GENERAL OCR THEORY: TOWARDS OCR-2.0 VIA A UNIFIED END-TO-END MODEL

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

ABSTRACT

Traditional OCR systems (OCR-1.0) are increasingly unable to meet people's usage due to the growing demand for intelligent processing of man-made optical characters. In this paper, we collectively refer to all artificial optical signals (e.g., plain texts, math/molecular formulas, tables, charts, sheet music, and even geometric shapes) as "characters" and propose the General OCR Theory along with an excellent model, namely GOT, to promote the arrival of OCR-2.0. The GOT, with 580M parameters, is a unified, elegant, and end-to-end model, consisting of a high-compression encoder and a long-contexts decoder. As an OCR-2.0 model, GOT can handle all the above "characters" under various OCR tasks. On the input side, the model supports commonly used scene- and document-style images in slice and whole-page styles. On the output side, GOT can generate plain or formatted results (markdown/tikz/smiles/kern) via an easy prompt. Besides, the model enjoys interactive OCR features, i.e., region-level recognition guided by coordinates or colors. Furthermore, we also adapt dynamic resolution and multipage OCR technologies to GOT for better practicality. In experiments, we provide sufficient results to prove the superiority of our model.

025 026 027

024

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

1 INTRODUCTION

028 029

Optical Character Recognition (OCR) is a widely used technology that extracts the characters embedded in an optical image into an editable format. Typical OCR systems Du et al. (2021) in the OCR-1.0 era are mainly designed based on a multi-modular pipeline style, commonly including element detection, region cropping, and character recognition parts. Each module is prone to falling into local optima, making the whole system incur high maintenance costs. Moreover, traditional OCR methods have insufficient general ability, reflected as different OCR-1.0 networks usually designed for different sub-tasks. Nevertheless, choosing a suitable one from diverse OCR models for a special task is always inconvenient for users.

In the past year, Large Vision Language models (LVLMs) OpenAI (2023); Liu et al. (2023b); Ye et al. (2023a) have developed rapidly and showcased impressive performance. As a highly anticipated ability, the OCR performance of current LVLMs is continuously improving. Based on CLIP Radford 040 et al. (2021), LLaVA Liu et al. (2023b) naturally acquires the English OCR ability after the instruct 041 tuning phase. To lift the OCR accuracy and support other languages, e.g., Chinese, Qwen-VL Bai 042 et al. (2023b) unfreezes its image encoder (a CLIP-G) and uses lots of OCR data in its stage-two 043 training. Innovatively, Vary Wei et al. (2023) generates a new high-resolution OCR vision vocabulary 044 paralleling the CLIP branch to deal with document-level dense OCR. By contrast, InternVL-1.5 Chen et al. (2024b) and other models Liu et al. (2024d); Ye et al. (2023b) utilize a sliding window manner to crop the whole image into multiple sub-patches for high-resolution OCR. Hence, a consensus is that 046 optical character perception and recognition are the foundation of text-driven image understanding, 047 drawing many researchers to pay more attention to LVLMs' OCR booster. 048

However, the popular designs of LVLMs may not be suitable for diverse OCR tasks for the following reasons: 1) The conflicts between perception and reasoning. LVLMs mainly focus on visual reasoning performance, e.g., VQA Singh et al. (2019); Mathew et al. (2021), because that is what the LLM
excels at. To quickly obtain the QA-gain benefits from LLMs, most LVLMs Liu et al. (2023b); Ye
et al. (2023a); Li et al. (2023a) align image tokens to text ones. However, it is unreasonable to do this for pure perception OCR tasks, especially high-density text scenes, because each aligned vision



Figure 1: On the input side, GOT supports various optical image types, such as commonly used photographs and documents. Besides, as a general OCR-2.0 model, GOT can handle more tasks, e.g., sheet music, molecular formulas, easy geometric shapes, charts, etc. Moreover, the model can adapt to region-focus OCR, high-resolution OCR, and multiple-page OCR. GOT mainly supports English and Chinese and can control the structure results (Mathpix markdown/tikz/smiles/kern) via a prompt.

token (biased towards text token) cannot compress enough characters. Imagine how wasteful it is
to use thousands of image tokens, e.g., the image-cropping manner Chen et al. (2024b); Liu et al.
(2024c), to encode an equal amount of optical characters (e.g., texts within only an A4-PDF page).
High iteration and deployment costs. LVLM often enjoys billions of parameters, leading to the
post-training and deployment costs being too high. Generally speaking, for LVLMs, fine-tuning is
not enough once we want to add a new OCR pattern, e.g., a new language, instead of enough GPU
resources for pre-training. However, rerunning the pre-training with billions of parameters, only to
introduce a new OCR feature, is also wasteful.

108 Accordingly, we propose the general OCR theory, i.e., OCR-2.0, to break the bottlenecks of both 109 traditional and LVLM manners on OCR tasks. We think that a model of OCR 2.0 should have the 110 following essential characteristics:

111 112

113

114

- End-to-end. Compared to OCR-1.0 models with complex procedures, the OCR-2.0 model should enjoy a unified and end-to-end architecture to ensure lower maintenance costs. It is cool that a beginner can quickly master the entire OCR system in the 2.0 era.
- 115 • Low training and inference costs. The OCR-2.0 model should not be a chatbot, like LVLM, that 116 focuses on reasoning tasks. Its focus should be on strong perception and recognition of optical characters, so it needs a reasonable number of model parameters in exchange for lower training 117 and inference costs. 118
- 119 • Versatility. The OCR-2.0 model's other important point is versatility, including recognizing more 120 general artificial optical "characters", e.g., sheet music, charts, geometric shapes, etc. Besides, the model should support the output format with stronger readability, e.g., LATEX/Markdown format for formulas and tables. 122
- 123

121

Based on the proposed general OCR theory, we present a primary OCR-2.0 model (GOT) to bridge 124 the gap between OCR-1.0 models and people's higher optical character processing demands. In 125 architecture, we adopt the unsophisticated encoder-decoder paradigm for the model. Specifically, 126 GOT enjoys a high compression rate encoder to transfer the optical image to tokens as well as a 127 long context length decoder to output the corresponding OCR results. The encoder has approx-128 imately 80M parameters posing 1024×1024 input size which is enough to deal with commonly 129 used photo/document input styles. Each input image will be compressed to tokens with 256×1024 130 dimensions. The decoder of GOT, with 0.5B parameters, supports 8K max length tokens to ensure 131 it can tackle long-context scenarios. We devise an effective and efficient training strategy for GOT, 132 which can be divided into three procedures, i.e., decoupled pre-training of the encoder, joint-training of the encoder with a new decoder, and further post-training of the decoder. Besides, to further lift 133 the practicality of GOT, we additionally adapt the fine-grained OCR feature for better interactivity, 134 dynamic resolution strategy for ultra-high-resolution images (e.g., over 2K), and the multi-page OCR 135 technology to alleviate the problem of difficulty in breaking pages in PDF image-text pairs (e.g., 136 page breaks in .tex files). To support each training stage, we do many data engines for synthetic data 137 production, which is the key to the success of GOT and will be described in detail in this paper. The 138 main input data format supported by our model can be seen in Figure 1. 139

As a model for envisioning OCR-2.0, GOT demonstrates promising performance in our experiments 140 in various OCR tasks. We hope the proposed simple and elegant GOT can draw more researchers to 141 invest in the research of OCR-2.0. Of course, the path to OCR-2.0 is still long and GOT also enjoys 142 much improvement room, such as supporting more languages, more general artificial signals, and 143 more complex geometries. In this new era led by LVLMs, we are convinced that the pure OCR model 144 is not over, it may even be a new beginning. 145

146

2 **RELATED WORK**

147 148 149

2.1 TRADITIONAL OCR

150 Optical Character Recognition (OCR) is a classic research topic that aims to convert the image's 151 optical contents into an editable format for further downstream processing. Traditional OCR systems, 152 called OCR-1.0, typically use a framework that is assembled from multiple expert modules. For 153 instance, to handle diverse optical characters, the OCR system Du et al. (2021) is usually developed 154 by integrating several domain expert networks, such as layout analysis Zhong et al. (2019), text 155 detection Liao et al. (2022); Liu et al. (2019b); Zhang et al. (2021), region extraction, and contents 156 recognition Li et al. (2023b). The reason for using such a pipeline scheme is that the text recognition 157 module (the OCR part) failed to scale up successfully, which can only deal with the image format of 158 small slices, resulting in the entire OCR process being in the form of first detecting texts/cropping 159 regions, and then recognizing the results within the slice. However, a system with complicated procedures may suffer potential systematic errors and high maintenance costs. Although some 160 OCR-1.0 models, e.g., Nougat Blecher et al. (2023) can directly process documents at the whole page 161 level, they are often designed and trained for a specific sub-task, leading to unsatisfactory general



Figure 2: The framework of the proposed GOT. Stage 1: We pre-train the vision encoder using a tiny OPT-125M to adapt the OCR tasks efficiently. Stage 2: GOT is built by connecting the vision encoder to Qwen-0.5B and sufficient OCR-2.0 knowledge of more general optical characters is used in this stage. Stage 3: No modification of the vision encoder is required, and GOT is customized to new character recognition features.

ability. In the OCR-1.0 era, one inconvenient thing is that we usually need to switch different models according to various OCR needs.

191 192 193

194

189 190

2.2 LVLM-DRIVEN OCR

195 Large Vision-Language Models Liu et al. (2023b); Bai et al. (2023b); Wei et al. (2023); Ye et al. 196 (2023a); Chen et al. (2024b); Liu et al. (2024d;a) have attracted lots of attention in the AI-community 197 due to their powerful generalization capabilities. For the current LVLMs owning perception-reasoning comprehensive capacity, the OCR ability has become a hot spot with the increasing demand for text-driven visual understanding. Most LVLMs' OCR capabilities come from the ready-made 199 CLIP Radford et al. (2021), especially those that freeze CLIP encoder Liu et al. (2023b) to complete 200 the entire LVLM training. For such models, the vanilla CLIP, mainly with English scene text 201 knowledge, is the bottleneck for the OCR performance to out-of-domain tasks, such as other languages 202 or documents. Some other LVLMs Ye et al. (2023a); Bai et al. (2023b) choose to unfreeze the encoder 203 and freeze the LLM for training to enhance the CLIP-encoder and align the image tokens to text ones. 204 These models will face the problem of low optical character compression rate, as it is difficult for 205 frozen LLM to decode too much text from an aligned image token. To alleviate this problem, some 206 models Chen et al. (2024b); Liu et al. (2024d); Ye et al. (2023b) adopt a sliding window manner to 207 decompose input images into smaller patches. Although this dynamic resolution approach is highly 208 effective in processing high-resolution input images, e.g., PDF, it will result in excessive image tokens 209 and limit the max length of the generated OCR result to some extent.

210 211

3 GENERAL OCR THEORY

212 213

In this work, we propose the general OCR theory, i.e., OCR-2.0 (as expounded in Section 1) to
 promote the development of the OCR field. Based on the proposed new theory, we present a novel
 OCR model (GOT). In this section, we will introduce the technical details of our model.

216 3.1 FRAMEWORK

218 As illustrated in Figure 2, GOT comprises three modules, i.e., an image encoder, a linear layer, and 219 an output decoder. The linear layer acts as the connector to map the channel dimension between the vision encoder and the language decoder. We utilize three main steps in optimizing the whole 220 GOT model. First, we conduct the pure text recognition task to pre-train the vision encoder. To lift 221 training efficiency and save GPU resources, we choose a tiny decoder to pass gradients to the encoder. 222 In this stage, we feed images containing scene texts and manual images containing document-level 223 characters into the model to allow the encoder to gather the two most commonly used characters' 224 encoding abilities. In the next stage, we form the architecture of GOT by connecting the trained 225 vision encoder to a new larger decoder. We prepare lots of more general OCR data (e.g., sheet music, 226 math/molecular formulas, and geometric shapes) to scale up the OCR-2.0 knowledge for this stage. In 227 the final stage, we intend to improve the generalization and applicability of GOT further. Specifically, 228 fine-grained and muti-crop/page synthetic data are generated and added for GOT to support region 229 prompt OCR Liu et al. (2024a), huge image OCR, and batched PDF OCR features.

230

231 3.2 PRE-TRAIN THE OCR-EARMARKED VISION ENCODER

As aforementioned, GOT enjoys the encoder-decoder structure. Inspired by the LVLMs design, the decoder can be initialized by a well-trained language model. However, we did not find a suitable pre-trained encoder for an OCR-2.0 model, so we must train one ourselves. We hope the new OCR encoder can work well on commonly used scene and document text recognition in various input shapes (both slices and whole pages).

238 239 3.2.1 THE VISION ENCODER GENERATION.

240 The encoder structure we selected is VitDet Li et al. (2022) (base version with about 80M parameters) 241 due to its local attention can greatly reduce the computational cost of high-resolution images. We 242 follow the Vary-tiny setting Wei et al. (2023) to design the last two layers of the encoder, which will 243 transfer a $1024 \times 1024 \times 3$ input image to 256×1024 image tokens. Then, these image tokens are 244 projected into language model (OPT-125M Zhang et al. (2022)) dimension via a 1024×768 linear 245 layer. Unlike the Vary encoder which only focuses on a single document task under a relatively unitary input shape, we incorporated natural scenes and cropped slices during our pre-training. In 246 the pre-processing stage, images of each shape are directly resized to 1024×1024 squares, as square 247 shapes can be used to adapt to images of various aspect ratios with a compromise. 248

249250 3.2.2 DATA ENGINE TOWARDS ENCODER PRE-TRAINING

In such an encoder pre-training stage, we use about 5M image-text pairs, including 3M scene text
 OCR data and 2M document OCR data. Their acquisition methods are as follows:

For the natural scene data, the English/Chinese images are sampled from Laion-2B Schuhmann et al.
(2022) and Wukong Gu et al. (2022) datasets, respectively. Then, the pseudo ground truth in these diverse real scenes is captured using PaddleOCR Du et al. (2021) tools. Overall, we obtain 2M dat with half in Chinese and half in English. For text ground truth, we perform two types of processing:
1) remove the bounding box and combine each text content in order from top to bottom and left to right. 2) crop the text region from the original image according to the bounding box and save it as image slices. The later method 2) allows us to obtain another 1M slice-type image-text pairs.

For the document-level data, we first collect open-source PDF-style files from the Common Crawl and employ the Fitz Python package to extract corresponding dense text content. In such a process, we gain 1.2M full-page PDF-style image-text pairs and 0.8M image slice data. The slice data, including line- and paragraph-level, is cropped from the PDF image via the parsed bounding box.

- 264 265
- 3.3 SCALING UP THE OCR-2.0 KNOWLEDGE VIA MULTI-TASK JOINT-TRAINING
- 267 3.3.1 THE FINAL ARCHITECTURE OF GOT 268
- After the pre-training step of the vision encoder, we connect it to a larger language model with more powerful capabilities to build the final architecture of GOT. Here, we adopt the Qwen Bai et al.

270 (2023a) with 500M parameters as the decoder because it has a relatively small number of parameters 271 while incorporating prior knowledge of multiple languages. The dimension of the connector (i.e., the 272 linear embedding layer) is adjusted into 1024×1024 to align with the input channels of the Qwen-273 0.5B. Hence, GOT enjoys the seamless encoder-decoder paradigm with about 580M parameters in 274 total, which is more computationally resource-friendly and easier to deploy on a consumer-grade GPU with 4G memory. The high compression rate (1024×1024 optical pixels to 256 image tokens) 275 of the encoder saves a lot of token space for the decoder to generate new tokens. Meanwhile, the 276 satisfactory decoding context length (we use about 8K max-length) of the decoder ensures that the 277 GOT can effectively output OCR results under dense scenes. 278

279 280

3.3.2 DATA ENGINE FOR JOINT-TRAINING

To inject sufficient OCR-2.0 knowledge into GOT, instead of the above-mentioned plain OCR data, we carefully explore several synthesis methods and data engines in this stage, as shown in Figure 3.
 We will delve into the details of each type of synthetic data in the following paragraphs.

284

Plain OCR data. We use 80% of the data mentioned in Section 3.2.2 as plain OCR data. To
further enhance the robustness of GOT, we also add the handwritten text recognition sub-task, which
involves various styles of handwriting from letters and diaries in different languages. We collect the
Chinese CASIA-HWDB2 Tek (2024a), English IAM Tek (2024b), and Norwegian NorHand-v3 Tek
(2024c) datasets to meet our requirements. For the original image-text pairs with the line-level slice
format, 6~8 pairs are grouped and randomly pasted into a blank document page to achieve longer-text
handwriting recognition and improve training efficiency.

 Mathpix-markdown formatted data. Preserving the optical content format is critical to maintaining strong readability for the output results, especially for mathematical formulas and tables. To this end, we use multiple approaches to gather as much formatted data as possible. The details of data collection and production are as follows:

296 297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

- **Molecular formulas.** We first download the *ChEMBL_25* file that contains 2M smile sources. Then we use the Mathpix-markdown-it tool and *rdkit.Chem* package to gather about 1M of molecular formula image-text pairs.
- Table. From the crawled .tex files, we extract about 0.3M table sources and render them into images. Instead of Mathpix-markdown-it, we directly utilize the LATEX as the rendering tool due to its better rendering effects for advanced tables.
 E. H. and A. Main and
 - **Full page data.** Using the Nougat Blecher et al. (2023) method, we obtain about 0.5M English markdown PDF-text pairs. Besides, following Vary Wei et al. (2023; 2024), we gather another 0.5M Chinese markdown pairs. We transfer their contents to Mathpix format. Furthermore, we additionally add 0.2M in-house data, which is directly labeled using Mathpix, including books, papers, and financial reports.
- 312 313 314

309

310

311

- More general OCR data. We hope GOT can deal with more general optical artificial "characters". Accordingly, we collect three related challenging tasks and generate the corresponding data. They are sheet music, geometric shapes, and charts, respectively.
- 316 317

315

Sheet music. Music is a precious part of the cultural heritage and optical music recognition Calvo-Zaragoza et al. (2020); Ríos-Vila et al. (2024) plays an important role in achieving automatic recognition and transcription of sheet music. We choose the GrandStaff Ríos-Vila et al. (2023) dataset as the source to render. The dataset of polyphonic music scores provides the *Hundrum* **kern transcriptions from the excerpts of music. In addition to the existing approximately 100K image-text samples, we also extract some text samples to re-render via the Verovio Python Package. We mainly add new backgrounds from white to real paper styles and randomly add the title and



Figure 3: We use six rendering tools to run data engines to make the GOT work well on diverse OCR tasks. We utilize the LATEX for tables, Mathpix-markdown-it for math/molecular formulas, Tikz for simple geometric shapes, Verovio for sheet music, and Matplotlib/Pyecharts for charts, respectively.

author information. Note that we only render single-system sheet music due to we don't have professionals in the relevant field and we do not know how to assemble single-system sheets to a full page. After rendering, we collect about 0.5M samples.

- Geometric shape. Geometry is a key capability of LVLMs and is a necessary step towards AGI. GOT is expected to transform optical geometric elements into TikZ Mertz & Slough (2007) text format. TikZ contains some concise commands to produce basic geometric elements and they can be compiled using LATFX. We employ TikZ-style points and lines and use the simplest point-line spatial relationship to construct simple basic geometric shapes (*e.g.*, circles, rectangles, triangles, and combined shapes) as well as simple function curves (*e.g.*, straight lines, parabolas, ellipses, hyperbolas, and so on). Through this method, we obtained approximately 1M geometric Tikz data. The geometric rendering is complicated, and our current work is only a preliminary attempt. GOT can only recognize basic geometry at present, yet we believe that with the development of synthetic data technology and OCR-2.0, future models will be able to identify complex geometric shapes.
- 354 • Chart. Charts are crucial in data visualization and data analysis of several research fields. The 355 proposed GOT refers to the chart structural extraction sub-task as "Chart OCR", which converts 356 the visual knowledge (e.g., title, source, x-title, y-title, and values) on the chart image into an 357 editable output with a table/Python-dict format. Following OneChart Chen et al. (2024a), the chart image-text pairs are rendered using Matplotlib and Pyecharts tools. Because GOT is only an OCR 359 model, we don't need the elements of the chart synthesized to be semantically related. Thus, we 360 just randomly extract entity texts (for the title, source, x-title, y-title, etc) from the open-access 361 NLP corpus. The numerical values are random numbers under a controlled distribution. Through 362 this method, we obtained 2M chart data, with half from Matplotlib and half from Pyecharts.
- 364

365

324

325 326

327

330 331

332 333

334

335 336 337

338

339

340 341 342

343

344 345

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

3.4 CUSTOMIZING NEW OCR FEATURES BY POST-TRAINING THE DECODER

After compressing the general visual information of the diverse OCR-2.0 optical signals via the 366 above two steps, GOT is ready to perform image-level OCR tasks in various scenarios. Based on 367 this perceptually savvy vision encoder, GOT can be easily tuned to meet the users' needs for input 368 and output. Here, we customize GOT to enable three new features, i.e., fine-grained, multi-page, and 369 dynamic resolution OCR, by only post-training the decoder part.

370 371 372

3.4.1 FINE-GRAINED DATA ENGINE FOR INTERACTIVE OCR

373 As a high-interactivity feature, fine-grained OCR Liu et al. (2024a) is the region-level visual perception 374 controlled by spatial coordinates or colors. The user can add box coordinates or color text in the 375 question prompt to request recognition within the region of interest (RoI), avoiding the output of other irrelevant characters. For the natural fine-grained OCR, the source images are from opensource 376 datasets, including RCTW Shi et al. (2017), ReCTS Liu et al. (2019a), and ShopSign Zhang et al. 377 (2019), and COCO-Text Veit et al. (2016) dataset. The datasets mentioned above provide the text

378 bounding boxes, so we can use them to produce fine-grained (region/color prompt) OCR data directly. 379 For the document-level fine-grained OCR, following Fox Liu et al. (2024a), we filter out those 380 with the scanned format in the downloaded PDF files and parse the left part using Python packages 381 (Fitz/PDFminer). We record the page-level images, bounding boxes of each line/paragraph, and the 382 corresponding texts to produce the ground truth of the box-guided OCR sub-task. For such a task, each coordinate value is first normalized and then magnified 1000 times. For the color-guided task, 383 we choose the most commonly used colors (red, green, and blue) as the frame colors and draw them 384 via the corresponding bounding box on the original image. Overall, we gather about 600K samples. 385

386 387

396

3.4.2 Multi-crop Data Engine for Ultra-large-image OCR

388 GOT supports 1024×1024 input size, which is enough for commonly used OCR tasks, e.g., scene 389 OCR or A4-page PDF OCR. However, dynamic resolution is required for some scenes with huge 390 images, such as two-page PDF horizontal stitching (commonly occurring when reading papers). 391 Thanks to our high compression rate encoder, the dynamic resolution of GOT is achieved under a 392 large sliding window (1024×1024), ensuring that our model can complete extreme resolution OCR 393 tasks with acceptable image tokens. We use the InternVL-1.5 Chen et al. (2024b) cropping method 394 with tiles max to 12. The ultra-resolution images are synthesized using the single-page PDF data mentioned above, including horizontal and vertical stitching, leading to 500K image-text pairs. 395

397 3.4.3 Multi-page Data Engine for Batched PDF-file OCR

398 For OCR tasks, it is reasonable to use a "for loop" for multi-page processing. We introduce the 399 multi-page OCR (without "for loop") feature for GOT due to some formatted PDF data making it 400 difficult to break pages (to obtain text that is completely incompatible with each page) to further scale 401 up, such as .tex in Arxiv. We hope that with GOT, researchers no longer have to worry about PDF 402 ground truth page breaks (e.g., Nougat Blecher et al. (2023)), as they can train on multiple pages 403 directly. To realize such a feature, we randomly sample 2-8 pages from our Mathpix formatted PDF 404 data and join them together to form a single round OCR task. Each selected page contains text that is 405 less than 650 tokens, to ensure that the overall length does not exceed 8K. In total, we generate about 200K multi-page OCR data, most of which are interlaced between Chinese and English pages. 406

407 408

4 EXPERIMENTS

409 410 411

4.1 IMPLEMENT DETAILS

412 We use 8×8 L40s GPUs to train GOT. In the pre-training stage, we optimize all parameters with a 413 global batch size of 128 and train for 3 epochs. We utilize the AdamW Loshchilov & Hutter (2019) 414 optimizer and a cosine annealing scheduler Loshchilov & Hutter (2016) with a start learning rate of 415 1e-4. The max token length in this stage is set to 4096. In the joint-training stage, we put the max token length to 6000 and train the model with the same optimizer settings as stage 1 for 1 epoch. In 416 the last post-training stage, we expand the max token length to 8192 to allow the model to support 417 multi-patch/page OCR features. In this stage, the beginning learning rate is 2e-5, and the epoch is 1. 418 During each train-data process, 80% of the data from the previous stage is sampled for the following 419 stage to ensure that the basic ability does not degrade when adding new features. 420

420 421 422

4.2 MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we verify the performance of GOT on 5 different OCR tasks, including 1) plain
document OCR; 2) scene text OCR; 3) fine-grained document OCR; 4) formatted (Mathpix markdown) document OCR; 5) more general character OCR. Note that the test data for each benchmark
undergoes strict text similarity filtering to ensure that it is not included in the training data. Sources
of each test benchmark and model performance analysis are as follows.

428 429

430

4.2.1 PLAIN DOCUMENT OCR PERFORMANCE

We use the open-source Fox Liu et al. (2024a) benchmark to test the performance of GOT and popular LVLMs on both Chinese and English PDF OCR. The metrics we used are those commonly in OCR

Table 1: Performance comparison of dense English (en) and Chinese (zh) OCR on document-level pages. The results of other models are from the previous work Liu et al. (2024a).

Method		Edit Distance↓		F1-score↑		Precision↑		Recall↑		BLEU↑		METEOR↑	
		en	zh	en	zh	en	zh	en	zh	en	zh	en	zh
UReader Ye et al. (2023b)	7B	0.718	-	0.344	-	0.296	-	0.469	-	0.103	-	0.287	-
LLaVA-NeXT Liu et al. (2024c)	34B	0.430	-	0.647	-	0.573	-	0.881	-	0.478	-	0.582	-
InternVL-ChatV1.5Chen et al. (2024b)	26B	0.393	0.265	0.751	0.816	0.698	0.784	0.917	0.866	0.568	0.622	0.663	0.717
Nougat Blecher et al. (2023)	250M	0.255	-	0.745	-	0.720	-	0.809	-	0.665	-	0.761	-
TextMonkey Liu et al. (2024d)	7B	0.265	-	0.821	-	0.778	-	0.906	-	0.671	-	0.762	-
DocOwl1.5 Hu et al. (2024)	7B	0.258	-	0.862	-	0.835	-	0.962	-	0.788	-	0.858	-
Vary Wei et al. (2023)	7B	0.092	0.113	0.918	0.952	0.906	0.961	0.956	0.944	0.885	0.754	0.926	0.873
Vary-toy Wei et al. (2024)	1.8B	0.082	0.142	0.924	0.914	0.919	0.928	0.938	0.907	0.889	0.718	0.929	0.832
Qwen-VL-Plus Bai et al. (2023b)	-	0.096	0.121	0.931	0.895	0.921	0.903	0.950	0.890	0.893	0.684	0.936	0.828
Qwen-VL-Max Bai et al. (2023b)	>72B	0.057	0.091	0.964	0.931	0.955	0.917	0.977	0.946	0.942	0.756	0.971	0.885
Fox Liu et al. (2024a)	1.8B	0.046	0.061	0.952	0.954	0.957	0.964	0.948	0.946	0.930	0.842	0.954	0.908
GOT	580M	0.035	0.038	0.972	0.980	0.971	0.982	0.973	0.978	0.947	0.878	0.958	0.939

tasks, i.e., edict distance, F1-score, precision, recall, BLEU, and METEOR. Due to the lengthy text of the document, we use word-level segmentation to calculate each indicator. As shown in Table 1, with only 580M, GOT achieves advanced performance on pure text OCR in the document, proving the excellent PDF text perception and recognition ability.

Table 2: Performance of English (en) and Chinese (zh) OCR for scene texts. On these common image-level OCR tasks, GOT can achieve better results compared to other popular models.

Method	Size	Edit Distance↓		F1-score↑		Precision↑		Recall↑		BLEU↑		METEOR↑	
	BILE	en	zh	en	zh	en	zh	en	zh	en	zh	en	zh
UReader Ye et al. (2023b)	7B	0.568	-	0.661	-	0.843	-	0.569	-	0.258	-	0.488	-
LLaVA-NeXT Liu et al. (2024c)	34B	0.499	-	0.558	-	0.637	-	0.538	-	0.379	-	0.678	-
TextMonkey Liu et al. (2024d)	7B	0.331	-	0.743	-	0.827	-	0.710	-	0.521	-	0.728	-
DocOw11.5 Hu et al. (2024)	7B	0.334	-	0.788	-	0.887	-	0.751	-	0.525	-	0.708	-
InternVL-ChatV1.5Chen et al. (2024b)	26B	0.267	0.123	0.834	0.913	0.942	0.934	0.790	0.902	0.587	0.588	0.744	0.876
Qwen-VL-Max Bai et al. (2023b)	>72B	0.182	0.168	0.881	0.867	0.891	0.878	0.888	0.873	0.586	0.572	0.848	0.845
GOT	580M	0.112	0.096	0.926	0.928	0.934	0.914	0.927	0.954	0.676	0.641	0.896	0.928

4.2.2 Scene text OCR performance

We collect 400 natural images, half in Chinese and half in English, as the scene text OCR benchmark.
All the ground truth in this benchmark are manually corrected. Because the text in the scene image
is relatively short, we use character-level segmentation to calculate various metrics. As shown in
Table 2, we can see that GOT also works well on natural images, demonstrating the model's excellent
performance on most basic OCR tasks (both document and scene texts).

4.2.3 FORMATTED DOCUMENT OCR PERFORMANCE

Converting the optical PDF image to a markdown-like format is an important feature of an OCR model. To verify this ability of GOT, we carefully prepare 90 pages of samples as a high-quality benchmark. The benchmark, containing both Chinese and English document pages, is first generating pseudo-labels via Mathpix, and then manually correcting for errors. In Table 3, we can see the single-scale (1024×1024) GOT can yield satisfactory results. When we use multi-crop inference, the performance of GOT is further lifted especially on formulas and tables with small texts. The results prove the effectiveness of GOT on documents with formatted outputs. Besides, the dynamic resolution scheme is a good choice when processing higher-resolution images.

482 4.2.4 FINE-GRAINED OCR PERFORMANCE

We report the fine-grained OCR metrics of GOT. As shown in Table 4, the GOT is overall better
 than Fox Liu et al. (2024a) on both the bounding box-based and color-based referential OCR tasks, indicating that our model enjoys excellent interactive OCR capabilities.

Table 3: Performances of formatted document (Chinese/English) and more general OCR. Single means the input is the vanilla image and multi-crop represents the dynamic resolution strategy.

	Types	Edit Distance↓	F1-score↑	Precision↑	Recall↑	$\text{BLEU} \uparrow$	METEOR↑
	single:						
	All text	0.097	0.942	0.944	0.942	0.877	0.876
	Formula	0.269	0.749	0.771	0.751	0.512	0.716
Markdown	Table	0.254	0.867	0.857	0.897	0.756	0.760
document	muti-crop:						
	All text	0.086	0.953	0.948	0.960	0.896	0.903
	Formula	0.159	0.865	0.858	0.882	0.628	0.828
	Table	0.220	0.878	0.861	0.919	0.779	0.811
Canaal	Sheet music	0.046	0.939	0.963	0.939	0.900	0.923
Geneal	Geometry	0.061	0.884	0.882	0.888	0.766	0.882

Table 4: Comparison of fine-grained document OCR. Without the need to tune the vision encoder, GOT can easily achieve excellent capabilities of box-guided OCR and color-guided OCR.

		Ε	nglish			Chinese				
Metrics		box		со	lor	b	box		lor	
	DocOwl1.5	Fox	GOT	Fox	GOT	Fox	GOT	Fox	GOT	
Edit Distance ↓	0.435	0.059	0.041	0.064	0.034	0.042	0.033	0.114	0.040	
F1-score ↑	0.670	0.957	0.970	0.940	0.966	0.955	0.965	0.884	0.957	
Precision ↑	0.886	0.962	0.973	0.942	0.970	0.966	0.974	0.902	0.969	
Recall ↑	0.617	0.955	0.969	0.942	0.964	0.947	0.958	0.873	0.948	
BLEU ↑	0.478	0.914	0.926	0.868	0.910	0.885	0.898	0.778	0.884	
METEOR \uparrow	0.569	0.955	0.966	0.938	0.961	0.934	0.942	0.848	0.931	

Table 5: Performance on number-centric chart OCR. With sufficient optimization of visual perception and dense information compression, GOT surpasses the popular models by a large margin.

		1	,	1	1 1		, 0	0
-		Metric	Deplot (1.3B)	UniChart (0.26B)	ChartVLM (7.3B)	GPT-4V (>100B)	Qwen-VL (>72B)	GOT (0.58B)
	ChartQA-SE	AP@strict AP@slight AP@high	0.614 0.709 0.729	0.423 53.18 0.560	0.718 0.814 0.842	0.504 0.606 0.643	0.586 0.685 0.727	0.747 0.845 0.867
-	PlotQA-SE	AP@strict AP@slight AP@high	0.031 0.165 0.265	0.105 0.260 0.269	0.038 0.468 0.540	0.073 0.194 0.223	0.005 0.042 0.120	0.133 0.596 0.640

4.2.5 MORE GENERAL OCR PERFORMANCE

We utilize the sheet music, geometry, and chart benchmarks to verify GOT's more general OCR performance. For the first two tasks, we separately render 100 and 180 additional samples as benchmarks, and as can be seen in Table 3, GOT still performs well on these new OCR tasks. For chart OCR, we use structure-extraction version Chen et al. (2024a) ChartQA Masry et al. (2022) and PlotQA Methani et al. (2020) as benchmarks. In Table 5, the chart OCR ability of GOT is even much better than the chart-specific models Liu et al. (2023a); Masry et al. (2023); Xia et al. (2024) and popular LVLMs OpenAI (2023); Bai et al. (2023b). All results demonstrate the effectiveness of our model on more general OCR tasks.

CONCLUSION

This paper presents a primary OCR-2.0 model that is structurally simpler than OCR-1.0 systems, focuses more on pure OCR tasks than LVLMs, and enjoys superior performance. OCR-2.0 integrates various pan-OCR tasks into one model and is a valuable research direction in model design, data engineering, and application scenarios. We want the simple, elegant, effective, and promising GOT OCR-2.0 model to attract more attention to such a task.

540 541	References							
542 543	Casia-hwdb2-line. https://huggingface.co/datasets/Teklia/ CASIA-HWDB2-line,2024a.							
544 545	Iam-line. https://huggingface.co/datasets/Teklia/IAM-line, 2024b.							
546 547	Norhand-v3-line. https://huggingface.co/datasets/Teklia/NorHand-v3-line, 2024c.							
548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555	Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Yunfei Chu, Zeyu Cui, Kai Dang, Xiaodong Deng, Yang Fan, Wenbin Ge, Yu Han, Fei Huang, Binyuan Hui, Luo Ji, Mei Li, Junyang Lin, Runji Lin, Dayiheng Liu, Gao Liu, Chengqiang Lu, Keming Lu, Jianxin Ma, Rui Men, Xingzhang Ren, Xuancheng Ren, Chuanqi Tan, Sinan Tan, Jianhong Tu, Peng Wang, Shijie Wang, Wei Wang, Shengguang Wu, Benfeng Xu, Jin Xu, An Yang, Hao Yang, Jian Yang, Shusheng Yang, Yang Yao, Bowen Yu, Hongyi Yuan, Zheng Yuan, Jianwei Zhang, Xingxuan Zhang, Yichang Zhang, Zhenru Zhang, Chang Zhou, Jingren Zhou, Xiaohuan Zhou, and Tianhang Zhu. Qwen technical report. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16609</i> , 2022.							
556 557 558 559	Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Shusheng Yang, Shijie Wang, Sinan Tan, Peng Wang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. Qwen-vl: A versatile vision-language model for understanding, localization, text reading, and beyond. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12966</i> , 2023b.							
560 561	Lukas Blecher, Guillem Cucurull, Thomas Scialom, and Robert Stojnic. Nougat: Neural optical understanding for academic documents. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.13418</i> , 2023.							
563 564	Jorge Calvo-Zaragoza, Jan Hajič Jr, and Alexander Pacha. Understanding optical music recognition. <i>ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)</i> , 53(4):1–35, 2020.							
565 566 567 568	Jinyue Chen, Lingyu Kong, Haoran Wei, Chenglong Liu, Zheng Ge, Liang Zhao, Jianjian Sun, Chunrui Han, and Xiangyu Zhang. Onechart: Purify the chart structural extraction via one auxiliary token. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.09987</i> , 2024a.							
569 570 571	Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16821</i> , 2024b.							
572 573 574 575	Yuning Du, Chenxia Li, Ruoyu Guo, Cheng Cui, Weiwei Liu, Jun Zhou, Bin Lu, Yehua Yang, Qiwen Liu, Xiaoguang Hu, et al. Pp-ocrv2: Bag of tricks for ultra lightweight ocr system. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.03144, 2021.							
576 577 578 579	Jiaxi Gu, Xiaojun Meng, Guansong Lu, Lu Hou, Niu Minzhe, Xiaodan Liang, Lewei Yao, Runhui Huang, Wei Zhang, Xin Jiang, et al. Wukong: A 100 million large-scale chinese cross-modal pre-training benchmark. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 35:26418–26431, 2022.							
580 581 582 583	Anwen Hu, Haiyang Xu, Jiabo Ye, Ming Yan, Liang Zhang, Bo Zhang, Chen Li, Ji Zhang, Qin Jin, Fei Huang, et al. mplug-docowl 1.5: Unified structure learning for ocr-free document understanding. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12895</i> , 2024.							
584 585 586	Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre- training with frozen image encoders and large language models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12597</i> , 2023a.							
587 588 589 590 591	Minghao Li, Tengchao Lv, Jingye Chen, Lei Cui, Yijuan Lu, Dinei Florencio, Cha Zhang, Zhoujun Li, and Furu Wei. Trocr: Transformer-based optical character recognition with pre-trained models. In <i>Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence</i> , volume 37, pp. 13094–13102, 2023b.							
592 593	Yanghao Li, Hanzi Mao, Ross Girshick, and Kaiming He. Exploring plain vision transformer backbones for object detection. In <i>European conference on computer vision</i> , pp. 280–296. Springer, 2022.							

594 595 596	Minghui Liao, Zhisheng Zou, Zhaoyi Wan, Cong Yao, and Xiang Bai. Real-time scene text detection with differentiable binarization and adaptive scale fusion. <i>IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence</i> , 45(1):919–931, 2022.
598 599 600	Chenglong Liu, Haoran Wei, Jinyue Chen, Lingyu Kong, Zheng Ge, Zining Zhu, Liang Zhao, Jianjian Sun, Chunrui Han, and Xiangyu Zhang. Focus anywhere for fine-grained multi-page document understanding. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14295</i> , 2024a.
601 602 603	Chenglong Liu, Haoran Wei, Jinze Yang, Jintao Liu, Wenxi Li, Yuchen Guo, and Lu Fang. Gigahu- mandet: Exploring full-body detection on gigapixel-level images. In <i>Proceedings of the AAAI</i> <i>Conference on Artificial Intelligence</i> , volume 38, pp. 10092–10100, 2024b.
604 605 606 607 608	Fangyu Liu, Julian Martin Eisenschlos, Francesco Piccinno, Syrine Krichene, Chenxi Pang, Kenton Lee, Mandar Joshi, Wenhu Chen, Nigel Collier, and Yasemin Altun. Deplot: One-shot visual language reasoning by plot-to-table translation. In <i>Findings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics</i> , 2023a. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.10505.
610	Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning, 2023b.
611 612 613	Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee. Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, January 2024c. URL https: //llava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/.
615 616 617	Xi Liu, Rui Zhang, Yongsheng Zhou, Qianyi Jiang, Qi Song, Nan Li, Kai Zhou, Lei Wang, Dong Wang, Minghui Liao, et al. Icdar 2019 robust reading challenge on reading chinese text on signboard. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.09641</i> , 2019a.
618 619	Yuliang Liu, Lianwen Jin, Shuaitao Zhang, Canjie Luo, and Sheng Zhang. Curved scene text detection via transverse and longitudinal sequence connection. <i>Pattern Recognition</i> , 90:337–345, 2019b.
621 622 623	Yuliang Liu, Biao Yang, Qiang Liu, Zhang Li, Zhiyin Ma, Shuo Zhang, and Xiang Bai. Textmonkey: An ocr-free large multimodal model for understanding document. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.04473</i> , 2024d.
624 625	Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Sgdr: Stochastic gradient descent with warm restarts. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:1608.03983, 2016.
626 627	Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In ICLR, 2019.
628 629 630	Ahmed Masry, Do Xuan Long, Jia Qing Tan, Shafiq Joty, and Enamul Hoque. Chartqa: A bench- mark for question answering about charts with visual and logical reasoning. <i>arXiv preprint</i> <i>arXiv:2203.10244</i> , 2022.
631 632 633 634	Ahmed Masry, Parsa Kavehzadeh, Xuan Long Do, Enamul Hoque, and Shafiq Joty. Unichart: A universal vision-language pretrained model for chart comprehension and reasoning. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14761</i> , 2023.
635 636 637	Minesh Mathew, Dimosthenis Karatzas, and CV Jawahar. Docvqa: A dataset for vqa on document images. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision</i> , pp. 2200–2209, 2021.
638 639	Andrew Mertz and William Slough. Graphics with tikz. The PracTEX Journal, 1:1–22, 2007.
640 641 642	Nitesh Methani, Pritha Ganguly, Mitesh M Khapra, and Pratyush Kumar. Plotqa: Reasoning over scientific plots. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision</i> , pp. 1527–1536, 2020.
643 644	OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report, 2023.
645 646 647	Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In <i>International conference on machine learning</i> , pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.

648 649 650	Antonio Ríos-Vila, David Rizo, José M Iñesta, and Jorge Calvo-Zaragoza. End-to-end optical music recognition for pianoform sheet music. <i>International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition (IJDAR)</i> , 26(3):347–362, 2023.
652 653 654	Antonio Ríos-Vila, Jorge Calvo-Zaragoza, and Thierry Paquet. Sheet music transformer: End-to-end optical music recognition beyond monophonic transcription. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07596</i> , 2024.
655 656 657 658	Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 35:25278–25294, 2022.
659 660 661 662 663	Baoguang Shi, Cong Yao, Minghui Liao, Mingkun Yang, Pei Xu, Linyan Cui, Serge Belongie, Shijian Lu, and Xiang Bai. Icdar2017 competition on reading chinese text in the wild (rctw-17). In 2017 14th iapr international conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR), volume 1, pp. 1429–1434. IEEE, 2017.
664 665 666	Amanpreet Singh, Vivek Natarajan, Meet Shah, Yu Jiang, Xinlei Chen, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, and Marcus Rohrbach. Towards vqa models that can read. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition</i> , pp. 8317–8326, 2019.
668 669 670	Andreas Veit, Tomas Matera, Lukas Neumann, Jiri Matas, and Serge Belongie. Coco-text: Dataset and benchmark for text detection and recognition in natural images. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.07140</i> , 2016.
671 672 673	Haoran Wei, Lingyu Kong, Jinyue Chen, Liang Zhao, Zheng Ge, Jinrong Yang, Jianjian Sun, Chunrui Han, and Xiangyu Zhang. Vary: Scaling up the vision vocabulary for large vision-language models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06109</i> , 2023.
675 676 677	Haoran Wei, Lingyu Kong, Jinyue Chen, Liang Zhao, Zheng Ge, En Yu, Jianjian Sun, Chunrui Han, and Xiangyu Zhang. Small language model meets with reinforced vision vocabulary. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:2401.12503, 2024.
678 679 680	Renqiu Xia, Bo Zhang, Hancheng Ye, Xiangchao Yan, Qi Liu, Hongbin Zhou, Zijun Chen, Min Dou, Botian Shi, Junchi Yan, and Yu Qiao. Chartx & chartvlm: A versatile benchmark and foundation model for complicated chart reasoning, 2024.
682 683 684	Jiabo Ye, Anwen Hu, Haiyang Xu, Qinghao Ye, Ming Yan, Yuhao Dan, Chenlin Zhao, Guohai Xu, Chenliang Li, Junfeng Tian, et al. mplug-docowl: Modularized multimodal large language model for document understanding. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02499</i> , 2023a.
685 686 687	Jiabo Ye, Anwen Hu, Haiyang Xu, Qinghao Ye, Ming Yan, Guohai Xu, Chenliang Li, Junfeng Tian, Qi Qian, Ji Zhang, et al. Ureader: Universal ocr-free visually-situated language understanding with multimodal large language model. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05126</i> , 2023b.
689 690 691	Chongsheng Zhang, Guowen Peng, Yuefeng Tao, Feifei Fu, Wei Jiang, George Almpanidis, and Ke Chen. Shopsign: A diverse scene text dataset of chinese shop signs in street views. <i>arXiv</i> preprint arXiv:1903.10412, 2019.
692 693 694 695	Shi-Xue Zhang, Xiaobin Zhu, Chun Yang, Hongfa Wang, and Xu-Cheng Yin. Adaptive boundary proposal network for arbitrary shape text detection. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision</i> , pp. 1305–1314, 2021.
696 697 698	Susan Zhang, Stephen Roller, Naman Goyal, Mikel Artetxe, Moya Chen, Shuohui Chen, Christopher Dewan, Mona Diab, Xian Li, Xi Victoria Lin, et al. Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01068</i> , 2022.
699 700 701	Xu Zhong, Jianbin Tang, and Antonio Jimeno Yepes. Publaynet: largest dataset ever for document layout analysis. In 2019 International conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR), pp. 1015–1022. IEEE, 2019.

702 A APPENDIX

704

705

706

708

In this section, we provide sufficient output results of GOT to show its outstanding OCR performance. We also demonstrate the format of the corresponding input prompt for different types of OCR tasks.

Prompt: OCR with format:

Output:

709 1 Kinetic theory 710 Note that Note that $|2\,e\,(e,u)-u|^2=1 \quad \text{and} \quad |e+u|^2=2(1+(e,u))=2\,(e+u,u)\,.$ $|2e(e, u) - u|^2 = 1$ and $|e + u|^2 = 2(1 + (e, u)) = 2(e + u, u)$. 711 We prepare the proof by the following lemma. We prepare the proof by the following lemma. 712 Lemma 1.3. Let Φ be an appropriate test function and $u\in \mathcal{S}^2.$ Then (cf. (1.17)) Lemma 1.3. Let Φ be an appropriate test function and $u \in S^2$. Then (cf. 713 $\int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \Phi(e+u) de = 4 \int_{\mathcal{S}^2_+(u)} (e,u) \Phi(2e(e,u)) de$ $\int_{S^2} \Phi(e + u) de = 4 \int_{S^2(y)} (e, u) \Phi(2 e (e, u)) de \qquad (1.20)$ $=4\int_{\mathcal{S}^2_{-}(u)}|(e,u)|\Phi(2e(e,u))de=2\int_{\mathcal{S}^2}|(e,u)|\Phi(2e(e,u))de$ 714 $= 4 \int_{S^{2}(u)} |(e, u)| \Phi(2e(e, u)) de = 2 \int_{S^{2}} |(e, u)| \Phi(2e(e, u)) de.$ Proof. Introducing spherical coordinates $arphi_1 \in [0,\pi], arphi_2 \in [0,2\pi]$ such that 715 **Proof.** Introducing spherical coordinates $\varphi_1 \in [0, \pi]$, $\varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that $e_1=\cos arphi_1, \quad e_2=\sin arphi_1 \cos arphi_2, \quad e_3=\sin arphi_1 \sin arphi_2$ 716 and u=(1,0,0), one obtains $e_1=\cos\varphi_1\,,\quad e_2=\sin\varphi_1\,\cos\varphi_2\,,\quad e_3=\sin\varphi_1\,\sin\varphi_2$ 717 and u = (1, 0, 0), one obtains $\int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \Phi(e+u) de =$ 718 $\int_0^\pi d\varphi_1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_2 \sin \varphi_1 \Phi(1+\cos \varphi_1,\sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2,\sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2).$ $\int_{S^2} \Phi(e + u) \, de =$ (1.21)719 $\int_{0}^{\pi} d\varphi_1 \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_2 \sin \varphi_1 \Phi(1 + \cos \varphi_1, \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2, \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2).$ On the other hand, using the elementary properties 720 $\sin 2\alpha = 2\sin\alpha\cos\alpha\,,\quad 1+\cos 2\alpha = 2\cos^2\alpha\,,$ On the other hand, using the elementary properties $\sin 2\alpha = 2\sin\alpha\,\cos\alpha\,, \qquad 1+\cos 2\alpha = 2\cos^2\alpha\,,$ one obtains 721 one obtains $\int_{\mathcal{S}^2_{+}(u)}(e,u)\Phi(2e\left(e,u
ight))de=\int_0^{rac{\pi}{2}}darphi_1\int_0^{2\pi}darphi_2\sinarphi_1\cosarphi_1 imes$ 722 $\int_{\mathcal{S}^2_+(u)} \left(e,u\right) \varPhi(2 \ e \ (e,u)) \ de = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\varphi_1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_2 \ \sin \varphi_1 \ \cos \varphi_1 \times$ $\Phi\left(2\cos^2\varphi_1,2\cos\varphi_1\sin\varphi_1\cos\varphi_2,2\cos\varphi_1\sin\varphi_1\sin\varphi_2\right)$ 723 $\Phi\left(2\cos^2\varphi_1, 2\cos\varphi_1\sin\varphi_1\cos\varphi_2, 2\cos\varphi_1\sin\varphi_1\sin\varphi_2\right)$ $=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}d\varphi_{1}\int_{0}^{2\pi}d\varphi_{2}\frac{1}{2}\sin 2\varphi_{1}\times$ $=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\varphi_1 \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_2 \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\varphi_1 \times$ (1.22) $\Phi(1+\cos 2arphi_1,\sin 2arphi_1\cos arphi_2,\sin 2arphi_1\sin arphi_2)$ 724 Φ (1 + cos 2 φ_1 , sin 2 φ_1 cos φ_2 , sin 2 φ_1 sin φ_2) $= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi_1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_2 \sin \varphi_1 \Phi(1 + \cos \varphi_1, \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2, \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2) \,.$ 725 $= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi_1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_2 \sin \varphi_1 \Phi \left(1 + \cos \varphi_1, \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2, \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2\right).$ Comparing (1.21) and (1.22) gives (1.20). 726 Proof of Theorem 1.2. Using Lemma 1.3 with Comparing (1.21) and (1.22) gives (1.20). **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Using Lemma 1.3 with $\Phi(z) = B(v,w,z-u) \left[f\left(v + \frac{|v-w|}{2}z\right) f\left(w - \frac{|v-w|}{2}z\right) - f(v)f(w) \right]$ 727 $\varPhi(z) = B(v,w,z-u) \left\lceil f\left(v+\frac{|v-w|}{2}z\right) f\left(w-\frac{|v-w|}{2}z\right) - f(v) f(w) \right\rceil$ 728 729 730 $\label{eq:method} \mbox{Method} \mbox{(test-dev set)} \qquad \mbox{Backbone} \qquad \mbox{Input size} \qquad \mbox{AP} \qquad \mbox{AP}_{70} \qquad \mbox{AP}_{8} \qquad \mbox{AP}_{M} \qquad \mbox{AP}_{L} \qquad \mbox{AP}_{10} \qquad \mb$ 731 d(anchor-based):
 Barder Grunk from et al., 2015) w/FPN
 ResNet:101
 1000 × 600
 36.2
 59.1
 39.0
 18.2
 39.0
 48.2

 Retinantet w/ FPN [Lin et al., 2017b]
 ResNet:101
 1333 × 800
 40.8
 61.1
 44.1
 24.1
 44.2
 51.2
 732 Cascade R-CNN [Cai and Vasconcelos, ResNet-101 1333 × 80 42.8 62.1 46.3 23.7 45.5 55.2 2018] 733 Backbone Input size AP AP₅₀ AP₇₃ AP₈ AP_M AP_L CSPDarkNet-53 608 × 608 43.5 65.7 47.3 26.7 46.7 53.3 YOLOv4 [Bochkovskiy et al., 2020] 734 Center-guided (anchor-free):
 CenterNet [Shout et al., 2019]
 Hourglass-104
 512 × 512
 42.1
 61.1
 45.9
 24.1
 45.5
 52.8

 FCOS [Tian et al., 2019]
 ResNext-101
 1333 × 800
 42.1
 62.1
 45.2
 25.6
 44.9
 52.0
 735 9] .2019] Reppoints [Yang et al., 2019] ResNet-101 1333 × 806 45.0 66.1 49.0 26.6 48.6 57.5 Yang et ided: 736
 Hompiss-104
 511 × 51
 449
 62.4
 81.
 256
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 mer-guided: CenterNet [Duan et al., 2019] Hourglass-104 511 44.9 62.4 48.1 25.6 47.4 57.4 737 CentripetalNet [Dong et al., 2020] Hourglass-104 511 × 511 45.8 63.0 49.3 25.0 48.2 58.7
 Commpetativer [Dong et al., 2020]
 Hourgias-104
 bit × bit 1
 45.8
 63.0
 49.2
 25.0
 48.2
 58.7

 CPN (two-step) Done rel., 2020
 Hourgias-104
 bit × bit 1
 47.0
 65.0
 10.2
 50.2
 60.2
 50.2
 60.2
 50.2
 60.2
 50.2
 60.2
 50.2
 60.2
 50.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2
 60.2< ethod (val set) ETR [Carion et al., 2020] -ResNet-50 ResNet-101 ResNet-50 ResNet-101 738 [Carion et al., 2020] R-CNN [Sun et al., 2021] R-CNN [Sun et al., 2021] 739 740
 Unschool
 Testine
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 B
 DETR [Carion et al., 2020] 741 DETR [Carion et al., 2020] Sparse R-CNN [Sun et al., 2021] 742 rse R-CNN [Sun et al., 2021] Spi
 Hourglass-52
 Single
 43.2
 60.8
 46.1
 25.1
 46.8
 57.7

 Hourglass-104
 Single
 45.1
 62.9
 48.3
 26.7
 48.5
 59.8
 743 744 745 $d_L(C_L, \{v'\}) = |C_L| + |\{v'\}| + 2(d_T(C_L, v') - 1)$ $d_L(C_L, \{v'\}) = |C_L| + |\{v'\}| + 2(d_T(C_L, v') - 1)$ 746 $= |C_{v}| - 1 + |S_{v}^{*}| + 2(\operatorname{rad} T - 1)$ $= |C_v| - 1 + |S_v^*| + 2(\operatorname{rad} T - 1)$ 747 $= |C_{v}| + |S_{v}^{*}| + 2(d_{T}(C_{v}, S_{v}^{*}) - 1)$ $= |C_v| + |S_v^*| + 2 \left(d_T \left(C_v, S_v^*
ight) - 1
ight)$ 748 $-1+2\left(\operatorname{rad}T-d_{T}\left(C_{v},S_{v}^{*}
ight)
ight)$ $-1+2(\operatorname{rad} T-d_T(C_v,S_v^*))$ 749 $=d_{L}\left(C_{v},S_{v}^{*}
ight)+1+2\left(\operatorname{rad}T-1-d_{T}\left(C_{v},S_{v}^{*}
ight)
ight)$ $= d_L(C_v, S_v^*) + 1 + 2(\operatorname{rad} T - 1 - d_T(C_v, S_v^*))$ 750 $= e_L (C_v) + 1 + 2 (\operatorname{rad} T - 1 - d_T (C_v, S_v^*)).$ $= e_L(C_v) + 1 + 2(\operatorname{rad} T - 1 - d_T(C_v, S_v^*)).$ 751 752



756			
757	_		
758	Prompt:	OCR:	Output:
759			[21], and GuidedBackpropagation [22]) to explain image cap-
760	[21], and GuidedBackpropagation [22]) to explain image cap- tioning predictions with respect to the image content and the	based on the findings that LRP reveals the related features of the explained words and that the sign of its relevance scores	tioning predictions with respect to the image content and the words of the sentence generated so far. These approaches
761	words of the sentence generated so far. These approaches provide high-resolution image explanations for CNN models	indicates supporting versus opposing evidence (as shown in Figure 1), we utilize LRP explanations to design a re-	[22], [23], LRP also provides plausible explanators for LSTM architectures [24] [25] Figure 1 shows an example of the
762	[22], [23]. LRP also provides plausible explanations for LSTM architectures [24], [25]. Figure 1 shows an example of the explanation results of attention-guided image captioning mod-	weighting mechanism for the context representation. During fine-tuning, we up-scale the supporting features and down- scale the opposing ones using a weight calculated from LRP	explanation results of attention-guided image captioning mod- els. Taking LRP as an example, both positive and negative
702	els. Taking LRP as an example, both positive and negative evidence is shown in two aspects: 1) for image explanations,	relevance scores. Finally, we use the re-weighted context representation to predict the next word for fine-tuning.	evidence is shown in two aspects: 1) for image explanations, the contribution of the image input is visualized as heatmaps;
703	 for linguistic explanations, the contribution of the previously generated words to the latest predicted word is shown. 	weights the gradients of parameters with small learning rates to gradually adapt the model parameters. Instead, it pinpoints the	 for linguistic explanations, the contribution of the previously generated words to the latest predicted word is shown.
764	The explanation results in Figure 1 exhibit intuitive corre- spondence of the explained word to the image content and the related semential input. However, to our best knowledge, few	related features/evidence for a decision and guides the model to tune more on those related features. This fine-tuning strategy resembles how we correct our cognition bits. For a sample	spondence of the explained word to the image content and the related sequential input However to our best knowledge few-
705	works quantitatively analyze how accurate the image explana- tions are grounded to the relevant image content and whether	when we see a green banana, we will update the color feature of bananas and keep the other features such as the shape.	works quantitatively analyze how accurate the image explana- tions are grounded to the relevant image content and whether
766	the highlighted inputs are used as evidence by the model to make decisions. We study the two questions by quantifying the grounding property of attention and explanation methods	We will demonstrate that LRP-IFT can help to de-bias image captioning models from frequently occurring object words. Though language bias is intrinsic, we can guide the	the highlighted inputs are used as evidence by the model to make decisions. We study the two questions by quantifying
767	and by designing an ablation experiment for both the image explanations and linguistic explanations. We will demonstrate	model to be more precise when generating frequent object words rather than hallucinate them. We implement the LRP-	the grounding property of attention and explanation methods and by designing an ablation experiment for both the image
768	related inputs (pixels of the image inputs and words of the	IFT on top of pre-trained image captioning models trained with Flickr30K [32] and MSCOCO2017 [33] datasets and effectively improve the mean average precision (mAP) of	explanations and inguistic explanations. We will demonstrate that explanation methods can generate image explanations with accurate spatial grounding property, meanwhile, reveal more
769	linguistic sequence input) that are used as evidence for the model decisions. Also, explanation methods can disentangle the contributions of the image and taxt imputs and provide more	predicted frequent object words evaluated across the test set. At the same time, the overall performance in terms of	related inputs (pixels of the image input and words of the linguistic sequence input) that are used as evidence for the
770	interpretable information than purely image-centered attention. With explanation methods [26], we have a deeper under-	sentence-revel evaluation metrics is maintained. The contributions of this paper are as follows:	model decisions. Also, explanation methods can disentangle the contributions of the image and text inputs and provide more
771	standing of image captioning models beyond visualizing the attention. We also observe that image captioning models some- times hallucinate words from the learned sentence correlations	 we establish explanation methods that discharge the contributions of the image and text inputs and explain image captioning models beyond visualizing attention. 	interpretable information than purely image-centered attention. With explanation methods [26], we have a deeper under-
772	without looking at the images and sometimes use irrelevant evidence to make predictions. The hallucination problem is	 We quantitatively measure and compare the properties of explanation methods and attention mechanisms, including tasks of finding the related features/evidence for model 	attention. We also observe that image captioning models some- times ballucinate words from the learned sentence correlations
773	also discussed in [27], where the authors state that it is possibly caused by language priors or visual mis-classification, which could be partially due to the biases present in the dataset.	decisions, grounding to image content, and the capability of debugging the models (in terms of providing possible	without looking at the images and sometimes use irrelevant evidence to make predictions. The hallucination problem is
774	The image captioning models tend to generate those words and sentence patterns that appear more frequently during training. The	reasons for object hallucination and differentiating hallu- cinated words). • We propose an LRP-inference fine-tuning strategy that	also discussed in [27], where the authors state that it is possibly caused by language priors or visual mis-classification, which
775	training. The language priors are neiptut, though, in some cases. [28] incorporates the inductive bias of natural language with scene graphs to facilitate image captioning. However,	reduces object hallucination and guides the models to be more precise and grounded on image evidence when	could be partially due to the biases present in the dataset. The image captioning models tend to generate those words
776	language bias is not always correct, for example, not only men ride snowboards [29] and bananas are not always yellow [30]. [31]. To this and [20] and [31] attempted to concern	predicting frequent object words. Our proposed fine- tuning strategy requires no additional annotations and successfully improves the mean average precision of	and sentence patterns that appear more frequently during training. The language priors are helpful, though, in some
777	more grounded captions by guiding the model to make the right decisions using the right reasons. They adopted additional	predicted frequent object words. In the rest of this paper, Section II introduces recent image	with scene graphs to facilitate image captioning. However, language bias is not always correct, for example, not only
770	annotations, such as the instance segmentation annotation and the human-annotated rank of the relevant image patches, to design new losses for training.	captioning models, the state-of-the-art explanation methods for neural networks, and other related works. In Section III, we will introduce the image captioning model structures applied	men ride snowboards [29] and bananas are not always yellow [30], [31]. To this end, [29] and [31] attempted to generate
770	In this paper, we reduce object hallucination by a simple <i>LRP-inference fine-tuning</i> (LRP-IFT) strategy, without any	in this paper. The adaptations of explanation methods to attention-guided image captioning models are summarized in	more grounded captions by guiding the model to make the right decisions using the right reasons. They adopted additional
//9	additional annotations. We firstly show that the explanations, especially LRP, can weakly differentiate the grounded (true- positive) and hallucinated (false-positive) words. Secondly,	Section IV. The analyses of attention and explanations and our proposed LRP-inference fine-tuning strategy are introduced in Section V.	annotations, such as the instance segmentation annotation and the human-annotated rank of the relevant image patches, to
780			In this paper, we reduce object hallucination by a simple LRP-inference fine-tuning (LRP-IFT) strategy, without any
781	L		additional annotations. We firstly show that the explanations, especially LRP, can weakly differentiate the grounded (true-
782			positive) and hallucinated (false-positive) words. Secondly, based on the findings that LRP reveals the related features of
783			the explained words and that the sign of its relevance scores indicates supporting versus opposing evidence (as shown in Figure 1) we utilize LPP explanations to design a re-
784			weighting mechanism for the context representation. During fine-tuning, we up-scale the supporting features and down-
785			scale the opposing ones using a weight calculated from LRP relevance scores. Finally, we use the re-weighted context
786			representation to predict the next word for fine-tuning. LRP-IFT is different from standard fine-tuning which
787			gradually adapt the model parameters with small earning rates to gradually adapt the model parameters. Instead, it pinpoints the related feature/earlience for a decision and quides the model
788			to tune more on those related features. This fine-tuning strategy resembles how we correct our cognition bias. For example,
789			when we see a green banana, we will update the color feature of bananas and keep the other features such as the shape.
790			We will demonstrate that LRP-IFT can help to de-bias image captioning models from frequently occurring object
791			words. Inough language bias is intrinsic, we can guide the model to be more precise when generating frequent object words entry there ally isolate them. We implement the LBP
702			IFT on top of pre-trained image captioning models trained with Fickr30K 1321 and MSCOC02017 1331 datasets and
702			effectively improve the mean average precision (mAP) of predicted frequent object words evaluated across the test
793			set. At the same time, the overall performance in terms of sentence-level evaluation metrics is maintained.
794			We establish explanation methods that disentangle the contributions of the image and text inputs and explain
795			image captioning models beyond visualizing attention. • We quantitatively measure and compare the properties of
796			explanation methods and attention mechanisms, including tasks of finding the related features/evidence for model
797			decisions, grounding to image content, and the capability of debugging the models (in terms of providing possible
798			reasons for object hallucination and differentiating hallu- cinated words).
799			 We propose an LRP-interence intertuning strategy that reduces object hallucination and guides the models to be more precise and grounded on image evidence when
800			predicting frequent object words. Our proposed fine- tuning strategy requires no additional annotations and
801			successfully improves the mean average precision of predicted frequent object words.
802			In the rest of this paper, Section II introduces recent image captioning models, the state-of-the-art explanation methods for provide activation and the activation is a constraint of the state of the section of the
803			neural networks, and other related works. In Section III, we will introduce the image captioning model structures applied in this paper. The adaptations of evaluation methods to
804			attention-guided image captioning models are summarized in Section IV. The analyses of attention and explanations and our
805			proposed LRP-inference fine-tuning strategy are introduced in Section V.
808			
207			
007	Figure 5. The plain text (dea	umant) OCD ability of C	OT For double column documents with high

Figure 5: The plain text (document) OCR ability of GOT. For double-column documents with high text density, GOT can still handle them well, proving the excellent text perception ability.







Pigure 7. Dynamic resolution of GOT for high-resolution images. In the duar-page paper reading
 mode shown in the figure (data is from Liu et al. (2024b)), the input resolution of the original GOT is
 not sufficient to handle it. Therefore, we adapt dynamic resolution technology to make the model no
 longer limited to the size of the image.



Figure 10: We do not specifically introduce additional OCR capabilities for GOT other than Chinese and English. Yet the PDF data we crawled may contain a small amount of text in other languages, leading to the GOT seeming to have the ability to recognize other languages. However, we cannot guarantee the OCR quality of other languages. Therefore, we recommend fine-tuning the model with corresponding data if this feature is needed.

1021

1022

1023

- 1024
- 1025