
CoRe Essay 4

Mirroring, Imitation, and Tool

Yuntian Gu
Yuanpei College
Peking University
guyuntian@stu.pku.edu.cn

Abstract

This essay explores the significant gap between the current abilities of robotics and AI in tool utilization and the largely uncharted territory of tool creation, a skill observed in crows and other animal species. While existing methodologies in robotics, particularly imitation learning, have shown success in tasks involving predefined tools and objectives, they fall short in scenarios requiring innovation and the creation of new tools. Similarly, advancements in AI, like language models, have demonstrated impressive interaction capabilities with pre-existing tools but have yet to venture into genuine tool innovation. The challenge lies in the exponential increase in complexity when transitioning from tool use to tool creation, necessitating a comprehension of causal relationships, environmental elements, and an ability to foresee a multitude of potential consequences. Addressing this challenge calls for a reimagining of AI's cognitive capabilities, emphasizing creativity, intuition, and advanced problem-solving strategies akin to those exhibited by humans and intelligent animal species.

1 Introduction

The remarkable ability of crows and certain other animal species to not only utilize tools but also ingeniously create them represents a sophisticated integration of cognitive, perceptual, and motor functions [5], an integration that remains largely aspirational within the domain of robotics. While recent advancements in robotics and artificial intelligence have enabled machines to replicate or mirror specific observed actions [6], including tool use, the leap from mere imitation to the innovation of new tools — analogous to the creative problem-solving exhibited by these animals — is a frontier yet uncharted. This essay delves into this significant gap in capabilities, highlighting the disproportionate increase in complexity when transitioning from the replication of tool use to original tool creation in autonomous systems.

In this discourse, we acknowledge the substantial body of research focusing on tool use within the context of robotics and artificial intelligence, particularly the successes achieved via imitation learning and mirroring techniques. These methodologies, while effective for tasks with limited variability and clear end-goals, falter in the face of scenarios requiring on-the-spot innovation or adaptation — scenarios where the solution is not pre-defined or observed but needs to be conceived by the agent itself.

2 Utilizing pre-defined tools might not be impossible

2.1 Interacting with physical world

As the operational landscapes of robots and intelligent agents evolve, transcending the confines of controlled environments to the unpredictability and multifaceted nature of unstructured settings, the traditional methods of manually programming these entities have hit a complexity impasse. The

intricate, dynamic nature of these new environments renders the conventional programming both impractical and cost-prohibitive [3, 2]. In this context, imitation learning emerges as a compelling alternative, premised on the concept that it is more efficient and intuitive for an agent to learn through the observation of a demonstration rather than through explicit programming of the desired behavior.

Imitation learning pivots on the principle of learning from demonstrations, wherein a human operator manually controls the robot to perform a task successfully, and the sequence of actions executed is recorded. These demonstrations essentially act as a repository of successful behavioral blueprints from which the robot can learn. Instead of navigating the search space randomly, the reinforcement learning algorithm, supplemented by imitation learning, now has access to these 'clues' or initial guidelines that significantly narrow down the search space for the optimal policy.

2.2 Interacting with abstract world

Recent advancements in artificial intelligence have catapulted language models to the forefront, showcasing their proficiency in understanding and generating human-like text. Moreover, recent research [4, 1] has introduced innovative methodologies enabling language model to leverage external tools predefined by humans, enhancing their operational scope and problem-solving acumen. A pioneering stride in this domain is Toolformer [4], a specialized model adept at interfacing with external APIs to harness the capabilities of various tools, including calculators, Q&A systems, search engines, translation systems, and calendars.

These advancements collectively represent a paradigm shift in the capabilities of language models, transforming them from mere processors of language to versatile problem-solvers equipped with a diverse toolkit. The ability to interact with and utilize external tools not only amplifies their functional capabilities but also brings them a step closer to mimicking the problem-solving versatility inherent in human cognition.

3 Creating new tools is significantly harder

Creating new tools necessitates a deep understanding of causal relationships, an intuitive grasp of environmental elements and physics, and the ability to innovate and improvise. For an AI to invent a tool, it must recognize a problem, conceptualize a solution that doesn't yet exist, and then create a novel pathway to that solution, often by synthesizing information from disparate experiences and domains. This is a significant leap from tool usage, which involves recognizing and applying known solutions to standard problems.

Moreover, the exploration space in tool creation is exponentially larger and more complex. It's not just about understanding the tool and the immediate task but about foreseeing the cascade of consequences that each action could initiate. Navigating this vast search area necessitates an understanding and application of the affordance model, which delineates the potential actions that objects in the environment provide. This level of abstract reasoning and forward planning involves a complex web of skills that extend beyond the current capabilities of AI, including creativity, intuition, and advanced problem-solving strategies that can negotiate the intricate landscape of unknown variables.

One might try to solve this problems by directly applying state-of-the-art reinforcement learning algorithm. However, learning to create tools solely through a trial-and-error approach confronts a fundamental impasse due to the exponential complexity and unstructured nature of such a task. Reinforcement learning in AI parlance, hinges on the agent's ability to perform actions, receive feedback, and adjust future actions based on that feedback. However, the creation of tools isn't a linear process with clear, immediate feedback. For an agent to invent a new tool, it must conceptualize a need that extends beyond its current context, a feat that requires a form of imaginative foresight that trial-and-error methods don't provide. Moreover, the space of possible actions and materials in tool creation is vast, making the likelihood of stumbling upon a functional tool through random experimentation extremely low. Without a guiding framework or goal-oriented model that includes creativity and abstract problem-solving, the trial-and-error approach falls short of bridging the cognitive gap necessary for the innovative leap from tool use to tool creation.

4 Conclusion

While current advancements in robotics and AI have achieved commendable success in tool utilization, the domain of tool creation remains a largely unexplored frontier. The cognitive leap from using tools to innovating them involves not just a deeper understanding of causal relationships and physics, but also the ability to synthesize information from various domains to conceptualize non-existent solutions. Bridging this gap would necessitate a paradigm shift in AI research, focusing on imbuing machines with a higher order of cognitive abilities, closely mirroring the problem-solving and innovative prowess demonstrated by humans and certain animal species.

References

- [1] Shibo Hao, Tianyang Liu, Zhen Wang, and Zhiting Hu. Toolkengpt: Augmenting frozen language models with massive tools via tool embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11554*, 2023. 2
- [2] Takayuki Osa, Joni Pajarinen, Gerhard Neumann, J Andrew Bagnell, Pieter Abbeel, Jan Peters, et al. An algorithmic perspective on imitation learning. *Foundations and Trends® in Robotics*, 7(1-2):1–179, 2018. 2
- [3] Meiyang Qin, Jake Brawer, and Brian Scassellati. Robot tool use: A survey. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 9:1009488, 2023. 2
- [4] Timo Schick, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Roberto Dessì, Roberta Raileanu, Maria Lomeli, Luke Zettlemoyer, Nicola Cancedda, and Thomas Scialom. Toolformer: Language models can teach themselves to use tools. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04761*, 2023. 2
- [5] Nat Geo WILD. Tool-making crows are even smarter than we thought. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UZM9GpLXepU/>. 1
- [6] Zeyu Zhang, Ziyuan Jiao, Weiqi Wang, Yixin Zhu, Song-Chun Zhu, and Hangxin Liu. Understanding physical effects for effective tool-use. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(4): 9469–9476, 2022. 1