NileChat: Towards Linguistically Diverse and Culturally Aware LLMs for Local Communities

Anonymous ACL submission



Figure 1: Our proposed framework for data augmentation tailored to low-resource local communities. (a) Workflow for generating educational data in the target language through machine translation. (b) Workflow for generating diverse text genres in the target language by simulating scenarios that incorporate local cultural contexts and persona descriptions. (c) Retrieval process for augmenting local cultural knowledge by parsing web pages representing specific local cultural concepts through web search.

Abstract

Enhancing the linguistic capabilities of Large Language Models (LLMs) to include lowresource languages is a critical research area. Current research directions predominantly rely on synthetic data generated by translating English corpora, which, while demonstrating promising linguistic understanding and translation abilities, often results in models aligned with source language culture. These models frequently fail to represent the cultural heritage and values of local communities. This work proposes a methodology to create both synthetic and retrieval-based pre-training data tailored to a specific community, considering its (i) language, (ii) cultural heritage, and (iii) cultural values. We demonstrate our methodology using Egyptian and Moroccan dialects as testbeds, chosen for their linguistic and cultural richness and current underrepresentation in LLMs. As a proof-of-concept, we develop NileChat, a 3B parameter LLM adapted for Egyptian and Moroccan communities, incorporating their language, cultural heritage, and values. Our results on various understanding, translation, and cultural and values alignment benchmarks show that *NileChat* outperforms existing Arabic-aware LLMs of similar size and performs on par with larger models. We share our methods, data, and models with the community to promote the inclusion and coverage of more diverse communities in LLM development.¹

1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs) have advanced rapidly, enabling remarkable proficiency across many tasks. Yet, this success is unevenly distributed across languages, with substantial performance disparities observed for non-English languages, particularly low-resource languages and dialectal variants (Navigli et al., 2023). A primary factor underlying this discrepancy is the limited representation of diverse multilingual data within the foundational pre-training corpora of these mod-

¹The link will be available in the camera-ready version.

els which favors high-resource languages spoken in regions with high economic influence (Bender, 2011; Joshi et al., 2020).

Beyond linguistic limitations, a more profound challenge is the inherent risk of *cultural encapsulation* (Wrenn, 1962) in LLMs. Cultural encapsulation refers to an unconscious tendency to operate within one's own cultural lens, leading to misunderstanding or avoidance of differing perspectives and values. As LLMs are optimized to replicate patterns in their training data—predominantly sourced from specific cultural contexts (e.g., Western, English-speaking)—they risk internalizing and propagating these dominant perspectives as the norm (Dwivedi et al., 2023; Tao et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024; Naous et al., 2024). The significance of cultural context cannot be overstated. As Edward Sapir noted:

"No two languages are ever sufficiently similar to be considered as representing the same social reality. The worlds in which different societies live are distinct worlds, not merely the same world with different labels attached." - Sapir (1929)

This cultural bias is compounded by a fundamental mismatch: LLMs typically process data through a language-centric lens, whereas human communities are structured around shared social ties, perspectives, and values (MacQueen et al., 2001). Current LLMs adaptation techniques for new languages or communities (Gurgurov et al., 2024; Joshi et al., 2025) often fall short in bridging this cultural divide, especially for low-resource communities (Naous et al., 2024). For instance, machine translation, while useful for generating synthetic data to boost linguistic coverage (Joshi et al., 2025; Shang et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025), primarily addresses the linguistic deficit. The translated content often retains the source language's cultural perspective, failing to incorporate authentic local nuances crucial for genuine interaction. Supervised fine-tuning (SFT) on target language data (Gala et al., 2024; Shang et al., 2025) can align models to specific tasks, but small datasets may not reshape deep-seated cultural biases from pre-training (Rystrøm et al., 2025) and can encourage hallucination with new factual data (Gekhman et al., 2024). While continued pre-training with culturally rich data could mitigate these issues, it faces a critical bottleneck for low-resource contexts: the scarcity of such high-quality digital texts.

This paper addresses the critical need to adapt multilingual LLMs to low-resource language communities by jointly considering their linguistic characteristics and cultural heritage & values. We propose a novel pipeline (illustrated in Figure 1) focused on data augmentation for continued pretraining. Our approach combines controlled synthetic data generation (Section 3.1.2) with retrieval (Section 3.1.3) methods. To address linguistic adaptation, we translate English pre-training data into the target local language focusing only on highquality data from the educational domain (Section 3.1.1). Crucially, to imbue cultural relevance, we generate diverse texts reflecting specific cultural heritage concepts (e.g., food, celebrations, proverbs) using local persona descriptions (Section 3.1.2) reflecting the local cultural values. We demonstrate our method on the Moroccan and Egyptian Arabic dialects as low-resource testbeds. We further pre-train a multilingual LLM on a curated mix of real and synthetic data, evaluating its performance on tasks involving language understanding, translation, and alignment with cultural knowledge and values. Our findings show that the adapted model significantly outperforms baseline and existing models that are even bigger in size on most evaluation tasks.

The main contributions of this work are: (i) A novel framework for augmenting pre-training corpora tailored to local communities. This framework considers their unique linguistic features, cultural heritage, and values by leveraging a teacher LLM. (ii) The public release of new datasets, representing the largest publicly available corpora for Egyptian and Moroccan Arabic dialects. These resources are intended to foster further research in these underresourced languages. (iii) The development and public release of NileChat, a robust 3-billion parameter LLM. This model demonstrates proficiency in both Egyptian and Moroccan dialectal Arabic (using Arabic script and Arabizi) while maintaining strong performance in Modern Standard Arabic, French, and English.

2 Related Work

Adaptation of LLMs. LLMs, despite general strengths, often require adaptation for specific languages, domains, or cultures (Bang et al., 2023; AlKhamissi et al., 2024; Naous et al., 2024; Song et al., 2025). Adaptation techniques include prompt engineering (Shen et al., 2024), SFT on culturally

specific datasets (Huang et al., 2024), and continued pre-training on target-specific data (Fujii et al., 2024; Huang et al., 2024). A key challenge, especially for SFT-based cultural adaptation, is the scarcity of comprehensive cultural datasets, hindering alignment with under-represented communities (Ahmad et al., 2024; Shen et al., 2024).

Synthetic Data Augmentation for LLMs. To address data limitations, synthetic data augmentation has shown promise in improving LLM performance (Ge et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024; Joshi et al., 2025). Machine-translated data, for instance, can enhance capabilities in new languages (Joshi et al., 2025; Shang et al., 2025), and persona-driven synthetic data generation has also yielded performance gains (Ge et al., 2024) and aided in tasks like assessing LLM political alignment (Bernardelle et al., 2024). However, synthetic data can sometimes degrade performance (Seddik et al., 2024), necessitating best practices for its use (Liu et al., 2024).

Arabic LLMs. In Arabic LLM development, models are either trained from scratch (Billah Nagoudi et al., 2023; Sengupta et al., 2023) or adapted from existing ones (Huang et al., 2024; Bari et al., 2025; Team et al., 2025a). A common method involves translating English data to Arabic, which, however, can introduce cultural biases from the source language (Sengupta et al., 2023; Naous et al., 2024). Recent work on dialectal Arabic, such as translating instructions into Moroccan dialect for SFT, has improved generation tasks (Shang et al., 2025). Yet, enhanced performance on standard tasks does not guarantee cultural awareness. While models like AceGPT (Huang et al., 2024) and Fanar (Team et al., 2025a) aim for cultural cognizance, our work uniquely focuses on adapting existing LLMs to a local community by deeply integrating its specific linguistic features, cultural heritage, and values, building upon these prior advancements.

3 Methodology

In this work, we investigate the potential of pretraining data to imbue LLMs with the specific local characteristics of under-represented communities. We conceptualize these characteristics along three primary dimensions (Geertz, 1977; Anderson, 1991; Bourdieu and Thompson, 1991; Higgins and Douglas, 2020; Stanlaw and Adachi, 2025): *(i) Language:* Encompassing dialectal nuances, idiomatic expressions, and linguistic structures unique to the community. (ii) Cultural Heritage: Reflecting the customs, traditions, social norms, historical context, and common knowledge prevalent within the community. (iii) Cultural Values: Capturing the ethical standpoints, belief systems, and societal priorities that define the community. We refer to these three dimensions as Language-Heritage-Values dimensions, LHV for short. While we do not posit these as exhaustive of the attributes of a given community, we employ them as a vehicle to approximate the LLM communication and information needs at local levels. To ground our investigation, we focus on two low-resource varieties of Arabic-The Egyptian Arabic (EGY) and Moroccan Arabic (MOR)-... These dialects serve as our primary case studies for evaluating the methods proposed herein.

3.1 Data Augmentation

The construction of LLMs that can serve a specific population fundamentally depends on the availability of representative data. Recognizing the acute scarcity of publicly available pre-training corpora for many low-resource languages, including EGY and MOR, we propose a novel data production method encapsulating the *LHV* dimensions of a given country-level population. As depicted in Figure 1, our approach leverages three complementary strategies intended to collectively capture the *LHV* dimensions: (*a*) machine translation (*MT*), (*b*) controlled-generation and (*c*) retrieval. We explain these next.

3.1.1 MT for Knowledge and Fluency

To ensure linguistic fluency and coherence, we translate structured educational content from English into the target low-resource language using a specialized teacher model. Our pipeline preserves original formatting and includes filtering to remove unreliable translations identified by repetitive ngrams. We use educational materials for their topical breadth (including subjects such as education, history, health, medicine, and biology).

3.1.2 Controlled Generation for Cultural Heritage and Cultural Values

Linguistic fluency, while a foundational capability for LLMs, does not inherently guarantee their awareness of, or alignment with, the culture and values of a specific target community (Naous et al., 2024). To bridge this gap, we employ *controlled generation*. For controlled generation, we use the teacher LLM to generate diverse texts in the target language. These texts are specifically designed to discuss local topics, which are identified from articles sourced from local news websites or the target country's Wikipedia portal. Furthermore, the generated content is crafted to reflect distinct personas, each defined by a profile encompassing specific moral values, demographic characteristics, and socioeconomic attributes. Our approach integrates four key components to achieve this:

Local Contextual Information. We ground our synthetic data by incorporating local context drawn from news websites within the target communities. These sources provide relevant contextual information and do not necessarily need to be in the target local language.

Core Cultural Heritage Concepts. We integrate key regional cultural elements, such as cuisine, landmarks, and celebrations, by extracting relevant articles from country-specific Wikipedia portals.

Linguistic and Cultural Expressions. To authentically capture local idiomatic styles, we collect common expressions, proverbs, idioms, dialogues from TV programs, and local terminology, pairing each with English translations for accuracy.

Representative Personas. We develop representative personas reflecting local moral, demographic, and socioeconomic attributes by leveraging data from the World Values Survey (WVS) (EVS/WVS, 2024). Selected survey responses are transformed into textual descriptions, which are further refined by an LLM to create concise and coherent persona profiles (see Figure 2).

To produce diverse text genres for pre-training, we combine data points from the four listed components into a unified prompt to guide the teacher LLM. This prompt instructs the LLM to generate varied text outputs in the target low-resource language, explicitly integrating the selected persona's values, the specified cultural concepts, and provided linguistic cues. Specifically, we focus on generating the following genres: *stories*, *personal essays*, *blog posts*, *reviews*, and *conversations*. An example of this process is depicted in Figure 1 (b).

3.1.3 Retrieval for Local Cultural Heritage

This method involves querying a search engine using a pre-defined list of cultural concepts that span multiple cultural categories. For each concept, we extract the top 20 search results, systematically excluding social media platforms. The textual content from the retrieved web pages is then parsed and extracted using Trafilatura (Barbaresi, 2021).



Figure 2: Pipeline for generation of persona descriptions using the WVS.

3.2 Model Training

We evaluate our proposed method on Egyptian (EGY) and Moroccan (MOR) Arabic dialects. Despite their large speaker populations, these dialects remain low-resource, underscoring the need for specialized language models. We select Command R+ (Cohere Labs, 2024) (104B) as our teacher model, as it demonstrates reasonable text-generation capabilities in both target dialects. Additionally, Command R+ provides open weights, enabling us to efficiently generate or translate extensive datasets without incurring API costs.

3.2.1 Continued Pre-Training

Data. We generate pre-training data for EGY and MOR using the methods outlined in Section 3.1. Our approach involves three main components: (i) MT Data. We employ our teacher model to translate English educational content into both dialects. Specifically, we sample 5.5 million texts from the Fineweb-edu dataset (Penedo et al., 2024) and translate them into EGY and MOR. (ii) Controlled Generation Data. We craft tailored prompts incorporating personas, local cultural contexts, dialectal glosses, expressions, and utterances to instruct the LLM in generating diverse genres of text. For persona descriptions, we generate 1,200 descriptions based on data from Egyptian and Moroccan participants in the WVS. For *local news context*, we leverage an in-house corpus comprising approximately 1.5 million Egyptian and 800,000 Moroccan news articles, originally published in MSA by local news websites. Additionally, we include 25,000 Egyptian and 49,000 Moroccan Wikipedia articles. For dialectal glosses, expressions, and utterances, we draw from publicly available resources on EGY and MOR proverbs and idiomatic expressions, each accompanied by English explanations. We further

augment this with an in-house dataset of 600 dialectal utterances from Egyptian and Moroccan television shows paired with English translations, as well as 4,000 dialect-to-English word pairs for each dialect from the Gatitos dictionary (Jones et al., 2023). (iii) **Retrieval Data.** For information retrieval, we query the Brave Search API² using 6,500 cultural concepts from Morocco and 4,500 cultural concepts from Egypt. These concepts represent the ten cultural heritage categories in the set *{food, clothes, landmarks, festivals & celebrations, geography, handicrafts, architecture, auna, flora, music}*.

The generated dataset comprises approximately 5.5 million educational articles for both EGY and MOR. Additionally, for EGY, it includes approximately 300,000 samples for each category of conversions, personal essays, blog posts, reviews, and stories. For MOR, there are approximately 150,000 samples for each of these same categories. These latter categories represent the *LHV* dimensions (§3.1). Table A.3 presents a sample of these texts.

A filtering process using a repetitive n-gram filter removed 3.97% of the data. We also conducted a dialectness check on the generated data using ALDi (Keleg et al., 2023). The average dialectness scores for the EGY and MOR educational articles are 0.45 and 0.32, respectively. In contrast, for the texts focused on cultural heritage and values, the average dialectness scores are higher, at 0.84 for EGY and 0.72 for MOR. We attribute the lower dialectness levels in the educational articles to the prevalence of scientific terms that often lack direct equivalents in EGY and MOR, and were therefore retained in MSA. We convert 1.5M and 0.5M from this generated data to Arabizi for both EGY and MOR, respectively. For retrieval, we collect 110,000 and 30,000 articles about cultural heritage to both EGY and MOR.

Our final pre-training dataset is a mixture of our generated and retrieved data, combined with preexisting publicly available data for these dialects, MSA, English, French, Math, and Code. Our objective is to preserve the data distribution of the base model's pre-training data to mitigate catastrophic forgetting (Luo et al., 2025). The resulting pre-training dataset comprises 98.57 billion words, and its composition is detailed in Table A.1.

Compute. We used a cluster of $4 \times A100$ 80GB GPUs for 1,096 hours to create our augmented pre-

training dataset using the listed inputs.

Continued Pre-training. Rather than pretraining an LLM from scratch, we continue pretraining Qwen-2.5-3B (Qwen et al., 2025) with our data. We select this model due to its competitive performance and good tokenizer compression ratio on MSA. We continue pretraining the full model (3.1B parameters) for one whole epoch, which took 750 hours on $4 \times A100$ 80GB GPUs. More details about the base model selection and the training are in Appendix B.1.

3.2.2 Supervised Fine-Tuning

Data. To adapt our pre-trained model for instruction following, we perform supervised fine-tuning (SFT). Due to the scarcity of SFT datasets for EGY and MOR, we construct a comprehensive training set. This process involves several key steps: (i) translation of SmolTalk dataset (Allal et al., 2025) into MOR, EGY, French, and MSA using the teacher LLM; (ii) generation of synthetic dialectal question-answer pairs using our the retrieved dataset of local Egyptian and Moroccan cultural heritage;³ (iii) incorporation of the Darija-SFT-Mixture MOR dataset provided by Shang et al. (2025); and (iv) translation of TULU-V2-mix dataset (Ivison et al., 2023) into EGY. Finally, (v) this consolidated SFT dataset is augmented by converting understanding and generation tasks from the training sets of the ORCA (Elmadany et al., 2023) and Dolphin (Nagoudi et al., 2023) benchmarks into instruction-response formats. The final composition of our instruction dataset is in Table A.2.

Fine-Tuning. For model fine-tuning, we follow recent approaches (Ramé et al., 2024; Dang et al., 2024) that leverage model merging techniques to produce models effective across multiple languages or tailored for particular tasks. Specifically, we fully fine-tuned two separate variants of the base model—one specialized for MOR and the other for EGY—each trained on its respective dialectal data in both Arabic script and Arabizi (plus an amount of shared data between the two variants from the other languages; see B.2). We fine-tune each dialect-specific model for two epochs and employ weighted linear averaging (Aakanksha et al., 2024) for merging, dubbing our merged model *NileChat*.

²https://brave.com/search/api/

³This data is initially created in Arabic script, and a portion is subsequently converted to Arabizi.

More information about our model merging is in B.2 and the prompts used for generating and translating our pre- and fine-tuning datasets is in E.

4 **Experiments**

4.1 Evaluation Tasks

We employ a comprehensive evaluation framework to measure the performance of *NileChat* for EGY and MOR. This framework enables comparison with our baseline and other LLMs across multiple capability dimensions: Understanding, cultural knowledge, translation, and value alignment.

Understanding. We evaluate understanding capabilities using MMLU (Hendrycks et al., 2021), HellaSwag (Zellers et al., 2019), and Belebele (Bandarkar et al., 2024) benchmarks, each adapted to both EGY and MOR dialects. For MOR, we directly employ the MMLU and HellaSwag versions provided by Shang et al. (2025). For EGY, we follow the translation pipeline described in Shang et al. (2025), translating the English and MSA MMLU tasks and the English HellaSwag dataset into EGY using our teacher model. For the Belebele benchmark, we utilize the official Moroccan and Egyptian dialect sets. Evaluations are conducted in both zero-shot and 3-shot scenarios, using accuracy as our performance metric.

Cultural Knowledge. To assess cultural knowledge specific to Morocco and Egypt, we utilize the publicly available test set from the Palm benchmark (Alwajih et al., 2025), focusing on these two countries. We adopt an LLM-as-Judge methodology (Zheng et al., 2023), employing Gemma-3-27b (Team et al., 2025b) to rate the correctness of model-generated responses compared to groundtruth answers on a scale from 0 to 10. The final evaluation score is calculated as the average correctness across all responses.

Translation. We evaluate the translation performance across multiple directions: $dialect \leftrightarrow dialect$ (i.e., Moroccan \leftrightarrow Egyptian), $dialect \leftrightarrow MSA$, $English \leftrightarrow dialect$, and $French \leftrightarrow dialect$. Our primary benchmark is the Flores-200 dataset (Team et al., 2022), comprising 1,012 test examples per translation direction. Additionally, we introduce an in-house, human-curated dataset consisting of 300 authentic EGY and MOR utterances transcribed from local television programs then translated to MSA and English. This dataset provides a more

	Model	MN	1LU	Hella	Swag	Bele	ebele	Pa	ılm
		EGY	MOR	EGY	MOR	EGY	MOR	EGY	MOR
	Qwen3-1.7B	28.53	28.53	28.44	27.47	22.89	22.89	3.61	2.12
~	ar-stablelm-2-chat	41.56	40.36	34.79	33.45	38.89	36.11	4.20	3.62
than 7B	Atlas-Chat-2B	42.61	44.87	29.66	34.74	50.56	55.67	3.16	3.42
har	Llama-3.2-3B-Instruct	40.68	37.54	29.16	28.27	45.44	35.89	3.21	2.28
s t	gemma-3-4b-it	40.79	32.70	34.21	31.35	37.33	34.22	7.61	5.42
Less	Qwen3-4B	28.61	28.54	30.28	29.04	22.89	22.89	4.51	2.71
_	Qwen2.5-3B-Instruct	43.37	44.43	31.62	29.58	51.33	41.44	2.86	2.31
	NileChat (3B)	57.56	57.36	37.97	39.33	72.67	70.33	5.72	5.86
	AceGPT-7B-chat	40.29	37.57	33.27	30.47	32.67	32.00	5.58	3.93
Æ	ALLaM-7B-Instruct	60.04	58.72	<u>39.40</u>	37.30	69.56	57.78	6.78	6.14
	Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	49.65	44.98	34.67	32.16	64.22	48.56	6.70	4.77
hai	Qwen3-8B	28.53	28.53	31.76	30.32	22.89	22.89	5.88	3.96
ē	Atlas-Chat-9B	55.17	58.84	33.71	44.34	70.33	74.11	5.24	4.84
More than	gemma-3-12b-it	<u>61.17</u>	60.00	38.59	35.66	<u>75.78</u>	64.89	8.76	7.09
~	AceGPT-13B-chat	45.45	40.68	35.06	32.40	38.78	36.44	6.10	4.83
	jais-13b-chat	49.79	48.10	39.02	36.56	64.22	53.78	5.66	4.80

Table 1: Zero-shot performance of models on understanding and cultural knowledge evaluations. Metrics are accuracy for MMLU, HellaSwag, and Belebele, and a 0-10 correctness score for Palm. Bold values indicate the highest score among models comparable in size to ours (< 7B). Underlined values represent the highest score in the entire column, including larger models.

accurate reflection of natural, colloquial language usage compared to Flores-200, which primarily contains Wikipedia-based sentences. We conduct evaluations in both zero-shot and 4-shot settings, reporting results using ChrF++ (Popović, 2015) and spBLEU scores (Goyal et al., 2022).

Value Alignment. To assess alignment with societal values, we adapt WVS questions into a multiple-choice format (expressed in the local language). The questions are categorized into 13 dimensions such as *Economic Values (EcoV)*, *Ethical Values (EthV)*, and *Happiness and Wellbeing (HW)*.⁴ We use the Social Value Alignment (SVA) metric (Lee et al., 2024), which measures alignment using the distribution of survey responses. A model's alignment score for each question corresponds to the proportion of participants who chose the model-predicted option, averaged across all questions for the final score.

Baseline Models. We compare *NileChat* against a set of 17 instruction-tuned LLMs known for their strong capabilities in Arabic, capped at 13B parameters (see full list in Table C.1 and Appendix C.2 for details).⁵

4.2 Results and Discussion

Understanding. As Table 1 shows, *NileChat* demonstrates SoTA performance on the MMLU, HellaSwag, and Belebele benchmarks for both

⁴See Appendix C.1 for the full list.

⁵We also evaluate our translation performance against an NLLB-200's 3.3B variant (Team et al., 2022).

EGY and MOR when compared to similar size models. Specifically, *NileChat* surpasses its baseline model, Qwen2.5-3B-instruct, by a significant margin of \sim 10 points across the majority of these tasks. Notably, *NileChat* also outperforms larger Arabic-focused models such as AceGPT-13B and Jais-13B. Furthermore, it achieves on-par performance with recent leading Arabic LLMs like ALLaM-7B, with a performance gap of less than 3 points on most tasks, and even surpasses it on certain benchmarks, including Belebele. Results for 3-shot are presented in Table D.1 and they show a similar trend to the zero-shot ones.

Cultural Knowledge. As shown in Table 1, our approach significantly enhances cultural knowledge (Palm), enabling NileChat to achieve scores of 5.72 (EGY) and 5.86 (MOR), compared to baseline Qwen2.5-3B-instruct scores of 2.86 and 2.31, respectively. Among similarly sized models, ours achieves the highest performance on MOR and ranks second only to Gemma-3-4B for EGY. Although larger models such as Gemma-3-12B exhibit superior overall scores (EGY: 8.71, MOR: 7.09), NileChat notably surpasses AceGPT-7B and -13B on Moroccan cultural knowledge, despite their despite their claimed alignment with Arabic cultures. Additionally, it outperforms Atlas-chat-2B and -9B, models specifically fine-tuned for Moroccan dialects. These results support our claim that linguistic fluency alone—gained through supervised fine-tuning or pre-training on potentially biased, translated datasets—is insufficient for genuine cultural alignment with local communities.

Translation. Table 2 summarizes the spBLEU scores from our zero-shot translation. Overall, *NileChat* achieves the highest average translation quality (spBLEU: 21.32), outperforming all evaluated models, including larger alternatives such as ALLaM-7B (20.60) and NLLB-200-3.3B (18.29). Specifically, on the Flores benchmark, *NileChat* demonstrates comparable performance to the similarly-sized NLLB-200-3.3B, with only a marginal 1-point spBLEU difference aggregated across MOR and EGY. Notably, *NileChat* surpasses even larger competitors in all translation directions, except when translating into MOR, where its performance matches that of Atlas-Chat-9B—a larger, single-dialect-focused model that is 3X larger.

On our in-house, human-curated dataset—which closely represents authentic speech patterns from local populations—*NileChat* significantly outperforms all baselines, including NLLB-200-3.3B, in all translation directions for both EGY and MOR. This real-world evaluation emphasizes the effectiveness of our strategy to incorporate local linguistic and cultural elements into synthetic data generation, enriching the pre-training data with diverse dialectal expressions and vocabulary. Detailed results for both zero-shot and 4-shot translation experiments are provided in Table D.2.

	Model		Flore	es-200			In-Hou	se Data		Average
		XX	$\mathbf{K} \rightarrow$	\rightarrow	XX	XX	$\mathbf{K} \rightarrow$	\rightarrow	XX	
		EGY	MOR	EGY	MOR	EGY	MOR	EGY	MOR	
	Qwen3-1.7B	14.75	10.89	19.51	15.47	11.41	4.36	15.63	6.32	12.29
	ar-stablelm-2-chat	14.35	7.07	11.10	9.72	9.23	2.92	11.23	7.73	9.17
Ħ	Atlas-Chat-2B	15.20	13.40	21.39	21.11	5.36	7.83	14.52	13.54	14.05
	Llama-3.2-3B-Instruct	14.25	9.15	19.28	15.54	10.67	3.16	13.61	4.87	11.32
Less than	gemma-3-4b-it	9.27	5.22	12.46	10.13	3.01	0.60	16.89	5.25	7.86
8	Qwen3-4B	17.93	11.64	20.03	18.90	13.09	4.44	20.72	8.52	14.41
2	NLLB-200-3.3B	<u>23.93</u>	15.37	25.84	26.57	16.77	7.49	18.90	11.43	18.29
	Qwen2.5-3B-Instruct	15.14	11.27	20.52	17.37	9.91	4.19	19.24	7.83	13.18
	NileChat (3B)	23.60	16.41	25.74	25.56	22.02	<u>12.34</u>	26.50	18.39	21.32
	AceGPT-7B-chat	18.02	11.33	21.11	17.46	14.73	4.95	20.10	7.47	14.40
~	ALLaM-7B-Instruct	23.91	15.88	24.74	23.19	19.98	9.16	29.40	18.51	20.60
F	Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	14.41	10.23	19.81	18.95	10.43	4.10	20.92	8.80	13.46
a	Qwen3-8B	20.03	13.86	22.56	21.33	13.38	4.73	24.14	9.27	16.16
More than 7B	Atlas-Chat-9B	18.20	16.89	24.92	26.29	5.36	7.68	17.35	15.23	16.49
I C	gemma-3-12b-it	13.01	4.89	19.05	19.54	7.86	2.45	24.51	12.38	12.96
Σ	AceGPT-13B-chat	19.48	14.02	22.81	19.84	15.54	5.56	23.51	9.52	16.29
	jais-13b-chat	8.80	4.29	15.77	17.12	10.83	4.02	19.19	12.47	11.56

Table 2: Zero-shot translation performance (spBLEU) on the Flores and in-house datasets. $XX \rightarrow EGY$ and $XX \rightarrow MOR$ denote average over target languages EGY and MOR, respectively. Conversely, EGY $\rightarrow XX$ and MOR $\rightarrow XX$ indicate average over EGY and MOR as source languages. Bold values highlight the top score among models with fewer than 7 billion parameters. Underlined values indicate the highest score overall in each column. Detailed results are in Table D.2.

Value Alignment. Figure 3 illustrates the results of value alignment evaluation based on the WVS. NileChat demonstrates substantial improvements over the baseline across most societal-value dimensions for both Moroccan and Egyptian contexts. Specifically, for Morocco, NileChat surpasses the baseline in all dimensions except Religious Values and the Index of Postmaterialism. Similarly, for Egypt, it outperforms the baseline across all dimensions except Political Interest and Political Participation, and the Index of Postmaterialism. These findings indicate that our approach—where a teacher LLM engages in role-playing by generating diverse text genres through personas embodying local community values—successfully steers responses towards culturally aligned positions. In a broader comparative analysis against all evaluated models, ours achieves the best results for Morocco across several dimensions and remains competitive in others. For Egypt, NileChat notably excels in Perceptions of Migration, Political Culture and Political Regimes, Happiness and Wellbeing, and

7



Figure 3: Average SVA scores of evaluated models across societal value dimensions for Egypt and Morocco.

Perceptions about Science and Technology, though models such as Jais-13B and ALLaM-7B show slightly stronger performance in certain other dimensions.

How many pre-training tokens are needed to reach good performance for a new language? Figure 4 shows the performance evolution of *NileChat* during the pre-training phase on Belebele and translation tasks. The charts show that the model starts to get a large boost in these tasks during the first 10B tokens and then continues to slightly increase until it becomes steady after around 60B tokens.

5 Conclusion

We introduced a novel methodology for adapting LLMs to specific communities by deeply integrating their unique linguistic characteristics, cultural heritage, and societal values. Our approach leverages a teacher model proficient in generating lowresource languages to enable: (i) translation for the incorporation of community-specific language and (ii) controlled generation and retrieval mechanisms for the authentic inclusion of cultural heritage and values. We validated our methodology using the Moroccan and Egyptian Arabic dialects as testbeds by developing *NileChat*, an LLM covering these two dialects. Comprehensive evaluations on understanding, translation, and cultural alignment



Figure 4: Evolution of model performance during pretraining, measured by the number of tokens processed.

benchmarks demonstrate that our method significantly enhances the baseline LLM's performance in capturing target language nuances and cultural values. Notably, *NileChat* also outperform existing Arabic-aware LLMs. Our method offers a promising research direction for fostering inclusivity of diverse local communities within LLM development, thereby emphasizing the critical role of such an inclusion in the broader democratization of this technology.

Limitations

- Teacher Model Dependency for Low-Resource Languages: Our method's reliance on a teacher model proficient in generating even low-resource target languages may not hold for extremely under-resourced languages (e.g., Berber, Malayo-Polynesian varieties) (Team et al., 2022), potentially limiting its applicability in such contexts.
- **Supervised Fine-Tuning Data:** SFT phase predominantly utilized translated data due to resource constraints. This reliance on translated, rather than native, data for SFT might impact the model's nuanced performance in the target languages and their corresponding cultures.
- Susceptibility to Hallucination: As a 3B parameter model, our LLM is relatively small, rendering it more prone to hallucination and the generation of inaccurate or incomplete information compared to larger architectures (Wei et al., 2022).
- Computational Cost of Synthetic Data Generation: The process of generating synthetic data is computationally intensive, particularly when employing large teacher models (e.g., Command-R+, a 104B parameter model requiring substantial GPU resources: 4x80GB). This challenge is amplified by the autoregressive generation of long documents from extensive input contexts (e.g., articles, persona descriptions, cultural concepts) restricting the scale of this approach for more languages.
- Absence of Explicit Safety Alignment: The model has not undergone dedicated safety alignment. While trained on curated datasets (Wikipedia, educational, news) largely devoid of toxic content and leveraging a safety-aligned teacher LLM, specific safety tuning is acknowledged as important future work.
- Limited generation of subtle details. While the controlled generation uses multiple sources (WVS, news, Wikipedia, TV scripts), the generated texts are limited in terms of the very subtle cultural nuances, implicit knowledge, humor, or sarcasm that are often not explicitly stated in these source materials (wikipedia and news articles).

Ethics Statement

Our work contributes to the development of inclusive, linguistically, and culturally diverse LLMs capable of serving varied communities. While we generate our pre-training and instruction-tuning data using a teacher LLM, this process is critically informed by ground-truth cultural values survey data from the communities of interest and local context to control the generation. This approach aims to imbue our models with specific cultural nuances relevant to these communities.

As our evaluations demonstrate, the resulting models exhibit reasonable alignment with the cultural heritage and values of our target communities and can produce fluent text in their respective dialects. Despite these advancements, we have not conducted explicit safety alignment procedures for these models. Consequently, we strongly recommend thorough testing and further safety evaluations before any deployment in real-world scenarios.

References

- Aakanksha, Arash Ahmadian, Seraphina Goldfarb-Tarrant, Beyza Ermis, Marzieh Fadaee, and Sara Hooker. 2024. Mix data or merge models? optimizing for diverse multi-task learning. *Preprint*, arXiv:2410.10801.
- Ibrahim Ahmad, Shiran Dudy, Resmi Ramachandranpillai, and Kenneth Church. 2024. Are generative language models multicultural? a study on Hausa culture and emotions using ChatGPT. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Cross-Cultural Considerations in NLP*, pages 98–106, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Badr AlKhamissi, Muhammad ElNokrashy, Mai Alkhamissi, and Mona Diab. 2024. Investigating cultural alignment of large language models. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 12404–12422, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Loubna Ben Allal, Anton Lozhkov, Elie Bakouch, Gabriel Martín Blázquez, Guilherme Penedo, Lewis Tunstall, Andrés Marafioti, Hynek Kydlíček, Agustín Piqueres Lajarín, Vaibhav Srivastav, Joshua Lochner, Caleb Fahlgren, Xuan-Son Nguyen, Clémentine Fourrier, Ben Burtenshaw, Hugo Larcher, Haojun Zhao, Cyril Zakka, Mathieu Morlon, Colin Raffel, Leandro von Werra, and Thomas Wolf. 2025. Smollm2: When smol goes big – datacentric training of a small language model. *Preprint*, arXiv:2502.02737.

- Fakhraddin Alwajih, Abdellah El Mekki, Samar Mohamed Magdy, Abdelrahim A. Elmadany, Omer Nacar, El Moatez Billah Nagoudi, Reem Abdel-Salam, Hanin Atwany, Youssef Nafea, Abdulfattah Mohammed Yahya, Rahaf Alhamouri, Hamzah A. Alsayadi, Hiba Zayed, Sara Shatnawi, Serry Sibaee, Yasir Ech-Chammakhy, Walid Al-Dhabyani, Marwa Mohamed Ali, Imen Jarraya, Ahmed Oumar El-Shangiti, Aisha Alraeesi, Mohammed Anwar Al-Ghrawi, Abdulrahman S. Al-Batati, Elgizouli Mohamed, Noha Taha Elgindi, Muhammed Saeed, Houdaifa Atou, Issam Ait Yahia, Abdelhak Bouayad, Mohammed Machrouh, Amal Makouar, Dania Alkawi, Mukhtar Mohamed, Safaa Taher Abdelfadil, Amine Ziad Ounnoughene, Rouabhia Anfel, Rwaa Assi, Ahmed Sorkatti, Mohamedou Cheikh Tourad, Anis Koubaa, Ismail Berrada, Mustafa Jarrar, Shady Shehata, and Muhammad Abdul-Mageed. 2025. Palm: A culturally inclusive and linguistically diverse dataset for arabic llms. Preprint, arXiv:2503.00151.
- Zaid Alyafeai, Michael Pieler, Hannah Teufel, Jonathan Tow, Marco Bellagente, Duy Phung, Nikhil Pinnaparaju, Reshinth Adithyan, Paulo Rocha, Maksym Zhuravinskyi, and Carlos Riquelme. 2024. Arabic stable lm: Adapting stable lm 2 1.6b to arabic. *Preprint*, arXiv:2412.04277.
- Benedict Anderson. 1991. Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism. Verso, London.
- Lucas Bandarkar, Davis Liang, Benjamin Muller, Mikel Artetxe, Satya Narayan Shukla, Donald Husa, Naman Goyal, Abhinandan Krishnan, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Madian Khabsa. 2024. The belebele benchmark: a parallel reading comprehension dataset in 122 language variants. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 749–775, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yejin Bang, Samuel Cahyawijaya, Nayeon Lee, Wenliang Dai, Dan Su, Bryan Wilie, Holy Lovenia, Ziwei Ji, Tiezheng Yu, Willy Chung, Quyet V. Do, Yan Xu, and Pascale Fung. 2023. A multitask, multilingual, multimodal evaluation of ChatGPT on reasoning, hallucination, and interactivity. In Proceedings of the 13th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing and the 3rd Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 675–718, Nusa Dua, Bali. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Adrien Barbaresi. 2021. Trafilatura: A web scraping library and command-line tool for text discovery and extraction. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 122–131, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- M Saiful Bari, Yazeed Alnumay, Norah A. Alzahrani, Nouf M. Alotaibi, Hisham Abdullah Alyahya, Sultan AlRashed, Faisal Abdulrahman Mirza, Shaykhah Z. Alsubaie, Hassan A. Alahmed, Ghadah Alabduljabbar, Raghad Alkhathran, Yousef Almushayqih, Raneem Alnajim, Salman Alsubaihi, Maryam Al Mansour, Saad Amin Hassan, Dr. Majed Alrubaian, Ali Alammari, Zaki Alawami, Abdulmohsen Al-Thubaity, Ahmed Abdelali, Jeril Kuriakose, Abdalghani Abujabal, Nora Al-Twairesh, Areeb Alowisheq, and Haidar Khan. 2025. ALLam: Large language models for arabic and english. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Emily M. Bender. 2011. On achieving and evaluating language-independence in nlp. *Linguistic Issues in Language Technology*, 6.
- Pietro Bernardelle, Leon Fröhling, Stefano Civelli, Riccardo Lunardi, Kevin Roitero, and Gianluca Demartini. 2024. arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.14843.
- El Moatez Billah Nagoudi, Muhammad Abdul-Mageed, AbdelRahim Elmadany, Alcides Inciarte, and Md Tawkat Islam Khondaker. 2023. JASMINE: Arabic GPT models for few-shot learning. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 16721–16744, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- P. Bourdieu and J.B. Thompson. 1991. *Language and Symbolic Power*. Harvard University Press.

Cohere Labs. 2024. c4ai-command-r-plus-08-2024.

- John Dang, Shivalika Singh, Daniel D'souza, Arash Ahmadian, Alejandro Salamanca, Madeline Smith, Aidan Peppin, Sungjin Hong, Manoj Govindassamy, Terrence Zhao, Sandra Kublik, Meor Amer, Viraat Aryabumi, Jon Ander Campos, Yi-Chern Tan, Tom Kocmi, Florian Strub, Nathan Grinsztajn, Yannis Flet-Berliac, Acyr Locatelli, Hangyu Lin, Dwarak Talupuru, Bharat Venkitesh, David Cairuz, Bowen Yang, Tim Chung, Wei-Yin Ko, Sylvie Shang Shi, Amir Shukayev, Sammie Bae, Aleksandra Piktus, Roman Castagné, Felipe Cruz-Salinas, Eddie Kim, Lucas Crawhall-Stein, Adrien Morisot, Sudip Roy, Phil Blunsom, Ivan Zhang, Aidan Gomez, Nick Frosst, Marzieh Fadaee, Beyza Ermis, Ahmet Üstün, and Sara Hooker. 2024. Aya expanse: Combining research breakthroughs for a new multilingual frontier. Preprint, arXiv:2412.04261.
- Ashutosh Dwivedi, Pradhyumna Lavania, and Ashutosh Modi. 2023. EtiCor: Corpus for analyzing LLMs for etiquettes. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 6921–6931, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- AbdelRahim Elmadany, ElMoatez Billah Nagoudi, and Muhammad Abdul-Mageed. 2023. ORCA: A challenging benchmark for Arabic language understanding. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 9559–9586,

Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- EVS/WVS. 2024. Joint evs/wvs 2017-2022 dataset (joint evs/wvs). GESIS, Cologne. ZA7505 Data file Version 5.0.0, https://doi.org/10.4232/1.14320.
- Kazuki Fujii, Taishi Nakamura, Mengsay Loem, Hiroki Iida, Masanari Ohi, Kakeru Hattori, Hirai Shota, Sakae Mizuki, Rio Yokota, and Naoaki Okazaki. 2024. Continual pre-training for cross-lingual LLM adaptation: Enhancing japanese language capabilities. In *First Conference on Language Modeling*.
- Jay Gala, Thanmay Jayakumar, Jaavid Aktar Husain, Aswanth Kumar M, Mohammed Safi Ur Rahman Khan, Diptesh Kanojia, Ratish Puduppully, Mitesh M. Khapra, Raj Dabre, Rudra Murthy, and Anoop Kunchukuttan. 2024. Airavata: Introducing hindi instruction-tuned llm. *Preprint*, arXiv:2401.15006.
- Tao Ge, Xin Chan, Xiaoyang Wang, Dian Yu, Haitao Mi, and Dong Yu. 2024. Scaling synthetic data creation with 1,000,000,000 personas. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.20094*.
- C. Geertz. 1977. *The Interpretation Of Cultures*. Basic Books.
- Zorik Gekhman, Gal Yona, Roee Aharoni, Matan Eyal, Amir Feder, Roi Reichart, and Jonathan Herzig. 2024.
 Does fine-tuning LLMs on new knowledge encourage hallucinations? In Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 7765–7784, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Naman Goyal, Cynthia Gao, Vishrav Chaudhary, Peng-Jen Chen, Guillaume Wenzek, Da Ju, Sanjana Krishnan, Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Francisco Guzmán, and Angela Fan. 2022. The Flores-101 evaluation benchmark for low-resource and multilingual machine translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10:522–538.
- Aaron Grattafiori, Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Alex Vaughan, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, Anirudh Goyal, Anthony Hartshorn, Aobo Yang, Archi Mitra, Archie Sravankumar, Artem Korenev, Arthur Hinsvark, Arun Rao, Aston Zhang, Aurelien Rodriguez, Austen Gregerson, Ava Spataru, Baptiste Roziere, Bethany Biron, Binh Tang, Bobbie Chern, Charlotte Caucheteux, Chaya Nayak, Chloe Bi, Chris Marra, Chris McConnell, Christian Keller, Christophe Touret, Chunyang Wu, Corinne Wong, Cristian Canton Ferrer, Cyrus Nikolaidis, Damien Allonsius, Daniel Song, Danielle Pintz, Danny Livshits, et al. 2024. The llama 3 herd of models. Preprint, arXiv:2407.21783.
- Daniil Gurgurov, Mareike Hartmann, and Simon Ostermann. 2024. Adapting multilingual LLMs to low-resource languages with knowledge graphs via adapters. In *Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on*

Knowledge Graphs and Large Language Models (*KaLLM 2024*), pages 63–74, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. 2021. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- V. Higgins and D. Douglas. 2020. *Communities and Cultural Heritage: Global Issues, Local Values.* Routledge studies in heritage. Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Huang Huang, Fei Yu, Jianqing Zhu, Xuening Sun, Hao Cheng, Song Dingjie, Zhihong Chen, Mosen Alharthi, Bang An, Juncai He, Ziche Liu, Junying Chen, Jianquan Li, Benyou Wang, Lian Zhang, Ruoyu Sun, Xiang Wan, Haizhou Li, and Jinchao Xu. 2024. AceGPT, localizing large language models in Arabic. In Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 8139–8163, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Hamish Ivison, Yizhong Wang, Valentina Pyatkin, Nathan Lambert, Matthew Peters, Pradeep Dasigi, Joel Jang, David Wadden, Noah A. Smith, Iz Beltagy, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2023. Camels in a changing climate: Enhancing lm adaptation with tulu 2. Preprint, arXiv:2311.10702.
- Alexander Jones, Isaac Caswell, Orhan Firat, and Ishank Saxena. 2023. GATITOS: Using a new multilingual lexicon for low-resource machine translation. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 371–405, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pratik Joshi, Sebastin Santy, Amar Budhiraja, Kalika Bali, and Monojit Choudhury. 2020. The state and fate of linguistic diversity and inclusion in the NLP world. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6282–6293, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Raviraj Joshi, Kanishk Singla, Anusha Kamath, Raunak Kalani, Rakesh Paul, Utkarsh Vaidya, Sanjay Singh Chauhan, Niranjan Wartikar, and Eileen Long. 2025. Adapting multilingual LLMs to low-resource languages using continued pre-training and synthetic corpus: A case study for Hindi LLMs. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Indo-Aryan and Dravidian Languages, pages 50–57, Abu Dhabi. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Amr Keleg, Sharon Goldwater, and Walid Magdy. 2023. ALDi: Quantifying the Arabic level of dialectness of text. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on*

Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 10597–10611, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Jiyoung Lee, Minwoo Kim, Seungho Kim, Junghwan Kim, Seunghyun Won, Hwaran Lee, and Edward Choi. 2024. KorNAT: LLM alignment benchmark for Korean social values and common knowledge. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024*, pages 11177–11213, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Cheng Li, Mengzhuo Chen, Jindong Wang, Sunayana Sitaram, and Xing Xie. 2024. Culturellm: Incorporating cultural differences into large language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:84799–84838.
- Ruibo Liu, Jerry Wei, Fangyu Liu, Chenglei Si, Yanzhe Zhang, Jinmeng Rao, Steven Zheng, Daiyi Peng, Diyi Yang, Denny Zhou, et al. 2024. Best practices and lessons learned on synthetic data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.07503*.
- Yun Luo, Zhen Yang, Fandong Meng, Yafu Li, Jie Zhou, and Yue Zhang. 2025. An empirical study of catastrophic forgetting in large language models during continual fine-tuning. *Preprint*, arXiv:2308.08747.
- Kathleen M. MacQueen, Eleanor McLellan, David S. Metzger, Susan Kegeles, Ronald P. Strauss, Roseanne Scotti, Lynn Blanchard, and Robert T. Trotter. 2001. What is community? an evidence-based definition for participatory public health. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91(12):1929–1938.
- El Moatez Billah Nagoudi, AbdelRahim Elmadany, Ahmed El-Shangiti, and Muhammad Abdul-Mageed. 2023. Dolphin: A challenging and diverse benchmark for Arabic NLG. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 1404–1422, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tarek Naous, Michael J Ryan, Alan Ritter, and Wei Xu. 2024. Having beer after prayer? measuring cultural bias in large language models. In Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 16366–16393, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Roberto Navigli, Simone Conia, and Björn Ross. 2023. Biases in large language models: Origins, inventory, and discussion. *J. Data and Information Quality*, 15(2).
- Guilherme Penedo, Hynek Kydlíček, Loubna Ben allal, Anton Lozhkov, Margaret Mitchell, Colin Raffel, Leandro Von Werra, and Thomas Wolf. 2024. The fineweb datasets: Decanting the web for the finest text data at scale. *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.17557.
- Maja Popović. 2015. chrF: character n-gram F-score for automatic MT evaluation. In *Proceedings of the Tenth Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation*,

pages 392–395, Lisbon, Portugal. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Qwen, :, An Yang, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Jian Yang, Jianhong Tu, Jianwei Zhang, Jianxin Yang, Jiaxi Yang, Jingren Zhou, Junyang Lin, Kai Dang, Keming Lu, Keqin Bao, Kexin Yang, Le Yu, Mei Li, Mingfeng Xue, Pei Zhang, Qin Zhu, Rui Men, Runji Lin, Tianhao Li, Tianyi Tang, Tingyu Xia, Xingzhang Ren, Xuancheng Ren, Yang Fan, Yang Su, Yichang Zhang, Yu Wan, Yuqiong Liu, Zeyu Cui, Zhenru Zhang, and Zihan Qiu. 2025. Qwen2.5 technical report. *Preprint*, arXiv:2412.15115.
- Alexandre Ramé, Johan Ferret, Nino Vieillard, Robert Dadashi, Léonard Hussenot, Pierre-Louis Cedoz, Pier Giuseppe Sessa, Sertan Girgin, Arthur Douillard, and Olivier Bachem. 2024. Warp: On the benefits of weight averaged rewarded policies. *Preprint*, arXiv:2406.16768.
- Jonathan Rystrøm, Hannah Rose Kirk, and Scott Hale. 2025. Multilingual != multicultural: Evaluating gaps between multilingual capabilities and cultural alignment in llms. *Preprint*, arXiv:2502.16534.
- E. Sapir. 1929. The status of linguistics as a science. *Language*, 5(4):207–214.
- Mohamed El Amine Seddik, Suei-Wen Chen, Soufiane Hayou, Pierre Youssef, and Merouane Abdelkader DEBBAH. 2024. How bad is training on synthetic data? a statistical analysis of language model collapse. In *First Conference on Language Modeling*.
- Neha Sengupta, Sunil Kumar Sahu, Bokang Jia, Satheesh Katipomu, Haonan Li, Fajri Koto, Osama Mohammed Afzal, Samta Kamboj, Onkar Pandit, Rahul Pal, Lalit Pradhan, Zain Muhammad Mujahid, Massa Baali, Alham Fikri Aji, Zhengzhong Liu, Andy Hock, Andrew Feldman, Jonathan Lee, Andrew Jackson, Preslav Nakov, Timothy Baldwin, and Eric Xing. 2023. Jais and jaischat: Arabic-centric foundation and instruction-tuned open generative large language models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2308.16149.
- Guokan Shang, Hadi Abdine, Yousef Khoubrane, Amr Mohamed, Yassine Abbahaddou, Sofiane Ennadir, Imane Momayiz, Xuguang Ren, Eric Moulines, Preslav Nakov, Michalis Vazirgiannis, and Eric Xing. 2025. Atlas-chat: Adapting large language models for low-resource Moroccan Arabic dialect. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Language Models for Low-Resource Languages, pages 9–30, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Siqi Shen, Lajanugen Logeswaran, Moontae Lee, Honglak Lee, Soujanya Poria, and Rada Mihalcea. 2024. Understanding the capabilities and limitations of large language models for cultural commonsense. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North*

American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 5668–5680, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Zirui Song, Bin Yan, Yuhan Liu, Miao Fang, Mingzhe Li, Rui Yan, and Xiuying Chen. 2025. Injecting domain-specific knowledge into large language models: A comprehensive survey. *Preprint*, arXiv:2502.10708.
- J. Stanlaw and N. Adachi. 2025. Language, Culture, and Society: An Introduction to Linguistic Anthropology. Taylor & Francis.
- Yan Tao, Olga Viberg, Ryan S Baker, and René F Kizilcec. 2024. Cultural bias and cultural alignment of large language models. *PNAS Nexus*, 3(9):pgae346.
- Fanar Team, Ummar Abbas, Mohammad Shahmeer Ahmad, Firoj Alam, Enes Altinisik, Ehsannedin Asgari, Yazan Boshmaf, Sabri Boughorbel, Sanjay Chawla, Shammur Chowdhury, Fahim Dalvi, Kareem Darwish, Nadir Durrani, Mohamed Elfeky, Ahmed Elmagarmid, Mohamed Eltabakh, Masoomali Fatehkia, Anastasios Fragkopoulos, Maram Hasanain, Majd Hawasly, Mus'ab Husaini, Soon-Gyo Jung, Ji Kim Lucas, Walid Magdy, Safa Messaoud, Abubakr Mohamed, Tasnim Mohiuddin, Basel Mousi, Hamdy Mubarak, Ahmad Musleh, Zan Naeem, Mourad Ouzzani, Dorde Popovic, Amin Sadeghi, Husrev Taha Sencar, Mohammed Shinoy, Omar Sinan, Yifan Zhang, Ahmed Ali, Yassine El Kheir, Xiaosong Ma, and Chaoyi Ruan. 2025a. Fanar: An arabiccentric multimodal generative ai platform. Preprint, arXiv:2501.13944.
- Gemma Team, Aishwarya Kamath, Johan Ferret, Shreya Pathak, Nino Vieillard, Ramona Merhej, Sarah Perrin, Tatiana Matejovicova, Alexandre Ramé, Morgane Rivière, Louis Rouillard, Thomas Mesnard, Geoffrey Cideron, Jean bastien Grill, Sabela Ramos, Edouard Yvinec, Michelle Casbon, Etienne Pot, Ivo Penchev, Gaël Liu, Francesco Visin, Kathleen Kenealy, Lucas Beyer, Xiaohai Zhai, Anton Tsitsulin, Robert Busa-Fekete, et al. 2025b. Gemma 3 technical report. *Preprint*, arXiv:2503.19786.
- Gemma Team, Morgane Riviere, Shreya Pathak, Pier Giuseppe Sessa, Cassidy Hardin, Surya Bhupatiraju, Léonard Hussenot, Thomas Mesnard, Bobak Shahriari, Alexandre Ramé, Johan Ferret, Peter Liu, Pouya Tafti, Abe Friesen, Michelle Casbon, Sabela Ramos, Ravin Kumar, Charline Le Lan, Sammy Jerome, Anton Tsitsulin, Nino Vieillard, Piotr Stanczyk, Sertan Girgin, Nikola Momchev, Matt Hoffman, Shantanu Thakoor, Jean-Bastien Grill, Behnam Neyshabur, Olivier Bachem, Alanna Walton, Aliaksei Severyn, Alicia Parrish, Aliya Ahmad, Allen Hutchison, Alvin Abdagic, Amanda Carl, Amy Shen, Andy Brock, Andy Coenen, Anthony Laforge, Antonia Paterson, Ben Bastian, Bilal Piot, Bo Wu, Brandon Royal, and Others. 2024. Gemma 2: Improving open language models at a practical size. Preprint, arXiv:2408.00118.

- NLLB Team, Marta R. Costa-jussà, James Cross, Onur Celebi, Maha Elbayad, Kenneth Heafield, Kevin Heffernan, Elahe Kalbassi, Janice Lam, Daniel Licht, Jean Maillard, Anna Sun, Skyler Wang, Guillaume Wenzek, Al Youngblood, Bapi Akula, Loic Barrault, Gabriel Mejia Gonzalez, Prangthip Hansanti, John Hoffman, Semarley Jarrett, Kaushik Ram Sadagopan, Dirk Rowe, Shannon Spruit, Chau Tran, Pierre Andrews, Necip Fazil Ayan, Shruti Bhosale, Sergey Edunov, Angela Fan, Cynthia Gao, Vedanuj Goswami, Francisco Guzmán, Philipp Koehn, Alexandre Mourachko, Christophe Ropers, Safiyyah Saleem, Holger Schwenk, and Jeff Wang. 2022. No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation. Preprint, arXiv:2207.04672.
- Shumin Wang, Yuexiang Xie, Bolin Ding, Jinyang Gao, and Yanyong Zhang. 2025. Language adaptation of large language models: An empirical study on LLaMA2. In Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Computational Linguistics, pages 7195–7208, Abu Dhabi, UAE. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wenxuan Wang, Wenxiang Jiao, Jingyuan Huang, Ruyi Dai, Jen-tse Huang, Zhaopeng Tu, and Michael Lyu. 2024. Not all countries celebrate thanksgiving: On the cultural dominance in large language models. In Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 6349–6384, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jason Wei, Yi Tay, Rishi Bommasani, Colin Raffel, Barret Zoph, Sebastian Borgeaud, Dani Yogatama, Maarten Bosma, Denny Zhou, Donald Metzler, Ed H. Chi, Tatsunori Hashimoto, Oriol Vinyals, Percy Liang, Jeff Dean, and William Fedus. 2022. Emergent abilities of large language models. *Transactions* on Machine Learning Research. Survey Certification.
- C. G. Wrenn. 1962. The counselor in a changing world.
- An Yang, Anfeng Li, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Gao, Chengen Huang, Chenxu Lv, Chujie Zheng, Dayiheng Liu, Fan Zhou, Fei Huang, Feng Hu, Hao Ge, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Jialong Tang, Jian Yang, Jianhong Tu, Jianwei Zhang, Jianxin Yang, Jiaxi Yang, Jing Zhou, Jingren Zhou, Junyang Lin, Kai Dang, Keqin Bao, Kexin Yang, Le Yu, Lianghao Deng, Mei Li, Mingfeng Xue, Mingze Li, Pei Zhang, Peng Wang, Qin Zhu, Rui Men, Ruize Gao, Shixuan Liu, Shuang Luo, Tianhao Li, Tianyi Tang, Wenbiao Yin, Xingzhang Ren, Xinyu Wang, Xinyu Zhang, Xuancheng Ren, Yang Fan, Yang Su, Yichang Zhang, Yinger Zhang, Yu Wan, Yuqiong Liu, Zekun Wang, Zeyu Cui, Zhenru Zhang, Zhipeng Zhou, and Zihan Qiu. 2025. Qwen3 technical report. Preprint, arXiv:2505.09388.
- Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. 2019. HellaSwag: Can a machine really finish your sentence? In *Proceedings of*

the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 4791–4800, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Lianmin Zheng, Wei-Lin Chiang, Ying Sheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Zhanghao Wu, Yonghao Zhuang, Zi Lin, Zhuohan Li, Dacheng Li, Eric Xing, Hao Zhang, Joseph E. Gonzalez, and Ion Stoica. 2023. Judging LLM-as-a-judge with MT-bench and chatbot arena. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track.*

Language	Data cate	gory	Data source	Nature	# of words
	Egypt	General	Wikipedia MT fineweb-EDU LHV Fineweb2	Real Synthetic Synthetic Real	128.71M 2.08B 398.89M 430.46M
Dialectal data		Arabizi	MT fineweb-EDU (Arabizi) & LHV	Synthetic	206.49M
	Morocco	General	Wikipedia Translated fineweb-EDU LHV Fineweb2	Real Synthetic Synthetic Real	1.67M 2.02B 207.41M 1.64B
		Arabizi	MT fineweb-EDU (Arabizi) & LHV	Synthetic	467.30M
	Egypt	Cultural General	Brave API Local News	Real Real	74.67M 346.79M
MSA	Morocco	Cultural General	Brave API Local News	Real Real	23.08M 220.16M
	General	General	Fineweb2 Wikipedia	Real Real	28.80B 318.62M
English	General	General	Fineweb-EDU	Real	51.57B
French	General	General	Fineweb2	Real	9.42B
Code & Math		Code & Math	MathGenie/MathCode-Pile macrocosm-os/code-parrot-github-code	Real	818.35M

Table A.1: Distribution of the final pre-training data mixture by language, nature (synthetic vs. real), and word count per dataset. Bold rows highlight data generated via our proposed augmentation pipeline.

A Data

B Training details

B.1 Pre-training

Motivations for choosing Qwen-2.5-3B as our backbone model. We select Qwen-2.5-3B as our base model to continue pretrain for two primary reasons: its competitive performance on MSA tasks and good tokenizer compression ratio on Arabic dialect texts. At the time of the selection, the Gemma 2 (Team et al., 2024) and Qwen 2.5 base models showed the best performance in MSA. Also, both of their tokenizers have a good compression ratio for Arabic text in both standard and dialectal forms. Our analysis shows a ratio between 2.7 and 2.8 for Gemma, while Qwen 2.5 has a compression ratio between 2.9 and 3.1. Our final choice of Qwen 2.5 was based on its better performance on MSA.

Details for continued model pretraining. We continue the pre-training of Qwen-2.5-3B on our curated pre-training dataset. Subsequently, the model is fully fine-tuned for one epoch using a sequence length of 4,096. To optimize the learning process, the learning rate is linearly decayed from 5×10^{-6} to 5×10^{-7} . To mitigate overfitting, we apply a weight decay of 0.1, and gradient norms are clipped at a maximum value of 1.0. The training is performed on a cluster of $4 \times A100$ 80GB GPUs.

B.2 Supervised fine-tuning

To enhance model robustness and facilitate effective merging, we augmented each dialect-specific dataset with a shared multilingual corpus, comprising English SmolTalk, MSA SmolTalk, French SmolTalk, and additional data from the ORCA and Dolphin datasets. Each dialect-specific model was trained for two epochs with a sequence length of 4,096 tokens, using a learning rate that linearly decayed from 7×10^{-6} to 7×10^{-7} .

Table B.1 compares the SFT model with models fine-tuned on Egyptian and Moroccan datasets individually, as well as with our final merged model, *NileChat. NileChat* performs well on tasks for both EGY and MOR. The MOR-specific model also demonstrates strong performance on both MOR and, to some extent, EGY tasks. In contrast, the EGY-specific model does not perform well on MOR tasks.

Dataset name	Language	Source	# of Instructions
Darija-SFT-Mixture	MOR (Arabic)	Atlas-Chat	458,155
TÜLU-V2-mix	EGY (Arabic)	Ours (MT)	178,109
	MOR (Arabic)	Ours (MT)	192,266
	MOR (Arabizi)	Ours (MT)	93,419
	EGY (Arabic)	Ours (MT)	195,260
SmolTalk	EGY (Arabizi)	Ours (MT)	93,181
	French	Ours (MT)	99,468
	MSA	Ours (MT)	96,933
	English	SmolTalk	149,124
ORCA		Ours (Converted)	460,203
Dolphin	MSA + dialects	Ours (Converted)	425,703
	MOR (Arabic)	Ours (Synthetic)	25,159
Cultural instructions	EGY (Arabic)	Ours (Synthetic)	107,428

Table A.2: Distribution of the final instruction and response data mixture by language and number of instructions per dataset. 'Ours' refers to datasets we created via machine translation (MT) or by converting existing datasets into an instruction/response format.

Table B.1 compares the SFT model with models fine-tuned on Egyptian and Moroccan datasets individually, as well as with our final merged model, NileChat. NileChat performs well on tasks for both EGY and MOR. The MOR-specific model also demonstrates strong performance on both MOR and, to some extent, EGY tasks. In contrast, the EGY-specific model does not perform well on MOR tasks. We relate this observed asymmetry to the linguistic characteristics of the dialects relative to MSA. During the SFT phase, each dialect-specific dataset was augmented with a shared multilingual corpus which included MSA data (e.g., MSA SmolTalk, and data from the ORCA and Dolphin datasets). It is plausible that EGY is linguistically closer to MSA compared to the MOR, which is often considered more distant from MSA due to influences such as Berber and French. Consequently, the MOR-tuned model, having been exposed to this shared MSA data, might more effectively leverage this MSA knowledge to generalize to EGY tasks. Conversely, the greater linguistic divergence of the Moroccan dialect from MSA could make it more challenging for the EGY-tuned model to transfer its learning, including the MSA component, to the distinct features of the Moroccan dialect.

C Evaluation Setup

C.1 Evaluation Tasks

Full list of the 13 categories of WVS questions. Economic Values (EcoV); Ethical Values (EthV); Happiness and Wellbeing (HW); Index of Postmaterialism (IP); Perceptions about Science and Technology (PST); Perceptions of Corruption (PC); Perceptions of Migration (PM); Perceptions of Security (PS); Political Culture and Political Regimes (PCPR); Political Interest and Political Participation (PIPP); Religious Values (RV); Social Capital, Trust, and Organizational Membership (SCTOM); and Social Values, Norms, and Stereotypes (SVNS).

C.2 Baselines

We evaluate our model *NileChat* against a set of 17 LLMs that are Arabic-aware; some of these 17 models are also aligned to Arabic dialects. These models are from the following model families: ALLaM (Bari et al., 2025), Jais (Sengupta et al., 2023), Atlas-Chat (Shang et al., 2025), ar-stablelm-2-chat (Alyafeai et al., 2024), Gemma-3 (Team et al., 2025b), Qwen-2.5 (Qwen et al., 2025), Qwen3 (Yang et al., 2025) (non-thinking mode), and Llama-3.2 (Grattafiori et al., 2024). The full list of models, including their corresponding size and release date, are presented in Table C.1.

D Full results

E Prompts

The provided figures showcase diverse prompts for language models targeting low-resource languages. Figure 5 translates English educational content into conversational dialectal Arabic, while Figure 6 converts dialectal Arabic script to Arabizi. English instructions are translated to dialectal Arabic using the prompt in Figure 7. For content generation, Figure 8 guides the model to create culturally relevant dialectal Arabic text based on a given persona and context. Figure 9 focuses on summarizing detailed persona descriptions concisely. Finally, Figure 10 instructs an LLM to generate practical dialectal Arabic question-answer pairs in JSON format from provided text.

```
Translate the following text from English to Egyptian Arabic. Ensure that all words
  are in Egyptian Arabic, and do not use any Modern Standard Arabic (MSA). Keep
  the translation casual, conversational, and reflective of how Egyptians would
  naturally speak in everyday situations. Avoid any formal or classical language
  structures. Translate only the input paragraph and don't add anything else in
  your output.
English: {English_text}
```

Figure 5: The translation prompt used with a teacher model to convert English educational pre-training data to a low-resource target language. The placeholder '{English_text}' represents the input English text.

Write the following Moroccan dialectal Arabic text in Moroccan Arabizi. Ensure that all words are written in Moroccan Arabizi. Keep the text casual, conversational, and reflective of how Moroccans would naturally write in everyday situations using Arabizi. Translate only the content keys in the following JSON, and output a json of the same format: {JSON_OBJECT}

Figure 6: The prompt used with our teacher LLM to convert dialectal Arabic text written in Arabic script into Arabizi. The placeholder {JSON_OBJECT} represents the input text formatted as a JSON object.

Translate the following text from English to Moroccan Arabic. Ensure that all words are in Moroccan Arabic, and do not use any Modern Standard Arabic (MSA). Keep the translation casual, conversational, and reflective of how Moroccans would naturally speak in everyday situations. Avoid any formal or classical language structures. Translate only the content keys in the following JSON, and output a json of the same format: {JSON_OBJECT}

Figure 7: The translation prompt used with a teacher model to convert SmolTalk and TULU instructions data to a low-resource target language. The placeholder '{JSON_OBJECT}' represents the input text.

255

Act as the following person: {persona_description} Act like you are {person_Name} and write a {text_genre} in Egyptian dialect, using colloquial Arabic script as spoken in Egypt and not Modern Standard Arabic (MSA). Use this context and use the information provided in it while writing the {text_genre}:
{context}
Make sure to follow these conditions:
1. Rely on the provided context when writing the {text_genre}.
2. Ensure that the written {text_genre} reflects the cultural background, values,
and worldview of {person_Name}.
3. Don't write the persona's description. I want you to focus only on the provided
context when writing while reflecting the perosna's background.
Note: Ensure that all words are in Egyptian Arabic, and do not use any Modern
Standard Arabic (MSA). Keep the translation casual, conversational, and
reflective of how Egyptians would naturally speak in everyday situations.

Figure 8: Prompt for generating culturally and values-aware text genres in low-resource languages, given a local persona description and a local cultural concept. The placeholders {persona_description}, {text_genre}, and {context} represent the persona description, the intended text genre to generate, and the cultural concept text, respectively.

I have the following persona description, I want you to write it in a concise manner keeping all the information, the output should be plain text, make sure to include all values, morals, and culture of the persona: {PERSONA_DESCRIPTION}

Figure 9: Prompt for generating concise persona descriptions with a LLM utilizing a comprehensive description of a specific persona extracted from the WVS. The placeholder '{PERSONA_DESCRIPTION}' represents the input persona description.

```
You are an expert in Moroccan culture and language, with native-level fluency in Moroccan Arabic dialect
written in Arabic script. Your task is to transform detailed articles or information (like recipes,
historical accounts, cultural traditions, etc.) into practical, useful instruction-response pairs in
authentic Moroccan Arabic dialect expressed in Arabic script.
## Task Description:
1. I will provide you with raw text content (like cooking recipes, cultural traditions, historical

2. Transform this content into practical instruction -response pairs where:
- Instructions ask how to do something, how something works, or how to understand something
- Responses provide thorough, practical explanations in Moroccan dialect

3. Focus on making these interactions useful for someone wanting to learn practical information
4. Output the results in structured JSON format
## Instruction Format Guidelines:
- For procedural content (recipes, crafts, etc.): Create "how to" questions
   – Example: {example_1}
- For historical/factual content: Create "why" questions
- Example: {example_2}
- For cultural practices: Create "what is" or "how do we celebrate" questions
  – Example: {example_3}
## Response Format Guidelines:
– Make
          responses detailed and practical
- Include specific steps for procedural content

Use authentic Moroccan vocabulary and expressions
Structure responses in a logical order
For recipes or procedures, list steps clearly in the order they should be performed
Include tips and warnings where appropriate
Keep the tone conversational and helpful, as if explaining to a friend

## Language Guidelines:
– Use authentic Moroccan Arabic dialect written in Arabic script (not transliteration)
  Include typical Moroccan cooking/cultural terminology and expressions
Use language as it would naturally be spoken, not literary Arabic
   Include common Moroccan filler words and expressions where natural
- For cooking terms or specialized vocabulary, use the actual terms Moroccans use.
The output should be valid JSON with the following structure: ```json
## Output Format:
  "instruction_response_pairs": [
     {

"instruction": "[practical instruction in Moroccan dialect]",

"response": "[detailed practical response in Moroccan dialect]"
     {

"instruction": "[another practical instruction]",

"response": "[another detailed response]"
  ]
} . .
## Instructions:

    Generate at least 3-5 practical instruction-response pairs based on the content I provide.
    Make each instruction focus on a practical aspect (how-to, ingredients, common mistakes, variations, etc

       .)

    Ensure responses are detailed enough to be practically useful.
    The resulting JSON must be properly formatted and valid.
    For specialized terms or techniques, use the authentic Moroccan terms.

6. When dealing with recipes or procedures, make sure the steps are in logical order.
7. If the article contains cultural context or historical information, include some of this in the responses
to provide depth.
8. If the article does not have any relevent information to the Moroccan culture then return an empty list.
Now, I'll provide you with content, and you'll transform it into practical instruction-response pairs in
      Moroccan dialect as specified above
{Text}
```

Figure 10: Prompt for generating synthetic cultural dialectal question-answer pairs using a teacher model given raw text describing a local cultural heritage concept. The placeholder {Text} represents the raw text that is used to generate question-answer pairs from it.

F Samples of texts generated from NileChat

	Moroccan conversation (based on Wikipedia context)
Text genre	Conversation
Persona	Jilali, a 40-year-old Moroccan male, lives in Karia Ba Mohamed, Fes-Meknes region, in an urban area. He is in smaried with 3 children and lives in a household of 7, including his parents and in-laws. Jilali has post-secondary non-tertiary education and works full-time as a skilled worker in a government or public institution. He is in the third income decile, considers himself upper middle class, and manages to save money. Jilali values family, friends, leisure, politics, work, and religion highly. He is Muslim, attends religious services weekly, and prays several times a day. He believes most people can be trusted and is quite happy, rating his life satisfaction as 6 out of 10 and his financial satisfaction as 8 out of 10
Context	طين الأون (اعبرت ابسا بالاسر الكلل طين العاح بلازيز) مو ينحر من الملغ الأردي السرين القلاي مرتر وسنة من عن 200 منك و اواع اطرامي الملغة المروحة وما تعليم كمن عرف بعقل كلك ككريكي من الملغ المرين يتقرد مع من الملين الزوتي ومع ين الطور المرين مو الملغ الأردي السرين الملي الترين العامي المرين علك من الملي وكان يتم شون الملي المرين الملي المرين يتقرد مع المان القلايين إلى المرب سين ينحر من الملية الأردية المرين الملي المرين ماذل المرين الملي محكم من الملي العرب الملي المرين مع الملي المان الإيران المانين القلايين إلى المرب سين ينحر من الملية الأردية المرين الملي المرين ماذل ملي الملي المرين المان المرين المرين المرين من الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين من المرين الملي المرين مي الملي من المرين الماني المرين من ين ملين المرين المرين المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الماني المرين المرين مرئية المرين مان المرينة المرينة الملية المرينة المرين الملي مرين مي الملي المرين المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين من المرين المرين المرين من الملي المرين مرينا الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين مي الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين المان المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين المرين المرين المرين المرين الملي المرين من المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين من الملي المرين المرين الملي المرين المرين الملي المرين من المرين الملي المرين مي المرين الملي المرين مي ال من المرين الملي المرين مي الملي مرين الملي مرين الملي المرين الملي مرين مي والمرين الملي المرين الملي المرين المل المرين المل المرين المرين المرين المرين المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي مر من المرين المرين الملي المرين مي المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي مرين المرين المرين الملي المرين مي المرين المرين المرين الملي المرين الملي ومرين الملي المرين الملي المرين المرين مرين المرين المرين المرين مرين المرين مي المرين الملي المرين الملي مرين مي المرين المرين المرين المرين الملي المر المرين المرين المرين المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي مرين المرين المان المرين المرين المرين المرين مي المرين الملي مرين المرين الملي المرين الملي المرين الملي المري المر المر
Linguistic cues	Include these Moroccan dialect words in your generated text: "تحضير" (meaning "sustain"), "تحضير" (meaning "preparation"), "لوب" (meaning "march"), "تنبئ" (meaning "march"), "تنبئ" (meaning "march"), "تنبئ" (meaning "march"), "تنبئ" (meaning "march"), "تنفيك" (meaning "march"), "
Generated text	السلام علیه، کیند اور لیزی؟ السلام علیه، کیند اور می تعلیه کیمیتی بزند، سبتو ملین اثریتون. ماد الشق کمیتر من آئیر الاشاق المزینه، وککون اسا من انحاج وازیتون، ولکن کیلین بحث الإصافات الی کلاریہ، تکیه میزه، بما اللیون المسیر، السلامی، خاصی ارزین رکون بین وحداو مسیر. کمرت بلی کیکل فلمنیت اکبره و گرمی، ولکن کنشل نکار فلار، می الی این ولی باینجمه اعلین ارزین رکون می است اکبره ایکومت می روز اصبطه المزینه، کیمیتر می اسلامی می المزانه، وککن می این اس انتظار اه اللی کیمینی زندان می المزمن می معامل ویک انتشار می این ایک اندور رکوکن عندان روزی رازین رکوکن امترام مذکس کمیمینی زندان این می اسلامی اسلامی این ایک ایکره و تعلیم کیمیتر ویکا این مادور روزی رکوکن عندان روزی کوکس می وکنکه الی محمد و این تعلیم کیمی تعلیم و بین اعلیه، وککری امترام کیر مذکس کمیمینی زندان ایکن می این ایکن می این روزین کوکس کمند و این اعلی رکوکن رکوکن ایک از ایکره روزی کی کرین امترام کیر تعلیم کار اسلامی اسلامی ایکن ایکن رازین رکوکس کمین و بینا می ایکن و کوکن می از ایکن می ایکن و ایکنی ایکن ایکن می بایکن می ایکن ایکن ایکن ایکن رازین رکوکس کمین و ایکند و ایکن ایکن رکوکن رکوک روزی و ایکن ایکند و ایکن ایکن روزی واندی که می ایکن ایکن رازین رکوکس کمین روزین رکوکس کمین رازین رکوکس کمی ایک ایک روزی رکوکس می ایکن و ایکن و المی و ایکن روزی رکوکس می ایکن روزی را کوکس می ایکن روزی رکوکس می روزین رکوکس کمی رایک روزی رکوکس می رایک و را روزی را کوکس می رایک و را روزی را کوکس کمی را ایکن را کوکس را کوکس کمی را کول روزی را کوکس می را ایکن را کول را کوکس را کوکس را کوکس کمی را کول را کوکس را کوکس را کوکس را کوکس را کوکس را کول را کوکس را کول را کوکس را کوکس را کوکس را کوکس را کول را را کول را را کول را کول را کول را کول را را کول را کول را را کول را را کول را کول را کول را روزی را کوکس را کول را کول را روزی را کول روزی را کول روزی را کول را را کول را کول را را کول را را کول را را کول را کول را را کول را را کول را را کول را کول را را را کول را کول را را کول را و روزی را کوکس را کول را کول را را را کول را رزد تمی که را کول را کول را کول را را کوری را کول را کول را را کول را
	داشتى اللى كىميتى القلبية، كانان بن كانان كان داد كنير الى بن اين رقتاح . ان الزن بن الحر الى كان اين البنه، تقصله المساود العليه ، ركميل تنهة نصبة. المسابق القلب والذات المسابق العليه ، ومثل القلب من المار العليم المار العليم . ومثل المال المال المال المال ال
	Egyptian Blog Post (based on Wikipedia context)
Text genre	Blog Post
Persona	Hatem, a 29-year-old Egyptian male, lives in a rural area of Markaz AD Delengat. Behaira region. He is single, has no children, and lives with his parents in a household of three. Hatem has no formal education and works part-lime as an unskilled laborer in private business or industry. He is in the hird income decile and considers hismsel flower class. He has spent business or industry. He is in the hird income decile and considers hismsel flower class. He has spent business or industry. He is in the hird income decile and considers hismsel flower class. He has spent savings and borrowed money, and rates his financial satisfaction as 2 out of 10 and his overall life satisfaction as 3 out of 10. Despite this, he reports good health and rates his control over life as 5 out of 10. Hatem is a devout Muslim who attends religious services more than once a week and prays several times a day. He values family and friends highly but does not prioritize leisure or politics. Work and religion are very important to him. He is cautious with people and not very happy
Context	كتري من العرب (الالات السية المسرية وتكون سالمكورة (الاز ولعس الأسم وسلمة الشلغل أو البيترة لعارة والمتان ويتي ويقد ماكلية علمة السرية وتكون بونه علكمة عكسته بسية التى تؤير على طرقية علمة السرية وتكون بونه علكمة عكسته بسية التى تؤير على عن الالتلان المسرية وتكون على المسرية والاز ولعن والمع مال المسرية والتي والمع مال على المسلمة عن المسلمة المعلمة أو المتي المسرية وتكون ماية عكسته بسية التى تؤير على عن الالتلان المسرية وتكون ماية مارا الاز ولعن الاسرة المسلمة إلى المسلمة على المسلمة على السرية المارية ويتي توية عكسته بسية التي التي على المسلمة عن المسلمة عن التلاري المسلمة عنوما الحقي المسلمة علي المن المسلمة علي المسلمة علي المع مال المسلمة علي المع مال المسلمة علي المسلمة علي المسلمة علي المسلمة علي المنابع 2- ماسلة علي أو الالتري العلي على الملكري عليه المالت الملكون الملك على علي المالي ورسمع لينه الملي الم مسلمين المسلمة علي أو لائد الملكون الملكون مسلمة العرب العلي المسلم الملكم علي علي الملي والم المسلمة المسلمة المسلمة المسلمة المسلمة معالم المسلمة المسلمة المسلمة المالي المالي والي الملكون الملك والي الملكون الملكون الملكون الملكون الملك والملكون الملكون الملك من الملي الملي مسلم الملي الملي المر الملي الملي الملي الملي الملي الملي الملكون الملي والي اللي الملي الملي معامل مرابع علي الملي الملي الملي الملي الملي الملي الملكون الملكون مي الملي اللي الملي الملي معلم ملي الملي علي الملي الم ملي ملي ملي الملي
Linguistic cues	N/A
Generated text	اجماعة المهارية وتتكليم معارية مسرية معربة مبورة عدار مي الكثري ديني الكاركة حكامة عزارة عن خليط من الشكرونة والارز والحس الأسود وايصل المقالي ومنافع التفاية. الكاتلكثري يا عش بس رخيصة لا وكمان الكثري يقيله أسعرام كميرار تعييم المي معالية ويقد كمان تقدم الكامت تلية حليات الكثري زي المكرونة بالان والعشوراء منتقد وزند أمض المارية ميرسر روف مسلحة كثير متعمسة في معاد يقت كمان تقدم الكثري زي المكرونة بالان والعاليراء والبريسة بس عام ويقت كمان تقدم الكلم المارية ويقد بالمعالي والمعاليرا مع معتقد وزند أمض المارية ويوسر مسلحة كثير متعمسة في عماد يقت كمان تقدم الكثري زي المكرونة بالان والعاليراء والعرب
	ایگنامیکه افکری می باند المید اسمزد، و بیلزیتون با با بانیز ماریا بازلارز در اللی پنجوا اور غل بیماره مع الصن الخرو انسلزی وینفدره منفن او بازد، خصوصا او حفوا علیه زیت زیتون بنان السنة. من هذا بالای ایک این پنجس التخروات من اکثر ایر آن با استریز می که المسابر می ناکتر السمه زمین مشکل عظیر ؟ مداره الکشری اگذ می افکار می اظاف از از مانند بالا ما از از الماد تسعیده والاصل اطلاب من عبر از علیه این بخره ا
_	Moroccan conversation (based on local news context)
Text genre Persona	Conversation Zohra, a 49-year-Iol Moroccan woman, lives in a rural area of Tigrigra within the Fes-Meknes region. She is married with two children and lives in a household of five people, not including her parents. Zohra has no formal education and works as a housewife and farm laborer in private business or industry. She is in the sixth income decile and considers herself lower middle class, just getting by financially. Family is very important to Zohra, while fineds are rather important. She does not prioritze leisure, politics, or work, and considers religion very important. She is a practicing Muslim, attending religious services once a week and praying several times a day. She believes most people can be trusted and rates her life satisfaction as 6 out of 10 and her financial satisfaction as 7 out of 10. She reports fair health and feels complete control over her life
Context	جمعة 5 أكثرير 2018 ثليه منطقة ملدارس بدار برعزة بالبيصاء الجاز عد من المشاريع لليكلوة، من بينها مشروع تعزء أبيك لتزريد الملقة شيكة للطبير السلل ليله الأصلار والعباء المذرع الجاز سرداب طي طرل 2011 مترا رافقة صريف البهاء الملحة طراب 27 أكبر انصلة الي تقديم المطلق طرابي 180 مثر أركبا لقة محمة الصريف الملي الملي الملي الملي مع الملي والمي من المشروع الجاز سرداب على طرل 2011 مترا رافقة السلمات الصلية ومنه دار برعزة في اطر مترية تهند إلى مواقع مركبة معرفة المسرية البراء الملحة طرابي 211 متي راكبت وليه تجزير من منك الحيز الملية ملية مراكبة معرا مليه المي المعاد من مثل تعييز ما شراع المركبة الماء المركبة ال المرح التي تعزر البك، تمثل من معانة الطر الواسره . وليه تجزير مرح تبكة الحرية هذا المركبة هذا المركبة من مركبتها مطلع علي 23 مقرط 1951 ميلين رويترم المركبة الما المركبة الما المركبة الماء المركبة الماء المركبة المركبة من المركبة المركبة الم
Linguistic cues	محمري لدينة الآتر البيضاء. 3. Include these Moroccan dialect words in your generated text: "سنبي" (meaning "doub!"), "سنبي" (meaning "hidden"), "ريح" (meaning "windy"), "ريحا" (meaning "windy"), "ريحا" (meaning "ket"), and
	السلام علیکه کنید دادرین الیم؟ کارن شی همید فلبلاد؟ وعلیک السلام والرحمه کنان شی همید فلمار بین کابهٔ مشاریع هدیده کنیزور فدار بوعزه کابن شی مشروع دیال انتظهیر السلال دیل میاه الأمطار والمیاه العادمة.
	ام هنتشي زرين، وعلان هذا المُشررع بلضيط؟ كاينة نمي مشاريع جنيدة ديل التصبر فللمفقة، رينادر برشيكة ديل التطهير بلش يدوزو مياه الأسفار والمياد العادمة، حيث الملطقة كتدلتي شوية من هذ المشكل.
	اد نهیت بین کینا کی می شاکل دیل السرف المحمو لفطار بین؟ داد سال کار نام کار می سال کار می شاک کور می وارد این از داد کور می الداد اینه کی کار کار داد اینا خ
	سندای ، فصبر بدور شی حل، سرت هذشی کینلی آسفند ربی ویلا نیک تمیک مزینه. رایشینه بد انشار و خان بدین بدر سراب مرابی و قان کنید زند از سایس است. و بدوز مرسل بینه منظم.
	بسیست المرکز علی توریز در میتوان وران عالی هاد المرکز ی علی قبر السیلا عالی این السیلا المطبق بنان بین از علی السنان بین التقییر السان ، وکی الماء الثروب. لا، هذا المثروع منظم من طرف الولک بشر العمالة والسلطات المطبق، بنان بین مشال المشکل بین التقییر السان، وکی الماء
Generated text	ان درون منشی خصان امارت واناسرة برای البرد، مورت هذا الساري میچه از ناب. البه پرسه الش و المرز می یک این کامی کری نظر می یک شی ان نامند. البه پرسه این امار می یک مینی کمان و نابرد، سانی میدان شی این میکل کونر علام از اساکنه. ان الله پدایته مرعت هایش میانی مانی کمانی مرد و طوم این طورت این الار. استه به این می سازی می سازی می این کمانی مرد و طوم این طورت این الار.
	اء تكلف مسجوب خلبطا تكون و برحة حد الطلف راليهل، برتنجير على القيبة و التقير. المناقبة علمينا تكون مولينا مرتبة برتية من وابب غليًا. ان الله بمولين مولين على عن كار نمة كلي علماً على علماً.

Table A.3: Examples of culturally aware and dialectally diverse texts from various genres, generated by our teacher model. The model was provided with input representing a specific persona, local context, and a local linguistic cue following the methodology described in Section 3.1.

	Bele	bele	Fle	ores	In-h	ouse
	MOR	EGY	ENG → EGY	ENG → MOR	ENG → MOR	ENG → EGY
NileChat-EGY	64.44	70.89	43.85	23.10	11.93	36.93
NileChat-MOR	70.67	72.56	39.94	37.45	30.82	29.98
NileChat	70.33	72.67	44.37	33.89	28.67	37.52

Table B.1: Comparison of the performance of the Egyptian SFT model (*NileChat-EGY*), the Moroccan SFT model (*NileChat-MOR*), and their merged version, *NileChat*, on Belebele (accuracy), Flores (chrf), and In-house parallel data (chrf).

Model Name	Size	Release Date
Less tha	n 7B	
Qwen3-1.7B	1.7	Apr. 2025
ar-stablelm-2-chat	1.6	Jul. 2024
Atlas-Chat-2B	2.6	Sep. 2024
Llama-3.2-3B-Instruct	3.2	Sep. 2024
gemma-3-4b-it	4.3	Mar. 2025
Qwen3-4B	4	Apr. 2025
NLLB-200-3.3B	3.3	Jul. 2022
Qwen2.5-3B-Instruct	3.1	Sep. 2024
More the	ın 7B	
AceGPT-7B-chat	7	Dec. 2023
ALLaM-7B-Instruct	7	Feb. 2025
Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	7.6	Apr. 2025
Qwen3-8B	8.2	Apr. 2025
Atlas-Chat-9B	9.2	Sep. 2024
gemma-3-12b-it	12.2	Mar. 2025
AceGPT-13B-chat	13	Dec. 2023
jais-13b-chat	13	Aug. 2023

Table C.1: The LLMs used for comparison against NileChat in this evaluation were selected from a list of Arabicaware models. Each LLM is listed with its corresponding size (in billion parameters) and release date. We utilized the instruct version for all LLMs except for NLLB, which is a machine translation-specific model.

	Model	MN	ILU	Hella	Swag	Bele	ebele
	1910uci	EGY	MOR	EGY	MOR	EGY	MOR
	Qwen3-1.7B	28.53	28.53	28.07	27.33	22.89	22.89
~	ar-stablelm-2-chat	39.54	38.32	34.33	33.40	24.22	22.78
1 7B	Atlas-Chat-2B	42.65	45.06	29.62	34.78	54.67	59.00
Less than	Llama-3.2-3B-Instruct	31.10	30.92	28.86	28.39	49.67	40.89
s tl	gemma-3-4b-it	46.32	46.60	34.26	32.53	61.44	52.11
Les	Qwen3-4B	28.59	28.52	30.21	29.53	22.89	22.89
_	Qwen2.5-3B-Instruct	35.71	37.67	31.17	29.62	61.11	44.89
	NileChat (3B)	58.20	58.62	38.29	40.35	78.11	73.78
	AceGPT-7B-chat	40.76	37.98	33.04	31.04	38.00	33.00
8	ALLaM-7B-Instruct	<u>60.18</u>	59.61	40.20	38.14	76.11	66.00
L 1	Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	57.70	53.51	33.79	32.28	76.67	59.44
haı	Qwen3-8B	28.53	28.53	31.72	30.95	22.89	22.89
More than 7B	Atlas-Chat-9B	57.17	60.27	34.75	<u>44.47</u>	78.44	<u>79.33</u>
101	gemma-3-12b-it	59.29	56.16	40.16	37.60	<u>80.78</u>	73.11
	AceGPT-13B-chat	46.48	43.65	35.15	33.21	46.33	41.11
	jais-13b-chat	49.33	48.28	38.99	37.45	59.89	53.78

Table D.1: 3-shot performance (accuracy) of models on understanding (MMLU, HellaSwag, and Belebele). Bold values indicate the highest score among models comparable in size to ours (<7B parameters). Underlined values represent the highest score in the entire column, including larger models. Results for zero-shot are presented in Table 1, Section 4.2.

B m	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	an at at an at at 13.59 14.12 32.74 18.60 11.66 22.90 13.69 14.12 32.74 13.09 31.86 11.85 7.47 98.11 35.86 13.09 33.82 9.88 13.02 33.82 16.82 13.03 33.82 16.83 15.70 14.14 34.65 15.71 14.14 34.65 15.72 2.22.44 41.63 15.88 10.63 38.90 18.81 17.74 34.65 18.81 17.90 33.25.66 18.83 32.32.66 41.83 18.83 32.33.26 56.32 11.37 18.02 33.27 4.94 36.92 32.27 4.93 99.33 23.26 4.93 99.33 23.27 4.94 36.92 32.27 4.93
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14.12 19.18 3.53 3.55 3.55 3.55 3.55 2.52 15.05 19.65 19.55
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	114.12 119.18 19.18 19.18 19.18 15.73 15.73 15.73 15.73 15.73 19.50 Avera
	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11.56 11.56 1.562 1.573 1.573 1.573 1.573 1.573 1.573 1.573 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.576 1.5777 1.5777 1.5777 1.5777 1.5777 1.5777 1.5777 1.5777 1.5777 1.57777 1.5777 1.5777 1.57777 1.57777 1.57777 1.5777777 1.57777777777
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.18 9.33 9.35 19.48 17.62 17.62 17.79 17.
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.53 19.81 1.62 1.573 15.73 15.73 15.73 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 18.02 8.29 9.66 8.29 9.66 18.02 12.33 2.3.68 18.02 12.33 2.3.68 18.02 12.33 2.3.68 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 12.73 18.02 18.
	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.83 9.83 15.73 15.73 15.73 23.24 17.74 17.74 17.74 17.74 17.74 17.75 19.65 19.55 19
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19.81 17.62 15.73 15.73 15.73 17.79
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.62 23.24 23.24 17.44 17.44 19.65 19.55
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.73 15.73 15.73 17.74 17.74 17.79 19.63 19.63 19.63 19.69 9.69 9.69 9.69 18.22 2.53 2.500 15.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	34.39 36.93 8.48 32.17 25.14 11.78 11.43 17.90 30.76 36.60 23.39 25.03 11.19 25.28 11.19 25.32 31.47 11.19 25.32 34.00 25.39 19.60 11.26 31.63 31.53 25.03 18.93 11.19 25.32 34.00 6.34 18.03 11.460 55.63 31.52 18.31 19.69 11.419 25.83 31.52 18.31 24.12 11.420 55.63 31.52 18.31 21.61 11.420 55.73 4.00 6.34 24.27 13.42 29.23 31.52 18.31 20.17 8.55 6.08 25.73 4.09 18.77 MOR → MSA 40 40 40 40	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23.24 23.24 17.79
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.73 23.24 15.73 23.24 17.79 29.63 29.68 29.69 29.69 29.69 29.69 29.69 20.69
	17.90 2.5.1 2.5.1 5.6.00 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.3.9 2.6.0 2.7.0 1.8.2 <	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23.24 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 19.61 18.02 18.02 9.69 9.69 12.32 2.66 18.02 12.32 2.66 18.02 12.32 2.66 18.02 12.32 12.32 12.75
	17.90 30.76 56.06 23.39 25.63 12.92 92.91 92.972 16.11 18.25 12.92 92.93 92.74 16.11 18.25 11.19 52.32 38.479 25.16 15.00 11.19 52.32 28.63 19.56 18.83 11.29 53.23 28.63 18.93 18.83 11.91 53.53 31.05 29.63 18.83 11.92 53.53 31.05 19.83 18.83 11.91 53.53 31.52 18.83 20.84 12.42 10.05 53.42 24.27 14.04 13.42 29.23 31.52 18.83 20.18 8.55 6.08 2.573 4.09 18.77 MOR<+ MSA MOR 4.09 18.77 4.00 6.06 2.573 4.09 18.77	41.94 13.18 14.91 23.61 32.52 35.56 55.95 55.96 55.707 55.35 55.707 35.56 55.717 55.36 55.707 55.35 55.707 37.55 11.34 7.73 97.52 55.35 56.964 37.25 14.66 1.455 12.477 32.70 31.30 37.25 14.56 15.467 32.70 31.30 31.30 37.25 14.56 12.477 32.70 31.30 31.30 37.725 14.56 12.477 32.70 32.32 23.30 36.94 1.50 12.77 23.19 29.33 35.37 36.94 1.50 72.06 32.5 23.70 32.75 23.37 36.94 1.50 11.77 23.10 92.93 93.93 33.75 33.75 33.75 4.66 4.66 1.90 4.66	23.24 17.44 17.49 19.61 19.61 18.02 9.69 9.69 9.69 12.32 2.65 2.65 2.500
AccPT:PB-chat 192 18.93 56.91 57.71 74.84 15.92 38.87 111 17.99 56.04 35.24 21.30 25.35 55.75 55.75 55.75 55.75 55.75 55.75 55.75 55.15 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95 55.81 55.95	12.29 21.59 29.72 16.11 18.25 16.56 33.38 34.79 23.18 25.03 11.56 31.05 23.28 28.12.54 15.00 12.56 31.05 29.63 15.95 15.80 12.56 31.05 29.63 15.95 18.80 12.46 55.83 31.40 6.95 34.00 6.97 13.42 29.53 31.40 6.94 24.27 14.09 18.77 8.55 6.08 25.73 4.09 18.77 4.09 18.77 MOR → MSA 4.09 18.77 4.09 18.77 4.00 18.77	35.56 5.95 10.28 16.65 27.07 22.70 13.71 19.05 37.35 32.15 31.34 7.73 9.75 23.17 26.66 36.72 10.16 10.27 26.33 25.86 77.53 34.55 12.57 26.33 25.86 77.93 9.51 11.72 23.19 29.39 37.93 9.51 11.72 23.19 29.39 36.94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36.94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36.94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36.94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36.94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 33.86 6.20 6.07 8.38 17.94 33.86 6.20 6.07 8.38 17.94 33.86 6.20 6.07 8.38 17.34 35.75 3.31.12	17.44 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 17.79 18.02 18.02 18.02 9.69 9.69 9.69 18.22 12.32 2.65 12.32 12.32 2.65 12.32 2.65 12.32 12.32 12.32 12.53
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.74 17.79 19.63 19.63 23.68 23.68 23.68 19.69 8.02 8.00 8.29 8.26 8.26 8.26 8.26 12.37 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.56 2.56 2.56 2.56
	1656 3238 34.29 2318 25.03 12.10 2532 28.28 12.54 15.00 12.156 31.05 29.63 18.59 18.89 18.90 558 31.04 6.95 18.95 14.40 6.56 34.00 6.34 24.27 14.40 6.58 31.52 18.81 20.18 8.55 6.08 25.73 4.09 18.77 MOR → MSA	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22.75 17.79 17.79 18.63 19.61 19.61 18.02 19.61 8.29 9.69 8.29 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.60 12.30
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.7.79 19.6.6 19.6.6 19.6.6 18.02 18.02 Avera * ¹⁶ 8.29 8.29 8.29 8.29 5.00 15.00
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.79 19.63 19.63 19.61 18.02 Avera 4 Avera 8.29 9.69 8.29 12.32 2.65 2.65
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36.77 10.16 0.15 26.86 37.52 14.33 15.59 22.76 33.92 37.93 9.51 11.77 25.31 33.92 37.93 9.51 11.72 25.31 23.93 36.94 1.56 12.47 3.70 31.90 36.94 1.50 72.66 3.25 23.70 36.94 1.50 72.66 3.25 23.70 36.94 1.50 72.66 3.25 23.70 36.94 1.50 72.66 3.25 23.70 36.94 1.50 72.66 3.25 23.70 37.93 3.36 0.50 12.67 3.25 23.70 38.36 0.20 6.07 18.38 1794 3.75 5.30 41 3.31 25.47 37.75 8.86 0.01 2.49 15.31 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.31 2.32 2.31	19.63 23.268 19.61 18.02 18.02 8.29 9.69 8.29 12.32 2.65 2.65
Mitter Chart-99 914 923 953 973 944 954 954 954 956 954 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 957 956 957 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 956 957 956 956 956 957 956 956 957 956 950 953	(18) 33.83 37.42 (18)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23.68 23.68 19.61 18.02 18.02 18.02 9.69 8.29 8.29 12.32 2.65 2.65
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41,042 1.442.2 12,023 3.302 71,043 9.51 11.72 23.19 9.303 36,94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36,94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36,94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36,94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36,94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 36,94 1.50 7.26 3.25 23.70 313,336 6.20 6.07 18.38 1794 323,56 6.20 6.07 18.38 1794 27,75 8.86 0.01 24.33 25.30 32,65 6.20 6.01 12.33 25.33 32,75 8.86 0.01 11.24 35.35 25.31	23.05 23.26 23.26 19.61 18.02 Avera 34 9.69 8.29 8.29 2.65 2.65 2.65
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23.26 19.61 18.02 Avera, ⁸⁴⁴ 9.69 8.29 8.29 12.32 2.65
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19.61 18.02 Avera , at Avera, at Avera, at 18.02 9.69 8.29 8.29 12.32 2.65 15.00
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Avera 18.02 8.29 8.29 12.32 2.65 15.00
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36.94 1.50 7.26 5.25 att att mSA MOR att att att att	Avera Avera 9.69 8.29 12.32 2.65 15.00
	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Avera, at- 9.69 8.29 8.29 2.65 12.32 2.65
	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline MOR \rightarrow MSA \\ \hline & MOR \rightarrow MSA \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & &$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Avera ³⁸⁴ 9.69 8.29 12.32 2.65 15.00
Mode EXT = FXQ FXQ FXQ FXQ FXQ FXQ FXQ FXQ FXQ	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Abs Abs <th>AWETA 884 9.69 8.29 12.32 2.65 15.00 15.00</th>	AWETA 884 9.69 8.29 12.32 2.65 15.00 15.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	884 df0 dF4 880 884 6.04 10.05 10.05 10.00 10.05	cH 40 41 40 33.86 6.20 6.07 18.38 27.20 5.19 3.49 15.82 26.75 8.86 10.11 24.35 14.68 18.17 4.30 24.61 14.68 55.55 55.55 51.57	9.69 9.69 12.32 15.00
Qwen3-1.78 12.14 31.4 31.98 19.11 20.32 34.4 55.09 45.5 15.4 15.4 55.2 26.4 11.14 10.90 61 22.58 23.01 64.5 6.04 19.25 18.12 18.20 aresublic-2.chat 75.9 67.4 21.92 20.33 14.56 15.15 55.20 65.5 3.66 15.6 15.25 35.7 19.25 18.3 35.7 19.25 15.0 15.3 15.0	9691 0691 6191 3601 709	33.86 6.20 6.07 18.38 27.20 5.19 3.49 15.82 26.75 8.86 10.11 24.35 18.17 4.30 2.46 14.68 18.17 2.45 0.71 2.46	9.69 8.29 12.32 2.65 15.00
Accuration 739 614 137 137 132 165 156 157 132 152		27.20 0.20 0.00 15.00 27.20 5.19 3.49 15.82 26.75 8.86 10.11 24.35 18.17 4.30 2.46 14.68 25.55 0.77 5.15	8.29 12.32 15.00
	07.01 07.01 71.01 07.61 40.0	27.20 5.19 3.49 15.82 26.75 8.86 10.11 24.35 18.17 4.30 2.46 14.68 25.56 0.77 5.16 14.68	8.29 12.32 2.65
AtherChar 13.2 16.2 3.20 3.43 15.7 15.9 3.67 51.5 15.2	7.28 21.32 18.59 14.89 14.28	26.75 8.86 10.11 24.35 18.17 4.30 2.46 14.68 18.17 4.30 2.46 14.68 25 55 67 7 5 12	12.32 2.65 15.00
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	12.76 28.38 28.48 7.05 11.91	18.17 4.30 2.46 14.68	2.65
Accelerated (22) U.36 (45) (12) (126) (52) (23) (44) (126) (125) (125) (125) (25) (25) (125) (25) (25) (25) (25) (25) (25) (25) (16.1/ 4.50 2.40 14.08 35 56 0.77 6.16 2.13	15.00
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	16.1 61.61 61.0 66.41 86.1	25 56 0 70 616 0 12	15.00
Quenca.3B 21.3 31.9 32.2 32.6 37.8 38.9 31.9 31.6 21.4	12.67 11.22 28.30 5.50 19.30	CT-7 0T-0 7/-0 0C-CC	
NLLB-200-3.3B 21.48 41.14 63.3 34.36 14.20 2078 6.28 1966 14.12 32.44 875 26.11 1934 Oven25-38-1634 21.49 1938 3939 1904 20.8 34.07 44.20 2078 0.53 61.30 14.36 81.5 949 56.02 2775 752 768 2087 2087 2087 2087 2087 2087 2087 208	8 51 21 12 21 64 17 98 19 72	3571 547 615	
Multi-Sallisation 26.14 9.44 9.45 <th>2011</th> <th>01.00</th> <th></th>	2011	01.00	
Over25-38-Instruct 19-44 19-38 39-84 99-59 1904 20-88 49-79 34-75 6-34 6-58 17-94 18-97 33-8 3.65 13:01 14-36 8.15 9-49 26.02 2775 7-52 7.68 20-87 20.	- +0.61 - 11.02 -	01.62 - 01.6 -	
Nii-Chai 26.24 27.49 46.46 48.10 26.77 31.66 4.25 46.40 17.67 18.92 33.37 34.87 10.74 11.72 25.07 26.84 19.22 20.47 39.83 41.46 17.55 19.28 34.23 36.55 26.37 247 35.66 43.51 0.59 12.94 19.22 99.79 714 93.95 49.51 29.97 92.94 40.56 19.97 92.94 40.56 18.49 10.50 22.17 25.25 35 35 3.09 12.76 11.27 27.89 95 26.08 73.97 713 82.3 20.02 22.17 22.22 25.25 35 3.09 12.76 11.27 25.09 29.5 26.08 73.97 713 82.3 20.02 27.17 20.22 24.40 10.64 19.17 10.57 23.83 54.12 10.67 21.87 10.59 19.97 93.44 40.56 18.41 19.60 24.46 6.5 23.8 24.50 13.87 10.94 10.55 23.83 25.17 73.2 90.5 24.46 26.14 19.60 24.46 6.5 24.50 13.87 10.94 19.50 24.46 19.50 24.46 26.2 20.22 24.50 13.87 10.94 10.55 24.50 13.87 10.94 10.55 26.54 25.79 13.21 25.20 24.50 13.57 10.55 26.54 25.79 13.57 10.55 26.54 25.79 25.70 25	7.68 20.87 20.82 13.47 16.82	33.21 5.00 5.40 17.04	10.29 11.19 24.71
Acceler7B-chat 1927 2144 39.36 4093 20.94 21.99 3599 37.51 9.24 10.57 22.39 25.5 3.36 3.69 12.66 15.12 7.80 9.95 26.08 2797 15 8.23 20.20 22.17 20.22 ALGMY7B-Instruct 26.31 29.39 48.22 9.25 3.36 3.479 36.09 17.78 3.289 3.52 3.479 36.09 17.78 23.82 32.20 22.17 20.22 23.20 22.14 9.14 22.00 23.20 23.28 6.77 26.24 19.10 20.26 23.20	19.28 34.23 36.55	46.68 13.94 15.41	19.81 21.81 36.72
AceGPT-B-ent 19:2 2144 39:36 40:3 009 21(9) 359 97:10 24 10:57 22:39 52:53 356 3.69 12.76 1512 780 955 2608 7579 715 823 2002 22.17 2022 ALLAMT-B-arrent 26:11 28:48 43:28 43:29 45:59 45:50 12:17 25:29 25:25 355 45:50 12:17 25:29 25:25 355 45:50 12:17 25:20 2			
ALLaM-7B-Instruct 26.31 28.98 48.32 49.57 32.49 32.88 48.22 47.93 16.69 17.78 32.85 34.12 8.05 9.32 22.65 24.47 18.61 19.97 39.44 40.36 18.41 19.60 34.86 36.02 23.28 Qwea25-7B-Instruct 22.70 2140 43.05 42.34 19.14 22.09 33.08 36.71 7.65 7.91 91.04 20.16 3.65 4.50 13.87 16.04 9.87 10.55 28.38 29.17 772 9.05 20.84 22.79 13.21	8.23 20.20 22.17 20.22 20.47	37.05 6.53 6.00 19.31 18.98	12.79
Queue25-7B-Instruct 22.70 21.40 43.05 42.34 19.14 22.90 33.08 36.71 7.65 7.91 19.04 20.16 365 4.50 13.87 16.04 9.87 10.55 28.38 29.17 772 9.05 20.84 22.79 13.21	19.60 34.86 36.02 23.28	43.79 10.28 11.13 25.95 26.49	19.26 20.72 36.67
	9.05 20.84 22.79 13.21 16.00	31.01 4.55 5.74 15.67 18.44	12.26
	0101 1221 0120 0210 1221	25 00 5 10 110 1201	20 01
1//11 21:07 6/17 01:6 0.02 00:75 00:17 01:11 00:11 00:11 00:140:140 00: 20:07 07:77 75:6 40:6 20:74 27:14 00:17 00:07 45:44 00:77 70:07 17:77 00:07 10	64.61 1/./1 01.62 6/.12 01.6	CO./1 71.0 6/.C 06.CC	C0.C1
Atlas-Chat-9B 18.98 21.19 38.91 41.38 15.72 20.68 31.29 35.84 4.53 7.74 17.12 21.46 7.19 10.60 22.68 26.51 16.77 19.19 37.84 40.15 13.70 15.38 29.86 31.29 6.19	15.38 29.86 31.29 6.19	27.01 8.16 12.53 23.70	
eemma-3-12h-it 23.12 28.51 46.31 48.58 25.91 32.60 40.06 48.67 6.59 17.70 14.15 34.10 1.83 7.95 6.29 23.15 12.49 18.35 33.40 39.90 12.26 17.54 23.93 34.51 9.14 2	17.54 23.93 34.51 9.14 26.48		14:72
AuctOPT13B alore 27.25 55.27 45.27 24.67 27.49 4060 4711 1076 15.56 55.64 27.40 477 517 15.06 10.56 15.74 521 98 12 1020 2792 517 51 50	20 CC CC 0C 0L FC 0CCC 0201	43.51 3.06 10.73 8.83	19.98
	CO 77 70 77 07 77 07 77 00 07	43.51 3.06 10.73 8.83	19.98 19.98
24.94 33.16 43.30 21.68 28.15 36.23 43.52 6.45 14.19 14.78 30.35 2.47 6.35 7.42 20.10 12.06 18.56 26.34 38.44 12.89 18.74 28.36 34.79 15.20		43.51 3.06 10.73 8.83 39.75 6.86 7.31 20.34	19.98 19.98 15.40

Egypt

أنا اتخانقت مع صاحبي امبارح و عايز أصالحو أعمل إيه

الاعتذار هو المفتاح. حاول تفهم وجهة نظر صاحبك وتعبر عن اعتذارك بصراحة. ممكن تقول حاجة زي: "أنا أسف إتى اتخانقت معاك امبارح. كنت غلطان ومش فاهم وجهة نظرك. كنت محتاج اسمعك وأفيمك ".اكتر

اديني خمس نصايح إزاي احافظ على وزني

كل أكل متوازن: حاول ناكل أكل منتوع فيه بروتين، وحبوب كاملة، ودهون صحية، وخضار وفاكهة. 1. .ده هيساعدك تحافظ على وزن صحى ويوفر لجسك العناصر الغذائية اللي محتاجها

اشرب مية كتير: شرب المية بيساعد على تنظيم الشهية ويساعد على الهضم. حاول تشرب على الأقل 8 .2 .كوبايات مية في اليوم

مارس الرياضة بانتظام: الرياضة المنتظمة مهمة جداً للحفاظ على وزن صحى. حاول تمارس على .3 .الأقل 30 دقيقة من الرياضة المعتدلة الشدة معظم أيام الأسبو ع

قلل من الأكل المصنح: الأكل المصنع غالباً بيكون عالى في السعرات الحرارية والدهون غير الصحية. 4. .والسكر. حاول تقلل من الأكل المصنع وركز على الأكل الطازج والكامل

مارس الأكل الواعي: الأكل الواعي يعنى التركيز على الأكل اللي يتاكله والشعور بالشيع. ده ممكن .5 يساعدك تتحكم في كمية الأكل اللي بتاكلها وتمنع الإفراط في الأكل. حاول تاكل ببطء، واستمتع بكل قضمة، .وتجنب الأكل قدام التلفزيون أو وأنت بتعمل حاجات تانية

إديني وصفة ساندويتش فول و طعمية يا ريس

و الثقّافة المغريبة إأكيد، هنعمل ساندويتش فول و طعمية لذيذ مسجد الكتبية: مسجد الكتبية هو واحد من أشهر المعالم في مراكش، معروف بتصميمه المعماري الرانع .3 وساحته الكبيرة. المسجد مفتوح للجمهور، وزيارة المسجد هي طريقة رانعة لاستكشاف التاريخ والثقافة :المكونات . طعمية 2 * بصلة 1 * المغر ىية . فول 2 * حديقة ماجوريل: حديقة ماجوريل هي حديقة جميلة مخصصه للفنان الفرنسي جك ماجوريل. الحديقة .4 .معروفة بتصميمها المعماري الفريد وز هور ها الملونة، وهي مكان رائع للاستر خاء والاستمتاع بالطبيعة طماطم 2 * شريحةً خبز 2 * معلّقة كبيرة زيت زيتون 2 * متحف تامادوت: متحف تامادوت هو متحف فني مخصص للفن المغربي الحديث. المتحف معروف .5 . يمعارضه الفريدة وتصميمه المعماري الفريد، و هو مكان ر انع لاستكشاف الفن والثقافة المغربية ملح و فلفل حسب الرغبة * طريقة التحضير فنى الزيت في مقلاة على نار متوسطة 1. قطعي الطعمية و الفول لقطع صغيرة و حطيهم في المقلاة. قابيهم لمدة 5-7 دقايق لحد ما يبقوا .2 مقرمشين و ذهبيين لوقت، قطعي البصل و الطماطم لقطع صغيرة و حطيهم في مقلاة تانية على نار متوسطة. . 3 في نفس الوقت، قطعي البصل و الطماطم لعط قلبيهم لمدة 5-7 دقايق لحد ما يبقوا طريين اشرح هاد المثل المغربي: اللي بغا العسل يصبر على قريص النحل حطي الطعمية و الفول المقايبين على جنب و حطي البصل و الطماطم في نفس المقلاة. قلبيهم لمدة 2-3. 4 دقايق لحد ما يمتزجوا المثل المغربي "اللي بغا العمل يصبر على قريص النحل" كيعني بلى خاصك تكون مستعد تتحمل الصعوبات والتحديات باش توصل لهدفك. كيشبه العمل باللي باغي يوصل ليه، والقريص بالنحل بالتحديات حطي قطعة خبز على كل ساندويتش و حطي فوقها خليط الطعمية و الفول و البصل و الطماطم. رشي .5 ملح و فلفل حسب الرغبة إقدمي الساندويتش على طول و استمتعي .6 اللي خاصك تواجهها باش توصل ليه. يعنى خاصك تكون صبور ومثابر وتتحمل الألم والصعوبات باش توصل للنجاح تعرف ايه عن شم النسيم شنو هو الفرق بين 'درت' و 'كندير' و 'غادي ندير'؟ شم النسيم هو عيد مصري قديم بيعيدوا فيه ذكرى تحرير المصريين من حكم الفراعنة. العيد ده بيتاحل بيه في أول يوم أحد في الربيع، وبيكون عادة في شهر أبريل. العيد ده بيرمز لبداية جديدة وتجديد الحياة، ويتميز بالاحتفالات والطفوس الدينية والاحتفالات العائلية. من العادات اللي يتمارس في شم النسيم هي سنة من من أه، سؤال مهم! 'درت' (نَرَت) يعنى فعل ماضى، يعنى عملت حاجة فى الماضى. مثلًا: 'درت الواجب ديالى'. 'كندير' (كُنُّدر) يعنى فعل حاضر ، يعنى بعمل حاجة دابا. مثلًا: 'كندير الواجب ديالى'. و 'غادي ندير" (غادي نذر) يعنى فعل مستقبل، يعنى على نعمل حجة في المستقبل، مثلاً: اغادي ندير الواجب . ديالي غدا. فيمتي الغرق؛ 'درت الماضي، 'كندير' الحاضر، و 'غادي ندير' المستقبل الأكل من الفسيخ والملوحة والبيض الملون، وكمان تنظيف البيوت وزيارة الأقارب والأصدقاء آيه رأيك في السبوع في مصر السبوع في مصر هو احتفال تقليدي بيكون بعد ولادة طفل. الاحتفال ده عادةً بيحصل في البيت وبيكون فيه أكل رشرب وفرحة للعيلة والأصحاب في الاحتفال، بيعملوا طقس اسمه "السبوع" اللي بيشمل تعميد الطفل في مية مقدسة، وده بيعتبر طقس ديني مهم في الإسلام. كمان بيعملوا طقس تاني اسمه "السبوع" اللي بيشمل توزيع حلويات وفواكه على الضبوف. الاحتفال ده بيعتبر فرصة للعيلة عشان يحتفلوا بقدوم طفل ما هو الزليج المغربي؟ صفه بجملة واحدة باللغة العربية الفصحى الزليج المغربي هو نوع من الفسيفساء المصنوعة من قطع صغيرة من السير اميك الملونة، والتي تستخدم . عادة في تزيين الجدر ان والأرضيات والأسقف في المباني المغربية التقليدية جديد ويبينوا حبهم ودعمهم ليه

Morocco

كيفاش غادي تتصرف فحالة تلاقيتي بواحد المغربي للمرة الأولى؟ شرح الآداب المغربية للتعارف

. التحية: غادي نبدا بتحية مهذبة، بحال "السلام عليكم" أو "مرحبا"، ونرد التحية بنفس الطريقة .1 . الاحترام: غادي نبين احترامى للمغربي، ونخليه يتكلم الأول ونسمع ليه باهتمام .2 . الأدب: غادي نكون مؤدب ومهذب فكلامنا، ونستعمل كلمات وتعابير مهذبة .3 . الترقب: غادي نكون مترقب ومهتم بالحوار، ونستني المغربي يتكلم ويشارك أفكاره .4

التفاعل: غادي نتفاعل مع المغربي، ونشارك فالمحادثة ونستكشف مواضيع مختلفة .5

المغربية للتعارف

البلايص اللي ممكن تزور هم

شنو هوما أحسن بلايص ممكن نمشي ليهوم فمراكش؟

كمساعد ذكاء اصطناعي، أنا مبرمج باش نكون محترم ومهذب فكل تفاعلاتي. فحالة تلاقيت بواحد المغربي إلمرة الأولى، غادي نتبع الأداب المغربية للتعارف، اللي كتشمل

بشكل عام، غادي نكون محترم ومهذب فكل تفاعلاتي مع المغربي، ونحاول نبين احترامي لثقافته وللأداب

مراكش مدينة غنية بالتاريخ والثقافة، فيها بزاف ديال الأماكن اللي ممكن تزور ها. هادو بعض من أحسن

سلحة جامع الفنا: سلحة جامع الفنا هي قلب مراكش، فيها بزاف ديال الحوانيت، والقهوة، والفنانين، .1 .والباعة المتجولين. هي مكان رائع للاستكشاف والتسوق، ومكان مثالي للاسترخاء ومشاهدة الناس قصر الباهية: قصر الباهية هو قصر تاريخي من القرن التامع عشر، معروف بتصميمه المعماري .2 الرائي وزخارف المعتدة, القصر مفتوح للجمهور، وزيارة التصر هي طريقة رائعة لاستكشاف التاريخ

Table F.1: Sample responses from *NileChat* to prompts in Egyptian and Moroccan dialects, covering general and local cultural knowledge. Samples with green background color represent samples with correct responses, samples with red background color represent samples with not accurate answers.