prompt succinctly states: "Find the R-peaks in an ECG waveform". An interesting and challenging job in this application is, we incorporate expert knowledge directly into the prompts, delegating the signal processing task to LLMs.

Data Preparation. The original ECG data are collected at a high sampling rate, e.g., 360Hz. In our design, raw ECG readings are down-sampled to 72 Hz and quantized to their integer parts to reduce the length and complexity of the sequence.

Expert Knowledge. To assist the LLMs, we try to give a detailed description of R-peaks with the context of QRS complex (Kadambe et al., 1999) in the prompt, i.e., "The QRS complex, a recurring feature in ECG data, signifies the ventricles' consistent depolarization in the heart. It comprises the Q, R, and S waves, where the Q wave shows a downward deflection, followed by an upward-moving R wave, and then the S wave, which deflects downward after the R wave. The maximum amplitude

239



Figure 4: Overview of heart rate detection with LLMs.



Figure 5: Overview of heart rate detection with VLMs.

of the R wave is known as the R-peak.".

277

278

279

290

300

Our experiments show that it remains challenging for LLMs to perform the task for a long sequence of ECG digits with only descriptions of R-peaks. By observing the patterns of R-peaks, we instead design a procedure that LLMs understand to guide the selection of R-peaks. As depicted in Figure 4, three steps are included: 1) assessing the overall range of ECG numbers, 2) identifying subsequences characterized by an initial lower value, a subsequent significant increase, and a return to the overall range, and 3) selecting the highest value from each such subsequence as the R-peak. We examine whether LLMs like ChatGPT can effectively execute such a fuzzy logic (without explicit thresholding) when processing the digitized signals.

Reasoning Examples. We also furnish LLMs with illustrative examples as shown in Figure 4, which encompasses the digitized ECG data, a reasoning procedure, and a summary of R-peaks (check more details in Appendix A).

3.2 Digitized Data as Figures

Following the concept of Penetrative AI, we further test using Vision-Language Models (VLMs) (Radford et al., 2021; Jia et al., 2021; Lu et al., 2019; Tan and Bansal, 2019), which are vision interfaced LLMs, to "see" digitized sensor data as figures and accordingly execute real-world tasks. Figure 5 illustrates the design with VLM to process the same R-peak detection example. 301

302

303

304

305

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

In this exploration, ECG data are visualized in the figures and fed to VLMs, which are tasked with locating the coordinates of R-peaks in such figures. Figure 5 illustrates the process. The objective is to count the R-peaks in the ECG data and only a general description of R-peaks is provided as expert knowledge. Different prompt schemes are also tested where a more detailed procedure to detect R-peaks with one or more reasoning examples containing reference ECG figures (see Appendix A for detailed illustration). We investigate the efficacy of VLMs, GPT-4V (OpenAI, 2023a) in this study, in performing perceptual tasks.

3.3 Experiment Results

We conduct experiments with the MIT-BIH Ar-321 rhythmia Database (Goldberger et al., 2000), which 322 is an ECG dataset with ground truth annotations 323 for R-peaks. We downsampled the raw ECG signal 324 to 72 Hz and each ECG query is from a 5-second 325 window comprising 360 numerical values by de-326 fault. The evaluation is carried out using the three 327 models, i.e., PaLM 2, ChatGPT-3.5, and ChatGPT-4 with default parameters. The experiment is also 329 performed with digitized ECG figures using GPT-4V (OpenAI, 2023a) (gpt-4-vision-preview). 331 For comparison, we also test the performance of 332 classical signal processing approaches (Porr et al., 333 2023), including Pan-Tompkins (Pan and Tomp-334 kins, 1985), Hamilton (Hamilton, 2002), Christov 335 (Christov, 2004), Two Moving Average (abbreviated as TMA) (Elgendi et al., 2010), and Stationary 337

Table 2: Performance comparison in heart rate detection. The upper part shows the MAE (\downarrow) of conventional signal processing methods while the lower part includes the hallucination rates (\downarrow) and MAEs (\downarrow) of penetrative LLMs/VLMs. "description" means the description of R-peaks, "proc." indicates the inclusion of detailed processing procedure, and "exam." indicates the inclusion of reasoning examples.

		TT 11.			011/77			
Window Size	Pan–Tompkins	Hamilton	Christov	TMA	SWT			
5 seconds	5.76	3.60	7.08	9.24	4.20			
30 seconds	1.06	0.76	1.30	1.64	0.37			
LLM/VLM	Prompt Scheme (5-second window size)							
	w/ description	w/ proc.	w/ proc. + 1 exam. w/ proc. + 2 exam		one-shot			
PaLM 2	95%, 816.00	95%, 148.80	58%, 30.29	50%, 82.32	97%, 84.00			
ChatGPT-3.5	GPT-3.5 22%, 329.92 14%, 1		10%, 64.27	2%, 20.96	27%, 579.12			
ChatGPT-4	0%, 81.84	0%, 92.40	0%, 1.56	0%, 4.80	0%, 142.68			
GPT-4V	JPT-4V 0%, 9.60 0%, 12.61		0%, 8.16	0%, 11.16	0%, 12.48			

Wavelet Transform (abbreviated as SWT) (Kalidas and Tamil, 2017). We use the Mean Absolute Error (MAE) to measure the error in beats per minute between the detected and actual heart rates.

The experiments with LLMs/VLMs are conducted with different prompt schemes, including (i) containing only general descriptions of R-peaks, (ii) containing a detailed detection procedure, (iii) containing the procedure as well as varied numbers of reasoning examples, and (iv) one-shot prompting (Liu et al., 2023b) containing the one example of ECG data and actual R-peak values. In our evaluation, "hallucination" is defined as cases where the LLMs/VLMs are unable to proceed or generate R-peak outputs. The MAE is averaged across cases where models can produce R-peak outputs.

Overall Performance. Table 2 summarizes the performance of various baseline methods alongside four penetrative LLM/VLMs in the task. We observe that conventional signal processing baselines give high MAEs when the window size of query data is 5 seconds, which can be significantly improved when the window size increases to 30 seconds. However, the performance of LLMs varies a lot. PaLM 2, for instance, frequently repeats the query sensor data in the response, leading to high hallucination rates and significant MAEs. ChatGPT-3.5 shows a reduction in hallucination rates but tends to produce extended sequences of R-peaks, resulting in significant errors.

Remarkably, ChatGPT-4 completely avoids hallucinations and yields an impressive MAE of 1.56 when the prompt is incorporated with a dedicated procedure and one reasoning example. This performance is noteworthy, as it surpasses all conven-



Figure 6: Impact of window size of query sensor data.

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

381

382

383

385

386

388

390

391

392

393

394

395

397

tional signal processing baselines with 5-second data. It is noteworthy, however, that ChatGPT-4 does not record the lowest MAE when provided with two reasoning examples. This phenomenon may be attributed to the increased task complexity due to additional examples. One more reasoning example encompasses an ECG sequence and a reasoning procedure, which occupies about 2,200 tokens for ChatGPT.

GPT-4V exhibits commendable efficacy and it outperforms all LLMs when only a general description of R-peaks is provided in the prompt, demonstrating its potential for general adoptions where its usage is completely independent of any signal processing knowledge.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that LLMs exemplified by ChatGPT-4 can exhibit remarkable proficiency in analyzing physical digitized signals when provided with proper guidance.

Impact of Window Size. We investigate how the window size of ECG query data impacts the end performance of LLM/VLMs. We adopt the prompt scheme encompassing the procedure and one reasoning example. As shown in Figure 6, we vary the window size from 2.5 to 10 seconds,

adjusting the reasoning example correspondingly for each window size. The stability of PaLM 2's performance is inconsistent, and a reduction in hal-400 lucination rates does not translate to lower MAEs. 401 The hallucination rates for both ChatGPT-3.5 and 402 ChatGPT-4 escalate with the increase in window 403 size. A plausible explanation for such a trend is 404 ChatGPT's inherent limitation in processing exten-405 sive lengths of digitized sequences. For instance, 406 a 10-second window of query sensor data contains 407 720 numerical values, resulting in approximately 408 5,100 tokens. These findings suggest the inefficacy 409 of existing LLMs like ChatGPT when tasked to 410 process long digitized signals. The GPT-4V keeps 411 a zero hallucination rate but exhibits a similar trend 412 of MAE increase with bigger window sizes. 413

4 Penetrative AI

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

449

443

444

While not achieving perfect accuracy, LLMs exhibit surprisingly encouraging performance, even when dealing with pure digital signals. This presents an enticing opportunity to leverage LLMs' world knowledge as a foundation model to derive insights from sensory information while requiring no or little additional task knowledge or data, i.e., in zero or few-shot settings. Such a capability may be equipped with IoT sensors and actuators to build intelligence into cyber-physical systems – a concept we term "*Penetrative AI*".

4.1 Scope

"Penetrative AI" is concerned with exploring the foundation role of LLMs in completing tasks in the physical world. Two primary characteristics define its scope – i) the involvement of the embedded world knowledge in LLMs ², and ii) the integration with IoT sensors and/or actuators for perceiving and intervening the physical world. It is important to distinguish the scope of Penetrative AI from existing practices where the LLMs are not engaged with their world knowledge in direct analysis of sensor inputs or CPS control. Examples include classical NLP applications of LLMs, conventional machine learning adopted in CPS, and LLMs involved in the CPS loop but not applied to comprehending the physical world phenomena.

As the example applications demonstrate, penetrative AI may offer the following potentials. It simplifies solution deployment, allowing user-machine interaction in plain language and minimizing the need for extensive programming skills. It also enhances data efficiency as LLMs embedded with vast world knowledge can effectively generalize to new tasks. LLMs adeptly handle fuzzy logic well, drawing inferences from vague or disorganized information, and bypassing the need for precise logic. Finally, the penetrative AI offers an innovative opportunity for multimodal fusion, where diverse data types are transformed into a uniform text format, facilitating seamless adaptation to various tasks without extensive model re-engineering.

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

4.2 Challenges and Future Directions

Adopting LLMs in a penetrative way for CPS is non-trivial since LLMs are typically trained with extensive text corpora for NLP applications and thus may lack expertise and domain knowledge for CPS tasks. Unleashing its full potential necessitates addressing the challenges as follows:

Understanding the knowledge boundaries of LLMs. A fundamental challenge lies in systematically assessing LLMs' capabilities for specific CPS contexts. A pragmatic approach to this is engaging LLMs in structured dialogues, tailored to uncover their understanding and application of relevant concepts at different levels, including conceptual awareness where the LLMs' fundamental conceptual grasp can be gauged by questions like "what is SSID in the context of WiFi?", and application and understanding which delves deeper, examining whether LLMs can aptly apply fundamental concepts in practical scenarios with example questions like "what does it imply about the users' locations if their smartphones connect to WiFi APs with certain SSIDs and RSSIs?".

Expanding LLMs' capabilities. A subsequent and essential challenge is to broaden the capabilities of LLMs for CPS tasks based on the existing knowledge. Such expansion can be approached through several strategies. Task decomposition can break down complex tasks into simpler sub-tasks, which allows LLMs to develop more focused and efficient problem-solvers. Signal transformation and data preprocessing decides the form in which sensor or actuator data shall be presented which is a crucial challenge. While digitized signals offer in-depth information, they require a deeper level of physical world understanding from LLMs. Transforming them into textualized data may be beneficial and other preprocessing methods such as filtering to remove irrelevant or redundant information

²or variations like Vision-Language Models (VLMs) (OpenAI, 2023a) which adapt to other input modalities.

594

595

546

may also enhance system efficacy. *Effective prompt design* is a major challenge, which may involve embedding domain-specific knowledge when LLMs' common knowledge is limited in certain tasks. Developing stateful prompts and effective algorithms with fuzzy logic (as demonstrated in Section 3.2) is another interesting future work. *Interfacing with external tools* also leads to an expansion of LLMs' capabilities. Examples include using code interpreters for executing signal processing algorithms or leveraging procedure calls for accessing realtime information and/or controlling CPS.

496

497

498

499

501

502

507

509

510

511

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

521

523

524

526

527

528

530

531

532

534

535

539

541

545

Enriching LLMs with expert knowledge. A pivotal approach is to develop specialized models tailored to embedding additional domain knowledge for CPS tasks. Such an approach however comes with special considerations and challenges: Dataset construction for multimodal datasets to train tailored LLMs is a challenge. Unlike standard image-text pair datasets like those described in (Byeon et al., 2022), sensor-text datasets for CPS tasks shall include not only descriptive information but also expert knowledge and processing guidance, which necessitates a thoughtful approach to ensure the data are comprehensive, accurate, and reflective of real-world scenarios. Balancing specialization with generalizability is necessary. A critical risk in the fine-tuning LLMs is the potential disruption of the existing knowledge base of LLMs and a balanced fine-tuning process with both general and domain-specific data may be key to maintaining the robustness of LLMs. Integrating expert models presents another way to enrich expert knowledge of LLMs, e.g., integrating LLMs with an IMU foundation model like LIMU-BERT (Xu et al., 2021) may enable frontend features of sensor signals before LLM comprehensions.

5 Related Work

LLMs for Sensor Processing. With the scaling of model size and corpus size, LLMs demonstrate an emerging in-context learning (ICL) ability by learning directly from input prompts without additional training (Min et al., 2021; Rubin et al., 2021; Min et al., 2022). This forward has broadened the application spectrum of LLMs, such as in Liu et al.'s study (Liu et al., 2023b), where LLMs analyze medical data for health-related tasks, e.g., recognizing activities with accelerometer data. LLMs in (Liu et al., 2023b) primarily rely on learning from question-answer pairs presented in prompts. Our work, however, extends this by applying LLMs to signal-processing tasks, providing them with processed sensor data and structured guidance. We believe this enriched interaction between LLMs and sensor data can better exploit embedded commonsense knowledge in LLMs and thus unlock their potential to accomplish real-world tasks.

Embodied AI."Penetrative AI" is different from "Embodied AI" (Duan et al., 2022), which predominantly aims at designing robotic agents and is broadly defined with general AI models (rather than the penetrative AI's focus on LLMs' foundation roles). The penetrative AI focuses on the exploration of integrating LLMs with IoT sensing. It is not limited to the form of AI agents and supports AGI-in-the-loop perception or control modules for CPS. In various domains such as gaming and robotics, there are many LLM-based agents that leverage the embedded general knowledge to generate actions or plans (Liang et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023; Yao et al., 2022; Park et al., 2023). Many of these initiatives (Liang et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2023) center around the programming capabilities of LLMs, executing perceptions and actions through predefined API interfaces. In contrast to these robot-focused endeavors, this paper applies LLMs to directly comprehend physical world signals in IoT scenarios.

Exploring Novel LLM Applications. Several studies venture into novel LLM applications like image editing (Wu et al., 2023), video understanding (Li et al., 2023), constructing knowledge graph (Sun et al., 2023; Carta et al., 2023), mental health prediction (Xu et al., 2023), sequence completion (Mirchandani et al., 2023), and developing recommendation systems (Gao et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023a). Different from all existing efforts, this paper defines "*Penetrative AI*" which leverages LLMs' world knowledge in comprehending physical phenomena and completing real-world tasks. We believe this is the first effort to explore the boundaries of LLMs' ability to interact with the real physical world with IoT sensors.

6 Conclusion

We present penetrative AI and explore the potential of leveraging large language models as world models to accomplish real-world tasks with IoT sensors. Our findings illuminate a promising path for the integration of artificial intelligence and CPS, offering insights into the future of AI-powered solutions.

7 Limitations

596

599

606

607

610

611

613

615

616

618

619

623

625

627

628

629

635

637

641

642

645

Our study is based on a key assumption that LLMs have integrated high-level common-sense human knowledge that can be adopted for processing sensor data. This assumption may not be universally applicable to all LLMs, particularly those with small size or trained in specific NLP text corpora. Furthermore, our evaluation was confined to a select number of LLMs accessible through platforms such as OpenAI and Google API. This limited scope may not fully encompass the vast array of LLM capabilities currently available.

Due to constraints in manuscript length, we focused on two illustrative applications. While these were carefully chosen to represent distinct levels of signal processing within the Penetrative AI, they do not exhaust the full spectrum of potential applications. Despite this, we believe that these applications demonstrate the potential of LLMs in processing sensory signals. Future research could expand upon this groundwork by applying Penetrative AI to a wider array of applications.

We also observed that when employed in a penetrative manner, LLMs might exhibit lower efficiency in processing extensive sequences of digital data compared to traditional signal processing methods. This observation suggests a potential constraint in the practical deployment of Penetrative AI solutions. It underscores the need for continued research to enhance the efficiency of LLMs in handling long-digit sequences.

8 Ethics Statement

Labor Considerations. In constructing the dataset for activity sensing, authors and 7 volunteers from Southeast Asia engaged in tasks such as data collection and storage. Volunteers acknowledge the usage of data and collected sensor data are anonymous. The dataset includes human annotations that are fact-based, such as identifying whether the subject is indoors or outdoors during data collection. Thus, the sensor dataset maintains an objective and unbiased perspective.

Misues Potential. In our experiments with activity sensing, some LLMs demonstrated the capability to infer user activities from sensor data collected by smartphones. Despite these promising preliminary findings, there exists a potential risk of future misuse, such as unauthorized tracking of users' daily activities and personal information. We emphasize the necessity for responsible application of these technologies, with a strong commitment 646 to protecting individual privacy and preventing ma-647 licious uses. Additionally, while applying LLMs 648 such as ChatGPT-4 for heart rate detection holds 649 promise, it necessitates further experimentation and 650 studies to validate its effectiveness and reliability. 651 Continued research in this area is crucial to ensure 652 that LLMs can be confidently used for medical data 653 analysis. 654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

685

686

687

688

689

690

References

- Rohan Anil, Andrew M Dai, Orhan Firat, Melvin Johnson, Dmitry Lepikhin, Alexandre Passos, Siamak Shakeri, Emanuel Taropa, Paige Bailey, Zhifeng Chen, et al. 2023. Palm 2 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10403*.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33.
- Minwoo Byeon, Beomhee Park, Haecheon Kim, Sungjun Lee, Woonhyuk Baek, and Saehoon Kim. 2022. Coyo-700m: Image-text pair dataset. https: //github.com/kakaobrain/coyo-dataset.
- Salvatore Carta, Alessandro Giuliani, Leonardo Piano, Alessandro Sebastian Podda, Livio Pompianu, and Sandro Gabriele Tiddia. 2023. Iterative zero-shot llm prompting for knowledge graph construction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01128*.
- Ivaylo I Christov. 2004. Real time electrocardiogram qrs detection using combined adaptive threshold. *Biomedical engineering online*, 3(1):1–9.
- Antonia Creswell, Murray Shanahan, and Irina Higgins. 2022. Selection-inference: Exploiting large language models for interpretable logical reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09712*.
- Android Developers. 2023a. Gnssstatus.
- Android Developers. 2023b. Motion sensors.
- Android Developers. 2023c. Scanresult.
- Jiafei Duan, Samson Yu, Hui Li Tan, Hongyuan Zhu, and Cheston Tan. 2022. A survey of embodied ai: From simulators to research tasks. *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, 6(2):230–244.
- Mohamed Elgendi, Mirjam Jonkman, and Friso De Boer.6912010. Frequency bands effects on qrs detection.692*Biosignals*, 2003:2002.693

- Sewon Min, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Han-748 naneh Hajishirzi. 2021. Metaicl: Learning to learn in Chat-749 context. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.15943. 750 Sewon Min et al. 2022. Rethinking the role of demon-751 strations: What makes in-context learning work? 752 arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.12837. Suvir Mirchandani, Fei Xia, Pete Florence, Brian Ichter, 754 Danny Driess, Montserrat Gonzalez Arenas, Kan-755 ishka Rao, Dorsa Sadigh, and Andy Zeng. 2023. 756 Large language models as general pattern machines. 757 In Proceedings of the 7th Conference on Robot Learn-758 ing (CoRL). 759 OpenAI. 2023a. Gpt-4 system card. 760 OpenAI. 2023b. Gpt-4 technical report. Jiapu Pan and Willis J Tompkins. 1985. A real-time qrs detection algorithm. IEEE transactions on biomedi-763 cal engineering, (3):230-236. 764 Joon Sung Park, Joseph C O'Brien, Carrie J Cai, Mered-765 ith Ringel Morris, Percy Liang, and Michael S Bernstein. 2023. Generative agents: Interactive 767 simulacra of human behavior. arXiv preprint 768 arXiv:2304.03442. 769 Bernd Porr, Luis Howell, Ioannis Stournaras, and Yoav 770 Nir. 2023. Popular ecg r peak detectors written in 771 python. 772 Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya 773 Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sas-774 try, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, 775 et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from 776 natural language supervision. In International confer-777 ence on machine learning, pages 8748–8763. PMLR. 778 Ohad Rubin, Jonathan Herzig, and Jonathan Berant. 779 2021. Learning to retrieve prompts for in-context 780 learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.08633. 781 Teven Le Scao, Angela Fan, Christopher Akiki, El-782 lie Pavlick, Suzana Ilić, Daniel Hesslow, Roman 783 Castagné, Alexandra Sasha Luccioni, François Yvon, 784 Matthias Gallé, et al. 2022. Bloom: A 176b-785 parameter open-access multilingual language model. 786 arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05100. 787 Ishika Singh, Valts Blukis, Arsalan Mousavian, Ankit 788 Goyal, Danfei Xu, Jonathan Tremblay, Dieter Fox, 789 Jesse Thomason, and Animesh Garg. 2023. Prog-790 prompt: Generating situated robot task plans using 791 large language models. In 2023 IEEE International 792 Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 793 pages 11523-11530. IEEE. 794 Jiashuo Sun, Chengjin Xu, Lumingyuan Tang, Saizhuo 795 Wang, Chen Lin, Yeyun Gong, Heung-Yeung Shum, 796 and Jian Guo. 2023. Think-on-graph: Deep and 797 responsible reasoning of large language model with 798 knowledge graph. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.07697. 799
- Yunfan Gao, Tao Sheng, Youlin Xiang, Yun Xiong, Haofen Wang, and Jiawei Zhang. 2023. Chatrec: Towards interactive and explainable llmsaugmented recommender system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14524*.

698

701

706

707

710

711

712

713

715

718

719

721

722

723

724

726

727

730

731

732

733

734

736

738

739

740

741

742 743

744

745

746

- Ary L Goldberger, Luis AN Amaral, Leon Glass, Jeffrey M Hausdorff, Plamen Ch Ivanov, Roger G Mark, Joseph E Mietus, George B Moody, Chung-Kang Peng, and H Eugene Stanley. 2000. Physiobank, physiotoolkit, and physionet: components of a new research resource for complex physiologic signals. *circulation*, 101(23):e215–e220.
- Pat Hamilton. 2002. Open source ecg analysis. In *Computers in cardiology*, pages 101–104. IEEE.
- Chao Jia, Yinfei Yang, Ye Xia, Yi-Ting Chen, Zarana Parekh, Hieu Pham, Quoc Le, Yun-Hsuan Sung, Zhen Li, and Tom Duerig. 2021. Scaling up visual and vision-language representation learning with noisy text supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 4904–4916. PMLR.
- Shubha Kadambe, Robin Murray, and G Faye Boudreaux-Bartels. 1999. Wavelet transform-based qrs complex detector. *IEEE Transactions on biomedical Engineering*, 46(7):838–848.
- Vignesh Kalidas and Lakshman Tamil. 2017. Real-time qrs detector using stationary wavelet transform for automated ecg analysis. In 2017 IEEE 17th international conference on Bioinformatics and Bioengineering (BIBE), pages 457–461. IEEE.
- Yann LeCun. 2022. A path towards autonomous machine intelligence version 0.9. 2, 2022-06-27. *Open Review*, 62.
- KunChang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhai Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. 2023. Videochat: Chat-centric video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06355*.
- Jacky Liang, Wenlong Huang, Fei Xia, Peng Xu, Karol Hausman, Brian Ichter, Pete Florence, and Andy Zeng. 2023. Code as policies: Language model programs for embodied control. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pages 9493–9500. IEEE.
- Junling Liu, Chao Liu, Renjie Lv, Kang Zhou, and Yan Zhang. 2023a. Is chatgpt a good recommender? a preliminary study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10149*.
- Xin Liu, Daniel McDuff, Geza Kovacs, Isaac Galatzer-Levy, Jacob Sunshine, Jiening Zhan, Ming-Zher Poh, Shun Liao, Paolo Di Achille, and Shwetak Patel. 2023b. Large language models are few-shot health learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.15525*.
- Jiasen Lu, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, and Stefan Lee. 2019. Vilbert: Pretraining task-agnostic visiolinguistic representations for vision-and-language tasks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32.

- Hao Tan and Mohit Bansal. 2019. Lxmert: Learning cross-modality encoder representations from transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.07490*.
- Guanzhi Wang, Yuqi Xie, Yunfan Jiang, Ajay Mandlekar, Chaowei Xiao, Yuke Zhu, Linxi Fan, and Anima Anandkumar. 2023. Voyager: An open-ended embodied agent with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16291*.

805

808

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

829

830

831

834

835

836

837

840

841

- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. 2022. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:24824–24837.
- Chenfei Wu, Shengming Yin, Weizhen Qi, Xiaodong Wang, Zecheng Tang, and Nan Duan. 2023. Visual chatgpt: Talking, drawing and editing with visual foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04671*.
 - Huatao Xu, Pengfei Zhou, Rui Tan, Mo Li, and Guobin Shen. 2021. Limu-bert: Unleashing the potential of unlabeled data for imu sensing applications. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*, pages 220–233.
- Xuhai Xu, Bingshen Yao, Yuanzhe Dong, Hong Yu, James Hendler, Anind K Dey, and Dakuo Wang. 2023. Leveraging large language models for mental health prediction via online text data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.14385*.
- Frank G Yanowitz. 2010. Lesson iii. characteristics of the normal ecg. University of Utah School of Medicine.
- Shunyu Yao, Jeffrey Zhao, Dian Yu, Nan Du, Izhak Shafran, Karthik Narasimhan, and Yuan Cao. 2022.
 React: Synergizing reasoning and acting in language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.03629.
- Aohan Zeng, Xiao Liu, Zhengxiao Du, Zihan Wang, Hanyu Lai, Ming Ding, Zhuoyi Yang, Yifan Xu, Wendi Zheng, Xiao Xia, et al. 2022. Glm-130b: An open bilingual pre-trained model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02414*.

A Complete Prompt

A.0.1 Activity Sensing

In table 1, we evaluate three prompt schemes for activity sensing: (1) plain prompt, (2) prompt with expert knowledge, and (3) prompt with expert knowledge and one reasoning example, which are shown in Figure 7 to Figure 9, respectively. All prompts include the objective, response format, and query sensor data. The response format is adopted to constrain the output of LLMs. We highlight placeholders for sensor data in blue and their detailed information is as follows: • \$DATA_STEP\$ represents the step count de-852 rived from the step counter algorithms. 853 • \$DATA_SATELLITE_COUNT\$ indicates the 854 satellite count. 855 • \$DATA_SATELLITE_SNR\$ is the average SNR 856 of satellite signals. 857 • \$DATA_WIFI_COUNT\$ denotes the count of 858 WiFi APs with an RSSI above -70. 859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

882

883

884

885

886

• \$DATA_WIFI_LIST\$ indicates the SSID list of WiFi APs with RSSI over -70.

All placeholders are replaced with actual sensor data for new inference. For instance, "\$DATA_STEP\$" might be replaced by "5.2", resulting in the complete phrase "Step count: 5.2/min."

A.0.2 Heart Rate Detection

Figure 10 to Figure 12 present the prompt templates to LLMs for the R-peak detection task. Similarly, each prompt template incorporates a response format and a placeholder for ECG digits. Notably, a special sentence - "Do not write codes" is inserted in the prompt to prevent LMs from generating code as a solution. Figure 13 demonstrates an example of query ECG data, which can be used to replace the placeholder in the prompt templates and get the complete prompt.

Figure 14 presents the prompt template with descriptions of R-peak for heart rate detection with images, while Figure 15 displays prompt templates incorporating a description or a reasoning example. Since the digited ECG data are input as figures, we omit the textual placeholder. In practice, we may input multiple figures into VLMs, including a query figure and reference images for reasoning examples. Figure 16 showcases examples of ECG data figures, formatted in PNG and sized at 2000×500 . Objective: Determine a user's activity by analyzing sensor data from their smartphone.

Response Format:

Reasoning: Provide a comprehensive analysis of the sensor data. Summary: Conclude with a brief summary of your findings. Motion: choose one from either 'stationary' or 'walking'. Environment: choose one from either 'indoors' or 'outdoors'.

Now infer a user's motion and surrounding conditions with the following sensor data:

Sensor data: 1. Step count: **\$DATA STEP\$/**min.

2. Satellites detected: \$DATA_SATELLITE_COUNT\$. Carrier-to-noise: \$DATA_SATELLITE_SNR\$dB.

3. Total WiFi APs scanned: \$DATA_WIFI_COUNT\$. SSID list: \$DATA_WIFI_LIST\$.

Reasoning:

Summary:

Motion: Environment:

Figure 7: Prompt template (plain) for activity sensing.

Objective: Determine a user's activity by analyzing sensor data from their smartphone. Sensor Data and Expert Knowledge: You will receive data from various sensors, including the accelerometer, satellite, and WiFi. Here's how to interpret this data: 1. Step Count per Minute: Source: Accelerometer (measures user's movement). Interpretation: A high count signifies walking; a low count indicates the user is likely stationary. 2. Satellite Data: Data: Number of satellites detected and average carrier-to-noise density (in dB). Interpretation: High satellite count and carrier-to-noise density indicates an outdoor setting with strong satellite signals. 3. WiFi Data: Data: Total count of WiFi Access Points (APs) detected and the list of their SSID. Interpretation: A large total count of detected APs implies that the user is likely in close proximity to or inside a building, given the prevalence of WiFi in modern buildings. Scanned APs indicate user's proximity to them, and their SSIDs can hint at specific locations. So analyze each SSID. For example, an SSID named 'Starbucks' suggests the user is close to a Starbucks. Note: Some SSIDs may be not meaningful. Response Format: Reasoning: Provide a comprehensive analysis of the sensor data. Summary: Conclude with a brief summary of your findings. Motion: choose one from either 'stationary' or 'walking'. Environment: choose one from either 'indoors' or 'outdoors'. Now infer a user's motion and surrounding conditions with the following sensor data: Sensor data: 1. Step count: **\$DATA_STEP\$/min**. 2. Satellites detected: \$DATA_SATELLITE_COUNT\$. Carrier-to-noise: \$DATA_SATELLITE_SNR\$dB. 3. Total WiFi APs scanned: \$DATA_WIFI_COUNT\$. SSID list: \$DATA_WIFI_LIST\$. Reasoning: Summary: Motion: Environment:

Figure 8: Prompt template (with expert knowledge) for activity sensing.

1	
	Objective:
	Determine a user's activity by analyzing sensor data nom ulen smartphone.
	Sensor Data and Expert Knowledge: You will receive data from various sensors, including the accelerometer, satellite, and WiFi. Here's how to interpret this data:
	1. Step Count per Minute:
	Source: Accelerometer (measures user's movement).
	Interpretation: A high count signifies walking; a low count indicates the user is likely stationary.
	2. Satellite Data: Data: Number of satellites detected and average carrier to noise density (in dB)
	Interpretation: High satellite count and carrier-to-noise density indicates an outdoor setting with strong satellite signals.
	3. WiFi Data:
	Data: Total count of WiFi Access Points (APs) detected and the list of their SSID.
	Interpretation: A large total count of detected APs implies that the user is likely in close proximity to or inside a building, given the prevalence of WiFi in modern buildings. Scanned APs indicate user's proximity to them, and their SSIDs can hint at specific locations. So analyze each SSID. For example, an SSID named 'Starbucks' suggests the user is close to a Starbucks. Note: Some SSIDs may be not meaningful.
	Decronse Formet
	Reasoning: Provide a comprehensive analysis of the sensor data.
	Summary: Conclude with a brief summary of your findings.
	Motion: choose one from either 'stationary' or 'walking'.
	Environment: choose one from either 'indoors' or 'outdoors'.
	Reasoning Example:
	Sensor Data:
	1. Step count: 5/min.
	2. Satellites detected: 16. Carrier-to-noise: 35.46dB.
	3. Total WiFi APs scanned: 6. SSID list: ['McDonald's Singapore', 'xiaomi_5G', 'McDonald's Singapore', 'Android_xx123', 'OPPO 196', 'link-B33'].
	Reasoning:
	2. A high number of detected satellites and high carrier-to-noise suggest an outdoor environment.
	3. WiFi data shows some WiFi Access Points (APs) detected, with SSIDs like 'McDonald's Singapore' hinting at a
	location close to McDonald restaurant in Singapore.
	Summary: The user is stationary, likely in an outdoor area near a McDonald restaurant in Singapore.
	Environment: indoors.
	Now infer a user's motion and surrounding conditions with the following sensor data:
	Sensor data:
	1. Step count: \$DATA_STEP\$/min. 2. Satellites detected: \$DATA_SATELLITE_COUNT\$_Carrier to poice: \$DATA_SATELLITE_SND\$dB
	3. Total WiFi APs scanned: \$DATA_WIFI COUNT\$. SSID list: \$DATA_WIFI LIST\$.
	Reasoning:
ļ	Summary:
	Motion:
	Environment:

Figure 9: Prompt template (with expert knowledge) for activity sensing.

I

Objective: Find the R-peaks in an ECG waveform.

Background Knowledge:

The QRS complex, a recurring feature in ECG data, signifies the ventricles' consistent depolarization in the heart. It comprises the Q, R, and S waves, where the Q wave shows a downward deflection, followed by an upward-moving R wave, and then the S wave, which deflects downward after the R wave. The maximum amplitude of the R wave is known as the R-peak.

Response Format:

Your response should strictly adhere to the format detailed below:

Reasoning: Provide a reasoned explanation based on the information mentioned above about how the R-peaks were identified.

R-peaks: List the identified R-peak values in the format [R1, R2, R3], including duplicates as separate entries.

Please identify the R-peaks in the provided ECG data. Do not write codes. ECG data: **\$DATA\$**

Figure 10: Prompt template (with descriptions) for R-peak detection.

Objective: Find the R-peaks in an ECG waveform. Background Knowledge: An R-peak within a sequence of ECG numbers refers to a pronounced upward deflection, typically representing the largest and most conspicuous values within the sequence. To identify R-peaks, follow these steps: 1. Initial Observation: Begin by observing the rough overall range of ECG numbers in the provided data. 2. Identify Subsequences: Find subsequences of numbers that meet the following criteria: 2.1. The initial numbers are in the lower part of the overall range, even smaller than the range. 2.2. Subsequent numbers exhibit a significant increase, even exceeding the overall range. 2.3. Following the increase, subsequent numbers quickly return to the lower part of the overall range. 3. Select R-Peaks: After identifying these subsequences, select the largest number from each subsequence as an R-peak. **Response Format:** Your response should strictly adhere to the format detailed below: Reasoning: Provide a reasoned explanation based on the information mentioned above about how the R-peaks were identified. R-peaks: List the identified R-peak values in the format [R1, R2, R3], including duplicates as separate entries. Please identify the R-peaks in the provided ECG data. Do not write codes. ECG data: **\$DATA\$**

Figure 11: Prompt template (with a procedure) for R-peak detection.

Objective: Find the R-peaks in an ECG waveform.

Background Knowledge:

An R-peak within a sequence of ECG numbers refers to a pronounced upward deflection, typically representing the largest and most conspicuous values within the sequence. To identify R-peaks, follow these steps:

1. Initial Observation: Begin by observing the rough overall range of ECG numbers in the provided data.

2. Identify Subsequences: Find subsequences of numbers that meet the following criteria:

2.1. The initial numbers are in the lower part of the overall range, even smaller than the range.

2.2. Subsequent numbers exhibit a significant increase, even exceeding the overall range.

2.3. Following the increase, subsequent numbers quickly return to the lower part of the overall range.

3. Select R-Peaks: After identifying these subsequences, select the largest number from each subsequence as an R-peak.

Response Format:

Your response should strictly adhere to the format detailed below:

Reasoning: Provide a reasoned explanation based on the information mentioned above about how the R-peaks were identified.

R-peaks: List the identified R-peak values in the format [R1, R2, R3], including duplicates as separate entries.

Reasoning Example:

ECG data: [978, 972, 976, 972, 974, 968, 969, 966, 968, 963, 966, 962, 963, 963, 966, 963, 966, 971, 977, 981, 986, 977, 979, 972, 960, 957, 955, 956, 956, 952, 925, 967, 1181, 1000, 926, 955, 940, 942, 940, 946, 940, 942, 939, 941, 942, 943, 944, 941, 935, 936, 934, 931, 936, 942, 952, 963, 965, 967, 968, 964, 965, 964, 963, 959, 960, 960, 960, 961, 961, 957, 962, 961, 965, 960, 973, 978, 987, 983, 983, 980, 970, 960, 963, 957, 964, 953, 957, 915, 1089, 1183, 939, 959, 946, 956, 947, 955, 947, 951, 948, 955, 949, 954, 949, 952, 948, 946, 942, 946, 952, 962, 972, 978, 978, 978, 981, 979, 976, 975, 977, 974, 970, 967, 970, 969, 968, 969, 971, 970, 971, 969, 977, 984, 991, 986, 984, 988, 974, 965, 965, 959, 965, 962, 956, 919, 1088, 1208, 955, 960, 953, 958, 950, 954, 949, 955, 950, 954, 950, 950, 948, 952, 948, 950, 946, 950, 947, 952, 958, 968, 970, 975, 975, 975, 974, 971, 969, 970, 966, 964, 961, 962, 962, 963, 962, 961, 962, 962, 962, 963, 975, 979, 983, 975, 980, 975, 960, 956, 957, 949, 954, 949, 939, 913, 1105, 1154, 925, 956, 938, 949, 937, 948, 938, 947, 939, 944, 938, 941, 938, 944, 939, 943, 938, 940, 933, 939, 938, 952, 954, 960, 957, 959, 960, 959, 954, 954, 950, 950, 947, 948, 943, 943, 940, 946, 944, 943, 944, 950, 954, 963, 959, 959, 958, 943, 935, 938, 934, 935, 934, 929, 898, 976, 1166, 972, 909, 934, 920, 930, 923, 928, 923, 925, 919, 925, 922, 926, 923, 926, 919, 923, 913, 918, 912, 919, 921, 936, 941, 953, 951, 954, 949, 954, 950, 955, 951, 956, 946, 950, 947, 955, 949, 955, 949, 957, 953, 959, 959, 972, 971, 979, 968, 980, 972, 963, 947, 958, 947, 959, 944, 936, 932, 1183, 1101, 915, 961, 938, 954, 943, 952, 943, 947, 943, 948, 945, 947, 943, 946, 946, 944, 943, 941, 944, 948, 959, 969, 973, 977, 981, 979, 976, 979, 979, 977, 974, 974, 971, 974, 971, 973, 969, 970] Reasoning: Following the three steps by: 1. Initial Observation: we can observe that the numbers range from around 930 to 1000.

2. Identify Subsequences: we can identify several subsequences that start with numbers in the lower part of the range, significantly increase to exceed the range, and then return to the range:

- [925, 967, 1181, 1000, 926]

- [915, 1089, 1183, 939]

- [919, 1088, 1208, 955]

- [913, 1105, 1154, 925]

- [898, 976, 1166, 972, 909]

- [932, 1183, 1101, 915]

3. Select R-Peaks: The largest number from those subsequences are [1181, 1183, 1208, 1154, 1166, 1183].

R-peaks: [1181, 1183, 1208, 1154, 1166, 1183].

Please identify the R-peaks in the provided ECG data. Do not write codes. ECG data: **\$DATA\$**

Figure 12: Prompt template (with a procedure and a reasoning example) for R-peak detection.

[968, 977, 981, 992, 985, 996, 985, 971, 959, 964, 956, 964, 950, 948, 918, 1143, 1164, 928, 965, 941, 956, 948, 958, 946, 955, 948, 952, 950, 953, 949, 953, 949, 952, 948, 951, 951, 957, 966, 976, 977, 977, 979, 977, 979, 977, 975, 974, 972, 971, 970, 972, 968, 968, 966, 968, 969, 972, 971, 983, 987, 998, 992, 999, 996, 983, 968, 968, 959, 968, 956, 952, 915, 1118, 1160, 930, 967, 946, 962, 949, 961, 951, 958, 948, 958, 950, 956, 950, 957, 952, 952, 947, 949, 948, 955, 960, 971, 972, 978, 975, 978, 973, 971, 970, 970, 965, 968, 964, 963, 962, 964, 962, 966, 965, 962, 962, 971, 977, 984, 987, 986, 985, 986, 977, 959, 960, 951, 957, 949, 935, 935, 1175, 1056, 913, 962, 933, 954, 937, 950, 937, 949, 937, 947, 938, 947, 940, 947, 935, 939, 931, 937, 934, 949, 955, 967, 967, 977, 970, 977, 969, 975, 968, 974, 965, 967, 962, 968, 962, 969, 963, 969, 962, 972, 974, 986, 985, 995, 982, 997, 983, 971, 958, 964, 955, 964, 937, 924, 1004, 1231, 1037, 931, 961, 942, 952, 976, 969, 971, 966, 969, 966, 969, 962, 966, 962, 967, 963, 968, 970, 982, 982, 995, 988, 996, 991, 976, 962, 969, 958, 967, 954, 946, 935, 1179, 1119, 926, 971, 947, 961, 947, 960, 950, 957, 952, 958, 952, 956, 952, 956, 954, 956, 951, 954, 950, 956, 958, 969, 976, 983, 982, 985, 982, 984, 981, 981, 982, 979, 979, 978, 974, 975, 972, 974, 976, 976, 975, 975, 977, 977, 985, 989, 992, 1000, 998, 1000, 998, 981, 974, 971, 971, 965, 965, 956, 927, 1036, 1222, 1029, 924, 954, 953, 955, 953, 955, 954, 956, 953, 955, 954, 955, 957, 956, 952, 953, 950, 951, 945, 943, 949, 960, 970, 976, 979, 979, 979, 977, 975, 974, 971, 969, 972, 971, 967, 968, 968, 968, 968, 966, 964, 966, 968, 969, 977, 981, 986, 988, 987, 991, 9701



Objective:

Find R-peaks in an ECG waveform.

Background Knowledge:

The QRS complex, a recurring feature in ECG data, signifies the ventricles' consistent depolarization in the heart. It comprises the Q, R, and S waves, where the Q wave shows a downward deflection, followed by an upward-moving R wave, and then the S wave, which deflects downward after the R wave. The maximum amplitude of the R wave is known as the R-peak.

Response Format:

Your response should strictly adhere to the format detailed below:

Reasoning: Provide a reasoned explanation based on the information mentioned above about how the R-peaks were identified.

R-peaks: List the approximate indices of identified R-peak in the format [R1, R2, R3], including duplicates as separate entries.

You should utilize the procedures described above, count R-peaks in the second image. In this task, the use of coding for identification or counting of R-peaks is not permitted.

Figure 14: Vison prompt template (with a procedure and a reasoning example) for R-peak detection.

Objective: Find R-peaks in an ECG waveform.

Background Knowledge:

An R-peak within a sequence of ECG numbers refers to a pronounced upward deflection, typically representing the largest and most conspicuous values within the sequence. To identify R-peaks, follow these procedures: 1.Identification of R-wave Spikes: Initially, identify the distinct sharp spikes in the ECG waveform that signify the R waves

2. Vertex Determination and Coordinate Extraction: Subsequently, determine the vertices of these identified spikes. Then Extract the x-axis coordinates of these vertices.

Response Format:

Your response should strictly adhere to the format detailed below:

Reasoning: Provide a reasoned explanation based on the information mentioned above about how the R-peaks were identified.

R-peaks: List the approximate indices of identified R-peak in the format [R1, R2, R3], including duplicates as separate entries.

Reasoning Example:

For the first provided image, following the steps by:

1. There are six sharp spikes in total.

2. The coordinates are approximately [32,89,145,203,260,320].

You should utilize the procedures described above, count R-peaks in the second image. In this task, the use of coding for identification or counting of R-peaks is not permitted.





(b) Query figure.

Figure 16: ECG Figure examples for VLMs.