Bridging 3D Editing and Geometry-Consistent Paired Dataset Creation for 2D Nighttime-to-Daytime Translation

Xiao Cao Yuyang Zhao Robby T. Tan Zhiyong Huang National University of Singapore

{xiaocao, yuyang.zhao}@u.nus.edu {robby.tan, dcshuang}@nus.edu.sg



Figure 1. Geometry-consistent paired nighttime-to-daytime translation dataset generated by our proposed 3D editing based pipeline. Each scene contains image pairs under various nighttime light conditions.

Abstract

Creating paired nighttime-to-daytime translation datasets remains a challenging and impractical task, as keeping every object static at different times is impossible. While 2D generative models can synthesize paired data for appearance and style translation, they often fail to maintain geometric consistency. In this paper, we propose a novel paired synthetic dataset creation pipeline that leverages 3D editing techniques to convert daytime 3D datasets into nighttime degraded scenes, generating geometrically consistent high-quality image pairs. Through this approach, we construct the first paired synthetic dataset for nighttime-todaytime translation with geometric consistency. The synthesized data pairs can effectively enhance nighttime-todaytime editing performance of various 2D generative models both qualitatively and quantitatively, demonstrating the advantages of using 3D editing for paired synthetic visual dataset generation. Code and Dataset are available at github.com/massyzs/3DEdting4Translation.git.

1. Introduction

Nighttime-daytime image translation benefits many realworld applications, such as robust autonomous driving. The success of image translation cannot apart from the paired images. However, obtaining geometry-consistent real-world paired images of different lighting conditions is challenging due to interference from dynamic factors (e.g., vehicles, and natural elements).

Existing real-world nighttime-to-daytime datasets [10, 15, 19] mainly focus on semantic learning. The data pairs are commonly collected by taking videos of the same scenario in daytime and nighttime separately. Therefore, dynamic object interference may exist during the collection process and thus impairs the geometry consistency and incurs additional effort on tackling the unpaired data problem [8, 24, 28, 29]. In view of the limitation of paired data, previous works [1, 11, 26, 30] mainly focus on developing techniques to generate consistent results learned from the inconsistent pairs. While these works achieve remarkable performance, using paired data has the potential to further improve their image transfer ability.

Motivated by the data limitation, we investigate the geometry-consistent nighttime-daytime data generation in this paper. Intuitively, advanced image editing tech-



Figure 2. Paired dataset creation pipeline: Our pipeline first applies 3D editing on reconstructed 3D scenes to adjust light conditions, generating geometry-consistent image pairs. These paired images are subsequently used to fine-tune 2D generative models for downstream nighttime-to-daytime translation tasks.



Figure 3. Qualitative results of nighttime-to-daytime translation obtained on our paired synthetic testset. Scene names from the original 3D dataset are denoted in *italic*.

niques [3–5] can help generate paired data by editing the image style. Therefore, we first evaluate the performance of image editing baselines (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4) and observe that none of the methods can generate geometry consistent results in daytime style.

To this end, we propose a 3D editing based paired synthetic dataset generation pipeline. Our pipeline can effectively convert existing daytime images from 3D datasets into geometrically consistent images under various night lighting conditions, resulting in 3,812 image pairs. The generated pairs are used to finetune the 2D generative models [4, 17], significantly improving the translation results.

Our contributions can be summarized as:

- We propose a nighttime-to-daytime paired data generation pipeline based on 3D editing.
- We collect multiview 3D scene data and convert them from daytime to various nighttime lighting conditions, forming a dataset with 3,812 image pairs.



Figure 4. Qualitative results of nighttime-to-daytime translation obtained on Exdark [13] dataset.

• We evaluate the performance of 2D image editing methods and demonstrate how our paired synthetic dataset effectively enhances the nighttime-to-daytime translation capability of 2D generative models.

2. Related Works

2.1. Nighttime-to-Daytime Image Translation

Many 2D generative models have been proposed to address the nighttime-to-daytime translation task. BBDM [12] employs a bidirectional diffusion process for image translation between different domains. Plug-n-Play [20] integrates source image features to preserve structure without additional training. To accelerate the translation process, Onestep Diffusion [25] leverages two diffusion models adversarially, producing more realistic results in a single inference step. Instruct-Pix2Pix [4] finetunes the vanilla Stable Diffusion model with instruction-based prompts, enhancing its suitability for image translation. However, existing models struggle to maintain geometric consistency when performing nighttime-to-daytime translation. Therefore, there is an urgent need for a geometry-consistent paired nighttime-to-daytime translation dataset.

2.2. 3D editing

Recent 3D editing methods [6, 7, 9, 21, 23] leverage the capabilities of 2D generative models to perform geometryaware and view-consistent 3D scene editing. Specifically, Instruct-NeRF2NeRF [9] and Instruct-GS2GS [21] ensure view consistency through iterative editing combined with 3D scene fine-tuning. GaussCtrl [23] introduces a crossattention mechanism that integrates 3D geometry and multiview image information to simultaneously maintain geometric and texture consistency. Meanwhile, DGE [7] incorporates epipolar geometry constraints to preserve consistency while enabling editing with significant texture semantic modifications. In this work, we leverage a naive 3D editing baseline instruct-NeRF2NeRF in our proposed pipeline to show its effectiveness for constructing paired datasets.

3. Dataset

3.1. Dataset Creation Pipeline

Our paired image translation generation pipeline contains 4 parts: (1) 3D reconstruction, (2) 3D editing, (3) rendering degraded images, and (4) generative models downstream finetuning. Two 3D datasets of different scene structures are used, including 360-degree 3D scene [2], and 3D relighting [18]. All of these data are daytime and our aim is to convert them to nighttime with various lighting conditions. We first conduct 3D reconstruction with NeRF [14], which compresses a 3D scene into a neural network and can render arbitrary views. After reconstruction, 3D editing is applied following Instruct-NeRF2NeRF [9]. Specifically, an image is rendered from the trained NeRF and edited with a pre-trained IP2P [4]. Then the edited image is used to finetune the NeRF. This process will gradually change the style of NeRF-rendered images while maintaining 3D geometry consistency. For the editing instructions, we set "Dark night", "Night time", "Twilight", "Dusk" and "Moonlight" as style prompts, and use "Turn this into a {style} scene" as the prompt template for 3D editing. After 3D editing stage, the scenes with low style alignment score are filtered out.

Our final goal is to synthesize image pairs that can benefit the nighttime-to-daytime translation. Therefore, to avoid the blur due to the lack of observation in 3D reconstruction, we only render images with viewpoints corresponding to existing daytime ground-truth images, *i.e.*, views originally used for training the 3D reconstruction, thereby ensuring geometry-consistent image pairs. The synthesized image pairs can effectively improve the performance of the specific image translation and they are applicable to various base models. In this paper, we finetune Stable Diffusion v1.5 [17] and Instruct-Pix2Pix [4] to demonstrate the effectiveness of the synthetic data.

3.2. Dataset Statistic

We present statistics of our synthetic paired nighttime-todaytime translation dataset in Tab. 2. After filtering out lowquality scenes, the final dataset comprises 8 scenes under 5

Method	Twilight				Dusk		Moonlit			
	$\text{CLIP} \uparrow$	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS \downarrow	$\overline{\text{CLIP}}\uparrow$	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS \downarrow	$\text{CLIP} \uparrow$	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS \downarrow	
SD-v1.5[17]	0.5786	0.2684	0.6475	0.5769	0.2404	0.6523	0.5782	0.2374	0.6614	
IP2P[4]	0.8389	0.4290	0.3670	0.8126	0.4335	0.3725	0.8594	0.4404	0.3413	
Ledits++[3]	0.7463	0.4097	0.5457	0.7276	0.3726	0.5375	0.7160	0.3893	0.5623	
MasaCtrl[5]	0.7789	0.3996	0.4939	0.7467	0.3572	0.5039	0.7757	0.3786	0.5051	
Finetuned SD-v1.5	0.9067	0.4554	0.3048	0.9016	<u>0.4535</u>	0.2929	0.9239	0.4579	0.2684	
Finetuned IP2P	0.9335	0.5017	0.2332	0.9322	0.4879	0.2412	0.9322	0.4855	0.2369	
Method	Night				Dark Nigł	nt	Average			
	$\text{CLIP} \uparrow$	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS \downarrow	$\text{CLIP} \uparrow$	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS \downarrow	$\text{CLIP} \uparrow$	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS \downarrow	
SD-v1.5[17]	0.5694	0.2086	0.7498	0.5672	0.0812	0.8072	0.5746	0.2213	0.6941	
IP2P[4]	0.6703	0.3142	0.5970	0.6638	0.3626	0.5921	0.7752	0.3958	0.4459	
Ledits++[3]	0.6294	0.2414	0.7890	0.7276	0.3726	0.5375	0.6985	0.3205	0.6350	
MasaCtrl[5]	0.6247	0.2326	0.7618	0.6685	0.0855	0.7894	0.7199	0.3104	0.5978	
Einstein all CD vil 5	0.00884	0 40 45	0 4 4 2 0	0.0052	0 4157	0 2092	0 0002	0 4292	0 2211	
Finetuned SD-V1.5	0.8271	0.4047	0.4429	0.9033	0.4137	0.3085	0.0002	0.4565	0.5511	

Table 1. Quantitative results of baselines and finetuned generative models on synthetic nighttime-to-daytime translation dataset under different light conditions. **Bold** numbers refers to the best performance and <u>underlined</u> numbers refers to the second best performance.

distinct light conditions, effectively covering diverse realworld scenarios with varying degrees of nighttime degradation, ranging from easier conditions (i.e., twilight) to more challenging conditions (i.e., dark night). We visualize representative examples from the proposed dataset in Fig. 1. From top-left (Twilight) to bottom-right (Dark night), scene visibility gradually decreases, correspondingly increasing the difficulty of nighttime-to-daytime translation.

4. Experiment

4.1. Quantitative Results

We conduct quantitative comparisons for SD-v1.5 [17], Ledits++ [3], MasaCtrl [5], IP2P [4], and finetune SD-v1.5 and IP2P on our proposed dataset. Evaluated by CLIP [16], SSIM [22] and LPIPS [27] scores, finetuned baselines outperform other baselines significantly under all scenarios with various light conditions. This demonstrates that our paired dataset can help enhance 2D generative models' image transfer ability. Due to the unpaired setting of realworld nighttime datasets, we only conduct qualitative comparisons in following section.

4.2. Qualitative Results

In-distribution Dataset. We present qualitative results obtained on our dataset in Fig.3. Under all tested conditions, finetuned methods consistently outperform baseline models. As shown in Fig.3a, 3b, and 3c, baseline methods such as SD-v1.5, Ledits++, and MassCtrl introduce artifacts, and they fail significantly under extremely dark nighttime conditions (Fig.3d and 3e). In contrast, the fine-tuned methods effectively translate nighttime images into realistic daytime images without artifacts.

Split\Scene	Night	Night	Night	Night	Night	Night	Night	Dark night	Dark night	Dusk	Dusk
	garden	lk2	lwp	rathaus	stjacob	dinosaur	bicycle	farm	campsite	campsite	farm
Train	167	157	94	135	135	135	174	242	157	157	242
Test	18	17	10	15	15	15	19	42	17	17	42
Split\Scene	Dusk garden	Dusk rathaus	Moonlit campsite	Moonlit farm	Moonlit garden	Moonlit rathaus	Twilight campsite	Twilight farm	Twilight garden	Twilight rathaus	Total
Train	167	135	157	225	167	135	157	225	181	135	3410
Test	18	15	17	25	18	15	17	25	33	15	402

Table 2. Statistic of our paired synthetic nighttime-to-daytime image translation dataset. Each scene contains different nighttime light conditions.

Out-of-distribution Dataset. To further verify whether this performance improvement originates from overfitting to specific scenes or genuine nighttime-to-daytime translation capability, we further evaluate methods on the unpaired Exdark dataset [13] (Fig. 4). Across the baselines, only IP2P partially translate input images into daytime while artifacts are introduced. The blue sky is translated into blur roof in the first scene and the building is merged with blue sky in the second scene. Instead, the finetuned model achieves the most satisfying results with realistic sky and structure-preserved buildings. Such results further demonstrate our proposed paired synthetic dataset effectively enhances the nighttime-to-daytime translation capability of 2D generative models.

5. Conclusion

Creating geometry-consistent paired datasets is a consuming and challenging task, particularly in the nighttime-todaytime translation domain. In this work, we propose an effective 3D editing based generating paired datasets pipeline and establish the first geometry-consistent nightto-dayt paired dataset. Experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of our paired data generation pipeline and highlight out synthetic paired dataset's utility.

References

- [1] Ivan Anokhin, Pavel Solovev, Denis Korzhenkov, Alexey Kharlamov, Taras Khakhulin, Aleksei Silvestrov, Sergey Nikolenko, Victor Lempitsky, and Gleb Sterkin. Highresolution daytime translation without domain labels. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 7488–7497, 2020. 1
- [2] Jonathan T Barron, Ben Mildenhall, Dor Verbin, Pratul P Srinivasan, and Peter Hedman. Mip-nerf 360: Unbounded anti-aliased neural radiance fields. 2022 ieee. In CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 5460–5469, 2021. 3
- [3] Manuel Brack, Felix Friedrich, Katharia Kornmeier, Linoy Tsaban, Patrick Schramowski, Kristian Kersting, and Apolinário Passos. Ledits++: Limitless image editing using text-to-image models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 8861–8870, 2024. 2, 3, 4
- [4] Tim Brooks, Aleksander Holynski, and Alexei A Efros. Instructpix2pix: Learning to follow image editing instructions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 18392–18402, 2023. 2, 3, 4
- [5] Mingdeng Cao, Xintao Wang, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Yinqiang Zheng. Masactrl: Tuning-free mutual self-attention control for consistent image synthesis and editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 22560–22570, 2023. 2, 3, 4
- [6] Xiao Cao, Beibei Lin, Bo Wang, Zhiyong Huang, and Robby T Tan. 3dswapping: Texture swapping for 3d object from single reference image. arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.18853, 2025. 3
- [7] Minghao Chen, Iro Laina, and Andrea Vedaldi. Dge: Direct gaussian 3d editing by consistent multi-view editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.18929, 2024. 3
- [8] Zitan Chen, Zhuang Qi, Xiao Cao, Xiangxian Li, Xiangxu Meng, and Lei Meng. Class-level structural relation modeling and smoothing for visual representation learning. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 2964–2972, 2023. 1
- [9] Ayaan Haque, Matthew Tancik, Alexei A Efros, Aleksander Holynski, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Instruct-nerf2nerf: Editing 3d scenes with instructions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 19740–19750, 2023. 3
- [10] Pierre-Yves Laffont, Zhile Ren, Xiaofeng Tao, Chao Qian, and James Hays. Transient attributes for high-level understanding and editing of outdoor scenes. *ACM Transactions on graphics (TOG)*, 33(4):1–11, 2014. 1
- [11] Guanzhou Lan, Yuqi Yang, Zhigang Wang, Dong Wang, Bin Zhao, and Xuelong Li. Night-to-day translation via illumination degradation disentanglement. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.14504, 2024. 1
- [12] Bo Li, Kaitao Xue, Bin Liu, and Yu-Kun Lai. Bbdm: Imageto-image translation with brownian bridge diffusion models.

In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern Recognition, pages 1952–1961, 2023. 3

- [13] Yuen Peng Loh and Chee Seng Chan. Getting to know lowlight images with the exclusively dark dataset. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 178:30–42, 2019. 3, 4
- [14] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. *Communications of the ACM*, 65(1):99–106, 2021. 3
- [15] Michael J Milford and Gordon F Wyeth. Seqslam: Visual route-based navigation for sunny summer days and stormy winter nights. In 2012 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation, pages 1643–1649. IEEE, 2012. 1
- [16] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PmLR, 2021. 4
- [17] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of* the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 2, 3, 4
- [18] Viktor Rudnev, Mohamed Elgharib, William Smith, Lingjie Liu, Vladislav Golyanik, and Christian Theobalt. Nerf for outdoor scene relighting. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 615–631. Springer, 2022. 3
- [19] Daniel Seita. Bdd100k: A large-scale diverse driving video database. *The Berkeley Artificial Intelligence Research Blog. Version*, 511(41):11, 2018. 1
- [20] Narek Tumanyan, Michal Geyer, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Plug-and-play diffusion features for text-driven image-to-image translation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1921–1930, 2023. 3
- [21] Cyrus Vachha and Ayaan Haque. Instruct-gs2gs: Editing 3d gaussian splats with instructions, 2024. 3
- [22] Zhou Wang, Alan C Bovik, Hamid R Sheikh, and Eero P Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 13(4):600–612, 2004. 4
- [23] Jing Wu, Jia-Wang Bian, Xinghui Li, Guangrun Wang, Ian Reid, Philip Torr, and Victor Adrian Prisacariu. Gaussetrl: multi-view consistent text-driven 3d gaussian splatting editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08733, 2024. 3
- [24] Weilong Yan, Ming Li, Haipeng Li, Shuwei Shao, and Robby T Tan. Synthetic-to-real self-supervised robust depth estimation via learning with motion and structure priors. arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.20211, 2025. 1
- [25] Tianwei Yin, Michaël Gharbi, Richard Zhang, Eli Shechtman, Fredo Durand, William T Freeman, and Taesung Park. One-step diffusion with distribution matching distillation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 6613–6623, 2024. 3

- [26] Zhenjie Yu, Kai Chen, Shuang Li, Bingfeng Han, Chi Harold Liu, and Shuigen Wang. Roma: cross-domain region similarity matching for unpaired nighttime infrared to daytime visible video translation. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on multimedia*, pages 5294–5302, 2022. 1
- [27] Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 586–595, 2018. 4
- [28] Xin Zhang and Ying-Cong Chen. Adaptive domain generalization via online disagreement minimization. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 32:4247–4258, 2023. 1
- [29] Xin Zhang, Jinheng Xie, Yuan Yuan, Michael Bi Mi, and Robby T Tan. Heap: unsupervised object discovery and localization with contrastive grouping. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 7323– 7331, 2024. 1
- [30] Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, and Alexei A Efros. Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycleconsistent adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2223– 2232, 2017. 1