# ADAPTIVE EXPONENTIAL DECAY RATES FOR ADAM

#### Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

#### ABSTRACT

Adam and its variants, including AdaBound, AdamW, and AdaBelief, have gained widespread popularity for enhancing the learning speed and generalization performance of deep neural networks. This optimization technique adjusts weight vectors by utilizing predetermined exponential decay rates (i.e., $\beta_1 = 0.9$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.999$ ) based on the first moment estimate and the second raw moment estimate of the gradient. However, the default exponential decay rates might not be optimal, and the process of tuning them through trial and error with experience proves to be time-consuming. In this paper, we introduce AdamE, a novel variant of Adam designed to automatically leverage dynamic exponential decay rates on the first moment estimate and the second raw moment estimate of the gradient. Additionally, we provide theoretical proof of the convergence of AdamE in both convex and non-convex cases. To validate our claims, we perform experiments across various neural network architectures and tasks. Comparative analyses with adaptive methods utilizing default exponential decay rates reveal that AdamE consistently achieves rapid convergence and high accuracy in language modeling, node classification, and graph clustering tasks.

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

**028 029 030 031 032 033 034** Adam [Kingma & Ba](#page-10-0) [\(2014\)](#page-10-0), a widely adopted stochastic optimization method, has been applied across various domains in recent years, including object detection [Kim et al.](#page-10-1) [\(2022\)](#page-10-1), natural language processing [Gu et al.](#page-9-0) [\(2022\)](#page-9-0), and node classification [Meng et al.](#page-10-2) [\(2021\)](#page-10-2). Despite its widespread use, Adam's performance in training deep neural networks (DNNs) can be sensitive to improper learning rates, whether too large or too small. To address this issue, several improved variants have been proposed, such as AdaBound [Luo et al.](#page-10-3) [\(2019\)](#page-10-3), RAdam [Liu et al.](#page-10-4) [\(2019\)](#page-10-4), Padam [Chen et al.](#page-9-1) [\(2018\)](#page-9-1), AdamW [Loshchilov & Hutter](#page-10-5) [\(2017\)](#page-10-5), and AdaBelief [Zhuang et al.](#page-10-6) [\(2020\)](#page-10-6).

**035 036 037 038** Building on the principles of adaptive optimization, we introduce a novel technique, AdamE, which incorporates adaptive exponential decay rates. This approach dynamically adjusts the decay rates for both the first and second moments of the gradient. The primary contributions of our study are as follows:

- Introduction of AdamE: We propose a new optimization algorithm, AdamE, designed for DNNs. Compared to the standard Adam optimizer and other mainstream methods, AdamE exhibits superior adaptability to current gradient values, resulting in faster convergence and improved model stability.
	- Theoretical analysis of AdamE: We provide a detailed theoretical analysis of AdamE's convergence properties in both convex and non-convex stochastic optimization settings, demonstrating its enhanced convergence behavior.
	- Experimental validation: The effectiveness of AdamE is validated through experiments on established datasets, including WikiText-2, BBBP, Cora, Citeseer, and DBLP. Extensive experimental results show that AdamE achieves state-of-the-art performance.

## 2 MOTIVATION

**053** Adam and its variants share a key feature: they rely on the first and second raw moment estimates of the gradient to optimize weight vectors, using default exponential decay rates (e.g.,  $\beta_1 = 0.9$ , **054 055 056 057**  $\beta_2 = 0.999$ ). However, there is limited research on how varying these decay rate settings affects Adam's convergence, generalization, and stability. In this study, we explore the effects of different combinations of exponential decay rates  $(\beta_1 \in \{0.5, 0.7, 0.9\}$  and  $\beta_2 \in \{0.9, 0.95, 0.999\})$  on Adam's performance in terms of these three aspects. The experimental findings are presented below:

**058 059 060 061 062** A commonly used quadratic function is employed to assess the impact of different exponential decay rate settings on the convergence and stability of Adam. The function is defined as  $f(x) =$  $(x-1)^2+2$ , with a search domain of  $-5 \le x \le 7$ . The global minimum of the quadratic function is at  $\tilde{x} = 1$ ,  $f(\tilde{x}) = 2$ . The learning rate and number of iterations for Adam with different  $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ are set to 0.8 and 200 respectively.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

**074 075 076 077 078 079** As depicted in Fig. [1\(a\),](#page-1-0) as the  $\beta_1$  of Adam increasing (with  $\beta_2$  fixed at 0.999), the overshoot in function optimization for Adam gradually increase, and the convergence speed gradually decreases. The fluctuating range of the function optimization curve is higher when  $\beta_1 = 0.9$ . In comparison with Fig. [1\(a\),](#page-1-0) the result of Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-1-1) is different, the fluctuating range of function optimization curve gradually decreases with an increase in  $\beta_2$  of Adam (with  $\beta_1$  fixed at 0.9). The optimal function optimization results for Adam can be observed when  $\beta_1 = 0.5$  and  $\beta_2 = 0.999$ .

**080 081 082 083 084** The experimental outcomes for Adam, considering different ( $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ) combinations, across tasks such as quadratic function, emphasize the critical role of appropriately setting  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  for Adam based on specific tasks in training DNNs. In this paper, we introduce a novel variant of Adam, termed Adam with dynamic exponential decay rate (AdamE), which straightforwardly computes  $\beta_1$ and  $\beta_2$  of Adam based on iterations.

## 3 ALGORITHM

**087 088** 3.1 DETAILS OF ADAME

**085 086**

> The pseudo-code for AdamE is presented in Algorithm 1. In comparison to Adam, AdamE exhibits the capability to dynamically adjust the exponential decay rates of the first moment estimate  $(\alpha)$  and the second raw moment estimate  $(\beta)$  based on the timestep. Essentially, AdamE flexibly adapts its step size in accordance with the exponential decay rates of the first moment estimate and the second raw moment estimate, all without the need for bias correction.

Algorithm 1 AdamE

**Input:**  $\theta_0$  is initial parameter vector, the good default settings are  $\lambda = 0.01$ ,  $\varepsilon = 10^{-8}$ ,  $d_0 = 0$ ,  $s_0 = 0$  and  $q = 0$ . **Output:** The parameters  $\theta_T$  of the model. for  $q = 1$ ;  $q \leq T$  do  $g_q = \nabla_\theta \overline{f}_q(\theta_{q-1})$ ; (Get gradients of stochastic objective function at qth epoch)  $\alpha_q = \frac{q}{1+q^2}$ ; (Calculate exponential decay rate of first moment estimate)  $= 1 - \alpha_q$ ; (Calculate exponential decay rate of second raw moment estimate)  $d_q = \alpha_q * d_{q-1} + (1 - \alpha_q) * g_q$ ; (Calculate first moment estimate)  $s_q = \beta_q * s_{q-1} + (1 - \beta_q) * g_q^2$ ; (Calculate second raw moment estimate)  $\theta_q = \theta_{q-1} - \lambda * \frac{dq}{\sqrt{sq} + \varepsilon}$  (Update parameters of model) end for

#### 3.2 NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

**107** To assess the efficacy of AdamE, depicted in Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-1-0) and Fig. [1\(b\),](#page-1-1) an experiment involving quadratic function fitting was conducted using AdamE. The outcomes, illustrated in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-2-0) and

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

<span id="page-2-1"></span> Fig. [2\(b\),](#page-2-1) reveal that the overshooting issue inherent in optimization methods can be mitigated by adaptively adjusting the step. This adjustment is based on the exponential decay rates of the first moment estimate and the second raw moment estimate, performed without bias correction, while maintaining the same initial learning rate.

 Furthermore, the individual learning rates for different parameters in DNNs can be dynamically computed based on the estimates of the second moments of gradients, expressed as  $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{v_t}}$ . Demon-strated in Fig. [3\(a\)](#page-2-2) and Fig. [3\(b\),](#page-2-3) an increase in  $\beta_2$  in Adam leads to a gradual decrease in the value of  $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{v_t}}$ , highlighting that the critical factor influencing the extremes of large and small learning rates in Adam is the setting of  $\beta_2$ . Addressing this, AdamE, which incorporates a dynamic exponential decay rate, is introduced to tackle the issue, as evidenced in Fig. [3\(b\).](#page-2-3)

 Moreover, as depicted in Fig. [4\(a\)](#page-2-4) and Fig. [4\(b\),](#page-2-5) subsequent experiments indicate that Adam exhibits reduced fluctuations by lowering the learning rate. In comparison, AdamE proves adept at overcoming the overshooting problem; however, its convergence speed gradually diminishes with decreasing learning rates. Consequently, future investigations are directed towards enhancing the

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>

<span id="page-2-5"></span>ADAM IN THE CONVEX CASE

 To demonstrate the improvements AdamE offers over Adam in terms of convergence, we analyze the convergence behavior of AdamE in the convex setting, as detailed below.

**162 163 164 165 166 167 Theorem 1.1** [Kingma & Ba](#page-10-0) [\(2014\)](#page-10-0): Assuming that the function  $f_t$  has bounded gradients,  $\|\nabla f_t(\theta_t)\|_2 \leq G$ ,  $\|\nabla f_t(\theta_t)\|_{\infty} \leq G_{\infty}$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and distance between any  $\theta_t$  generated by Adam is bounded as  $\|\theta_n - \theta_m\|_2 \leq D$ ,  $\|\theta_n - \theta_m\|_{\infty} \leq D_{\infty}$  for any  $m, n \in 1, ..., T$ , and  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2 \in [0,1)$  satisfy  $\frac{\beta_1^2}{\sqrt{\beta_2}} < 1$ . Let  $\alpha_t = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{t}}$  and  $\beta_{1,t} = \beta_1 \lambda^{t-1}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ . Adam achieves the following guarantee, for all  $T \geq 1$ .

$$
R(T) = \frac{D^2}{2\alpha(1-\beta_1)} \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{T \hat{v}_{T,i}} + \frac{\alpha(\beta_1+1)G_\infty \sum_{i=1}^d ||g_{1:T,i}||_2}{(1-\beta_1)\sqrt{1-\beta_2}(1-\gamma)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{D_\infty^2 G_\infty \sqrt{1-\beta_2}}{2\alpha(1-\beta_1)(1-\lambda)^2} (1)
$$

According to literature [Kingma & Ba](#page-10-0) [\(2014\)](#page-10-0), we have

$$
F_{t+1} = \frac{\sqrt{\hat{v}_{t+1}}}{\tau_{t+1}} - \frac{\sqrt{\hat{v}_{t}}}{\tau_{t}} > \frac{\sqrt{\beta_2 \hat{v}_{t}}}{\tau_{t+1}} - \frac{\sqrt{\hat{v}_{t}}}{\tau_{t}} > \frac{\sqrt{\hat{v}_{t}}}{\tau} (\sqrt{\beta_2 (t+1)} - \sqrt{t})
$$
(2)

The core of convergence of Adam is  $F_{t+1} = \frac{\sqrt{v_{t+1}}}{T_{t+1}}$  $\frac{\sqrt{v_{t+1}}}{\tau_{t+1}} - \frac{\sqrt{v_t}}{\tau_t}$  $\frac{\partial v_t}{\partial t} > 0$ , which  $v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2 =$  $(1-\beta_2)\sum_{i=1}^t \beta_2^{t-i} g_i^2$  is the second moment estimate of Adam, and  $\tau_t = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{t}}$  ( $\tau$  is the initial learning rate of Adam). However, according to Theorem 1.1, if  $0 < \beta_2 < \frac{t}{t+1} < 1$ , and  $\beta_2(t+1) < t$ , so it is hard to illustrate  $F_{t+1} = \frac{\sqrt{v_{t+1}}}{\tau_{t+1}}$  $\frac{\sqrt{v_{t+1}}}{\tau_{t+1}} - \frac{\sqrt{v_t}}{\tau_t}$  $\frac{\sqrt{v_t}}{\tau_t} > 0$ . However, when  $\frac{t}{t+1} < \beta_2 < 1$  and  $\beta_2(t+1) > t$ , it ensures that  $F_{t+1} = \frac{\sqrt{v_{t+1}}}{T_{t+1}}$  $\frac{\sqrt{v_{t+1}}}{\tau_{t+1}} - \frac{\sqrt{v_t}}{\tau_t}$  $\frac{dv_t}{\tau_t} > 0$ , so the value of  $\beta_2$  should be as close as possible to 1, such as  $\beta_2 = 0.999$ .

**185** According to Algorithm 1, we have

$$
H_{t+1} = \frac{\sqrt{s_{t+1}}}{\tau_{t+1}} - \frac{\sqrt{s_t}}{\tau_t} > \frac{\sqrt{\beta_{t+1} s_t}}{\tau_{t+1}} - \frac{\sqrt{s_t}}{\tau_t} > \frac{\sqrt{s_t}}{\tau} (\sqrt{\beta_{t+1}(t+1)} - \sqrt{t})
$$
(3)

Owing to

**212**

**215**

$$
\sqrt{\beta_{t+1}(t+1)} - \sqrt{t} = \sqrt{(1 - \alpha_{t+1})(t+1)} - \sqrt{t} = \sqrt{(t+1) - \frac{(t+1)^2}{(t+1)^2 + 1}} - \sqrt{t} > 0 \tag{4}
$$

Since  $H_{t+1} > 0$ , AdamE exhibits the capability to improve the convergence of Adam for convex scenarios.

#### 4 CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS FOR CONVEX CASE

The regret is defined as

$$
R(T) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} [f_t(\theta_t) - f_t(\theta^*)]
$$
\n(5)

where  $\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta \in \chi} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\theta_t)$ . Some definitions of mathematical symbols are represented as  $g_t = \nabla f_t(\theta_t)$ ,  $g_{t,i}$  is the  $i^{th}$  element,  $g_{1:t,i} \in \mathbb{R}^t$  is a vector which includes the  $i^{th}$  dimension of gradients  $g_t$  over all iterations till t as  $g_{1:t,i} = [g_{1,i}, g_{2,i}, ..., g_{t,i}].$ 

Online learning framework [Kingma & Ba](#page-10-0) [\(2014\)](#page-10-0) is applied in convergence analysis of AdamE for convex case, and we prove that AdamE has a regret bound using the following theorems.

**Lemma 2.1**: If a function 
$$
f : R^d \to R
$$
 is convex, then for all  $x, y \in R^d$ ,  
\n
$$
f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x)
$$
\n(6)

**213 214 Theorem 2.1**: Let  $g_t = \nabla f_t(\theta_t)$  and  $g_{1:t}$  are defined as bounded and above,  $||g_t||_2 \leq G_2$ . Then,

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} < \frac{16\sqrt{T}}{(\sqrt{8}-1)^2} \|g_{1:T,i}\|_2 \tag{7}
$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1*. According to Algorithm 1, we have

$$
m_{t,i} = \alpha_t m_{t-1,i} + (1 - \alpha_t) g_{t,i} = \sum_{i=1}^t (1 - \alpha_i) g_{t,i} \prod_{k=i+1}^t \alpha_k
$$
\n(8)

$$
v_{t,i} = \beta_t v_{t-1,i} + (1 - \beta_t) g_{t,i}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^t (1 - \beta_i) g_{t,i}^2 \prod_{k=i+1}^t \beta_k
$$
(9)

Owing to  $\alpha_t = \frac{t}{1+t^2}$  and  $\beta_t = 1 - \alpha_t$ , there are  $\prod_{k=i+1}^t \alpha_k = \prod_{k=i+1}^t \frac{k}{1+k^2} \leq 2^{i-t}$  and  $\sqrt{\prod_{k=i+1}^{t} \beta_k} = \sqrt{\prod_{k=i+1}^{t} (1-\alpha_k)} \ge \sqrt{(\frac{1}{2})^{t-i}} = 2^{\frac{i-t}{2}}.$ 

We expand the last term in the summation of Algorithm 1,

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} + \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{T} (1 - \alpha_j) g_{j,i} \prod_{k=j+1}^{T} \alpha_k)^2}{\sqrt{T \sum_{j=1}^{T} (1 - \beta_j) g_{j,i}^2 \prod_{k=j+1}^{T} \beta_k}} < \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} + \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{T} (1 - \alpha_j) g_{j,i} 2^{j-T})^2}{\sqrt{T \sum_{j=1}^{T} (1 - \beta_j) g_{j,i}^2 2^{j-T}}} \n< \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} + \sum_{j=1}^{T} \frac{T((1 - \alpha_j) g_{j,i} 2^{j-T})^2}{\sqrt{T \sum_{j=1}^{T} (1 - \beta_j) g_{j,i}^2 2^{j-T}}} < \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} + \sum_{j=1}^{T} \frac{T((1 - \alpha_j) g_{j,i} 2^{j-T})^2}{\sqrt{T(1 - \beta_j) g_{j,i}^2 2^{j-T}}} \n< \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} + \sum_{j=1}^{T} \sqrt{T} \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \alpha_j)^2}{\alpha_j}} \frac{2^{2j-2T}}{\sqrt{2^{j-T}}} \frac{g_{j,i}^2}{\sqrt{g_{j,i}^2}} < \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} + \sum_{j=1}^{T} \sqrt{T} (\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_j}} - \sqrt{\alpha_j}) (2\sqrt{2})^{j-T} \|g_{j,i}\|_2 \n< \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} + \sqrt{T} \sum_{j=1}^{T} \frac{(2\sqrt{2})^{j-T}}{\sqrt{\alpha_j}} \|g_{j,i}\|_2.
$$
\n(10)

So we get that

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} < \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\|g_{t,i}\|_2}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \sum_{k=0}^{T-t} \sqrt{t} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)^k. \tag{11}
$$

For  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} < 1$ , using the upper bound on the arithmetic-geometric series,

$$
\sum_{t} \sqrt{t} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)^t < \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}})^2} = \frac{8}{(\sqrt{8} - 1)^2},\tag{12}
$$

then, we have

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} < \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\|g_{t,i}\|_2}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \sum_{k=0}^{T-t} \sqrt{t} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)^k < \frac{8}{(\sqrt{8}-1)^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\|g_{t,i}\|_2}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \\
&< \frac{16}{(\sqrt{8}-1)^2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sqrt{t} \|g_{t,i}\|_2 < \frac{16\sqrt{T}}{(\sqrt{8}-1)^2} \|g_{1:T,i}\|_2. \tag{13}
$$

**Theorem 2.2**: Given a cost function  $f_t$  with bounded gradients, which is  $||g_t||_2 = ||\nabla f_t(\theta_t)||_2 \le$  $G_2$ . For all  $\theta \in R^d$  and distance between any  $\theta_t$  generated by AdamE is bounded as  $\|\theta_n - \theta_m\|_2 \le$  $D_2$  for any  $m, n \in 1, ..., T$ . Let  $\lambda_t = \frac{\lambda}{t}$ , AdamE achieves the following guarantee, for all  $T \ge 1$ .

$$
R(T) \quad < \quad \frac{D_2^2}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{T v_{T,i}} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{16\sqrt{T}}{(\sqrt{8}-1)^2} \|g_{1:T,i}\|_2 + D_2 \sum_{i=1}^d m_{T-1,i} \tag{14}
$$

**263 264 265**

**266 267** It is shown that the regret of AdamE is upper bounded by  $O($ √  $T$ ), which is similar to Adam and its variants.

**268 269** *Proof of Theorem 2.2*. According to Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
f_t(\theta_t) - f_t(\theta^*) \leq g_t^T(\theta_t - \theta^*).
$$
\n(15)

**216 217 218**

**271 272** According to Algorithm 1:

 $(\theta_{t+1})$ 

$$
\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \lambda_t \frac{m_t}{\sqrt{v_t}} = \theta_t - \lambda_t \left( \frac{\alpha_t m_{t-1}}{\sqrt{v_t}} + \frac{(1 - \alpha_t) g_t}{\sqrt{v_t}} \right).
$$
\n(16)

The i<sup>th</sup> dimension of parameter vector  $\theta_t \in R^d$  is a particular focus of attention. Subtract  $\theta^*$  and square both side of above formula, we get

$$
(\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*)^2 = (\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^* - \lambda_t \frac{m_{t,i}}{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}})^2
$$
  
= 
$$
(\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*)^2 + \lambda_t^2 \left(\frac{m_{t,i}}{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}}\right)^2 - 2\lambda_t \left(\frac{\alpha_t m_{t-1,i}}{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}} + \frac{(1 - \alpha_t)g_{t,i}}{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}}\right)(\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*),
$$
 (17)

**282 283 284**

**270**

so we have 
$$
\sqrt{v_{t,i}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{t} (1 - \beta_i) g_{t,i}^2 \prod_{k=i+1}^t \beta_k} < ||g_{1:t,i}||_2
$$
.  
\n
$$
g_{t,i}(\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*) = \frac{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}}{2\lambda_t (1 - \alpha_t)} ((\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*)^2 - (\theta_{t+1,i} - \theta_i^*)^2) + \frac{\lambda_t}{2(1 - \alpha_t)} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}} + \frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t} m_{t-1,i} (\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*)
$$
\n
$$
< \frac{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}}{2\lambda_t (1 - \alpha_t)} (\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*)^2 + \frac{\lambda_t}{2(1 - \alpha_t)} \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}} + \frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t} m_{t-1,i} (\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c} 289 \\ 290 \\ 291 \end{array}
$$

 $\langle \frac{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}}{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}}$  $\frac{\partial \overline{v_{t,i}}}{\partial t}(\theta_{t,i}-\theta_i^*)^2 + \lambda_t \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{v_{t,i}}} + m_{t-1,i}(\theta_{t,i}-\theta_i^*)$  $\binom{*}{i}$ . (18)

 $i^*$ 

**292 293**

For the sequence of convex functions  $f_t(\theta_t)$  ( $t \in 1, ..., T$ ), the upper regret bound of  $f_t(\theta_t) - f_t(\theta^*)$ by summing across all dimension for  $i \in 1, ..., d$  can be obtained by using Lemma 2.1 to above inequality.

$$
R(T) < \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left( \frac{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}}{\lambda} (\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*)^2 + \lambda \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} + m_{t-1,i} (\theta_{t,i} - \theta_i^*) \right).
$$
 (19)

**302 303** According to Theorem 2.1,  $\sum_{t=1}^{T}$  $\frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{tv_{t,i}}} < \frac{16\sqrt{T}}{(\sqrt{8}-1)}$  $\frac{16\sqrt{T}}{(\sqrt{8}-1)^2} \|g_{1:T,i}\|_2$ , and  $\|\theta_n - \theta_m\|_2 \le D_2$ , we have:

$$
R(T) < \frac{D_2^2}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{T v_{T,i}} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{16\sqrt{T}}{(\sqrt{8}-1)^2} ||g_{1:T,i}||_2 + D_2 \sum_{i=1}^d m_{T-1,i}.
$$
 (20)

**304 305 306**

#### 5 CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS FOR NONCONVEX CASE

According to [Zhou et al.](#page-10-7) [\(2018\)](#page-10-7), we present theoretical results on the convergence of AdamE. The following stochastic nonconvex optimization problem will be further studied

$$
\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) := \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[f(x; \xi)],\tag{21}
$$

where  $\xi$  is a random variable satisfying certain distribution, and  $f(x;\xi) : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow R$  is a L-smooth nonconvex function.  $x_* \in \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) := \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[f(x;\xi)]$  exits.

**Lemma 3.1**:  $f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\xi}[f(x;\xi)]$  is L-smooth, which has a  $G_2$ -bounded stochastic gradient. That is, for any  $\xi$ , x and y  $(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$
\|\nabla f(x;\xi)\|_2 \le G_2,\tag{22}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c} 319 \\ 320 \\ 321 \end{array}
$$

**322**

 $|f(x) - f(y) - \langle \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle| \leq \frac{L}{2} ||x - y||_2^2$  $\frac{2}{2}$ . (23)

**323**  $m_t$  and  $v_t$  can be as defined in Algorithm 1. Then under Assumption 3.1, we have  $||v_t||_2 \leq G_2^2$  and  $||m_t||_2 \leq G_2.$ 

**349**

**324 325 326 327 Theorem 3.1**: According to Algorithm 1, let  $\alpha_t$  and  $\beta_t$  be the weight parameters such as  $\alpha_t = \frac{t}{1+t^2}$ ,  $\beta_t = 1 - \alpha_t$ ,  $m_t = \alpha_t m_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha_t) g_t$  and  $v_t = \beta_t v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_t) g_t^2$ ,  $\lambda_t$ ,  $t = 1, ..., T$  is the step sizes. Suppose that  $\lambda_t = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{\overline{t}}$ , then under Assumption 3.1, we have the following results:

$$
\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t; \xi)\|^2]}{T} < \frac{G_2}{\lambda \sqrt{T}} \left(\frac{G_2^2 d}{4L} + f(x_1; \xi) - f(x_*) + 2\lambda G_2^2 \left\|v_0^{-1/2}\right\|_2 + 4dL\lambda^2 (1 + \log T)\right) \tag{24}
$$

Theorem 3.1 implies the convergence rate of AdamE in the non-convex case is upper bounded by  $O(\log \frac{T}{\sqrt{2}})$  $_{\overline{T}}).$ 

*Proof of Theorem 3.1*. Let  $A_t = \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi)$  for  $t \ge 1$  and  $A_0 = A_1$ . Consider the definition of  $m_t$  and  $A_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\forall t = 1, ..., T$ . Then it follows that

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\langle A_t, g_t \rangle = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_t} \left( \langle A_t, m_t \rangle - \langle A_{t-1}, m_{t-1} \rangle \right) + \langle A_{t-1}, m_{t-1} \rangle + \frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t} \langle A_{t-1} - A_t, m_{t-1} \rangle \tag{25}
$$

We use  $A_t = \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi)$ . By summing [\(25\)](#page-6-0) over  $t = 1, ..., T$  and using the initial condition  $m_0 = 0$ , we get

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle A_t, g_t \rangle = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_t} \langle A_T, m_T \rangle + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \langle A_t, m_t \rangle + \frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle A_{t-1} - A_t, m_{t-1} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t} \langle A_T, m_T \rangle + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle A_t, m_t \rangle + \frac{\alpha_t}{1 - \alpha_t} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \langle A_t - A_{t+1}, m_t \rangle
$$
\n(26)

**345 346 347 348 350** For[\(26\)](#page-6-1), the goal is to derive bounds and calculate expectation to estimation  $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f(x_t;\xi)\|^2\right]$ . The intelligible idea is to calculate it by using  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \langle A_t, g_t \rangle \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi), g_t \rangle \right]$ . So we need a more suitable random variable to take place  $\langle A_t, g_t \rangle$  for taking conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}_t$ . Bound for  $\langle A_t, q_t \rangle$ 

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\langle A_t, g_t \rangle = \left\langle \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi), g_t \right\rangle
$$
  
=  $\left\langle \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi), g_t \right\rangle - \left\langle \nabla f(x_t; \xi), \left( \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} - \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \right) g_t \right\rangle.$  (27)

We set that  $\lambda_0 = \lambda = \lambda_1$  for simplifying derivations. Now for the last term in the right-hand side, by using Hölder's inequality, and  $\lambda_{t-1}v_{t-1,i} \geq \lambda_t v_{t,i}$  (note that for  $t = 1$ , this is still true), we have

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
\left\langle \nabla f(x_t; \xi), \left( \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} - \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \right) g_t \right\rangle < \|\nabla f(x_t; \xi)\|_2 \|\lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} - \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2}\|_2 \, \|g_t\|_2
$$
\n
$$
< G_2^2 \left( \|\lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2}\|_2 - \|\lambda_t v_t^{-1/2}\|_2 \right),\tag{28}
$$

Combining [\(27\)](#page-6-2) and [\(28\)](#page-6-3) yields

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
\langle A_t, g_t \rangle < \left\langle \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi), g_t \right\rangle - G_2^2 \left( \left\| \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \right\|_2 - \left\| \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \right\|_2 \right) \tag{29}
$$

Rearrange [\(29\)](#page-6-4), we have

<span id="page-6-5"></span>
$$
\left\langle \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi), g_t \right\rangle < \left\langle A_t, g_t \right\rangle + G_2^2 \left( \left\| \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \right\|_2 - \left\| \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \right\|_2 \right).
$$
 (30)

**370 371 372** It is obvious that the term  $\left\langle \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi), g_t \right\rangle$  is more suitable for calculating  $\mathbb{E}_t$ . The next step is to focus on the bound of  $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle A_t, g_t \rangle$  and consider each term separately.

373  
374 Bound for 
$$
\langle A_T, m_T \rangle
$$
: By Young's inequality,  $x_T - x_{T-1} = \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} m_T$ , and  $||\nabla f(x_T; \xi)||_2 \le G_2$ ,

$$
\langle A_T, m_T \rangle = \left\langle \nabla f(x_T; \xi), \lambda_T v_T^{-1/2} m_T \right\rangle < L \left\| \lambda_T v_T^{-1/2} m_T \right\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4L} \left\| \nabla f(x_T; \xi) \right\|_2^2 < L \left\| x_{T+1} - x_T \right\|_2^2 + \frac{G_2^2}{4L} \tag{31}
$$

**378 379** Bound for  $\langle A_t, m_t \rangle$ : By the update of  $x_{t+1}$ , we have

$$
\frac{1}{380}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c} 380 \\ 381 \\ 382 \end{array}
$$

$$
\langle A_t, m_t \rangle = \langle \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi), m_t \rangle = \langle \nabla f(x_t; \xi), \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} m_t \rangle
$$
  
=  $\langle \nabla f(x_t; \xi), x_t - x_{t+1} \rangle < f(x_t; \xi) - f(x_{t+1}; \xi) + \frac{L}{2} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||_2^2.$  (32)

Bound for  $\langle A_t - A_{t+1}, m_t \rangle$ 

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\langle A_{t} - A_{t+1}, m_{t} \rangle = \left\langle \lambda_{t} v_{t}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_{t}; \xi) - \lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_{t+1}; \xi), m_{t} \right\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left\langle \lambda_{t} v_{t}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_{t+1}; \xi) - \lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_{t+1}; \xi), m_{t} \right\rangle + \left\langle \lambda_{t} v_{t}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_{t}; \xi) - \lambda_{t} v_{t}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_{t+1}; \xi), m_{t} \right\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left\langle \nabla f(x_{t+1}; \xi), \left( \lambda_{t} v_{t}^{-1/2} - \lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1}^{-1/2} \right) m_{t} \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla f(x_{t}; \xi) - \nabla f(x_{t+1}; \xi), \lambda_{t} v_{t}^{-1/2} m_{t} \right\rangle
$$
\n(33)

#### For the first term of [\(33\)](#page-7-0), it uses similar derivation in [\(28\)](#page-6-3), then we get

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\left\langle \nabla f(x_{t+1};\xi), \left(\lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} - \lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1}^{-1/2}\right) m_t \right\rangle < \|\nabla f(x_{t+1};\xi)\|_2 \|\lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} - \lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1}^{-1/2}\|_2 \, \|m_t\|_2
$$
\n
$$
< \|\nabla f(x_{t+1};\xi)\|_2 \, \|\lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} - \lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1}^{-1/2}\|_2 \, \|g_t\|_2 < G_2^2 \left( \|\lambda_t v_t^{-1/2}\|_2 - \|\lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1}^{-1/2}\|_2 \right),
$$
\nwhere 
$$
\|m_t\|_2 < \|g_t\|_2 \text{ comes from } \|m_t\|_2 = \|\alpha_t m_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha_t)g_t\|_2 < \alpha_t \|m_{t-1}\|_2 + (1 - \alpha_t) \|g_t\|_2.
$$
\n(34)

## Because of  $f(x; \xi)$  is L-smooth, then the update rule of  $x_{t+1}$  as shown that

$$
\begin{array}{c} 400 \\ 401 \\ 402 \end{array}
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\left\langle \nabla f(x_t; \xi) - \nabla f(x_{t+1}; \xi), \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} m_t \right\rangle < \left\| \nabla f(x_t; \xi) - \nabla f(x_{t+1}; \xi) \right\|_2 \|\lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} m_t \|_2
$$
\n
$$
\left\langle L \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|_2 \|\lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} m_t \|_2 = L \|x_{t+1} - x_t\|_2^2. \right. \tag{35}
$$

Combining [\(34\)](#page-7-1) and [\(35\)](#page-7-2), we can derive

$$
\langle A_t - A_{t+1}, m_t \rangle < G_2^2 \left( \left\| \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} \right\|_2 - \left\| \lambda_{t+1} v_{t+1}^{-1/2} \right\|_2 \right) + \left\| x_{t+1} - x_t \right\|_2^2. \tag{36}
$$

We then get that

 $\frac{T}{\sqrt{1}}$ 

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle A_t, g_t \rangle \le \frac{G_2^2 d}{4L} + \left( f(x_1; \xi) - f(x_{T+1}; \xi) + \frac{L}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||_2^2 \right) + G_2^2 \left\| \lambda_1 v_1^{-1/2} \right\|_2 + L \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||_2^2
$$
\n
$$
< \frac{G_2^2 d}{4L} + (f(x_1; \xi) - f(x_*) + \lambda G_2^2 \left\| v_1^{-1/2} \right\|_2 + 2L \sum_{t=1}^{T} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||_2^2
$$
\n(37)

where the last inequality follows from  $f(x_{T+1}; \xi) \ge f(x_*)$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ .

Considering the last term of 
$$
(37)
$$
:

 $\alpha$ 

$$
\begin{array}{c} 418 \\ 419 \end{array}
$$

<span id="page-7-4"></span>
$$
2L\sum_{t=1}^{T} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||_2^2 = 2L\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| \lambda_t v_t^{-1/2} m_t \right\|_2^2 = 2L\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_t^2 \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{v_{t,i}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2L\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_t^2 \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{t} (1 - \alpha_j) g_{j,i} \prod_{k=j+1}^{t} \alpha_k)^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{t} (1 - \beta_j) g_{j,i}^2 \prod_{k=j+1}^{t} \beta_k} < 2L\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_t^2 \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{t} (1 - \alpha_j) g_{j,i} 2^{j-t})^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{t} (1 - \beta_j) g_{j,i}^2 2^{j-t}} \tag{38}
$$
  
\n
$$
< 2L\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{t} 2^{j-t} < 4dL\sum_{t=1}^{T} \lambda_t^2 < 4dL\lambda^2 (1 + \log T)
$$

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{T} \frac{1}{j} \leq 1 + \log T$  and  $\lambda_t = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{t}$ , the final inequality holds.

 $\epsilon \frac{G_2^2 d}{4L}$ 

Substituting [\(38\)](#page-7-4) into [\(37\)](#page-7-3) yields,

<span id="page-7-5"></span>
$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle A_t, g_t \rangle < \frac{G_2^2 d}{4L} + \left( f(x_1; \xi) - f(x_*) \right) + \lambda G_2^2 \left\| v_1^{-1/2} \right\|_2 + 4dL\lambda^2 (1 + \log T) \tag{39}
$$

 $\frac{d^{2}z}{4L} + f(x_{1}; \xi) - f(x_{*}) + \lambda G_{2}^{2} ||v_{0}^{-1/2}||_{2} + 4dL\lambda^{2}(1 + \log T)$ 

**430 431**

**432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450** where  $v_{0,i}^{-1/2} > v_{1,i}^{-1/2}$  and  $\lambda G_2^2 > 0$ . Now we sum the inequality from equation [\(30\)](#page-6-5) over  $t = 1, ...T$  and substitute [\(39\)](#page-7-5) into it, we obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^{T}$  $t=1$  $\left\langle \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \nabla f\left(x_{t} ; \xi\right), g_{t}\right\rangle < \sum^{T}$  $t=1$  $\langle A_t, g_t\rangle + \sum^T$  $t=1$  $G_{2}^{2} \left( \left\| \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \right\|_{2} - \left\| \lambda_{t} v_{t}^{-1/2} \right\|_{2} \right)$  $\setminus$  $\epsilon \frac{G_2^2 d}{4L}$  $\frac{G_2^2d}{4L}+f(x_1;\xi)-f(x_*)+\lambda G_2^2\left\|v_0^{-1/2}\right\|_2+4dL\lambda^2(1+\log T)+\sum_{t=1}^TG_2^2\left(\left\|\lambda_{t-1}v_{t-1}^{-1/2}\right\|_2-\left\|\lambda_{t}v_{t}^{-1/2}\right\|_2\right)$  $t=1$ λ  $=\frac{G_2^2d}{4L}$  $\frac{d^2\tilde{z}d}{dL}+f\left(x_1;\xi\right)-f\left(x_*\right)+\lambda G_2^2\left\|v_0^{-1/2}\right\|_2+4dL\lambda^2(1+\log T)+G_2^2\left(\left\|\lambda_0v_0^{-1/2}\right\|_2-\left\|\lambda_Tv_T^{-1/2}\right\|_2\right)$ λ (40) Using  $\lambda_0 = \lambda$  and  $\|\lambda_T v_T^{-1/2}\|$  $\|T^{1/2}\|_2 \ge 0$ , we have  $\frac{T}{\nabla}$ 1  $\left\langle \lambda_{t-1} v_{t-1}^{-1/2} \nabla f(x_t; \xi), g_t \right\rangle < \frac{G_2^2 d}{4L}$  $\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} + f(x_1;\xi) - f(x_*) + \lambda G_2^2 \left\| v_0^{-1/2} \right\|_2 + 4 dL \lambda^2 (1 + \log T) + G_2^2 \left( \left\| \lambda_0 v_0^{-1/2} \right\|_2 - \left\| \lambda_T v_T^{-1/2} \right\|_2 \right)$  $\lt \frac{G_2^2 d}{}$  $\frac{d^2\bar{B}}{dL}+f(x_1;\xi)-f(x_*)+\lambda G_2^2\left\|v_0^{-1/2}\right\|_2+4dL\lambda^2(1+\log T)+\lambda G_2^2\left\|v_0^{-1/2}\right\|_2.$  $=\frac{G_2^2d}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{d^2a}{dL} + f(x_1;\xi) - f(x_*) + 2\lambda G_2^2 \left\| v_0^{-1/2} \right\|_2 + 4dL\lambda^2 (1 + \log T)$ (41)

Since  $\mathbb{E}_t$  is conditioned on the history until selecting  $g_t$ ,  $v_{t-1}$  does not depend on  $g_t$ ,  $\|v_t\|_2 \leq G_2^2$ , and  $\mathbb{E}_{t}[g_t] = \nabla f(x_t; \xi)$ , we get

$$
\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\left\langle \lambda_{t-1}v_{t-1}^{-1/2}\nabla f\left(x_{t};\xi\right),g_{t}\right\rangle \right]=\left\langle \lambda_{t-1}v_{t-1}^{-1/2}\nabla f\left(x_{t};\xi\right),\nabla f\left(x_{t};\xi\right)\right\rangle =\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\lambda_{t-1}}{v_{t-1,i}^{1/2}}\left(\nabla f\left(x_{t};\xi\right)\right)_{i}^{2}<\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{T}G_{2}}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{t};\xi\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{42}
$$

Taking the full expectation above yields

$$
\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{T}G_2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \nabla f \left( x_t; \xi \right) \right\|_2^2 \right] < \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \alpha_{t-1} \hat{v}_{t-1}^{-1/2} \nabla f \left( x_t \right), \hat{g}_t \right\rangle \right] \\
&< \frac{G_2^2 d}{4L} + f \left( x_1; \xi \right) - f \left( x_* \right) + 2\lambda G_2^2 \left\| v_0^{-1/2} \right\|_2 + 4dL\lambda^2 (1 + \log T) \tag{43}
$$

Rearranging the above formula, we have

$$
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \nabla f \left( x_t; \xi \right) \right\|_2^2 \right] < \frac{G_2}{\lambda \sqrt{T}} \left( \frac{G_2^2 d}{4L} + f \left( x_1; \xi \right) - f \left( x_* \right) + 2\lambda G_2^2 \left\| v_0^{-1/2} \right\|_2 + 4dL\lambda^2 (1 + \log T)). \tag{44}
$$

#### 6 SIMULATION EXPERIMENTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Due to space constraints, please refer to the Appendix for details of our experiments and most experimental results. Here we only list the experimental results of the proposed algorithm on a typical graph clustering problem.

**473 474 475 476 477 478 479** The Dual Correlation Reduction Network (DCRN) stands as a self-supervised deep graph clustering method, incorporating a dual information correlation reduction mechanism aimed at diminishing information correlation between the sample and feature levels. In accordance with the methodology outlined in [Liu et al.](#page-10-8) [\(2022\)](#page-10-8), our evaluation of optimization algorithms, such as AdamE, AdaBelief, AdaBound, AdamW, RAdam, Adam, EAdam and Padam, hinges on four widely accepted public metrics measuring clustering performance: accuracy (ACC), normalized mutual information (NMI), average rand index (ARI), and macro F1-score (F1).

**480 481 482 483 484** The initial learning rates for AdamE, AdaBelief, AdaBound, AdamW, RAdam, Adam, and EAdam are uniformly set at 0.001, while for Padam, the initial learning rate is established as 0.01. The training of DCRN aligns with the prescribed protocol in the original literature, spanning 400 epochs until convergence. Notably, a learning rate adjustment is implemented, reducing it by a factor of 0.1 at the 50th epoch.

**485** The experimental findings reveal significant variations in four widely acknowledged public metrics assessing clustering performance across different optimizers, as illustrated in Fig. [5\(a\),](#page-9-2) Fig. [5\(b\),](#page-9-3)

 Fig. [5\(c\),](#page-9-4) and Fig. [5\(d\).](#page-9-5) Notably, the overall trend observed for AdamE in all four clustering performance metrics indicates a sustained improvement beyond epoch 250, surpassing the performance of other optimizers.

<span id="page-9-3"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span>

<span id="page-9-5"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span>Figure 5: Performance evaluation of DCRN ( $\lambda = 10$ ) with different optimizers on DBLP.

## 7 CONCLUSIONS

 

 In this study, we systematically analyze the impact of varying exponential decay rates on the convergence and generalization performance of the Adam optimization algorithm. Building on this analysis, we introduce an enhanced version of the algorithm, termed AdamE, and provide a comprehensive exposition of its design. The effectiveness of AdamE is demonstrated through experiments on the quadratic function fitting problem, along with a theoretical investigation of its convergence properties in convex settings.

 We further evaluate the empirical performance of AdamE across a range of deep learning tasks, including language modeling, node classification, and graph clustering. Both the theoretical analyses and experimental results consistently highlight the superior performance of the proposed AdamE algorithm.

 

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-9-1"></span> Jinghui Chen, Dongruo Zhou, Yiqi Tang, Ziyan Yang, Yuan Cao, and Quanquan Gu. Closing the generalization gap of adaptive gradient methods in training deep neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.06763*, 2018.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span> Zhangxuan Gu, Changhua Meng, Ke Wang, Jun Lan, Weiqiang Wang, Ming Gu, and Liqing Zhang. Xylayoutlm: Towards layout-aware multimodal networks for visually-rich document understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 4583–4592, 2022.
- Yang Hu, Haoxuan You, Zhecan Wang, Zhicheng Wang, Erjin Zhou, and Yue Gao. Graph-mlp: node classification without message passing in graph. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.04051*, 2021.
- Jing Huang and Jie Yang. Unignn: a unified framework for graph and hypergraph neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.00956*, 2021.

<span id="page-10-8"></span>

<span id="page-10-5"></span> 

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

- <span id="page-10-0"></span> Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span> Liyuan Liu, Haoming Jiang, Pengcheng He, Weizhu Chen, Xiaodong Liu, Jianfeng Gao, and Jiawei Han. On the variance of the adaptive learning rate and beyond. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.03265*, 2019.
- Yue Liu, Wenxuan Tu, Sihang Zhou, Xinwang Liu, Linxuan Song, Xihong Yang, and En Zhu. Deep graph clustering via dual correlation reduction. In *Proc. of AAAI*, 2022.
	- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span> Liangchen Luo, Yuanhao Xiong, Yan Liu, and Xu Sun. Adaptive gradient methods with dynamic bound of learning rate. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.09843*, 2019.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span> Yuxian Meng, Shi Zong, Xiaoya Li, Xiaofei Sun, Tianwei Zhang, Fei Wu, and Jiwei Li. Gnn-lm: Language modeling based on global contexts via gnn. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.08743*, 2021.
- Petar Veličković, Guillem Cucurull, Arantxa Casanova, Adriana Romero, Pietro Lio, and Yoshua Bengio. Graph attention networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10903*, 2017.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span> Zaixi Zhang, Qi Liu, Hao Wang, Chengqiang Lu, and Cheekong Lee. Protgnn: Towards selfexplaining graph neural networks. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pp. 9127–9135, 2022.
	- Dongruo Zhou, Jinghui Chen, Yuan Cao, Yiqi Tang, Ziyan Yang, and Quanquan Gu. On the convergence of adaptive gradient methods for nonconvex optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.05671*, 2018.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span> Juntang Zhuang, Tommy Tang, Yifan Ding, Sekhar Tatikonda, Nicha Dvornek, Xenophon Papademetris, and James S Duncan. Adabelief optimizer: Adapting stepsizes by the belief in observed gradients. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.07468*, 2020.