# AVG-LLAVA: A LARGE MULTIMODAL MODEL WITH ADAPTIVE VISUAL GRANULARITY

Anonymous authors

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

023

025

026

027 028 029

030

Paper under double-blind review

### Abstract

Recently, when dealing with high-resolution images, dominant large multimodal models (LMMs) usually divide them into multiple local images and one global image, which will lead to a large number of visual tokens. In this work, we introduce AVG-LLaVA, an LMM that can adaptively select the appropriate visual granularity based on the input image and instruction. This approach not only reduces the number of visual tokens and speeds up inference, but also improves the overall model performance. Specifically, we introduce the following modules based on LLaVA-NeXT: (a) a visual granularity scaler that includes multiple pooling layers to obtain visual tokens with different granularities; (b) a visual granularity router, which includes a Transformer layer, an MLP layer, and a voter layer, used to select the appropriate visual granularity based on the image and instruction. Furthermore, we propose RGLF, a novel training paradigm that aims at aligning the granularity predicted by the router with the preferences of the LMM, without the need for additional manually annotated data. Extensive experiments and analysis show that AVG-LLaVA achieves superior performance across 11 benchmarks, as well as significantly reduces the number of visual tokens and speeds up inference (e.g., an 85.3% reduction in visual tokens and a  $2.53 \times$  increase in inference speed on the AI2D benchmark).  $^{1}$ 

1 INTRODUCTION

Recently, the field of artificial intelligence (AI) has witnessed a significant advancement in large multimodal models (LMMs) (OpenAI, 2023b; Zhu et al., 2023; Dai et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023a; 2024a), marking a further step toward artificial general intelligence (AGI). Most existing LMMs follow the structure of LLaVA (Liu et al., 2023a; 2024a), which includes a vision encoder to embed images into visual tokens and a connector to map them into the word embedding space. Subsequently, these visual tokens are fed into a large language model (LLM) (Touvron et al., 2023; OpenAI, 2023a; Chiang et al., 2023) for multimodal understanding and reasoning, alongside the word embeddings.

Due to the limitations imposed by 040 the fixed aspect ratio (e.g., 1:1) and 041 low resolution (e.g., 336×336) used 042 by visual encoders (e.g., CLIP-ViT 043 (Radford et al., 2021)), earlier LMMs face challenges in processing high-044 resolution images with different as-045 pect ratios. To deal with this lim-046 itation, dominant models, such as 047 LLaVA-NeXT (Liu et al., 2024b), 048 dynamically divide each input high-049 resolution image into multiple local 050 images. These local images are en-051 coded separately, and their tokens are 052



Figure 1: An example of VQA that requires information at different visual granularities. The image is from MSCOCO (Lin et al., 2014) dataset.

<sup>52</sup> then concatenated with the tokens of the original global image. This approach will lead to longer

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We will release our source code and model upon the acceptance of our paper.

visual token sequences, such as 2880 visual tokens for a 672×672 image. However, in practice, such fine-grained visual information is not always necessary, and in some cases, coarse-grained visual information can even be more beneficial for model predictions. For instance, as shown in Figure 1, when the model is asked to recognize the number on the jersey, it requires relatively fine-grained visual information. In contrast, determining the color of the jersey only necessitates coarse-grained visual information.

060 In this paper, we propose Adaptive Visual Granularity LLaVA (AVG-LLaVA), an LMM that can adap-061 tively select the appropriate visual granularity based on the input image and instruction. The basic 062 intuition behind our model is that humans only scrutinize images carefully when answering difficult 063 questions; otherwise, a brief glance is sufficient. As displayed in Figure 2, AVG-LLaVA extends 064 LLaVA-NeXT with a visual granularity scaler and a visual granularity router. The visual granularity scaler performs multiple rounds of pooling on visual tokens without training, each time halving 065 the number of visual tokens, thus obtaining a series of visual features with different granularities. 066 The visual granularity router adaptively selects the appropriate visual granularity features based on 067 the input multi-granularity visual features and text features. By doing so, for images and instructions 068 that do not require fine-grained details, the number of visual tokens can be reduced, which not only 069 speeds up inference but also improves performance. This performance enhancement likely stems from the reduction of redundant information, as selecting the appropriate visual granularity makes 071 it easier for the model to answer questions based on images effectively. 072

Besides, we observe that it is challenging to train the visual granularity router directly through visual instruction tuning (Liu et al., 2023a). This may be because the router cannot learn the distinctions between different visual granularities from visual instruction tuning, making it difficult to learn how to select the most appropriate visual granularity based on the image and instruction. To deal with this issue, we propose a novel training paradigm called *Ranking Granularity* to align *LMM Feedback* (*RGLF*). This paradigm aligns router probabilities of multiple granularities with LMM preferences by a ranking loss (Hadsell et al., 2006; Hopkins & May, 2011; Liu et al., 2022), effectively aiding the router in distinguishing between different visual granularities and selecting the appropriate one.

We further evaluate AVG-LLaVA on 11 benchmarks including tasks from various types (e.g., general VQA and text-oriented VQA, etc.). Extensive experimental results show that AVG-LLaVA can effectively reduce the number of visual tokens and improve inference speed (e.g., an 85.3% reduction in visual tokens and a 2.53× increase in inference speed on the AI2D benchmark) while achieving better performance under the same base LLM.

085 086 087

088

## 2 RELATED WORK

Large Multimodal Models LLMs such as GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023a), LLaMA (Touvron et al., 090 2023), and Gemini (Team et al., 2023) have achieved significant success in language understanding and generation. Benefiting from this, multimodal large models (LMMs) have garnered widespread 091 attention. Flamingo (Alayrac et al., 2022), BLIP-2 (Li et al., 2023b), and LLaMA-adapter (Zhang 092 et al., 2023) integrate a frozen visual encoder and trainable modules into a LLM, extending it into 093 a LMMs. These models are then fine-tuned using plain image-text pairs, enabling them to process 094 and perceive visual content. To further improve instruction-following abilities and response quality, 095 LLaVA (Liu et al., 2023a) fine-tunes the entire model using visual instruction data generated by 096 GPT-4. However, since these LMMs rely on CLIP-ViT to process images at a fixed resolution (e.g. 097 336x336), it hinders the LMMs from perceiving image details at higher resolutions.

098

099 **High-Resolution LMMs** To perceive images with higher resolutions, Qwen-VL (Bai et al., 2023) 100 increases the input resolution of the visual encoder to 448×448 and introduces an additional training 101 stage. Along this line, both Vary (Wei et al., 2023) and Mini-Gemini (Li et al., 2024a) include two 102 vision encoders: one is an additional introduced high-resolution vision encoder, and the other is the 103 original low-resolution vision encoder. Unlike the methods mentioned above, SPHINX (Lin et al., 104 2023) and Monkey (Li et al., 2024b) enlarge the input image to a high resolution, and then divide 105 it into a fixed number of local images, which are individually encoded using an image encoder to obtain local image tokens. Subsequently, the original global image tokens are concatenated with all 106 local image tokens to feed into the LLM. Furthermore, LLaVA-NeXT (Liu et al., 2024b) enumer-107 ates various resolutions and adaptively selects the one that most closely matches the input image

123

124

125

126

127 128



Figure 2: The architecture of AVG-LLaVA. AVG-LLaVA additionally introduces two modules based on LLaVA-NeXT: (1) Visual granularity scaler. This module consists of multiple pooling layers that progressively increase the granularity of visual features, thereby reducing the number of visual tokens; (2) Visual granularity router. This module includes a Transformer layer, an MLP layer, and a voter layer, which are used to select the appropriate granularity of visual features based on the input multi-granularity visual tokens and instruction tokens.

129 resolution. Although these methods can achieve better performance, they significantly increase the 130 number of visual tokens, as the computational complexity scales quadratically with the number of 131 input tokens, resulting in higher inference costs. 132

133 **Vision Token Reduction for LMMs** Recently, several methods are proposed to reduce the visual 134 tokens for LMMs, including visual token pruning and merging. For example, CrossGET (Shi et al., 135 2023) introduces a cross-modal token for leveraging cross-modal information to make decisions on 136 token selection and merging. LLaVA-PruMerge (Shang et al., 2024) employs the similarity between 137 the class token and other tokens as a key criterion for pruning and merging vision tokens. Unlike the 138 aforementioned methods, FastV (Chen et al., 2024b) finds that most image tokens receive inefficient attention after the second decoder layer, and thus prunes half of the image tokens. Similarly, VTW 139 (Lin et al., 2024b) adopts a more aggressive strategy to prune all visual tokens at a certain layer. 140 Unfortunately, despite the above methods effectively reducing the number of visual tokens, they 141 often lead to a certain degree of decline in model performance. More recently,  $LLaVA-M^3$  (Cai et al., 142 2024) obtains multi-granularity visual features by merging visual tokens through pooling, enabling 143 manual control of the tradeoff between inference cost and performance. Significantly different from 144 these methods, our model can adaptively select the appropriate visual granularity based on the input 145 image and instruction, improving model performance while reducing visual tokens.

146 147

3 OUR MODEL

148 149 150

3.1 MODEL ARCHITECTURE

151 As shown in Figure 2, in addition to the visual encoder, visual-language connector, and LLM, AVG-152 LLaVA introduces two additional modules on top of LLaVA-NeXT: the visual granularity scaler and 153 the visual granularity router. The key components will be elaborated in the following. 154

155 **High-Resolution Image Encoding** Given an input image  $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ , we follow common practice (Liu et al., 2024b) to divide it into multiple smaller local images  $\mathbf{I}_{local} \in \mathbb{R}^{H_v \times W_v \times 3}$ , where  $H_v$ 156 157 and  $W_v$  are the resolution that the vision encoder is originally trained for. Then, these local images are individually encoded into a  $H_p \times W_p$  grid of visual tokens  $\mathbf{X}_{local} \in \mathbb{R}^{H_p \times W_p \times C}$  by the image 158 159 encoder, where C is the dimension of the visual encoder. To preserve the global context information of the input image, we resize the original image to  $(H_v, W_v)$  and encode it as global visual tokens. 160 Finally, we map both global visual tokens and local visual tokens to the word embedding space 161 through an MLP-based vision-language connector.



Figure 3: The overview of multi-stage training. Note that visual granularity scaler does not contain any parameters that require training.

**Visual Granularity Scaler** This module sequentially stacks  $1 \times 2$  and  $2 \times 1$  average pooling layers, thereby obtaining visual features at multiple granularities and preserving the spatial information. In this work, we consider CLIP-ViT-L-336 (Radford et al., 2021) as the visual encoder, and thus each image is encoded into  $24 \times 24$  grid of visual tokens. Then, these visual tokens are fed into the visual granularity scaler, obtaining visual tokens with a grid of  $24 \times 12$ ,  $12 \times 12$ ,  $12 \times 6$  and  $6 \times 6$ , respectively. In this way, we can obtain visual tokens of different granularities in a fine-to-coarse manner without training.

Visual Granularity Router Different visual granularity features can be considered as different 183 experts, so the Mixture of Experts (MoE) (Shazeer et al., 2017; Komatsuzaki et al., 2023; Lin et al., 184 2024a) structure is particularly well-suited for selecting the appropriate visual granularity. Unlike 185 the previous MoE studies that use linear layers as routers, we propose a multi-layer structure as 186 illustrated in Figure 2 to select the appropriate visual granularity based on the input image and the 187 instruction. Specifically, when dealing with an image, we first flatten and concatenate its visual 188 tokens of all granularities to form multi-granularity visual tokens  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_v = [\mathbf{X}_v^1; \mathbf{X}_v^2; ...; \mathbf{X}_v^N]$ , where 189  $\mathbf{X}_{v}^{i}$  represents the visual tokens of the *i*-th granularity, and N is the number of visual granularities<sup>2</sup>. 190 Then, these visual tokens are concatenated with the filtered instruction tokens  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{instruct}$  to serve 191 as the input for the visual granularity router. Here,  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{instruct}$  is obtained by calculating the co-192 sine similarity between the original instruction tokens  $X_{instruct}$  and the visual tokens with original 193 granularity  $\mathbf{X}_{v}$ , retaining the top-k most relevant ones. Afterwards, we apply a single Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) layer to facilitate the fusion of visual tokens at different granularities with 194 instruction tokens. Subsequently, an MLP is applied to each token to predict the appropriate visual granularity, resulting in the logits  $\mathbf{Z}_{out} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times N}$ , where L is the number of both visual and instruction tokens. To vote for the most appropriate visual granularity, we use a learnable weight 195 196 197 matrix (Voter)  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times L}$  to aggregate the logits predicted by all tokens, yielding the final logits 198  $\mathbf{Z}_{final} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times N}$ . Finally, we use softmax to calculate the probability distribution of each visual 199 granularity, where the visual tokens corresponding to the granularity with the highest probability are 200 fed into the LLM.

201 202 203

172

173 174

182

### 3.2 MULTI-STAGE TRAINING

To effectively train our model, we carefully design a multi-stage training strategy, which consists of four stages, as illustrated in Figure 3.

209

210 211

212 213

204

**Stage 1: Pretraining** During this stage, we only pretrain the vision-language connector on a plain image-caption dataset. Formally, we define the following cross-entropy loss for the next token prediction:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\sum_{t=1}^T \log P(x_t | \mathbf{X}_v, \mathbf{X}_{c, < t}), \tag{1}$$

L

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To simplify the explanation, we use a single image as an example. In practice, we include a global image
 and multiple local images, and each image will go through the following steps. The final result will be obtained by averaging the results of all the images.



Figure 4: The overview of RGLF. Visual tokens of each granularity are concatenated with instruction tokens and then processed by the LMM to estimate the corresponding rewards. Visual granularity router optimizes the score (log probability) of each granularity based on the feedback from the LMM.

where  $\mathbf{X}_{c,<t}$  are the caption tokens before the current prediction token  $x_t$ , and T is the length of target text tokens. Note that we fix the parameters of the vision encoder and large language model, both of which have been pre-trained on large-scale data. Through this stage of training, the image features can be aligned with the pre-trained LLM word embeddings.

**Stage 2: Visual Instruction Tuning** In the second stage, we jointly train the visual encoder, vision-language connector, and LLM on high-quality visual instruction data. In this way, the LLM can be converted into an LMM, which is able to complete various multimodal tasks. Specifically, we perform next-token predictions with the following cross-entropy loss only on the answering part

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = -\sum_{t=1}^T \log P(x_t | \mathbf{X}_v, \mathbf{X}_{instruct}, \mathbf{X}_{a, < t}),$$
(2)

where  $\mathbf{X}_a$  denotes the answer tokens.

**Stage 3: Multi-Granularity Visual Instruction Tuning** Following the previous stages, we introduce the visual granularity scaler. As described in Section 3.1, this module does not contain trainable parameters and thus does not need to be trained. Therefore, we use the same data as in the stage 2 to train the visual encoder, vision-language connector, and LLM, enabling them to perceive and process visual features of *N* different granularities. Formally, the loss at this stage is formulated as

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \log P(x_t | \mathbf{X}_v^i, \mathbf{X}_{instruct}, \mathbf{X}_{a, < t}).$$
(3)

**Stage 4: Ranking Granularity to Align LMM Feedback** Lastly, we introduce the visual granularity router into the model training, where all other modules are frozen, and only the router is trained. This stage allows the model to select the appropriate visual granularity based on the input image and instruction. Intuitively, a straightforward approach to training the router is to use the same visual instruction fine-tuning method as in previous stages. However, we find that the router trained with this method performs poorly. This could be due to the difficulty of visual instruction fine-tuning in effectively enabling the router to learn the differences between different visual granularities.

To address the above issue, we propose RGLF, as illustrated in Figure 4, where the router is trained with a ranking loss, utilizing the feedback from the LMM fine-tuned with multi-granularity visual instructions as the ranking criterion. Concretely, for the given image and instructions, we let the LMM predict answers using visual tokens of different granularity  $\mathbf{X}_{v}^{i}$  and calculate their respective log probabilities. Then, based on these log probabilities, we sort  $\mathbf{X}_{v}^{1}$ ;  $\mathbf{X}_{v}^{2}$ ; ...;  $\mathbf{X}_{v}^{N}$  in a descending order to obtain  $\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{1}$ ;  $\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{2}$ ; ...;  $\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{N}$ . Given the visual tokens  $\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{i}$  of the *i*-th granularity, we directly consider those tokens ( $\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{1}$ ;  $\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{2}$ ; ...;  $\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{i-1}$ ) ranked above it as positive examples and the remaining tokens  $(\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{i+1}; \mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{i+2}; ...; \mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{N})$  as negative ones. Afterwards, we use the router to give scores (log probability)  $s_i$  for each  $\mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^{i}$ :

s

$$_{i} = \log P(g_{i} | \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{v}, \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{instruct}), \tag{4}$$

where  $g_i$  denotes the *i*-th granularity predicted by the router based on multi-granularity visual tokens  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_v$  and filtered instruction tokens  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{instruct}$ . Since we expect the router to assign higher probabilities to more appropriate visual granularities, the ranking loss is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{rank} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j>i} \max(0, s_j - s_i + \lambda_{ij}), \tag{5}$$

where  $\lambda_{ij}$  is the margin calculated as the difference in log probabilities between the answers predicted by the LLM using visual tokens of the *i*-th and *j*-th granularities:

$$\lambda_{ij} = \frac{j-i}{|T|} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\log P(x_t | \mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^i, \mathbf{X}_{instruct}, \mathbf{X}_{a, < t}) - \log P(x_t | \mathbf{X}_{\hat{v}}^j, \mathbf{X}_{instruct}, \mathbf{X}_{a, < t})).$$
(6)

284 When the preference of  $\mathbf{X}_v^j$  is only slightly worse than  $\mathbf{X}_v^i$ , the margin will be small. Conversely, 285 when  $\mathbf{X}_v^j$  is significantly worse than  $\mathbf{X}_v^i$ , the margin will correspondingly increase. In this way, we 286 can dynamically adjust the margin to obtain adaptively penalty degrees between different pairs.

In addition to aligning with the LMM preference ranking, it is also desirable for the router to select
 the optimal visual granularity. Therefore, we add a cross-entropy loss to let the router learn the
 prediction of granularity with the highest log probability from the LMM, defined as follows:

$$k = \arg\max_{i} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log P(x_t | \mathbf{X}_v^i, \mathbf{X}_{instruct}, \mathbf{X}_{a, < t}),$$
(7)

$$\mathcal{L}_{ce} = -\log P(g_k | \overline{\mathbf{X}}_v, \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{instruct}).$$
(8)

In summary, the total loss is defined as the weighted sum of two losses:

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \mathcal{L}_{rank} + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{ce},\tag{9}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the hyperparameters used to maintain the balance between the ranking loss  $\mathcal{L}_{rank}$  and cross-entropy loss  $\mathcal{L}_{ce}$ .

#### 4 EXPERIMENTS

#### 4.1 Settings

304 **Training Datasets** Note that in this work, we mainly focus on investigating the effectiveness of automatic visual granularity selection in reducing the number of visual tokens and improving model 305 performance. Therefore, during the first stage, we also use CC-595K image-text pairs for model 306 training, as implemented in LLaVA-NeXT (Liu et al., 2024b). In the subsequent training stages, 307 we also hope to use the same data as LLaVA-NeXT. However, the real user interaction data used 308 for visual instruction fine-tuning in LLaVA-NeXT are not open-sourced, so we opt to extract 200K 309 samples from ALLaVA (Chen et al., 2024a) dataset as a substitute. Although LLaVA-NeXT re-310 places TextVOA (Singh et al., 2019) with DocVOA (Mathew et al., 2021) and SynDog-EN (Kim 311 et al., 2022), the TextVQA has already been included in the training data of most existing LMMs. 312 Consequently, we choose to retain it to ensure a fair comparison with other models.<sup>3</sup> In total, the 313 visual instruction fine-tuning data we use contains 1M image-text pairs.

314

277 278

281 282 283

290 291

292 293

295 296 297

298

299 300

301 302

303

315 **Implementation Details** Following Liu et al. (2024b), we use CLIP ViT-L/14 as the visual en-316 coder, Vicuna-7B (Chiang et al., 2023) as the LLM. We set the filtered instruction token number kto 32 and the cross-entropy loss weight  $\alpha$  to 0.1. The impact of these two hyperparameters on model 317 performance is discussed in Section 4.6. In our first two stages, we adopt the same training settings 318 as LLaVA-NeXT for pre-training and visual instruction fine-tuning. In the third stage, the learning 319 rates for the visual encoder and other modules are set to  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ , respectively, with 320 a batch size of 128. In the fourth stage, the learning rate for the visual granularity router is set to 321  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ , with a batch size of 128. More details of the training process are provided in Appendix 322 A.2. 323

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Our data recipe follows Open-LLaVA-NeXT (Lin & Long, 2024).

Table 1: Comparison with LMMs of the same size on general VQA benchmarks and text-oriented VQA benchmarks. The best results are marked in bold, and the second best results are underlined.

Model	IIM	General VQA			Text-oriented VQA				
Woder	LLW	GQA	ScienceQA	VizWiz	TextVQA	ChartQA	DocVQA	AI2D	
Standard-resolution LMMs									
InstructBLIP (Dai et al., 2023)	Vicuna-7B	49.2	60.5	34.5	-	-	-	-	
IDEFICS-9B (Team, 2023)	LLaMA-7B	38.4	-	35.5	25.9	-	-	-	
Qwen-VL (Bai et al., 2023)	Qwen-7B	59.3	67.1	35.2	63.8	65.7	65.1	62.3	
Qwen-VL-Chat (Bai et al., 2023)	Qwen-7B	57.5	68.2	38.9	61.6	66.3	62.6	57.7	
InternVL-Chat (Chen et al., 2023)	Vicuna-7B	62.9	-	52.5	57.0	-	-	-	
mPLUG-Owl2 (Ye et al., 2023)	LLaMA2-7B	56.1	68.7	54.5	58.2	-	-	-	
MQT-LLAVA (Hu et al., 2024)	Vicuna-7B	61.6	67.6	53.1	-	-	-	-	
LLaVA-1.5 (Liu et al., 2024a)	Vicuna-7B	62.0	66.8	50.0	58.2	-	-	-	
		High-re	solution LMM.	s					
SPHINX-2k (Lin et al., 2023)	LLaMA2-7B	63.1	70.6	44.9	61.2	-	-	-	
TextMonkey (Liu et al., 2024c)	Qwen-VL-7B	-	-	-	65.9	58.2	64.3	-	
Mini-Gemini-HD (Li et al., 2024a)	Vicuna-7B	-	-	-	68.4	-	-	-	
LLaVA-NeXT (Liu et al., 2024b)	Vicuna-7B	64.2	70.1	57.6	64.9	54.8	74.4	66.6	
LLaVA-NeXT-M <sup>3</sup> (Cai et al., 2024)	Vicuna-7B	-	72.5	-	63.1	59.0	72.6	66.7	
AVG-LLaVA	Vicuna-7B	63.0	<u>71.1</u>	59.8	67.1	66.3	74.6	67.3	

Table 2: Comparison with LMMs of the same size on general multimodal benchmarks. The best results are marked in bold, and the second best results are underlined.

Model	LLM	MME	$MME^C$	MMB	$\mathbf{MMB}^{CN}$	POPE	MMMU
	Standard	resolution	ı LMMs				
InstructBLIP (Dai et al., 2023)	Vicuna-7B	1084.0	229.0	-	-	-	30.6
Qwen-VL-Chat (Bai et al., 2023)	Qwen-7B	1487.6	360.7	60.6	-	-	-
InternVL-Chat (Chen et al., 2023)	Vicuna-7B	1525.1	-	-	-	86.4	-
mPLUG-Owl2 (Ye et al., 2023)	LLaMA2-7B	1450.2	-	64.5	-	-	-
MQT-LLAVA (Hu et al., 2024)	Vicuna-7B	1434.5	353.6	64.3	-	84.4	34.8
LLaVA-1.5 (Liu et al., 2023a)	Vicuna-7B	1510.7	-	64.3	58.3	<u>87.3</u>	-
	High-re	solution I	.MMs				
SPHINX-2k (Lin et al., 2023)	LLaMA2-7B	1470.6	326.8	65.9	-	87.2	-
OtterHD-8B (Li et al., 2023a)	Fuyu-8B	1223.4	331.4	58.3	-	86.0	-
Mini-Gemini-HD (Li et al., 2024a)	Vicuna-7B	1546.0	319.0	65.8	-	-	36.8
LLaVA-NeXT (Liu et al., 2024b)	Vicuna-7B	1519.0	332.0	67.4	60.6	86.5	35.8
LLaVA-NeXT-M <sup>3</sup> (Cai et al., 2024)	Vicuna-7B	-	-	<u>68.0</u>	-	87.2	34.0
AVG-LLaVA	Vicuna-7B	1557.4	366.8	69.9	61.8	87.4	37.4

**Evaluations** We evaluate our model on three kinds of benchmarks: (1) **general VQA benchmarks**: GQA (Hudson & Manning, 2019), SciQA-Img (Lu et al., 2022), and VizWiz (Gurari et al., 2018); (2) **text-oriented VQA benchmarks**: TextVQA (Singh et al., 2019), ChartQA (Masry et al., 2022), DocVQA (Mathew et al., 2021), and AI2D (Kembhavi et al., 2016); and (3) **general multimodal benchmarks**: MME (Fu et al., 2023), MMB (Liu et al., 2023b), MMB<sup>CN</sup> (Liu et al., 2023b), POPE (Li et al., 2023c), and MMMU (Yue et al., 2023).

4.2 MAIN RESULTS

General VQA Benchmarks The results in Table 1 show that AVG-LLaVA outperforms all standard-resolution LMMs on the General VQA benchmarks and other high-resolution LMMs on VizWiz. Although it does not achieve the best results on GQA and ScienceQA, it is important to note that AVG-LLaVA uses fewer visual tokens compared to other high-resolution models, and this comparison will be detailed in Section 4.3.

Text-oriented VQA Benchmarks In this category of benchmarks, as illustrated in Table 1, except for TextVQA, AVG-LLaVA outperforms all other comparison models. Back to TextVQA, AVG-LLaVA achieves the second-best result, only trailing behind Mini-Gemini-HD. Notably, Mini-Gemini-HD utilizes more than twice the amount of data during the pretraining and approximately 1.5 times the amount of data during the visual instruction fine-tuning compared to AVG-LLaVA.

378 General Multimodal Benchmarks Compared to traditional VQA datasets, this type of bench-379 mark covers a broader range of evaluation aspects, requiring models to possess more complex per-380 ception and reasoning capabilities. As summarized in Table 2, AVG-LLaVA surpasses all other 381 models, exhibiting superior overall performance and highlighting its adaptability and effectiveness across various disciplines. Specifically, AVG-LLaVA outperforms the second best model by 9.4 382 and 6.1 on MME and MME<sup>C</sup>, respectively, and by 1.9 and 1.2 on MMB and MMB<sup>CN</sup>, respec-383 tively. Moreover, AVG-LLaVA's performance on the POPE and MMMU benchmarks demonstrates 384 its ability to reduce hallucinations and perform complex reasoning. 385

- 386 387
- 4.3 COMPUTATIONAL EFFICIENCY
- 388

394

396 397

Table 3: Comparisons of AVG-LLaVA and LLaVA-NeXT in terms of the number of visual tokens and actual inference speed, both of which are tested on 8 V100 GPUs with a batch size of 1. AVG-LLaVA can reduce the number of visual tokens by up to 85.3% and is up to 2.53× faster than LLaVA-NeXT.

Matria	General VQA			Text-oriented VQA			MLLM Benchmarks		
Wietric	GQA	ScienceQA	VizWiz	TextVQA	ChartQA	AI2D	MME	MMB	MMMU
Token Per Grid↓	80.0%	26.4%	54.9%	92.3%	99.1%	14.7%	69.3%	30.0%	29.9%
Speed ↑	$1.14 \times$	$1.77 \times$	$1.41 \times$	$1.04 \times$	$0.97 \times$	$2.53 \times$	1.19×	$1.87 \times$	$1.79 \times$

To validate the effectiveness of dynamic visual granularity selection, we compare AVG-LLaVA with 398 LLaVA-NeXT in terms of visual token number and inference speed across multiple benchmarks. 399 Specifically, for each type of benchmark, we select three benchmarks for comparison, and report 400 the reduction in the number of visual tokens per grid and the actual speedup during inference. As 401 shown in Table 3, except for text-intensive VQA benchmarks that require very fine-grained visual 402 information, such as TextVQA and ChartVQA, AVG-LLaVA significantly reduces the number of 403 visual tokens and improves inference speed across other benchmarks. Particularly, on the AI2D 404 benchmark, AVG-LLaVA achieves better performance than LLaVA-NeXT while using only 14.7% 405 of the visual tokens, and the inference speed increased by  $2.53 \times .4$  Notably, even with the addition of 406 two extra modules, there is no significant slowdown in inference speed on the ChartVQA benchmark 407 when using a comparable number of visual tokens. Moreover, AVG-LLaVA only increases the 408 number of parameters by 1.66% compared to LLaVA-NeXT.

409 410

## 4.4 ROUTING VISUALIZATION

411 To further understand the differences in the granularity selection of AVG-LLaVA across different 412 benchmarks, we visualize the proportion of visual tokens selected at each granularity level for all 413 benchmarks. Figure 5 shows the visualization results, it is evident that different tasks tend to favor 414 different visual granularity, which is consistent with our expectations. In the case of text-intensive 415 benchmarks like TextVQA, ChartQA, and DocVQA, the model requires fine-grained visual infor-416 mation, so the router predominantly selects the finest visual granularity. On the other hand, for 417 benchmarks involving object-level questions, such as AI2D and MMMU, the model may find it eas-418 ier to answer correctly by utilizing coarse-grained visual information. Additionally, we observe that 419 the granularities with 72 and 288 visual tokens are rarely selected. However, we find that incorpo-420 rating these granularities of visual tokens helps the model progressively learn to utilize visual tokens with different granularity and allows it to more fully understand the differences between various 421 visual granularities. Further verifications are detailed in Section 4.5. 422

423 424

4.5 ABLATION STUDY

In order to validate the effectiveness of our designed modules and training paradigm, we conduct the following ablation analysis.

Adaptive Visual Granularity vs. Fixed Visual Granularity We first delve into the proposed adaptive visual granularity router and report results in Table 4(a). It is clear that, compared to fixed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>We also present qualitative results in Appendix A.3 and illustrate the effectiveness of adaptive visual granularity.





Table 4:	Ablation	results	on multii	ble ber	nchmarks.
10010	1 10 10000	1000100	011 11101101		

Ablated Setting		Ablated Details	Original Value	$\rightarrow$	Changed Value	ScienceQA	ChartQA	MME	MMB
		AVG	-LLaVA			71.1	66.3	1557.4	69.9
	(a)	Visual Granularity	Adaptive		Fixed	70.0	66.4	1554.5	68.7
Arabitaatura	(b)	Granularity Selection	Router		Random	69.7	56.8	1535.7	67.9
Architecture	(c)	Router Input	Image + Instruction		Image	70.1	53.9	1525.2	69.0
	(d)	Granularity Range	$\{36, 72, 144, 288, 576\}$		{36, 144, 576}	69.8	65.3	1547.7	66.3
<b>T</b>	(e)	Router Training	Feedback from LMM		Visual Instruction Fine-tuning	70.5	50.9	1514.8	68.6
Training	(f)	Ranking Loss	$\checkmark$		×	70.1	64.8	1534.6	68.6
	(g)	Cross-entropy Loss	$\checkmark$		×	70.2	66.3	1550.8	69.4

visual granularity, adaptive visual granularity shows significant improvement on ScienceQA, MME, and MMB. It is worth noting that, in addition to performance improvement, adaptive visual granularity can also significantly reduce the number of visual tokens and increase the model's inference speed, as reported in Section 4.3.

**Router Granularity Selection vs. Random Granularity Selection** In Table 4(b), we replace the granularity selected by the router with randomly-selected granularity. The results show that visual granularity router can indeed select a relatively appropriate granularity based on the input image and instruction, thereby significantly enhancing model performance.

**Impact of Router Input** The instruction plays a crucial role in granularity selection. To validate this, we remove the instruction from the router input. As shown in Table 4(c), a clear performance degradation rises when solely using image as input (e.g., -12.4 on ChartQA), illustrating the impor-tance of choosing granularity based on input image and instruction.

**Impact of Granularity Range** In Section 4.4, we observe that granularities with 72 and 288 visual tokens are rarely selected, therefore we remove the visual tokens of these two granularities. As shown in Table 4(d), this change leads to a decrease in model performance, proving that introducing these granularities benefits the model's progressive learning to utilize features of different visual granularities and distinguish among various visual granularities.

486 **Impact of Router Training Methods** We directly train the router using visual instructions fine-487 tuning, applying the same loss function as in Stage 2. Unlike our original approach where the router 488 is directly supervised by LMM feedback, this variant computes the loss on the LMM and backpropa-489 gates the gradient to the router using the Gumbel-Softmax technique (Jang et al., 2017). The results 490 in Table 4(e) show that direct feedback from the LMM allows the router to better distinguish the advantages and disadvantages of different granularities, thereby enabling it to select an appropriate 491 granularity. 492

**Importance of Ranking Granularity** In Table 4(f) and Table 4(g), we remove the cross-entropy loss and ranking loss during the fourth stage, respectively. The results indicate that both types of loss are beneficial to model training and are complementary to each other, between which the ranking loss is more crucial. This underscores the necessity to train the router by ranking granularity to align LMM feedback.

### 4.6 HYPERPARAMETER ANALYSIS

493

494

495

496

497

498 499

500 501

502

504

505

506 507

509

510 511

512

513

514 515

516

517

518

519

520

521 522

523





Figure 6: Influence of the filtered instruction to- Figure 7: Influence of the cross-entropy loss ken number k on model performance, measured weight  $\alpha$  on model performance, measured on on ChartQA and MMB benchmarks.

ChartOA and MMB benchmarks.

We experimentally explore the influence of the filtered instruction token number k and the crossentropy loss weight  $\alpha$  on model performance. As shown in Figure 6, the model performance is significantly affected when k is too small or too large. This may be due to the fact that too few instruction tokens provide insufficient text information, while too many tokens will introduce more noise. Figure 7 indicates that our approach is relatively robust to  $\alpha$  and setting a smaller  $\alpha$  is able to consistently enhance model performance, making our training method easy to apply.

#### 5 CONCLUSION

524 In this work, we propose AVG-LLaVA, an LMM that can adaptively select appropriate visual granularity based on input image and instruction. AVG-LLaVA builds upon LLaVA-NeXT by introducing 526 a visual granularity scaler and a visual granularity router, which are used to obtain multi-granularity 527 visual features and select the appropriate visual granularity based on image and instruction, re-528 spectively. Besides, we introduce RGLF, which aligns router-predicted probabilities of multiple 529 granularities with LMM preferences by a ranking loss, effectively helping the model learn to distin-530 guish between different granularities based on image and instruction. Experimental results show that 531 AVG-LLaVA not only exhibits superior performance across 11 benchmarks, but also significantly reduce the number of visual tokens and speed up inference in tasks that do not require fine-grained 532 information. Particularly, on the AI2D benchmark, it reduces the number of visual tokens by 85.3% 533 and speeds the inference by  $2.53 \times$ . We hope our work can inspire more attention to the visual 534 granularity in LMMs. 535

536 While AVG-LLaVA has achieved good results, there is still considerable potential to be further explored. On text-intensive benchmarks, the model tends to select the finest-grained visual tokens, which may be due to the pooling directly reducing half of the tokens, resulting in significant differ-538 ences in granularity size. In the future, we plan to design a more suitable granularity scaling network to provide richer visual granularities.

# 540 REFERENCES

- Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, 542 Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katherine Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, Roman Ring, Eliza 543 Rutherford, Serkan Cabi, Tengda Han, Zhitao Gong, Sina Samangooei, Marianne Mon-544 teiro, Jacob L. Menick, Sebastian Borgeaud, Andy Brock, Aida Nematzadeh, Sahand Sharifzadeh, Mikolaj Binkowski, Ricardo Barreira, Oriol Vinyals, Andrew Zisserman, and Karén 546 Simonyan. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. In Sanmi Koyejo, 547 S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh (eds.), Advances in Neu-548 ral Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Process-549 ing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 550 2022, 2022. URL http://papers.nips.cc/paper\_files/paper/2022/hash/ 551 960a172bc7fbf0177ccccbb411a7d800-Abstract-Conference.html.
- Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Shusheng Yang, Shijie Wang, Sinan Tan, Peng Wang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. Qwen-vl: A versatile vision-language model for understanding, local-ization, text reading, and beyond. *CoRR*, 2023.
- Mu Cai, Jianwei Yang, Jianfeng Gao, and Yong Jae Lee. Matryoshka multimodal models. *CoRR*, abs/2405.17430, 2024. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2405.17430. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.17430.
- Guiming Hardy Chen, Shunian Chen, Ruifei Zhang, Junying Chen, Xiangbo Wu, Zhiyi Zhang, Zhi-hong Chen, Jianquan Li, Xiang Wan, and Benyou Wang. Allava: Harnessing gpt4v-synthesized data for A lite vision-language model. *CoRR*, abs/2402.11684, 2024a. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV. 2402.11684. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.11684.
- Liang Chen, Haozhe Zhao, Tianyu Liu, Shuai Bai, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Baobao Chang.
   An image is worth 1/2 tokens after layer 2: Plug-and-play inference acceleration for large vision-language models. *CoRR*, abs/2403.06764, 2024b. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2403.06764. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.06764.
- Zhe Chen, Jiannan Wu, Wenhai Wang, Weijie Su, Guo Chen, Sen Xing, Muyan Zhong, Qinglong
  Zhang, Xizhou Zhu, Lewei Lu, Bin Li, Ping Luo, Tong Lu, Yu Qiao, and Jifeng Dai. Internvl:
  Scaling up vision foundation models and aligning for generic visual-linguistic tasks. *CoRR*,
  abs/2312.14238, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2312.14238. URL https://doi.org/10.
  48550/arXiv.2312.14238.
- Wei-Lin Chiang, Zhuohan Li, Zi Lin, Ying Sheng, Zhanghao Wu, Hao Zhang, Lianmin Zheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Yonghao Zhuang, Joseph E. Gonzalez, Ion Stoica, and Eric P. Xing. Vicuna: An open-source chatbot impressing gpt-4 with 90%\* chatgpt quality, March 2023. URL https: //lmsys.org/blog/2023-03-30-vicuna/.
- Wenliang Dai, Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Anthony Meng Huat Tiong, Junqi Zhao, Weisheng 578 Wang, Boyang Li, Pascale Fung, and Steven C. H. Hoi. Instructblip: Towards general-579 purpose vision-language models with instruction tuning. In Alice Oh, Tristan Nau-580 mann, Amir Globerson, Kate Saenko, Moritz Hardt, and Sergey Levine (eds.), Advances 581 in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Informa-582 tion Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 583 2023, 2023. URL http://papers.nips.cc/paper\_files/paper/2023/hash/ 584 9a6a435e75419a836fe47ab6793623e6-Abstract-Conference.html.
- Chaoyou Fu, Peixian Chen, Yunhang Shen, Yulei Qin, Mengdan Zhang, Xu Lin, Zhenyu Qiu, Wei Lin, Jinrui Yang, Xiawu Zheng, Ke Li, Xing Sun, and Rongrong Ji. MME: A comprehensive evaluation benchmark for multimodal large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2306.13394, 2023. doi: 10. 48550/ARXIV.2306.13394. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.13394.
- Danna Gurari, Qing Li, Abigale J. Stangl, Anhong Guo, Chi Lin, Kristen Grauman, Jiebo Luo, and Jeffrey P. Bigham. Vizwiz grand challenge: Answering visual questions from blind people. In 2018 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2018, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, June 18-22, 2018, pp. 3608–3617. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE Computer Society, 2018. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.

595

596

621

627

634

2018.00380. URL http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content\_cvpr\_2018/html/ Gurari\_VizWiz\_Grand\_Challenge\_CVPR\_2018\_paper.html.

- Raia Hadsell, Sumit Chopra, and Yann LeCun. Dimensionality reduction by learning an invariant mapping. In 2006 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR 2006), 17-22 June 2006, New York, NY, USA, pp. 1735–1742. IEEE Computer Society, 2006. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2006.100. URL https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2006.100.
- Mark Hopkins and Jonathan May. Tuning as ranking. In Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2011, 27-31 July 2011, John McIntyre Conference Centre, Edinburgh, UK, A meeting of SIGDAT, a Special Interest Group of the ACL, pp. 1352–1362. ACL, 2011. URL https://aclanthology.org/D11–1125/.
- Wenbo Hu, Zi-Yi Dou, Liunian Harold Li, Amita Kamath, Nanyun Peng, and Kai-Wei Chang.
   Matryoshka query transformer for large vision-language models. *CoRR*, abs/2405.19315, 2024.
   doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2405.19315. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.
   19315.
- Drew A. Hudson and Christopher D. Manning. GQA: A new dataset for real-world visual reasoning and compositional question answering. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2019, Long Beach, CA, USA, June 16-20, 2019*, pp. 6700–6709. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 2019. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2019.00686. URL http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content\_CVPR\_2019/html/Hudson\_GQA\_A\_New\_Dataset\_for\_Real-World\_Visual\_Reasoning\_and\_Compositional\_CVPR\_2019\_paper.html.
- Eric Jang, Shixiang Gu, and Ben Poole. Categorical reparameterization with gumbel-softmax. In 5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings. OpenReview.net, 2017. URL https://openreview. net/forum?id=rkE3y85ee.
- Aniruddha Kembhavi, Mike Salvato, Eric Kolve, Min Joon Seo, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Ali
  Farhadi. A diagram is worth a dozen images. In Bastian Leibe, Jiri Matas, Nicu Sebe, and
  Max Welling (eds.), Computer Vision ECCV 2016 14th European Conference, Amsterdam,
  The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part IV, volume 9908 of Lecture Notes in
  Computer Science, pp. 235–251. Springer, 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-46493-0\\_15. URL
  https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46493-0\_15.
- Geewook Kim, Teakgyu Hong, Moonbin Yim, JeongYeon Nam, Jinyoung Park, Jinyeong Yim, Wonseok Hwang, Sangdoo Yun, Dongyoon Han, and Seunghyun Park. Ocr-free document understanding transformer. In Shai Avidan, Gabriel J. Brostow, Moustapha Cissé, Giovanni Maria Farinella, and Tal Hassner (eds.), *Computer Vision - ECCV 2022 - 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23-27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXVIII*, volume 13688 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 498–517. Springer, 2022. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-19815-1\\_29. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19815-1\_29.
- Aran Komatsuzaki, Joan Puigcerver, James Lee-Thorp, Carlos Riquelme Ruiz, Basil Mustafa, Joshua Ainslie, Yi Tay, Mostafa Dehghani, and Neil Houlsby. Sparse upcycling: Training mixture-of-experts from dense checkpoints. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net, 2023. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=T5nUQDrM4u.
- Bo Li, Peiyuan Zhang, Jingkang Yang, Yuanhan Zhang, Fanyi Pu, and Ziwei Liu. Otterhd: A high-resolution multi-modality model. *CoRR*, abs/2311.04219, 2023a. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2311.
  04219. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.04219.
- Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven C. H. Hoi. BLIP-2: bootstrapping languageimage pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In Andreas Krause,
  Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett
  (eds.), *International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2023, 23-29 July 2023, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 19730–19742.
  PMLR, 2023b. URL https://proceedings.mlr.press/v202/li23q.html.

693

- Yanwei Li, Yuechen Zhang, Chengyao Wang, Zhisheng Zhong, Yixin Chen, Ruihang Chu, Shaoteng Liu, and Jiaya Jia. Mini-gemini: Mining the potential of multi-modality vision language models. *CoRR*, abs/2403.18814, 2024a. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2403.18814. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.18814.
- Yifan Li, Yifan Du, Kun Zhou, Jinpeng Wang, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Evaluating object hallucination in large vision-language models. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023, pp. 292–305. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2023c. doi: 10.18653/V1/2023.EMNLP-MAIN.20. URL https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.emnlp-main.20.
- Zhang Li, Biao Yang, Qiang Liu, Zhiyin Ma, Shuo Zhang, Jingxu Yang, Yabo Sun, Yuliang Liu, and Xiang Bai. Monkey: Image resolution and text label are important things for large multi-modal models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 26763–26773, June 2024b.
- Bin Lin, Zhenyu Tang, Yang Ye, Jiaxi Cui, Bin Zhu, Peng Jin, Junwu Zhang, Munan Ning, and Li Yuan. Moe-llava: Mixture of experts for large vision-language models. *CoRR*, abs/2401.15947, 2024a. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2401.15947. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. 2401.15947.
- Chen Lin and Xing Long. Open-llava-next: An open-source implementation of llava-next se ries for facilitating the large multi-modal model community. https://github.com/
   xiaoachen98/Open-LLaVA-NeXT, 2024.
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge J. Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C. Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft COCO: common objects in context. In David J. Fleet, Tomás Pajdla, Bernt Schiele, and Tinne Tuytelaars (eds.), *Computer Vision - ECCV 2014 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V*, volume 8693 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 740–755. Springer, 2014. doi: 10.1007/ 978-3-319-10602-1\\_48. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10602-1\_ 48.
- Zhihang Lin, Mingbao Lin, Luxi Lin, and Rongrong Ji. Boosting multimodal large language models with visual tokens withdrawal for rapid inference. *CoRR*, abs/2405.05803, 2024b. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2405.05803. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.05803.
- Ziyi Lin, Chris Liu, Renrui Zhang, Peng Gao, Longtian Qiu, Han Xiao, Han Qiu, Chen Lin, Wenqi
  Shao, Keqin Chen, Jiaming Han, Siyuan Huang, Yichi Zhang, Xuming He, Hongsheng Li, and
  Yu Qiao. SPHINX: the joint mixing of weights, tasks, and visual embeddings for multi-modal
  large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2311.07575, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2311.07575. URL
  https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.07575.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. In Alice
  Oh, Tristan Naumann, Amir Globerson, Kate Saenko, Moritz Hardt, and Sergey Levine (eds.),
  Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 16,
  2023, 2023a. URL http://papers.nips.cc/paper\_files/paper/2023/hash/
  6dcf277ea32ce3288914faf369fe6de0-Abstract-Conference.html.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction
   tuning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (CVPR), pp. 26296–26306, June 2024a.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee.
   Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, January 2024b. URL https://
   1lava-vl.github.io/blog/2024-01-30-llava-next/.
- 701 Yixin Liu, Pengfei Liu, Dragomir R. Radev, and Graham Neubig. BRIO: bringing order to abstractive summarization. In Smaranda Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio (eds.),

702 Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Vol-703 ume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27, 2022, pp. 2890-2903. Asso-704 ciation for Computational Linguistics, 2022. doi: 10.18653/V1/2022.ACL-LONG.207. URL 705 https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.207.

- 706 Yuan Liu, Haodong Duan, Yuanhan Zhang, Bo Li, Songyang Zhang, Wangbo Zhao, Yike Yuan, 707 Jiaqi Wang, Conghui He, Ziwei Liu, Kai Chen, and Dahua Lin. Mmbench: Is your multi-modal 708 model an all-around player? CoRR, abs/2307.06281, 2023b. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2307.06281. 709 URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.06281. 710
- 711 Yuliang Liu, Biao Yang, Qiang Liu, Zhang Li, Zhiyin Ma, Shuo Zhang, and Xiang Bai. Textmonkey: 712 An ocr-free large multimodal model for understanding document. CoRR, abs/2403.04473, 2024c. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2403.04473. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403. 713 04473. 714
- 715 Pan Lu, Swaroop Mishra, Tanglin Xia, Liang Qiu, Kai-Wei Chang, Song-Chun Zhu, 716 Oyvind Tafjord, Peter Clark, and Ashwin Kalyan. Learn to explain: Multimodal rea-717 soning via thought chains for science question answering. In Sanmi Koyejo, S. Mo-718 hamed, A. Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh (eds.), Advances in Neural 719 Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Process-720 ing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022, 2022. URL http://papers.nips.cc/paper\_files/paper/2022/hash/ 721 11332b6b6cf4485b84afadb1352d3a9a-Abstract-Conference.html. 722
- 723 Ahmed Masry, Do Xuan Long, Jia Qing Tan, Shafiq R. Joty, and Enamul Hoque. Chartqa: A 724 benchmark for question answering about charts with visual and logical reasoning. In Smaranda 725 Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio (eds.), Findings of the Association for Com-726 putational Linguistics: ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27, 2022, pp. 2263-2279. Associa-727 tion for Computational Linguistics, 2022. doi: 10.18653/V1/2022.FINDINGS-ACL.177. URL 728 https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.findings-acl.177.
- 729 Minesh Mathew, Dimosthenis Karatzas, and C. V. Jawahar. Docvqa: A dataset for VQA on doc-730 ument images. In IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision, WACV 2021, 731 Waikoloa, HI, USA, January 3-8, 2021, pp. 2199–2208. IEEE, 2021. doi: 10.1109/WACV48630. 732 2021.00225. URL https://doi.org/10.1109/WACV48630.2021.00225. 733
- OpenAI. GPT-4 technical report. CoRR, abs/2303.08774, 2023a. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2303. 08774. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.08774. 735
- 736 OpenAI. Gpt-4v(ision) system card, September 2023b. URL https://cdn.openai.com/ 737 papers/GPTV\_System\_Card.pdf. 738
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agar-739 wal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya 740 Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In Ma-741 rina Meila and Tong Zhang (eds.), Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Ma-742 chine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event, volume 139 of Proceedings of Ma-743 chine Learning Research, pp. 8748-8763. PMLR, 2021. URL http://proceedings.mlr. 744 press/v139/radford21a.html. 745
- 746 Yuzhang Shang, Mu Cai, Bingxin Xu, Yong Jae Lee, and Yan Yan. Llava-prumerge: Adaptive token 747 reduction for efficient large multimodal models. CoRR, abs/2403.15388, 2024. doi: 10.48550/ ARXIV.2403.15388. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.15388. 748
- 749 Noam Shazeer, Azalia Mirhoseini, Krzysztof Maziarz, Andy Davis, Quoc V. Le, Geoffrey E. 750 Hinton, and Jeff Dean. Outrageously large neural networks: The sparsely-gated mixture-751 of-experts layer. In 5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, 752 Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings. OpenReview.net, 2017. URL 753 https://openreview.net/forum?id=B1ckMDglg. 754
- Dachuan Shi, Chaofan Tao, Anyi Rao, Zhendong Yang, Chun Yuan, and Jiaqi Wang. Cross-755 get: Cross-guided ensemble of tokens for accelerating vision-language transformers. CoRR,

756 abs/2305.17455, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2305.17455. URL https://doi.org/10. 48550/arXiv.2305.17455.

 Amanpreet Singh, Vivek Natarajan, Meet Shah, Yu Jiang, Xinlei Chen, Dhruv Batra, Devi Parikh, and Marcus Rohrbach. Towards VQA models that can read. In *IEEE Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2019, Long Beach, CA, USA, June 16-20, 2019, pp. 8317–8326. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 2019. doi: 10.1109/CVPR. 2019.00851. URL http://openaccess.thecvf.com/content\_CVPR\_2019/html/ Singh\_Towards\_VQA\_Models\_That\_Can\_Read\_CVPR\_2019\_paper.html.

- Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu,
  Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. Gemini: a family of highly
  capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- 768 IDEFICS Research Team. Introducing idefics: An open reproduction of state-of-the-art visual language model, 2023. URL https://huggingface.co/blog/idefics.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée
  Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurélien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. Llama: Open and efficient foundation
  language models. *CoRR*, abs/2302.13971, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2302.13971. URL
  https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.13971.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In Isabelle Guyon, Ulrike von Luxburg, Samy Bengio, Hanna M. Wallach, Rob Fergus, S. V. N. Vishwanathan, and Roman Garnett (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2017, December 4-9, 2017, Long Beach, CA, USA, pp. 5998–6008, 2017. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2017/hash/ 3f5ee243547dee91fbd053c1c4a845aa-Abstract.html.
- Haoran Wei, Lingyu Kong, Jinyue Chen, Liang Zhao, Zheng Ge, Jinrong Yang, Jianjian Sun, Chunrui Han, and Xiangyu Zhang. Vary: Scaling up the vision vocabulary for large visionlanguage models. *CoRR*, abs/2312.06109, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2312.06109. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.06109.
- Qinghao Ye, Haiyang Xu, Jiabo Ye, Ming Yan, Anwen Hu, Haowei Liu, Qi Qian, Ji Zhang, Fei Huang, and Jingren Zhou. mplug-owl2: Revolutionizing multi-modal large language model with modality collaboration. *CoRR*, abs/2311.04257, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2311.04257. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.04257.
- Xiang Yue, Yuansheng Ni, Kai Zhang, Tianyu Zheng, Ruoqi Liu, Ge Zhang, Samuel Stevens, Dongfu Jiang, Weiming Ren, Yuxuan Sun, Cong Wei, Botao Yu, Ruibin Yuan, Renliang Sun, Ming Yin, Boyuan Zheng, Zhenzhu Yang, Yibo Liu, Wenhao Huang, Huan Sun, Yu Su, and Wenhu Chen. MMMU: A massive multi-discipline multimodal understanding and reasoning benchmark for expert AGI. *CoRR*, abs/2311.16502, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2311.16502.
  URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.16502.
- Renrui Zhang, Jiaming Han, Aojun Zhou, Xiangfei Hu, Shilin Yan, Pan Lu, Hongsheng Li, Peng Gao, and Yu Qiao. Llama-adapter: Efficient fine-tuning of language models with zero-init attention. *CoRR*, abs/2303.16199, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2303.16199. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.16199.
- Boyao Zhu, Jun Chen, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Minigpt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2304.10592, 2023. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2304.10592. URL https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. 2304.10592.
- 806
- 8U7
- 808

#### 810 А APPENDIX 811

815 816

820

821

823

824

825

831 832

812 VISUAL GRANULARITY SELECTION ALGORITHM A.1 813

814 In algorithm 1, we provide the detailed process of the router's granularity selection.

Algorithm 1 Visual Granularity Selection Algorithm

**Require:** Multi-granularity visual tokens  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_v = [\mathbf{X}_v^1; \mathbf{X}_v^2; ...; \mathbf{X}_v^N]$ , Instruction tokens  $\mathbf{X}_{instruct}$ , 817 818 Visual tokens of original granularity  $\mathbf{X}_v$ 819

**Ensure:** Selected granularity visual tokens  $\mathbf{X}_{v}^{selected}$ .

1: Obtain the filtered instruction tokens  $\mathbf{X}_{instruct} = \text{Top-}k(\text{cosine}_{sim}(\mathbf{X}_{instruct}, \mathbf{X}_{v}))$ 

2: Concatenate  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_v$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{instruct}$  to form the input for the router

3: Apply a Transformer layer to facilitate token fusion  $\mathbf{Z}_{fusion} = \text{Transformer}([\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{v}; \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{instruct}])$ .

4: Use an MLP to predict logits for each token  $\mathbf{Z}_{out} = \text{MLP}(\mathbf{Z}_{fusion})$ 

5: Aggregate the logits using a learnable weight matrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{final} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{Z}_{out}$ .

6: Compute the probability distribution using softmax  $\mathbf{P} = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{Z}_{final})$ .

7: Identify the granularity with the highest probability  $selected = \arg \max(\mathbf{P})$ .

8: return  $\mathbf{X}_{v}^{selected}$ 

#### A.2 TRAINING DETAILS

We list the training hyperparameters for all stages in Table 5.

Hyperparameter	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4		
Data size	595K	1M	1M	1M		
Batch size	256	128	128	128		
lr	1e-3	2e-5	1e-5	1e-3		
Vision encoder lr	-	2e-6	2e-5	-		
lr schedule	cosine decay					
lr warmup ratio	0.03					
Weight decay	0					
Epoch		1	l			
Optimizer	AdamW					
DeepSpeed stage	2	3	3	3		
Training cost (#Hours)	4	17	65	14		

Table 5: Hyperparameters of AVG-LLaVA.

#### 847 848 849

850

846

A.3 QUALITATIVE EVALUATION

851 As shown in Figures 8 and 9, we compare the visual granularity selected by the router and other vi-852 sual granularities. In Figure 8, given the user-provided image and instruction, AVG-LLaVA selects 853 the coarsest visual granularity through the router. It can be observed that compared to other gran-854 ularities, the model's response with the coarsest granularity does not vary significantly. However, 855 in Figure 9, with the given image and instruction, AVG-LLaVA selects the finest visual granularity. We find that coarser visual granularities could not generate a reasonably accurate poster descrip-856 tion. These two examples demonstrate that AVG-LLaVA can adaptively select the appropriate visual granularity based on the image and instruction, thereby reducing the number of visual tokens, 858 accelerating inference, and even improving model performance. 859



861

862



User: Why this image is unusual?

**AVG-LLaVA (36 selected by router)**: The image is unusual because it features a small white dog with wings, giving the appearance of a dog flying in the sky. This is not a natural occurrence, as dogs do not have the ability to fly. The image is likely a digitally manipulated or artistically created image, designed to evoke a sense of wonder and fantasy. The dog's wings and the fact that it is flying in the sky make the scene extraordinary and surreal, which is why it stands out as an unusual image.

**AVG-LLaVA (72)**: The image is unusual because it features a dog with wings, which is not a natural occurrence. Dogs are mammals and do not have the biological ability to fly like birds. The image is likely a digitally manipulated or artistically created image, designed to evoke a sense of wonder or fantasy. It is important to note that while the image is visually striking, it does not represent reality and should not be taken as an actual depiction of a dog with wings.

**AVG-LLaVA (144)**: The image is unusual because it features a small white dog with wings, which is not a natural occurrence. Dogs do not have the ability to fly, and it is highly unlikely to see a dog with wings in a real-life scenario. The image is likely a digitally manipulated or artistically created image, designed to evoke a sense of wonder, fantasy, or humor.

**AVG-LLaVA (288)**: The image is unusual because it features a dog with wings, which is not a natural occurrence. Dogs do not have the ability to fly like birds or other animals with wings. This creates a whimsical and fantastical scene that is not typically seen in reality. The dog's wings are likely added digitally or through some form of artistic manipulation, making the image stand out as an imaginative and creative representation.

**AVG-LLaVA (576)**: The image is unusual because it features a dog with wings, which is not a common sight. Dogs are typically known for their four legs and lack of wings, making this depiction of a dog with wings in the sky an imaginative and unexpected scene. The image could be a creative representation or an artistic interpretation, rather than a realistic portrayal of a dog.

Figure 8: Example of the finest visual granularity selected by the router compared to other visual granularities. The number in parentheses indicates the number of visual tokens. In this example, the responses from the model using both fine-grained and coarse-grained visual tokens show little difference, indicating that the model can adopt coarse visual granularity to significantly reduce the number of visual tokens and accelerate inference.

918	
919	
920	
921	
922	7. EARP
923	A 23
924	
925	
926	Alle Martin and Alle
927	SHAX HANITA
928	
929	User: Please describe this poster in detail.
930	
931	AVG-LLaVA (36): The image is a promotional poster for the anime "Imax 90", which is written in large,
932	bold letters at the top of the image. The title is followed by the phrase "IMAX 90", indicating the name of
933	the anime and possibly the episode or series title. The background of the poster is a vibrant yellow, which contrasts with the black and white illustration of a character. This character is denicted with a determined
934	expression, holding a sword in their right hand and a shield in their left, suggesting a warrior or combatant
935	theme. The text and illustration are arranged in such a way that the title and character are the focal points,
936	drawing the viewer's attention to the central theme of the anime.
937	AVC II aVA (72): The image is a promotional paster for the anima "Maximilian", which is get to release an
938	Av G-LLavA (72). The image is a promotional poster for the annuel Maximinan, which is set to release on April 19th. The central figure in the poster is a young girl with pink hair, who is holding a megaphone. The
939	text on the poster, written in Japanese, reads "IMAX MAXIMILIAN" and "4.19", indicating the title of the
940	anime and its release date. The background of the poster is a vibrant yellow, adorned with black and white
941	lines that add a dynamic visual element to the overall design. The girl's position and the megaphone suggest
942	had she might be a character of significance in the anime, possibly a protagonist of a key figure. The use of bold colors and dynamic lines creates a sense of excitement and anticipation for the uncoming release
943	ond colors and dynamic mice ordates a sense of exercision and anticipation for the apcoming release.
944	AVG-LLaVA (144): The image is a vibrant promotional poster for the anime "Impossible Spy Family". The
945	central figure is a young girl with pink hair, who is holding a megaphone. She is dressed in a red jacket and a
946	white shirt, adding a pop of color to the scene. The background is a striking yellow, adorned with black text that reads "IMAX" and "SPX FAMILY" indicating the title of the anime. The text is written in a hold, some
947	serif font, making it stand out against the vellow backdrop. The overall design of the poster is simple vet
948	eye-catching, with the girl's bright hair and the bold text creating a dynamic visual appeal.
949	
950	AVG-LLaVA (288): The image is a vibrant promotional poster for the anime "Maximum Spice!", which is set to premiere on April 10th The central figure in the poster is a young girl with pink heir, who is holding a
951	green microphone. She is dressed in a red iacket and a white shirt, adding a pop of color to the scene. The
952	background is a bright yellow, adorned with Japanese text that reads "MAXIMUM SPICE!", "April 19th",
953	and "スパイシーな家族", which translates to "Spicy Family". The text is written in a bold, black font,
954	making it stand out against the yellow backdrop. The overall design of the poster suggests an exciting and spicy adventure is about to begin on April 19th
955	spicy adventure is about to begin on April 19th.
956	AVG-LLaVA (576 selected by router): The image is a promotional poster for the movie "Spy x Family".
957	The central figure is a young girl with pink hair, who is holding a green megaphone. She is dressed in a red
958	jacket and is smiling, giving off a cheerful vibe. The background is a vibrant yellow, adorned with Japanese
959	UNITE: and a black and while striped pattern. The text on the poster reads "IMAX", "SPY X FAMILY", "CODE: WHITE" and "EXPERIENCE IT IN IMAX" indicating that the movie is being promoted as an IMAX
960	experience. The poster also includes the release date "APRIL 19", suggesting when the movie will be
961	released. The overall design of the poster is eye-catching and colorful, likely intended to attract the attention
962	of potential viewers.
963	
964	
965	
966	Figure 9: Example of the coarsest visual granularity selected by the router compared to other visual
967	granularities. The number in parentheses indicates the number of visual tokens. In this example,

when coarse-grained visual tokens are used, the model generates incorrect descriptions. This sug-969 gests that the model should select fine visual granularity for the image and instructions in order to 970 achieve better accuracy.

971





User: Why this image is funny?

**AVG-LLaVA**: The image is funny because it is an unexpected and absurd situation. Typically, one would not expect to see a llama, which is a large domesticated South American camelid, inside a car, especially sticking its head out of the window as if it were a human passenger. The juxtaposition of a llama behaving in a human-like manner in a typical urban setting, such as a traffic jam, is what makes the image humorous. It is a lighthearted and whimsical take on the unexpected and the anthropomorphism of animals, which often appeals to a sense of humor.



**User:** What are the things I should be cautious about when I visit here?

AVG-LLaVA: When visiting this rocky coastline with a large group of people, there are several things to be cautious about. Firstly, the rocky terrain can be slippery and uneven, so it's essential to be mindful of your footing and wear appropriate footwear to avoid accidents. Secondly, the presence of a large body of water nearby can pose risks such as strong currents, sudden changes in weather, or the possibility of falling into the water. It's crucial to be aware of the local weather conditions and any potential hazards in the water. Additionally, the large number of people in the area might lead to overcrowding, which can make it difficult to navigate and enjoy the scenery. It's important to respect the natural environment and other visitors by maintaining a safe distance and being mindful of your actions. Lastly, be aware of the potential for tides to change rapidly, which can affect the safety of the area and the people in it.

Figure 10: More Examples of conversations between users and AVG-LLaVA.

1025

1023

# 1026 A.4 ATTENTION MAP VISUALIZATION



1037

1052

1053

1076 1077

Figure 11: Attention maps of AVG-LLaVA at different visual granularities. The instruction is "How many sheep are there? Answer the question with a single word.". The number of visual tokens per grid selected by the router is 32.

1042 To further understand how the appropriate granularity benefits the model in generating better an-1043 swers, we visualize the attention map between the generated tokens and the visual tokens. The 1044 attention weights are calculated by accumulating the attention scores between image tokens and 1045 generated tokens across all layers and heads. As shown in Figure 11, when the instruction is "How 1046 many sheep are there? Answer the question with a single word," the attention weights for the visual granularity selected by the router are primarily focused on the two sheep, while the attention 1047 weights for other visual granularities are dispersed across the background. This means that selecting 1048 the appropriate visual granularity results in a clearer attention map with fewer noise points in the 1049 background area, indicating more precise focus on the relevant regions, thereby improving model 1050 performance. 1051





Figure 12: Visualization of granularity selection using different instructions.

As shown in Figure 12, we input the same image with different instructions and then visualize the selected visual granularity on the image, i.e., the number of patches. As can be seen, even for the same image, the router selects different visual granularities for different instructions. When asking

1080	about the color of the car, the model does not require such fine-grained visual information, whereas
1081	when asking whether there is a cat, the model requires finer-grained visual information, whereas
1082	when asking whether there is a car, the model requires inter granted visual information.
1083	
1084	
1085	
1086	
1087	
1088	
1089	
1090	
1091	
1092	
1093	
1094	
1095	
1096	
1097	
1098	
1099	
1100	
1101	
1102	
1103	
1104	
1105	
1106	
1107	
1108	
1109	
1110	
1111	
1112	
1113	
1114	
1115	
1116	
1117	
1118	
1119	
1120	
1121	
1122	
1123	
1124	
1125	
1120	
1127	
1120	
1120	
1131	
1132	
1133	