Big Impact from Small Models: The Power of Curated Corpora

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

In this paper, we present an alternative approach to language model training that emphasizes data quality over sheer volume. Using the authoritative Encyclopaedia Britannica as corpus, we first limit the size to a 10-million-word dataset. We then expand the training of a specialized model for encyclopedic content generation with the complete 38-million-word corpus. Central to our approach is the use of knowledge distillation, which allowed us to train compact student models guided by larger teacher models, achieving high performance while significantly reducing model complexity. Building on the BabyLlama architecture (Timiryasov and Tastet, 2023), our findings reveal that highquality, curated data combined with effective distillation techniques can facilitate efficient and effective learning. This work highlights promising directions for resource-constrained applications and specialized domain modeling. We will release our programs and models if this paper is accepted.

1 Introduction

007

012

014

017

021

024

027

034

042

The development of large language models (LLMs) has been predominantly driven by massive datasets, often exceeding a trillion tokens. This approach follows the Chinchilla scaling law, which suggests an optimal ratio of 20 tokens per model parameter (Hoffmann et al., 2022). However, this scaling trajectory presents several critical challenges for the field. First, the availability of high-quality training data has become a significant bottleneck. As models grow larger, finding sufficient high-quality data becomes increasingly difficult, often forcing researchers to rely on noisier sources. Second, current approaches demonstrate remarkably low sample efficiency compared to human language acquisition.

These challenges point to a fundamental question: Can we develop more efficient training approaches by prioritizing data quality over quantity? In this paper, we show that carefully curated, expert-reviewed content might enable more efficient learning than the vast but heterogeneous datasets currently in use. In our experiments, we utilize the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* as our training corpus, pursuing two distinct but complementary research directions. 043

045

047

050

051

054

057

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

073

074

075

076

077

078

081

In the first experiments, we followed the BabyLM Challenge setup (Warstadt et al., 2023), which constrains models to training on just 10 million words. This constraint aligns with our interest in efficient learning from limited but high-quality data. Our second set of experiments explores specialized model development using the complete *Encyclopaedia Britannica* corpus of 38 million words, aiming at creating a model specifically tuned for encyclopedic content generation.

2 Related Work

The introduction of the Transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017) and its pretraining with BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) revolutionized the NLP field. However, as they improved in performance, they also increased in size and in training corpus needs. BERT used 3.3B words crawled from Book-Corpus and English Wikipedia. The issue became bigger with newer models: RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) was trained on more than 30B words, XL-Net (Yang et al., 2020) on 33B words, T5 (Raffel et al., 2023) and OPT (Zhang et al., 2022) on 170B and 180B words respectively, GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020) on 300B words, while Chinchilla (Hoffmann et al., 2022) and Llama (Touvron et al., 2023) on approximately 1.4 trillion words. Another issue is that while the datasets used have exploded in size, they are usually not available in public and do not aid reproducibility.

Previous works showed that high quality data can improve model performance, even if they are available in lower quantity. In Taylor et al. (2022),

1

the authors demonstrated that research papers, encyclopedias, lecture notes, equations, and chemistry compounds give a significant boost in performance and the model's knowledge. While the quality of the dataset helped, the model was still trained on 106 billion tokens. Later, Gunasekar et al. (2023) trained a model that outperforms famous state-of-the-art models using only 7B tokens derived from textbooks. In this work, we explore how a high quality corpus of even smaller size, like an encyclopedia, can further diminish training needs, while preserving performance.

3 Dataset

083

087

097

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126 127

128

129

130

131

Source Selection and Rationale. Our choice of the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* as a training corpus was driven by several key considerations. First, its content undergoes rigorous expert review, ensuring high accuracy and consistent quality. Second, it maintains a formal and standardized writing style on diverse topics, providing excellent examples of structured knowledge presentation. Third, its comprehensive coverage of human knowledge makes it suitable for both general language learning and specialized content generation.

This work uses the 10th edition from 1911, comprising 29 volumes. Although there are more recent editions, this particular version offers distinct advantages for our work. It is readily accessible in digital format, maintains consistent editorial standards throughout its volumes, and its historical nature provides a well-defined temporal boundary for knowledge scope. In addition, its public domain status facilitates unrestricted research use and reproducibility of our findings.

Data Processing Pipeline. Our processing pipeline consists of a sequence of stages to ensure data quality and consistency. We scraped the individual articles from Wikisource, converting them from their original format into structured JSON files. This initial conversion preserves article metadata – including titles, section headers, and publication dates – while extracting the main content for further processing.

The next stage involves text cleaning and standardization through a series of regular expressions. Our cleaning process systematically removes special characters and formatting artifacts from the text while standardizing all punctuation and spacing patterns. We eliminate references and citations to maintain focus on the primary content. To facilitate model training, we incorporate explicit article boundary tokens (<s> and </s>) between entries. These boundary markers enable the model to learn natural document boundaries, maintain coherent article generation, and prevent content blending between adjacent articles. These tokens also provide useful attention anchors during the generation phase, helping the model structure its output. 132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

Dataset Organization. We created two different experimental setups. We selected a 12-millionword random subset of the corpus, ensuring balanced topic coverage and maintaining the encyclopedic style. We divided it into training (10 million words) and evaluation (2 million words) sets. For our encyclopedia generation track, we used the entire corpus of approximately 38 million words split into training (37 million words) and validation (1 million words) sets. All splits are created at article boundaries.

Tokenization. We applied a Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE) tokenizer with a vocabulary size of 16,000 tokens, trained exclusively on our training set. This vocabulary size aligns with recent research suggesting that moderate vocabulary sizes can be optimal for specialized domains. The tokenizer is trained separately for each setup.

The tokenized text is segmented into sequences of 128 tokens. This means that longer articles may span multiple sequences, while shorter articles might be combined within a single sequence. For sequences shorter than 128 tokens, we apply padding with special <pad> tokens, we apply padding with special <pad> tokens to maintain uniform input dimensions. The article boundary tokens ensure that semantic coherence is preserved across these mechanical divisions, helping the model distinguish between true article boundaries and arbitrary segmentation points.

4 Model Architecture and Training

4.1 Knowledge Distillation Framework

Our training methodology applies a knowledge distillation framework (Bucila et al., 2006; Hinton et al., 2015), where an ensemble of teacher models guides the training of more compact student models. This approach is particularly well-suited to our objective of extracting maximum value from a limited, high-quality dataset. Building upon the foundational work of the BabyLlama authors (Timiryasov and Tastet, 2023), we aim at replicat-

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

233

ing their method while extending it through addi-tional experiments to further explore its potential.

183

184

188

189

190

192

194

195

196

197

198

204

207

208

209

210

211

212

213 214

215

216

217

218

219

222

227

228

231

Teacher Models. We utilize two teacher models with complementary architectures to achieve robust knowledge distillation.

The first teacher is a GPT-2 model with 24 layers and 16 attention heads, featuring an embedding dimension of 1536 and an intermediate dimension of 6144. With approximately 705 million parameters, this model offers substantial capacity to capture intricate patterns. It was trained for six epochs using a batch size of 256 and a maximum learning rate of 2.5×10^{-4} . A cosine learning rate scheduler with 300 warm-up steps was employed to ensure smooth optimization.

The second teacher is a Llama model comprising 24 layers and 8 attention heads. It has a hidden dimension of 1024 and an intermediate dimension of 3072, totaling roughly 360 million parameters. This model strikes a balance between computational efficiency and representational power. Training was conducted for four epochs with a batch size of 128 and a maximum learning rate of 3×10^{-4} , again utilizing a cosine learning rate scheduler with 300 warm-up steps.

We pretrained both models exclusively on our encyclopedia corpus to align their knowledge with the target domain. During training, we computed the validation loss after each epoch using a smaller random subset of the evaluation set (8192 samples).

Distillation Process. The distillation process leverages both hard targets from the training data and soft targets generated by the teacher models. The soft targets, which are probability distributions over the output classes, encapsulate relational information between classes learned by the teacher models. To enhance this knowledge transfer, we divided the logits by a temperature value T with T = 2.

The student models are trained to optimize a combined loss function that aligns their outputs with both the hard targets (ground truth labels) and the soft targets (teacher predictions). The loss function is defined as: $L = \alpha L_{CE} + (1 - \alpha)L_{KL}$. Here, L_{CE} is the cross-entropy loss between the student's predictions and the true labels, while L_{KL} represents the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between the student's and teacher's softened probability distributions.

We set $\alpha = 0.5$ to balance the two objectives. By combining these two losses, our approach encour-

ages the student models to benefit from the complementary strengths of the teacher models while retaining alignment with the original task objectives. This dual alignment is critical for producing an effective student model.

4.2 Student Models

In both the BabyLM Challenge and the encyclopedia generation tasks, we employed a unified student model architecture designed to balance the model capacity with the constraints of available training data. We trained the architecture from scratch using a distillation loss. Both tasks used identical model configurations and training strategies to maintain consistency across the domains.

The student model is based on a Llama architecture with 16 transformer layers, each containing 8 attention heads. It features a hidden dimension of 512 and an intermediate dimension of 1024, resulting in approximately 58 million parameters. We trained it with a batch size of 32 and a maximum learning rate of 2.5×10^{-4} . We employed a cosine learning rate schedule with 200 warm-up steps. We applied regularization techniques, including dropout and weight decay, to prevent overfitting. Additionally, we used gradient clipping to maintain stable training dynamics. In total, the training process took about 12 hours on one A-100 Nvidia GPU.

5 Experimental Results

To evaluate our models, we primarily used the Benchmark of Linguistic Minimal Pairs (BLiMP) (Warstadt et al., 2020), a zero-shot evaluation suite designed to test a language model's ability to handle linguistic phenomena such as syntax, semantics, and morphosyntax. BLiMP contains 67,000 minimal sentence pairs across 67 tasks. Models are scored based on their ability to assign higher probabilities to grammatically correct sentences than to ungrammatical ones. Additionally, we used the BLiMP Supplemental benchmark, an extension with more diverse and challenging tasks.

For the evaluation, we used the BabyLM pipeline, which outputs the average scores across the tasks for each benchmark. We compared our BabyLM Challenge student model, trained on 10 million words, against baseline models provided by the Challenge organizers: OPT (125M parameters), RoBERTa (125M parameters), and T5 (222M parameters). These baselines were trained on a cu-

Model	BLiMP	BLiMP Suppl.
OPT_{125M}	62.6	54.7
$RoBERTa_{125M}$	69.5	47.5
$T5_{222M}$	58.8	43.9
GPT-2 _{705M}	64.5	49.3
LLama _{360M}	62.8	48.2
student $_{58M}$	64.7	47.6
$student_{58M+GPT-Jteacher}$	64.6	47.1
$studentFULL_{58M+38Mdataset}$	66.4	50.3

Table 1: Results on the BLiMP benchmarks.

Model	BLiMP	BLiMP Suppl.
OPT_{125M}	0.50	0.43
$RoBERTa_{125M}$	0.55	0.38
$T5_{222M}$	0.26	0.19
GPT-2 _{705M}	0.09	0.06
$LLama_{360M}$	0.17	0.13
student $_{58M}$	1.11	0.82
$student_{58M+GPT-Jteacher}$	1.11	0.81
$studentFULL_{58M+38Mdataset}$	1.14	0.86

Table 2: Scores scaled by the number of parameters.

rated 10-million-word dataset composed of childdirected speech, storybooks, simple Wikipedia, and transcribed speech.

We also compared the results of two teacher configurations: GPT2/Llama with GPT-J/Llama. The difference between GPT-J and GPT-2 is that GPT-J employs rotary positional embeddings, while GPT-2 uses trainable positional embeddings. We trained the student model on the 10-million word *Encyclopaedia* dataset. Table 1 shows the raw results, while Table 2 shows the relative performance of each model scaled by the number of its parameters.

Our BabyLM Challenge student model achieves a performance comparable to those of the baselines, outperforming OPT and T5 on the BLiMP benchmark and T5 on the Supplemental benchmark, while slightly trailing RoBERTa and OPT. Notably, despite having less than half the parameters of these baselines, the student model achieves competitive results, highlighting its efficiency.

When compared to the teacher models, the student outperforms both GPT-2 and Llama on BLiMP, although it slightly underperforms on the Supplemental benchmark. Considering that the teachers have 12x and 6x more parameters than the student, this underscores the effectiveness of knowledge distillation in transferring knowledge efficiently.

The student model trained with GPT-J slightly

underperformed compared to the GPT-2 teacher. This suggests that GPT-2's trainable positional embeddings provide a distinct advantage during distillation. Lastly, the student model trained on the full 38-million word Encyclopedia dataset shows a 2-3% improvement on both benchmarks, demonstrating the benefit of additional high-quality training data.

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323 324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332 333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

356

357

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

When we observe Table 2 with the relative performance, it is evident that our model significantly outperforms the other models, having more than double the score of the second ranked model.

We finally give two examples of generation:

Prompt: "<s> Italv is" Generated: Italy is divided into two great parts, the north and the south and the central and south-west; while the south, including the greater part of the peninsula, and the south, the eastern and the northern parts, are separated by the great plain of Italy. The whole of the western and western part of the peninsula, except the peninsula of the Mediterranean. is a very fertile plain, and in some places has been made the capital of the kingdom of Italy. The whole of northern Italy is traversed by the main line of the Italian railway. Prompt: "<s> London was"

Generated: London was the capital of the district of the East Anglian kingdom of North Wales, the chief town of which was Beverley. It was the seat of the royal family of the earls of South Wales, who held it in the time of Edward I. It was a royal burgh of barony, and held the manor of Fordun in the time of Edward I.

While the model hallucinates sometimes, in both cases, it manages to capture the context of the prompt (country and town) and generate content. Further approaches would finetune the model for question-answering or use a RAG system to produce more accurate results.

6 Conclusion

Our two experimental setups highlight the potential of training highly effective language models on smaller, high-quality datasets. Knowledge distillation significantly reduced the number of model parameters while maintaining performance. This generation model shows that curated data and teacher-student training frameworks can offset limited dataset sizes.

4

366

376

379

384

391

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

E Limitations

367Large language models may generate factual errors368or show bias. We observed them in the form of369hallucinations. This bias may also come from the370corpus we used for training, the *Encyclopaedia*371*Britannica*, that includes old fashioned viewpoints372and outdated information. Many scientific theories373have also evolved since it was published.

Our evaluation is also limited and a comprehensive study would include more benchmarks and more models.

Ethics Statement

We identified a few potential risks including:

- 1. The LLMs may generate misleading content or hallucinate;
- The dataset we used for training includes biases and outdated information that in some cases may generate disrespectful or disparaging content;

Acknowledgments

References

- Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam Mc-Candlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. Preprint, arXiv:2005.14165.
 - Cristian Bucila, Rich Caruana, and Alexandru Niculescu-Mizil. 2006. Model compression. In Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining.
 - Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Suriya Gunasekar, Yi Zhang, Jyoti Aneja, Caio César Teodoro Mendes, Allie Del Giorno, Sivakanth Gopi, Mojan Javaheripi, Piero Kauffmann, Gustavo de Rosa, Olli Saarikivi, Adil Salim, Shital Shah, Harkirat Singh Behl, Xin Wang, Sébastien Bubeck,

Ronen Eldan, Adam Tauman Kalai, Yin Tat Lee, and Yuanzhi Li. 2023. Textbooks are all you need. *Preprint*, arXiv:2306.11644.

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

449

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

- Geoffrey Hinton, Oriol Vinyals, and Jeff Dean. 2015. Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. *Preprint*, arXiv:1503.02531.
- Jordan Hoffmann, Sebastian Borgeaud, Arthur Mensch, Elena Buchatskaya, Trevor Cai, Eliza Rutherford, Diego de Las Casas, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Johannes Welbl, Aidan Clark, Tom Hennigan, Eric Noland, Katie Millican, George van den Driessche, Bogdan Damoc, Aurelia Guy, Simon Osindero, Karen Simonyan, Erich Elsen, Jack W. Rae, Oriol Vinyals, and Laurent Sifre. 2022. Training compute-optimal large language models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2203.15556.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *Preprint*, arXiv:1907.11692.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2023. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Preprint*, arXiv:1910.10683.
- Ross Taylor, Marcin Kardas, Guillem Cucurull, Thomas Scialom, Anthony Hartshorn, Elvis Saravia, Andrew Poulton, Viktor Kerkez, and Robert Stojnic. 2022. Galactica: A large language model for science. *Preprint*, arXiv:2211.09085.
- Inar Timiryasov and Jean-Loup Tastet. 2023. Baby llama: knowledge distillation from an ensemble of teachers trained on a small dataset with no performance penalty. *Preprint*, arXiv:2308.02019.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurelien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2302.13971.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam M. Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Alex Warstadt, Aaron Mueller, Leshem Choshen, Ethan Wilcox, Chengxu Zhuang, Juan Ciro, Rafael Mosquera, Bhargavi Paranjabe, Adina Williams, Tal Linzen, and Ryan Cotterell, editors. 2023. Proceedings of the BabyLM Challenge at the 27th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning. Association for Computational Linguistics, Singapore.
- Alex Warstadt, Alicia Parrish, Haokun Liu, Anhad Mohananey, Wei Peng, Sheng-Fu Wang, and Samuel R.
 Bowman. 2020. Blimp: The benchmark of linguistic minimal pairs for english. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:377–392.

- Zhilin Yang, Zihang Dai, Yiming Yang, Jaime Carbonell, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Quoc V. Le. 2020.
 Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. *Preprint*, arXiv:1906.08237.
- Susan Zhang, Stephen Roller, Naman Goyal, Mikel 477 Artetxe, Moya Chen, Shuohui Chen, Christopher De-478 wan, Mona Diab, Xian Li, Xi Victoria Lin, Todor Mi-479 haylov, Myle Ott, Sam Shleifer, Kurt Shuster, Daniel 480 Simig, Punit Singh Koura, Anjali Sridhar, Tianlu 481 Wang, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2022. Opt: Open 482 pre-trained transformer language models. Preprint, 483 484 arXiv:2205.01068.