Customizing Text-to-Image Generation with Inverted Interaction

Anonymous Authors

ABSTRACT

Subject-driven image generation, aimed at customizing user-specified subjects, has experienced rapid progress. However, most of them focus on transferring the customized appearance of subjects. In this work, we consider a novel concept customization task, that is, capturing the interaction between subjects in exemplar images and transferring the learned concept of interaction to achieve customized text-to-image generation. Intrinsically, the interaction between subjects is diverse and is difficult to describe in only a few words. In addition, typical exemplar images are about the interaction between humans, which further intensifies the challenge of interaction-driven image generation with various categories of subjects. To address this task, we adopt a divide-and-conquer strategy and propose a two-stage interaction inversion framework. The framework begins by learning a pseudo-word for a single pose of each subject in the interaction. This is then employed to promote the learning of the concept for the interaction. In addition, language prior and cross-attention loss are incorporated into the optimization process to encourage the modeling of interaction. Extensive experiments demonstrate that the proposed methods are able to effectively invert the interactive pose from exemplar images and apply it to the customized generation with user-specified interaction.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies \rightarrow Computer vision; Natural language processing; Machine learning approaches.

KEYWORDS

Textural Inversion, Customized Text-to-image Generation, Diffusion Model.

1 INTRODUCTION

Diffusion-based text-to-image generation models like Stable Diffusion (SD) [\[31\]](#page-8-0) and DALL-E 2 [\[30\]](#page-8-1) have shown great success in high-quality and diverse visual content generation. In order to meet user's customized requirements, there have been a series of excellent works [\[10,](#page-8-2) [21,](#page-8-3) [32,](#page-8-4) [36\]](#page-8-5) proposed that investigate subject-driven generation based on pre-trained text-to-image diffusion models. They could facilitate many valuable applications, such as personalized portrait photos, virtual try-on, and art design.

Most subject-driven image generation methods either optimize a token embedding for a specific subject [\[1,](#page-8-6) [10,](#page-8-2) [36\]](#page-8-5) or fine-tune the

Unpublished working draft. Not for distribution. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

51 52 53 54

56

58

57

Stable Diffusion A frog/wolf is kicking a frog/wolf. An/A orangutan/seal is orangutan/sloth in its arms. ReVersion **Ours** Exemplar Images **Ours (Anime Style)**

Figure 1: Comparison between our method and existing T2I methods on generation with customized interactive pose. Compared to SD [\[31\]](#page-8-0) and ReVersion [\[18\]](#page-8-7), our method is able to produce reasonable customized generation results in both natural and animation styles.

whole model or an image encoder on a set of images [\[8,](#page-8-8) [24,](#page-8-9) [32,](#page-8-4) [37\]](#page-8-10). With these optimized token embeddings or model weights, the specified subject can then be used as a new 'word' in text-to-image generation with either the pre-trained or the fine-tuned diffusion models. In addition, existing subject-driven image generation works are not limited to user-specified objects, but also work with other customized concepts, such as style [\[2,](#page-8-11) [36,](#page-8-5) [48\]](#page-8-12), layout [\[1,](#page-8-6) [47\]](#page-8-13) and action [\[15,](#page-8-14) [17\]](#page-8-15). Several works further extend subject customization from a single subject to multiple user-specified subjects [\[16,](#page-8-16) [18,](#page-8-7) [21,](#page-8-3) [23,](#page-8-17) [38\]](#page-8-18). However, most of these works focus on the modeling of each individual subject, while ignoring the relation or interaction between subjects. Interaction between subjects is very common in daily life and customized interaction between subjects has great potential in movie and animation making and artistic creation. However, it is very challenging to model the interaction between subjects with existing subject-driven generation methods.

How can we customize the interaction between subjects in the textto-image generation? To address this task, Huang et al. makes an attempt in ReVersion [\[18\]](#page-8-7) by designing a relation-steering contrastive learning strategy to the relation between subjects into a token embedding. However, that method, mainly relying on the preposition knowledge for the learned token embedding, specializes in learning spatial relations but could fail to give reasonable results in modeling interaction between subjects, as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-0-0) In addition, there is a category generalization issue when transferring

117 118 119 120 121 122 123 a specific kind of interaction for a certain category of object to other categories. To model the complex interaction between subjects, we could decompose it into several components and take a divide-andconquer strategy. In addition, additional prior information could be leveraged to encourage the model to only learn to invert the interaction without binding to the specific appearance of these subjects.

124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 Towards text-to-image generation with customized interactive pose, we propose a two-stage framework for customized interaction inversion from exemplar images. It adopts a divide-and-conquer strategy, which first computes a dedicated pseudo-word token to model a single pose for each individual subject in the interaction and then leverages them to further learn the concept for the interactive pose. Then, the interaction can be modeled as the combination of pseudo-word tokens for single poses and interactive pose. Specifically, to model the single pose in the first stage, we first extract the skeleton map [\[40\]](#page-8-19) for each subject in the interaction and generate several images using ControlNet [\[46\]](#page-8-20) with each one containing a single subject. Each image is described in the form of " $\mathcal{O}[P]$ ", where $[P]$ is a pseudo-word for the pose of the subject O. To learn the concept of pose for each subject, we incorporate language prior in the inversion of that pose by collecting a list of verbs related to interactions and computing the mean and variance of their word embeddings. Then, the embedding for the pseudo-word $[P]$ is optimized to represent the single pose with the reconstruction objective. With the help of language prior, the learned embeddings of pseudowords $[P]$ get close to the embedding space of verb. In the second stage, the embeddings of inverted single poses $[P^*]$ are employed to promote the learning of the interactive pose. The original exemplar images are described in the form of $"O_1[P_1^*][R]O_2[P_2^*]$ ". The pseudo-word [R] for the interactive pose between O_1 and O_2 is optimized with specialized initialization from single poses. In addition to the reconstruction objective, a cross-attention loss is designed to highlight the interaction region. Once the learned token embeddings $[\bar{P}_1^*], [\bar{R}^*]$ and $[\bar{P}_2^*]$ are obtained, they can be inserted into any description as normal words for customized text-to-image generation.

The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

- A divide-and-conquer strategy is proposed to achieve textto-image generation with customized interaction.
- Language prior and a cross-attention loss are incorporated into the optimization process to promote the modeling of interaction.
- Extensive experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method in customized generation with user-specified interaction.

2 RELATED WORK

174

166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 Text-to-Image Generation. Generating images from natural language has provided great convenience to users. Some previous works based on GAN methods [\[27,](#page-8-21) [39,](#page-8-22) [45,](#page-8-23) [49\]](#page-8-24) leverage text conditions to steer image generation, which synthetic images with high fidelity on domain-specific datasets. The auto-regressive generative model [\[26,](#page-8-25) [44\]](#page-8-26) is another direction in the generative model, but these methods typically leads to inefficient image generation. Recently, a plethora of excellent work has emerged based on the

diffusion model. Text-to-image (T2I) diffusion models [\[30,](#page-8-1) [31,](#page-8-0) [33\]](#page-8-27) inject text into a unet-based diffusion model via pre-trained text encoders and cross-attention modules. Training on large-scale textimage pairs, diffusion models can yield high image-text alignment and image fidelity. Nevertheless, generating under complex text conditions remains challenging.

Conditional Generation. Some works [\[7,](#page-8-28) [28\]](#page-8-29) observe diffusion model, such as Stable Diffusion, being constrained by the language understanding capacity of the text encoder, which result in the diffusion model struggle with complex prompts. To address this problem, Lian et al. [\[22\]](#page-8-30) proposes LMD framework that enhances prompt understanding in text-to-image diffusion models through a novel two-stage generation process. Phung et al. [\[28\]](#page-8-29) proposes attention-refocusing losses to regularize both attention layers during the sampling to improve the controllability given the layout and text prompt. Some works [\[4,](#page-8-31) [5,](#page-8-32) [12,](#page-8-33) [15,](#page-8-14) [25\]](#page-8-34) address this problem with image editing. They encode the layout information in the crossattention maps to control the layout of the generated image. In this paper, we focus on customizing specific interactive poses between subjects in the reference images, which otherwise would require plenty of words to describe that interaction in text-to-image generation. Different from the aforementioned methods, which either rely on the extra condition of the exemplar image or constrain the cross-attention maps, our method with texture inversion is more diverse and accessible.

Customized Inversion. In text-to-image tasks, some concepts may exhibit description ambiguities or be challenging to express in natural language. Formally, some user-specified concepts cannot be adequately captured using common tokens. Therefore, the task of capturing target concepts from user-provided images has raised widespread interest. DreamBooth [\[32\]](#page-8-4) binds rare new words with specific subjects through fine-tuning the whole T2I generator. Kumari1 et al. [\[21\]](#page-8-3) train a model to quickly acquire a new concept via closed-form constrained optimization. Recently, some works [\[3,](#page-8-35) [10,](#page-8-2) [21,](#page-8-3) [31\]](#page-8-0) attempt to learn a token embedding for the subject's concept inversion. Then, generate a new customized image by using a new prompt in the form of "[∗] dog in the snow", where '[∗]' is the optimized token embedding. Hamazaspyan et al. [\[11\]](#page-8-36) presents DEPM that generates images based on the styles extracted from exemplar images. Zhang et al. [\[48\]](#page-8-12) introduces InST to learning the high-level textual descriptions of a single painting image and then guiding the text-to-image generative model in creating images of specific artistic appearance. $P + [36]$ $P + [36]$ is proposed for further control of learned token in text-to-image generation by extending the textual-conditioning space with per-layer tokens. ADI [\[17\]](#page-8-15) makes progress in learning specific action token from exemplar images with a single subject. Although it shows promising performance in capturing the action of a single subject, the training of each image with DreamBooth [\[32\]](#page-8-4) would be time consuming. More recently, Huang et al. [\[18\]](#page-8-7) proposes relation-steering contrastive loss to model the relation between subjects. It works well across various kinds of relations, such as spatial relationship, material and affiliation. However, the design of the method, which specializes in learning spatial relations, makes it challenging to model multiple concepts for an interaction in which the pose of each subject and the interaction between these poses are both important.

Figure 2: Framework for interaction-driven text-to-image generation. Given exemplar images and their coarse descriptions, the proposed method takes a divide-and-conquer strategy to learn interaction concepts in two stages, that is, single subject pose inversion (a) and interactive pose inversion (b).

3 PRELIMINARY

3.1 Latent Diffusion Model

Diffusion Model (DM) [\[13\]](#page-8-37) is a type of generative model that learns the distribution of data by gradually denoising the noise sampled from the Gaussian distribution. Instead of operating on pixel space, the Latent Diffusion Model (LDM) [\[31\]](#page-8-0) enhances performance by conducting denoising on latent space. It includes an autoencoder pre-trained on a large dataset and a conditional diffusion model. The Encoder $\mathcal E$ maps an image x to a spatial latent code $z_0 = \mathcal E(x)$ where conditional diffusion model is applied, while the decoder

D works the other way around. For text-to-image generation, a pre-trained text encoder encodes the text description 'y' as $c_{\theta}(y)$, which is then injected into cross-attention layers of a U-Net model in the denoising process for conditional generation. The \mathcal{L}_{LDM} training objective is formulated as:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{LDM}} := \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathcal{E}(x), y, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), t} \left[\left\| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} \left(z_t, \mathbf{c}_{\theta}(y), t \right) \right\|_2^2 \right], \quad (1)
$$

where z_t is the latent variable noised to time t, starting from z_0 , and ϵ_{θ} is the denoising network. During inference, a sampled Gaussian noise z_T is iteratively denoised to compute a new image latent variable z_0 , which is transformed to image space by decoder

347 348

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia Anonymous Authors

Figure 3: Visualization of cross-attention maps in the interactive pose inversion.

 $x = D(z_0)$. Recently, Stable Diffusion (SD) [\[31\]](#page-8-0) employs a crossattention mechanism to inject textual conditions into the diffusion generation process, aligning with the provided textual input.

3.2 Textual Inversion

One category of methods for the subject-driven image generation task is to assign a special token to the specific subject and optimize the personalized token embedding. The optimization objective is defined as below:

$$
v_* = \underset{v}{\arg\min} \mathbb{E}_{z, y, \epsilon, t} \left[\| \epsilon - \epsilon_\theta \left(z_t, c_\theta(y, v), t \right) \|_2^2 \right], \tag{2}
$$

which keeping both $\mathbf{c}_{\theta}(y)$ and ϵ_{θ} fixed. The reconstruction objective motivates the learned embedding to capture visual concepts.

4 METHOD

4.1 Overall

In this task, there is a small set of exemplar images $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, I_2, ..., I_n\}$ with a common user-specified interaction between subjects. In addition, each exemplar image is accompanied by a coarse description in the form of " $O_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $i_{1}^{i}[R]$ O₂ i_2^i , where O_1^i i_1 and O_2^i $\frac{l}{2}$ denote the first and second subject tokens in the $i - th$ exemplar image respectively, $[R]$ represents a pseudo-word corresponding to the user-specified interaction. The aim of this task is to learn the concept of such specific interaction and transfer it into the process of text-to-image generation.

To address the task of text-to-image generation with customized interactive pose, we adopt a divide-and-conquer strategy and design a two-stage inversion framework, as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) The interaction between subjects $[R]$ is expanded into three pseudo-words $[P_1]$, $[R]$ and $[P_2]$ (here we mainly consider the case of interaction with two subjects). The introduction of $[P_1]$ and $[P_2]$ enhances the concept extraction for the single pose individual subjects. In this case, each image would be described in the form of " $O_1[P_1][R]O_2[P_2]$ ". The first stage of inversion focuses on learning the token embedding P_1^* and P_2^* for single poses pseudo-word $[P_1]$ and $[P_2]$, as shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) (a). In the second stage, the token embeddings of single poses are employed to promote learning the inversion of interactive pose $[R]$, as shown in Fig. [2](#page-2-0) (b). We will detail these two stages in the following subsections.

Adjectives Prepositions Adj. Prep
Adv. Coni. \sum Verbs Pronoun $\ddot{}$ Verb $\overline{}$ $P_{w/o\;Prior}^*$ ▲★ $R^{\, \,*}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathit{W/O\, Prio}}$

Figure 4: Visualization of token embeddings learned with and without using the language prior by t-SNE [\[35\]](#page-8-38).

4.2 Single Subject Pose Inversion

To invert the single pose for each individual in the interaction, we aim to obtain images with each containing only one subject while maintaining the original pose of each subject as the exemplar image. Specifically, we adopt ViTPose+[\[41\]](#page-8-39) to estimate the skeleton map of each subject in the interaction. Then, the ControlNet[\[46\]](#page-8-20) refers to the estimated skeleton map to generate several images, with each one containing a single subject. The generated image with a new appearance of the subject and background is further used to learn the token embeddings P_1^\ast and P_2^\ast for single poses pseudo-word $[P_1]$ and $[P_2]$.

Inspired by the observation in [\[18\]](#page-8-7) that the words of the same Part-of-Speech are closely clustered together, we incorporate the prior of the verb embedding space into the single pose inversion. Specifically, a list of verbs corresponding to common interactive action is either borrowed from predicates in [\[42,](#page-8-40) [43\]](#page-8-41) or collected by ourselves. For example, this includes action verbs such as stand, kneel and jump. Text embeddings of these words together construct an embedding subspace for action tokens denoted as S_n . Then, we calculate the mean and variance of the subspace S_v that is $\mu(S_v)$ and $\sigma(S_v)$, repetitively. The calculated mean and variance can be incorporated as a prior of verb embedding space into the original token embedding P_1 and P_2 to encourage token embedding learning. Specifically, we employ AdaIN [\[9\]](#page-8-42) to incorporate the obtained verb prior into the token embedding P_1 and P_1 :

$$
P'_{i} = \sigma(S_{v}) \left(\frac{P_{i} - \mu(P_{i})}{\sigma(P_{i})} \right) + \mu(S_{v}), \text{ for } i = 1, 2
$$
 (3)

where P'_i represents the embedding of token $[P_i]$ with the prior of verb embedding space. $\mu(P_i)$ and $\sigma(P_i)$ are scalars. $\mu(S_v) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma(S_v) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The optimization goal in single subject pose inversion

Customizing Text-to-Image Generation with Inverted Interaction **ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne**, Australia

Figure 5: Results of text-to-image generation with various inverted concepts in both stages.

stage can be defined as:

$$
P_i^* = \underset{P_i'}{\arg\min} \mathbb{E}_{z, y, \epsilon, t} \left[\left\| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} \left(z_t, c_{\theta}(y, P_i'), t \right) \right\|_2^2 \right], \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \tag{4}
$$

where P_i^* is the optimized embedding of trained token $[P_i^*]$. More details of the verbs used in this paper can be found in the appendix.

4.3 Interactive Pose Inversion

In order to model the interaction between subjects, we further introduce another pseudo-word [R] between subjects O_1 and O_2 with learned pose token $[P_1^*]$ and $[P_2^*]$ in the second stage. We inverse token $[R]$ based on original complete exemplar images and fix trained tokens $[P_1^*]$ and $[P_2^*]$ of the first stage in the Sec. [4.2.](#page-3-0) Similar to the first stage, a list of prepositions is collected, which includes the commonly used words placed after verbs such as toward, with, to, over and through. We define the text embedding space of prepositions as S_p . Then, the preposition prior is calculated by:

$$
R' = \sigma(S_p) \left(\frac{R - \mu(R)}{\sigma(R)} \right) + \mu(S_p),\tag{5}
$$

where R' represents the embedding of token $[R]$ with the prior of preposition embedding space. $\mu(R)$ and $\sigma(R)$ are scalars. $\mu(S_p) \in$ \mathbb{R}^d and $\sigma(S_p) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Different from the single subject pose inversion stage, we also aim to enhance our attention to the areas where interactions occur in the image. As mentioned in several works [\[12\]](#page-8-33), cross-attention (CA) maps have a great influence on the spatial layout of objects in generated images. Therefore, we introduce guidance information from the cross-attention map between image patches and the pose concepts $[P_1^*]$ and $[P_2^*]$ of modeling interactive poses. Since the single subject pose in the first stage represents a part of the interaction, and focused regions are related to motion and pose in the cross-attention maps of a learned token $[\mathit{P}_1^*]$ and $[\mathit{P}_2^*]$. As shown in Eq. [6](#page-4-0) and Fig. [3,](#page-3-1) we simply merge the two cross-attention maps by summing them together and filtering irrelevant background region:

$$
G_{z_t} = \text{Norm}(\left(CA(P_1^*, z_t) + CA(P_2^*, z_t)\right) \times Mask,\tag{6}
$$

517 518 519 520 521 522 where CA calculate normalized cross-attention maps between the inverted single pose token $[P_1^{\ast}]$ and $[P_2^{\ast}]$ and noise latent z_t at time step t . To avoid the influence of background, subjects' segmentation results [\[20\]](#page-8-43) of the generated image corresponding to z_t of the Stage I is computed compose the $Mask$ and are applied to the merged

attention map. The G_{z_t} is employed to regularize the cross-attention map for the token $[R']$ and the cross-attention loss is computed as below:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{CA} = \mathbb{E}_{z_t} \left[\left\| CA\left(R', z_t\right) - G_{z_t} \right\|_2^2 \right],\tag{7}
$$

where pixel-wise mean squared error is used as the objective. Overall, the total loss for optimizing the token embedding of the interactive pose $[R]$ consists of the reconstruction objective as Sec. [3.2](#page-3-2) and the additional cross-attention loss \mathcal{L}_{CA} :

$$
R^* = \underset{R'}{\arg\min} \left(\mathbb{E}_{z, y, \epsilon, t} \left[\left\| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} \left(z_t, c_{\theta}(y, R'), t \right) \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{CA} \right). \tag{8}
$$

where R^* is the optimized embedding of trained token $[R]$. As for the loss weight λ , we found that λ with a large value, such as 0.1 and 1, would cause a degradation in the appearance of subjects in the generated image. Therefore, we empirically set loss weight λ_1 to 0.01 in order to balance guidance from pixel and cross-attention map. In generation, the learned token $[\hat{P_1^*}]$, $[R^*]$, and $[P_2^*]$ can be inserted into any description for text-to-image generation with customized interaction.

Analysis. Inspired by [\[12\]](#page-8-33), we visualize the cross attention map between the exemplar image and the token embedding of the corresponding pseudo-word $[P_1^{\ast}], [P_2^{\ast}]$ and $[R^{\ast}]$ in Fig. [3.](#page-3-1) It shows that the learned token can indeed attend to the region corresponding to the key parts of the concept of interactive pose. A similar phenomenon is observed in [\[34\]](#page-8-44), which shows the map attends to key parts of human body for the action verb embedding. For example, the hands and arms are highlighted for the corresponding interactive pose.

In order to better understand the motivation for incorporating language prior to the inversion of interaction, we provide a further analysis. Fig. [4](#page-3-3) visualizes the embedding space. The learned embeddings $[P_1^{\ast}]$ and $[P_2^{\ast}]$ are closer to the verb embedding cluster, while the $[R^*]$ are closer to the preposition embedding cluster. Compared to that, the token embeddings without using the language prior to the optimization process are randomly distributed, which tend to be clustered in the inaccurate embedding space, such as 'Adjectives' and 'Conjunction'. We also present a qualitative comparison to further validate the effectiveness of incorporating language prior to the optimization process, as shown in Fig. [8.](#page-7-0)

580

Figure 6: Qualitative comparison with existing methods. For SD, ControlNet, InteractDiffusion and InstructPix2Pix, we carefully design the input prompts according to the interaction in the exemplar images. For example, the prompts are "A ... is kicking a ...", "A ... is holding a ... above its head." and "A ... is kneeling down in front of a ..., holding its hand and kissing it.".

5 EXPERIMENT

5.1 Dataset

We collect 15 popular interactive actions from humans that happened in daily life, including kneel down, kiss hands, hold in arms, ride on the back and so on. For each of these interactions, we collect 10 diverse exemplar images by searching with a set of keywords on the internet. Each exemplar image contains two persons which is associated with a coarse description in a template form of $\lceil O_1 \rceil$ $[P_1]$ $[R]$ $[O_2]$ $[P_2]$ ". With such image and description pairs, our aim is to learn the interaction concept, that is, optimizing token embeddings for pseudo-word $[P_1]$, $[R]$ and $[P_2]$. Further introduction to the 15 interactive poses is presented in the appendix. The collected data and code will be publicly available upon acceptance. Evaluation Benchmark. We construct a dataset for evaluation, which consists of 2,000 images generated by 200 descriptions, where each description generates 10 images. A total of 30 species categories are involved in these description.

5.2 Evaluation metrics

Different from subject-driven generation, it does not make much sense for us to generate images using the prompt "A photo of [∗]" for interactive pose and compute the similarity between generated and exemplar images because it is difficult to describe a specific interactive pose with those few words and without mentioning the subjects. Therefore, we turn to large-scale pre-trained multimodal model CLIP [\[29\]](#page-8-45) for help to evaluate open-domain interaction relation. Besides, we adopt the metrics Pose-S and Pose-KP for evaluating the generation accuracy of the subject pose, due to direct image similarity between generated images and exemplar images being interfered by subjects.

CLIP-T. We compute the similarity between the generated image and the description text (T) by computing a cross-modal matching score using CLIP. Here, we further extend the description text by replacing the pseudo-word with rich text, such as "A cat is kneeling down in front of a dog, holding its hand and kissing it".

CLIP-S. Similar to [\[10\]](#page-8-2), we compute the subject similarity between the generated image and the description of "A photo of $[O_1]$ and $[O_2]$ ". Its purpose is to examine how the evaluated methods influence the generation quality of the subjects (S) in the description. Pose-S. Similar to [\[18\]](#page-8-7), we compute a classification accuracy for the generated pose of the subject (S) with a trained SVM classifier. To train an SVM classifier for the interactive pose, we first use the image encoder of the ViTPose+[\[41\]](#page-8-39) to extract a 2048-dimensional feature vector of the exemplar image as the pose-related feature. Then, we adopt the extracted features to train an SVM classifier

754

Table 1: Quantitative comparison with existing inversionbased text-to-image generation models. The scores(%) of the CLIP-T, CLIP-S, Pose-S and Pose-KP are reported. Green text indicates the best and blue text indicates the second best performance.

with 15 classes. The trained SVM achieve 91% accuracy in the test set constructed by several example images different in the training process.

Pose-KP. This metric calculates the accuracy of the generated pose of subject based on key points (KP) of the estimated skeleton map. Specifically, we first train a two-layer GCN [\[19\]](#page-8-46) with several exemplar images by using the key points of the skeleton map generated by the detector of ViTPose+[\[41\]](#page-8-39). The trained GCN achieves 85% multi-classification accuracy.

5.3 Comparison with Existing Methods

721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 Since text-to-image generation with customized interactive pose is a novel task, there is a lack of research directly addressing it. In this section, we compare our methods with seven state-of-the-art methods,including, Stable Diffusion (SD) [\[31\]](#page-8-0), ControlNet [\[46\]](#page-8-20), Instruct-Pix2Pix [\[4\]](#page-8-31), InteractDiffusion[\[14\]](#page-8-47), Textural Inversion [\[10\]](#page-8-2), P+[\[36\]](#page-8-5) and ReVersion [\[18\]](#page-8-7). SD is a pure T2I method without using any additional conditions except for text. So, we take it as our baseline and use an extended description as its input for generation. Control-Net, InstructPix2Pix and InteractDiffusion are conditional-based generation methods. ControlNet refers to the provided skeleton map to generate the action. Note that, due to potential errors in OpenPose[\[6\]](#page-8-48) caused by the occlusion of multiple individuals, we correct the results to avoid diminishing the performance. Since InstructPix2Pix is able to edit an image following user instruction, we adopt the exemplar image as its input. InteractDiffusion refers to the provided bounding boxes, which represent the location of the subject in the image as the condition. The last three are the inversion-based methods. Textual inversion introduces pseudoword tokens and fine-tune embedding of them with reconstruction objective. P+ extends text condition, where different embeddings are injected into different layers of the U-net. ReVersion could revert relation to a token embedding for text-to-image generation. We train these inversion-based methods on our dataset and use the inverted concept for generation. Both qualitative and quantitative results are analyzed in this section.

746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 Qualitative Comparison. The qualitative results are shown in Fig. [6.](#page-5-0) Although SD can generate correct subjects as the description mentioned, it fails to generate interactive poses as the exemplar images even though we provided a detailed description for it. The reason attributed to the user intent and machine understanding are not aligned. ControlNet just maintains a consistent pose with the exemplar image. It struggles to find a balance between the generated pose and the subject's appearance, resulting in incomplete or

Table 2: User-based quantitative results with competing methods. Interactive pose, subject and overall accuracy (%) are reported. Green text indicates the best and blue text indicates the second best performance.

Method	Pose↑	Subject ¹	Overall ¹
SD[31]	16.4	78.3	11.7
ControlNet [46]	61.3	35.7	20.1
InstructPix2Pix $[4]$	90.8	29.3	23.4
InteractDiffusion [14]	34.5	62.8	21.3
Inversion-based text-to-image generation models			
Texture Inversion [10]	12.7	68.4	7.8
$P + [36]$	15.2	74.5	9.3
Reversion [18]	29.1	84.9	24.2
Ours	58.6	85.6	48.9

distorted body structures. InstructPix2Pix also shows consistent pose with the target image, but it focuses too much on changing appearance of subjects rather than adapting the pose to the specified subject category. Therefore it would tend to generate subjects with blended appearances of different species. Based on additional layout conditions, InteractDiffusion controls the subject generated at the specified location but fails to generate interactive poses between subjects. This could be attributed to InteractDiffusion being trained on human-object interaction datasets, making it difficult to generalize to interactions between subjects. Additionally, the pure textual description may not be sufficient to specify complex interaction patterns. Inversion-based methods such as Textural Inversion and P+ have shown promising performance in subject-driven generation. However, these methods fail to decouple interaction concepts from subjects in exemplar images and generate inconsistent interactive poses. Reversion, which aims to model general relationships, struggles with complex interactive pose and tends to generate common simplified interactions such as hugging or shaking hands.

Our method with the proposed divide-and-conquer two-stage inversion strategy is more effective in extracting the concept of interactive pose between subjects and is able to flexibly adapt it to customized text-to-image generation tasks. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the proposed method also inherits the capability of Stable Diffusion in producing images in various styles. As shown in Fig. [7,](#page-7-1) our method can produce images in both natural style and animation style. More customized generation results for all involved interactive poses are shown in the appendix.

Quantitative Comparison. In the Tab. [1,](#page-6-0) we report quantitative results using proposed metrics mentioned in Sec. [5.2.](#page-5-1) Existing Inversion-based methods are not sufficient for extracting complex interactive poses and generate inconsistent interactive poses with worse pose accuracy(less than 20%). The proposed method achieves better balance with best performance on description consistency(CLIP-T), subject consistency(CLIP-S) and high pose accuracy(Pose-S, Pose-KP).

In addition, we also examine these methods through user study. Specifically, 20 human evaluators are asked to determine whether the generated interactive pose is consistent with those in the exemplar images as Pose accuracy and whether the generated subjects correspond with the description without obvious deformations or abnormalities as Subject accuracy. Furthermore, a comprehensive

ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne, Australia Anonymous Authors

Figure 7: Examples of our customized interaction generation.

accuracy considering pose and subjects in the generated image. As shown in Tab. [2,](#page-6-1) pose-conditioned ControlNet and image-editingbased InstructPix2Pix struggle in generating subjects consistent with the description, thus achieve less then 40% Subject accuracy. InteractDiffusion and inversion-based baselines obtain less than 35% Pose accuracy, indicating difficulties in the generation of complex customized actions. The proposed method achieves 58.6% accuracy of interactive pose generation while maintaining high subject accuracy and improves overall accuracy by 24.7% compared to baseline methods similar to results reported in Tab. [1.](#page-6-0)

5.4 Ablation Study

To analyze the effectiveness of the proposed method, we also conduct an ablation study on the proposed components mentioned in

Sec. [4,](#page-3-4) and the results are shown in Fig. [8.](#page-7-0) For the baseline "w/o *Prior*", we optimize token $[P_1]$, $[P_2]$ and $[R]$ without incorporating language prior in Eq. [3](#page-3-5) and Eq. [5.](#page-4-1) As in col2 of Fig. [8,](#page-7-0) there is a lack of relevant interaction between subjects in generated images, because of the failure to decouple the pose concept in the inversion stage. For the baseline "w/o Stage I", we skip the single subject pose inversion stage (Sec. [4.2\)](#page-3-0) and directly modeling interactive pose as Sec. [4.3.](#page-4-2) Furthermore, we expand $[R]$ to three pseudo-words for fair comparison. Due to the lack of modeling for the single pose, the baseline can generate images with simplified and incorrect interactive poses, such as 'hug' and 'pounce', in col3 of Fig. [8.](#page-7-0) For the baseline "w/o \mathcal{L}_{CA} ", we do not use cross-attention loss \mathcal{L}_{CA} in the interactive pose inversion stage (Sec. [4.3\)](#page-4-2). In col4 of Fig. [8,](#page-7-0) some details are missing in the key region where interaction or contact happens without cross-attention loss guidance. This issue could be mitigated by the proposed mask attention loss, which encourages the inversion to focus further on capturing the detailed interaction appearance.

6 CONCLUSION

In this work, we focus on customized generation with specific interactive poses between subjects from user-provided images. Interaction between subjects is very common in daily life and customized interaction between subjects has great potential in many application scenarios. A two-stage framework is proposed for customized interaction-driven generation. It adopts a divide-and-conquer strategy, which first extracts a dedicated text token for a single pose of each individual subject in the interaction and then leverages them to further infer the concept for the interactive pose. In addition, language prior and cross-attention loss are incorporated into the optimization process to promote the modeling of interaction. Extensive experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method in customized generation with user-specified interaction.

Customizing Text-to-Image Generation with Inverted Interaction **ACM MM, 2024, Melbourne**, Australia

929 REFERENCES

- [1] Aishwarya Agarwal, Srikrishna Karanam, Tripti Shukla, and Balaji Vasan Srinivasan. 2023. An Image is Worth Multiple Words: Multi-attribute Inversion for Constrained Text-to-Image Synthesis. CoRR abs/2311.11919 (2023).
- [2] Namhyuk Ahn, Junsoo Lee, Chunggi Lee, Kunhee Kim, Daesik Kim, Seung-Hun Nam, and Kibeom Hong. 2024. Dreamstyler: Paint by style inversion with textto-image diffusion models. In AAAI.
- [3] Omri Avrahami, Kfir Aberman, Ohad Fried, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Dani Lischinski. 2023. Break-A-Scene: Extracting Multiple Concepts from a Single Image. CoRR abs/2305.16311 (2023).
- [4] Tim Brooks, Aleksander Holynski, and Alexei A Efros. 2023. Instructpix2pix: Learning to follow image editing instructions. In CVPR.
- [5] Mingdeng Cao, Xintao Wang, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Yinqiang Zheng. 2023. MasaCtrl: Tuning-Free Mutual Self-Attention Control for Consistent Image Synthesis and Editing. CoRR abs/2304.08465 (2023).
- [6] Zhe Cao, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, and Yaser Sheikh. 2017. Realtime multiperson 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields. In CVPR.
- [7] Hila Chefer, Yuval Alaluf, Yael Vinker, Lior Wolf, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2023. Attend-and-excite: Attention-based semantic guidance for text-to-image diffusion models. TOG (2023).
- [8] Wenhu Chen, Hexiang Hu, Yandong Li, Nataniel Ruiz, Xuhui Jia, Ming-Wei Chang, and William W Cohen. 2024. Subject-driven text-to-image generation via apprenticeship learning. NIPS (2024).
- [9] Vincent Dumoulin, Jonathon Shlens, and Manjunath Kudlur. 2016. A learned representation for artistic style. CoRR abs/1610.07629 (2016).
- [10] Rinon Gal, Yuval Alaluf, Yuval Atzmon, Or Patashnik, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2023. An image is worth one word: Personalizing text-to-image generation using textual inversion. In ICLR.
- [11] Mark Hamazaspyan and Shant Navasardyan. 2023. Diffusion-Enhanced Patch-Match: A Framework for Arbitrary Style Transfer With Diffusion Models. In CVPR.
- [12] Amir Hertz, Ron Mokady, Jay Tenenbaum, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2022. Prompt-to-prompt image editing with cross attention control. In ICLR.
- [13] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In NIPS.
- [14] Jiun Tian Hoe, Xudong Jiang, Chee Seng Chan, Yap-Peng Tan, and Weipeng Hu. 2023. InteractDiffusion: Interaction Control in Text-to-Image Diffusion Models. CoRR abs/2312.05849 (2023).
- [15] Jiancheng Huang, Yifan Liu, Jin Qin, and Shifeng Chen. 2023. KV Inversion: KV Embeddings Learning for Text-Conditioned Real Image Action Editing. CoRR abs/2309.16608 (2023).
- [16] Lianghua Huang, Di Chen, Yu Liu, Shen Yujun, Deli Zhao, and Zhou Jingren. 2023. Composer: Creative and Controllable Image Synthesis with Composable Conditions. CoRR abs/2302.09778 (2023).
- [17] Siteng Huang, Biao Gong, Yutong Feng, Xi Chen, Yuqian Fu, Yu Liu, and Donglin Wang. 2024. Learning Disentangled Identifiers for Action-Customized Text-to-Image Generation. In CVPR.
- [18] Ziqi Huang, Tianxing Wu, Yuming Jiang, Kelvin CK Chan, and Ziwei Liu. 2023. ReVersion: Diffusion-Based Relation Inversion from Images. CoRR abs/2303.13495 (2023)
- [19] Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling. 2016. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. CoRR abs/1609.02907 (2016).
- [20] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. 2023. Segment anything. In ICCV.
- [21] Nupur Kumari, Bingliang Zhang, Richard Zhang, Eli Shechtman, and Jun-Yan Zhu. 2023. Multi-concept customization of text-to-image diffusion. In CVPR.
- [22] Long Lian, Boyi Li, Adam Yala, and Trevor Darrell. 2023. LLM-grounded Diffusion: Enhancing Prompt Understanding of Text-to-Image Diffusion Models with Large Language Models. CoRR abs/2305.13655 (2023).
- Zhiheng Liu, Yifei Zhang, Yujun Shen, Kecheng Zheng, Kai Zhu, Ruili Feng, Yu Liu, Deli Zhao, Jingren Zhou, and Yang Cao. 2023. Customizable Image Synthesis with Multiple Subjects. In NIPS.
- [24] Haoming Lu, Hazarapet Tunanyan, Kai Wang, Shant Navasardyan, Zhangyang Wang, and Humphrey Shi. 2023. Specialist diffusion: Plug-and-play sampleefficient fine-tuning of text-to-image diffusion models to learn any unseen style. In CVPR.
- [25] Ron Mokady, Amir Hertz, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2023. Null-text inversion for editing real images using guided diffusion models. In CVPR.
- [26] OpenAI. 2023. DALL-E-2, https://openai.com/product/dall-e-2.
- [27] Or Patashnik, Zongze Wu, Eli Shechtman, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Dani Lischinski. 2021. Styleclip: Text-driven manipulation of stylegan imagery. In ICCV.
- [28] Quynh Phung, Songwei Ge, and Jia-Bin Huang. 2023. Grounded Text-to-Image Synthesis with Attention Refocusing. CoRR abs/2306.05427 (2023).
- [29] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In ICML.
- [30] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. 2022. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. CoRR abs/2204.06125 (2022).
- [31] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In CVPR.
- [32] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. 2023. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation.
- [33] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. 2022. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding.
- [34] Raphael Tang, Linqing Liu, Akshat Pandey, Zhiying Jiang, Gefei Yang, Karun Kumar, Pontus Stenetorp, Jimmy Lin, and Ferhan Ture. 2023. What the daam: Interpreting stable diffusion using cross attention. CoRR abs/2210.04885 (2023).
- [35] Laurens van der Maaten and Geoffrey Hinton. 2008. Visualizing Data using t-SNE. 9, 86 (2008), 2579–2605.
- [36] Andrey Voynov, Qinghao Chu, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Kfir Aberman. 2023. P+: Extended Textual Conditioning in Text-to-Image Generation. CoRR abs/2303.09522 (2023).
- [37] Qiucheng Wu, Yujian Liu, Handong Zhao, Ajinkya Kale, Trung Bui, Tong Yu, Zhe Lin, Yang Zhang, and Shiyu Chang. 2023. Uncovering the disentanglement capability in text-to-image diffusion models. In CVPR.
- [38] Guangxuan Xiao, Tianwei Yin, William T Freeman, Frédo Durand, and Song Han. 2023. FastComposer: Tuning-Free Multi-Subject Image Generation with Localized Attention. CoRR abs/2305.10431 (2023).
- [39] Tao Xu, Pengchuan Zhang, Qiuyuan Huang, Han Zhang, Zhe Gan, Xiaolei Huang, and Xiaodong He. 2018. Attngan: Fine-grained text to image generation with attentional generative adversarial networks. In CVPR.
- [40] Yufei Xu, Jing Zhang, Qiming Zhang, and Dacheng Tao. 2022. Vitpose: Simple vision transformer baselines for human pose estimation. In NIPS.
- [41] Yufei Xu, Jing Zhang, Qiming Zhang, and Dacheng Tao. 2022. ViTPose+: Vision Transformer Foundation Model for Generic Body Pose Estimation. CoRR abs/2212.04246 (2022).
- [42] Jingkang Yang, Yi Zhe Ang, Zujin Guo, Kaiyang Zhou, Wayne Zhang, and Ziwei Liu. 2022. Panoptic scene graph generation. In ECCV.
- [43] Mark Yatskar, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Ali Farhadi. 2016. Situation recognition: Visual semantic role labeling for image understanding. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 5534–5542.
- [44] Jiahui Yu, Yuanzhong Xu, Jing Yu Koh, Thang Luong, Gunjan Baid, Zirui Wang, Vijay Vasudevan, Alexander Ku, Yinfei Yang, Burcu Karagol Ayan, et al. 2023. Scaling autoregressive models for content-rich text-to-image generation. CoRR abs/2206.10789 (2023).
- [45] Han Zhang, Jing Yu Koh, Jason Baldridge, Honglak Lee, and Yinfei Yang. 2021. Cross-modal contrastive learning for text-to-image generation. In CVPR.
- [46] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. 2023. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In ICCV.
- [47] Yuxin Zhang, Weiming Dong, Fan Tang, Nisha Huang, Haibin Huang, Chongyang Ma, Tong-Yee Lee, Oliver Deussen, and Changsheng Xu. 2023. ProSpect: Expanded Conditioning for the Personalization of Attribute-aware Image Generation. CoRR abs/2305.16225 (2023).
- [48] Yuxin Zhang, Nisha Huang, Fan Tang, Haibin Huang, Chongyang Ma, Weiming Dong, and Changsheng Xu. 2023. Inversion-based style transfer with diffusion models. In CVPR.
- [49] Minfeng Zhu, Pingbo Pan, Wei Chen, and Yi Yang. 2019. Dm-gan: Dynamic memory generative adversarial networks for text-to-image synthesis. In CVPR.

1034 1035

1036 1037 1038