DALK: <u>Dynamic Co-Augmentation of LLMs and KG</u> to answer Alzheimer's Disease Questions with Scientific Literature

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

Recent advancements in large language models (LLMs) have achieved promising performances across various applications. Nonetheless, the ongoing challenge of integrating long-tail knowledge continues to impede the seamless adoption of LLMs in specialized domains. In this work, we introduce DALK, a.k.a. Dynamic Co-Augmentation of LLMs and KG, to address this limitation and demonstrate its ability on studying Alzheimer's Disease (AD), a specialized sub-field in biomedicine and a global health priority. With a synergized framework of LLM and KG mutually enhancing each other, we first leverage LLM to construct an evolving AD-specific knowledge graph (KG) sourced from AD-related scientific literature, and then we utilize a coarse-to-fine sampling method with a novel self-aware knowledge retrieval approach to select appropriate knowledge from the KG to augment LLM inference capabilities. The experimental results, conducted on our constructed AD question answering (ADQA) benchmark, underscore the efficacy of DALK. Additionally, we perform a series of detailed analyses that can offer valuable insights and guidelines for the emerging topic of mutually enhancing KG and LLM.

1 Introduction

001

003

014

017

027

037

041

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by progressive declines in cognitive and functional status over a span of decades (Report, 2023). However, current AD therapy developments are facing critical challenges due to the lack of knowledge and understanding of the underlying etiological mechanisms of the disease. Although scientific literature and dedicated biomedical databases could supply rich sources of AD knowledge, manual review of relevant information is impossible due to the large volume.

As large language models (LLMs) (Brown et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022; Anil et al., 2023; Touvron

et al., 2023) with chain-of-thought (CoT)-based prompting (Wei et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022; Tong et al., 2023; Yao et al., 2023; Besta et al., 2023) demonstrate strong language capabilities across various tasks, there have been attempts to leverage LLMs-based systems in general biomedical and AD-related applications (Mao et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023c; Yan et al., 2024; Feng et al., 2023). However, while the LLMs have shown promising performances in many general tasks, recent studies revealed LLMs' limitations in long-tail (Kandpal et al., 2023) and domain-specific (Li et al., 2023b, 2024) knowledge, thereby significantly impeding their adaptations in vertical fields such as AD. To deal with this issue, the most common strategies are retrieval augmented generation (RAG) and domainspecific LLMs training.

042

043

044

047

048

053

054

056

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

076

078

079

081

Nevertheless, directly applying these strategies in the context like AD would still suffer from several issues. First, Data Quality: Same as many biomedical fields, scientific literature composes the largest publicly available corpus source in AD. Yet, the dense and information-overloaded nature of scientific literature, when combined with raw text retrieval methods, can lead to the retrieval of irrelevant and noisy information. Previous research has shown that noisy and irrelevant corpora can significantly undermine the performance of LLMs (Yu et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2024). Second, Efficiency & Scale Issues: Being an critical field of research, the knowledge of AD is rapidly evolving with scientific advancements at a remarkable pace and scale. However, retraining a domain-specific LLM or updating certain knowledge in it demands substantial computational resources (Hu et al., 2021; Ovadia et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024). This efficiency issue would also limit the sizes of domain-specific LLMs, consequently affecting their performances.

To tackle these limitations, here we propose a <u>Dynamic Co-Augmentation of LLMs and KG</u>

(DALK) framework that facilitates mutual benefits between LLMs and knowledge graphs (KG) for 084 the AD domain. Initially, our framework addresses the data quality challenge by extracting more structural and accurate knowledge from unstructured and dense scientific literature and constructing a domain-specific knowledge graph tailored to AD. We employ two widely utilized knowledge graph construction methods, namely pair-wise construc-091 tion (Carta et al., 2023; Wadhwa et al., 2023) and generative construction (Han et al., 2023; Bi et al., 2024), to comprehensively assess their impact on knowledge graph quality. Then, we adopt a coarseto-fine sampling method with a novel self-aware knowledge retrieval approach to select appropriate knowledge from the knowledge graph and thus further address the data quality problem. Notably, the tuning-free nature of our framework signifi-100 cantly enhances efficiency and facilitates its ap-101 plication in large-scale and API-based language 102 models (OpenAI, 2022). In the evaluation sec-103 tion, we derive an Alzheimer's Disease question answering (ADQA) benchmark from existing general medical QA datasets with millions of sam-106 107 ples filtered by a curated keyword list and selfsampling of LLMs. Our extensive experiment on ADQA demonstrates the effectiveness of our frame-109 work in domain-specific applications compared 110 with general biomedical LLMs and retrieval aug-111 mented models. Further evaluation and analysis 112 provide valuable insights into constructing high-113 quality knowledge graphs and sampling accurate 114 knowledge from them. 115

In summary, our contribution in this work can be summarized as follows:

- We identify the constraints of the current methods for LLMs in domain-specific areas like AD and introduce DALK, a co-augmentation framework of the LLM and KG to address these issues.
- We build AD-specific KG and QA benchmark. Through extensive comparisons with other methods, we showcase the effectiveness of DALK.
- We delve into a comprehensive analysis of our proposed method and provide valuable insights and guidance on how to construct a high-quality KG and sample accurate knowledge from it.

2 Related Work

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

129

130

131

132

The interplay between LLMs and KGs KGs (Miller, 1995; Speer et al., 2017; Vrandečić and Krötzsch, 2014) serve as structured represen-

tations of factual knowledge, typically expressed 133 as (head, relation, tail) triples. Their structured, 134 factual, and interpretable nature renders them ex-135 cellent complements to parametric language mod-136 els (Pan et al., 2024). Recently, with the rise of 137 large language models (LLMs), numerous studies 138 have delved into exploring the synergy between 139 LLMs and KGs for various purposes (Pan et al., 140 2024; Tan et al., 2024). There are a lot of efforts in 141 conducting knowledge graph construction (Carta 142 et al., 2023; Wadhwa et al., 2023; Han et al., 2023; 143 Bi et al., 2024; Datta et al., 2024), completion (Wei 144 et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023b; Li et al., 2024) 145 with the aid of LLMs. Conversely, other works aim 146 to enhance LLMs by integrating knowledge sam-147 pled from KGs during both training (Tang et al., 148 2023; Luo et al., 2024; Dernbach et al., 2024; 149 Rangel et al., 2024) and inference (Kim et al., 2023; 150 Wen et al., 2023; Jiang et al., 2023; Sun et al., 151 2023a) times. Our work distinguishes itself by 152 proposing a co-augmentation framework for LLMs 153 and KGs, facilitating their mutual enhancement, 154 and applying it to the domain of AD. 155

156

157

158

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

182

LLMs and KGs for AD research LLMs and KGs have both been applied to Alzheimer's Disease research in previous studies. Pre-trained language models are utilized to work on AD detection and many other related tasks based on speech recordings and transcripts (Balagopalan et al., 2020; Agbavor and Liang, 2022), electronic health records (EHRs) (Mao et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023c; Yan et al., 2024), and tabular data (Feng et al., 2023). KGs have been widely used in biomedical research, yet only a few are specifically for AD research (Romano et al., 2023; Pu et al., 2023; Hsieh et al., 2023; Nian et al., 2022; Daluwatumulle et al., 2023). These KGs were generally constructed from a variety of information derived from heterogeneous biomedical databases (e.g. for genes, drugs, pathways, etc.) or scientific literature related to AD. Despite the aforementioned efforts for LLMs and KGs in AD research, no prior study has explored using LLM to augment AD-KG, or vice versa, let alone the potential for mutual enhancement between the two as we propose here.

3 Our Methodology

This section elaborates on our dynamic coaugmentation framework of LLMs and KG. Section 3.1 presents the details of augmenting an ADspecific evolving KG with LLMs and literature



Figure 1: The overview pipeline of DALK. We first extract structural knowledge from unstructured corpora and construct a domain-specific knowledge graph tailored to AD (Section 3.1). Then, we utilize a coarse-to-fine sampling method with a novel self-aware knowledge retrieval approach to select appropriate knowledge from the knowledge graph (Section 3.2).

corpus in a time-slicing fashion (i.e. year by year). Following it, Section 3.2 describes the process of sampling appropriate knowledge from the evolving KG to enhance LLMs' reasoning. Figure 1 illustrates an overall pipeline of our method DALK.

	KG_{pair}	KG_{gen}
#Corpus	9,764	9,764
#Nodes	13,509	20,545
#Relations	3,952	3,651
#Triples	171,431	53,585

Table 1: Detailed statistics about AD-KG.

3.1 LLMs for KG

183

185

187

Corpus Collection To create an AD-specific 189 knowledge graph, we follow (Pu et al., 2023) and 190 use the AD corpus collected by a domain expert 191 Professor Colin Masters at the University of Melbourne who discovered amyloid proteins being the 193 potential cause of AD (Masters et al., 1985). The corpus is based on his extensive bibliography of 195 representative AD-related papers and consists of 196 197 more than 16K PMID (PubMed ID)-indexed articles from 1977 to 2021. For our study, we focus on 198 the papers since 2011 which reflect the most recent knowledge in the field and get 9,764 articles.

201 Entity Recognition In order to identify knowl-202 edge at the proper granularity level for AD, we extract relevant entities from the corpus by utilizing the PubTator Central (PTC) (Wei et al., 2013) developed and continuously maintained by NCBI. PTC is a widely-used tool to provide state-of-theart annotations of biomedical concepts for PubMed abstracts and full-text articles, and it supports six bioconcept types including genes, diseases, chemicals, mutations, species and cell lines. We apply PTC to the abstracts of all our AD papers and obtain the relevant named entities which will serve as nodes in the knowledge graph. 203

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

229

230

231

Relation Extraction To build an accurate and high-quality knowledge graph on AD, we aim to assign a specific relation type between the two related entities. Through a comprehensive survey of relation extraction methods for knowledge graph construction, we categorize current approaches with LLMs into two main groups: (a). Pair-wised Relation Extraction (Carta et al., 2023; Wadhwa et al., 2023) aims to prompt the LLMs to describe the relationship between any two entities in a segment of text. (b). Generative Relation Extraction (Han et al., 2023; Bi et al., 2024; Datta et al., 2024), where LLMs directly output all related entity pairs and their corresponding relationships. As shown in Figure 2, we incorporate both of these relation extraction methods into our knowledge graph augmentation process to provide a comprehensive comparison between them. We denote the result-



Pair-wised Relation Extraction

Figure 2: The detailed process of AD-specific KG construction.

ing knowledge graphs from these approaches as KG_{pair} and KG_{gen} respectively.

Table 1 presents the detailed statistics about our augmented knowledge graph, including the number of corpora we used, and the number of nodes, relations and triples in KG_{pair} and KG_{qen} .

3.2 KG for LLMs

236

237

239

240

241

242

243

246

247

248

249

254

255

256

258

261

265

In this section, we begin by outlining our process for sampling coarse-grained augmented knowledge from our evolving knowledge graph (Section 3.2.1). Subsequently, we delve into detail regarding our self-aware knowledge retrieval method, which aims to filter out noise and retrieve the most pertinent knowledge to provide to the LLM (Section 3.2.2).

3.2.1 Coarse-grained Knowledge Sample

Given a question query Q, we first construct a prompt and ask LLMs to extract all the domainspecific entities $E = \{e_1, e_2, ...\}$ from it. Afterward, we adhere to the methodology proposed by Wen et al. (2023) and execute a similarity-based entity linking process to connect all entities within E to the entity structure in our knowledge graph G. Specifically, we employ a semantic similarity model (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) to encode all entities in G and E into dense embeddings, denoted as H_G and H_E , respectively. Subsequently, utilizing cosine similarity, we establish links between each entity in E and its nearest neighbor entity in G. This procedure yields an initial entity set E_G for the subsequent knowledge sampling step.

To build an evidence sub-graph to boost LLMs' reasoning process, we follow the previous study (Wen et al., 2023) and consider the following two kinds of explorations in our AD-KG: **Path-based Exploration** entails the extraction of a sub-graph from G to encompass all entities within E_G . The process unfolds as follows: (a) Begin by selecting one node from e_Q^0 as the initial node, denoted as e_1 , and place the remaining nodes into a candidate node set, E_{cand} . Explore at most k hops from e_1 to identify the subsequent node, e_2 , where $e_1 \in E_{cand}$. If e_2 is successfully reached within k hops, update the start node to e_2 and remove e_2 from E_{cand} . In the event e_2 cannot be found within k hops, concatenate the segment paths acquired thus far and store them in G_Q^{path} . Subsequently, choose another node e'_1 from V_{cand} as the new start node, and eliminate both the original start node e_1 and the current node e_2 from E_{cand} . (b) Verify if E_{cand} is empty. If not, repeat step (a) to identify the next segment of the path. If E_{cand} is empty, combine all segments to construct a set of subgraphs and place them into G_Q^{path} .

266

267

269

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

284

285

287

288

290

291

294

295

298

299

300

302

304

305

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

Neighbor-based Exploration endeavors to augment the evidence relevant to the query within G_Q . This process consists of two steps: (a) Initially, expand each node e within E_G by 1-hop to incorporate their neighbors e', thus appending triples (e, r, e') to G_Q^{nei} . (b) Then assess whether each e' exhibits semantic relevance to the query. If affirmative, further expand the 1-hop neighbors of e', consequently adding triples (e_{nei}, r', e') to G_Q^{nei} .

After obtaining the two sub-graphs G_Q^{path} and G_Q^{nei} , we perform post-processing to further prune redundant information in sub-graphs and prompt LLMs to describe the structure of each sub-graph.

3.2.2 Self-aware Knowledge Retrieval

In our initial experiment, we noticed the coarsegrained knowledge sampled with the abovementioned approaches still contained redundant and irrelevant information. This issue of noise is a common challenge encountered in automaticallyconstructed knowledge graphs (Fang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2022; Bi et al., 2024). Moreover, many recent works (Yu et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023d; Chen et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2024) have demonstrated LLMs can indeed be influenced by such noisy information. To address this challenge, we borrow insights from the recent selfpowered LLMs (Wang et al., 2022; Pan et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023a; Yuan et al., 2024; Tong et al., 2024) and propose a self-aware knowledge retrieval method to leverage LLMs' ranking capability (Sun et al., 2023b; Ma et al., 2023) to filter out noisy

information.

In particular, we directly prompt the LLM to

rerank the sampled knowledge and only retrieve

top k triples to provide for itself in the final-round

inference. Given the question Q and either the path-

based or neighbor-based sub-graph G_Q , we create

 $p_{self} = \text{Template}_{self}(Q, G_Q, k).$

Then, we use p_{self} as the input to prompt the LLM

 $G_Q^{self} = \text{LLM}(p_{self}),$

Finally, we provide the question Q and fine-grained knowledge G_Q^{self} to the LLM for reasoning and get the predicted answer a in two steps:

 $p_{inference} = \text{Template}_{\text{inference}}(Q, G_Q^{self}),$

 $a = \text{LLM}(p_{inference}).$

We provide detailed examples in Appendix B and C

to demonstrate the input and output in our DALK.

For performance evaluation, we consider four

widely-used medical QA datasets spanning diverse

biomedical topics (Jin et al., 2021; Pal et al., 2022;

to obtain the self-retrieved knowledge:

prompt p_{self} by filling the pre-defined template:

319

- 321 322
- 323
- 324
- 326
- 327

328

- 331

4

4.1

- 336

338 340

342

345

347

351

357

359

Hendrycks et al., 2021; Peñas et al., 2013) and derive an AD-specific QA dataset from them. The four medical QA datasets are all multiple-choice

Main Experiment

ADQA Benchmark

based and include: 1) MedQA (Jin et al., 2021) consisting of US Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE)-style questions, 2) MedMCQA (Pal et al., 2022) containing medical school entrance exam questions from India, 3) MMLU (Hendrycks et al., 2021) consisting of diverse biomedical and clinical questions from various sources, 4) QA4MRE (Peñas et al., 2013) containing a subset of questions for AD derived from PubMed and Medline. In order to extract from the medical QA datasets a subset of samples related to AD for our evaluation, we referred to NIH's Common Alzheimer's and Related Dementias Research Ontology (CADRO)¹. Jointly developed by the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer's Association, CADRO is a three-tiered classification system with eight main categories and a dozen sub-categories for AD and related dementia, and it

contains common terminologies or keywords used in the field. We derived from the CADRO a list of AD-related keywords most relevant to the medical QA datasets: <Aging, Alzheimer, Amyloid beta, APOE, Dementia, Lipoprotein, Microglia>. Then, we searched against each medical QA dataset for matches with these keywords to find putative QA samples, then further asked GPT-3.5-turbo to judge for each putative sample whether the question is indeed related to AD or not. Finally, we filtered out a subset of such samples that are considered highly relevant to AD to conduct our evaluation (number of samples in each dataset is shown in Table 2). More details can be found in Appendix D.

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

381

384

385

387

389

390

391

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

4.2 **Experiment Settings**

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

We apply our framework with OpenAI GPT-3.5turbo models (OpenAI, 2022). We also include the following baseline methods for comparison:

Biomedical LLMs Both ChatDoctor (Yunxiang et al., 2023) and Med-Alpaca (Shu et al., 2023) are fine-tuned versions of LLaMA (Touvron et al.) on biomedical corpora. Compared with them, Meditron (Chen et al., 2023) is built on LLaMA-2 (Touvron et al., 2023) and extends its pretraining on a comprehensively curated medical corpus. BiomedGPT (Zhang et al., 2023a) is also based on LLaMA-2 and pioneer as the first open-source and generalist visual language AI for diverse biomedical tasks. Biomistral (Labrak et al., 2024) is an LLM crafted specifically for the biomedical domain, optimized for efficiency through quantization and model merging techniques.

Retrieval-Augmented LLMs Furthermore, we also compare our method with several representative retrieval-augmented LLMs in the biomedical domain. Almanac (Zakka et al., 2024) is a novel approach utilizing OpenAI's GPT model integrated with a Qdrant vector database to hold external sources of knowledge retrieved from local corpus, web search, and calculators, designed to answer open-domain clinical questions. Like Almanac, Lozano et al. (2023) introduced Clinfo.ai, which is an open-source, end-to-end retrievalaugmented LLM (GPT) to answer medical queries using scientific literature summarizations derived from PubMed search engine. We adopt both Almanac and Clinfo.ai with the same prompt as ours to answer multiple-choice questions to suit the ADQA benchmark. Lastly, we implement a simple retrieval-augmented GPT baseline with CoT

¹https://iadrp.nia.nih.gov/about/cadro

	MedQA	MedMCQA	MMLU	QA4MRE	AVG
Biomedical LLMs					
ChatDoctor-7B (Yunxiang et al., 2023)	25.7	36.4	46.9	51.4	40.1
Med-Alpaca-7B (Shu et al., 2023)	41.4	42.8	44.9	57.1	46.5
BiomedGPT-7B (Zhang et al., 2023a)	38.8	41.9	48.9	42.6	43.1
Meditron-7B (Chen et al., 2023)	27.6	31.4	36.7	25.7	30.4
Biomistral-7B (Labrak et al., 2024)	44.7	49.5	53.1	68.6	54.0
Meditron-70B	50.0	44.8	79.6	51.4	56.4
ClinicalCamel-70B (Toma et al., 2023)	50.0	64.3	<u>83.7</u>	68.6	66.7
Retrieval-augmented LLMs					
GPT-3.5-turbo w/ Ada (OpenAI, 2024)	<u>57.2</u>	65.7	<u>83.7</u>	62.9	67.4
Almanac (Zakka et al., 2024)	48.0	69.5	71.4	60.0	62.2
Clinfo.ai (Lozano et al., 2023)	54.3	77.0	81.3	67.7	70.1
Clinfo.ai w/o PubMed API	49.3	68.6	79.6	74.3	67.9
GPT-3.5-turbo	50.0	71.9	83.6	62.9	67.1
DALK	57.9	<u>75.2</u>	85.4	<u>71.4</u>	72.6

Table 2: Experiment results on our constructed ADQA benchmark. The best results of each metric are in bold and the second-best results are underlined. The "AVG" column represents the average accuracy score on the four sub-dataset.

411 prompting similar to our proposed DALK. All the
412 GPT models used are set to GPT-3.5-turbo as de413 tailed in the next paragraph, to be consistent.

Implementation Details We use the knowledge 414 graph constructed with the generative approach 415 (KG_{qen}) in our main experiment and conduct an 416 ablation study on the knowledge graph with RE 417 method (KG_{pair}) in Section 4.5. We use GPT-3.5-418 turbo with the version "gpt-3.5-turbo-0301" and 419 set the sampling temperature to 0.7. We utilize 7B 420 versions of all the biomedical LLMs baselines. For 421 RAG methods, we split each document with a max 422 length of 128 and retrieve the top 3 most relevant 423 documents as the support evidence for LLMs to 424 do inference. We set the parameter k in our self-425 aware knowledge retrieval to 5 and conduct further 426 analysis on it in Section 5.2. 427

4.3 Main Result

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

Table 2 shows the experimental results on our ADQA benchmark. We note that upon applying our dynamic co-augmentation framework, DALK's performance surpasses that of other biomedical LLMs and RAG methods overall. It consistently achieves either the best or the second-best accuracy score across all sub-datasets and attains the highest AVG score. Furthermore, the substantial improvement over vanilla GPT-3.5-turbo underscores the efficacy of our approach in domain-specific ADQA.

Furthermore, we observe that the performance of biomedical-specific LLMs generally lags behind that of GPT-3.5-turbo. We attribute this discrepancy to the smaller size of these biomedical LLMs. While they may perform adequately in general medical contexts, they fall short in the AD scenario, which demands more domain-specific knowledge. In the case of GPT-3.5-turbo combined with various RAG methods, it is evident that most RAG methods enhance the models' performance. Among them, GPT-3.5-turbo with Clinfo.ai yields the most significant improvement, boosting the accuracy score from 67.1 to 70.1 compared to vanilla GPT-3.5-turbo. However, it is important to note that the original Clinfo.ai necessitates access to the PubMed API, constituting an external resource. When we disable this access and solely utilize the same corpora as in DALK within the Clinfo.ai retrieval system, the improvement it brings becomes marginal and incomparable to our method. Due to the space limitation, we put more RAG results with different hyper-parameters in Appendix E.

4.4 Ablation Study on Self-aware Knowledge Retrieval

	MedQA	MedMCQA	MMLU	QA4MRE	AVG
AVG Length	107.4	23.8	342.9	17.6	122.9
GPT-3.5-turbo	50.0	71.9	83.6	62.9	67.1
DALK	57.9	75.2	85.4	71.4	72.6
DALK					
w/o self-aware	56.5	71.0	77.6	77.1	70.6
knowledge retrieval					

Table 3: Ablation study results with and without our proposed self-aware knowledge retrieval.

In this section, we evaluate the efficacy of our proposed self-aware knowledge retrieval method through an ablation study. As depicted in Table 3, we observe that while the dynamic coaugmentation framework without the self-aware knowledge retrieval module still enhances the model's performance, the overall improvement is

468

469

463

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

less pronounced. Furthermore, we observe that the 470 efficacy of self-aware knowledge retrieval corre-471 lates with the length of queries within a given con-472 text. For instance, a notable enhancement in per-473 formance is evident within the MMLU sub-dataset 474 upon the implementation of self-aware knowledge 475 retrieval. We attribute this to the fact that questions 476 in the MMLU dataset typically contain longer con-477 texts compared to other medical QA datasets inte-478 grated into ADQA. Consequently, irrelevant knowl-479 edge sourced from the context may exacerbate the 480 issue of information noise thus underscoring the ne-481 cessity for self-aware retrieval. Conversely, within 482 QA4MRE, characterized by shorter query lengths, 483 the application of self-aware knowledge retrieval 484 can even lead to a decline in performance. 485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

497

498

499

502

504

505

506

509

4.5 Ablation Study on KG Construction

	AVG	#Triples
GPT-3.5-turbo	67.1	-
DALK w/ Generative KG	72.6	53,585
DALK w/ RE KG	66.3	171,431

Table 4: Ablation study results with generative construction and RE construction.

Table 4 illustrates the results of the ablation study conducted using generatively constructed KG and RE-constructed KG. Surprisingly, despite the RE method yielding a KG with a larger scale and more triples, knowledge sampled from it has unexpectedly resulted in a non-trivial drop in performance within ADQA. After a manual examination of the two constructed knowledge graphs, we find LLMs with the RE construction method have a strong inclination to wrongly assign a relationship to two unrelated entities, which has been exposed by the previous studies (Wan et al., 2023). In contrast, the generative construction approach exclusively outputs triples that LLMs confidently endorse, yielding a smaller yet more precise knowledge graph. This trade-off between coverage and accuracy underscores the critical importance of denoising in the construction of KGs by LLMs.

5 Further Analysis

5.1 Co-augmentation Analysis

To comprehensively understand how the performance of LLMs evolves in response to increasing KG sizes, we undertake a detailed co-augmentation



Figure 3: The size of the knowledge graph (triplet number) and the KG-augmented GPT-3.5-turbo's performance (accuracy) over time.

analysis. Illustrated in Figure 3, our experiments aim to discern the changing performance trends of LLMs as the knowledge triples accumulate annually. Our findings reveal that our framework effectively fosters the co-evolution of LLMs and KG, with the performance of KG-augmented LLMs exhibiting a generally upward trajectory as the KG expands. Notably, when we remove the self-aware knowledge retrieval module, this upward trend becomes less significant. This further implies the importance of sampling and selecting appropriate knowledge for LLMs when the KG's size increases.

5.2 Hyper-parameter Analysis



Figure 4: Different self-aware knowledge retrieval settings in MedQA, MedMCQA, QA4MRE and the average score (AVG).

In this section, we do a hyper-parameter analysis on the retrieval number k of our self-aware retrieval module. We select a group of value for k ([1,3,5,10,20,30]) and present the experiment re523

524

525

526

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

	Path-based Sub-graph	Answer
Baseline	-	сX
DALK -w/o self-aware knowledge retrieval	neurofibrillary tangles->FORM BY->microtubule-associated protein tau entorhinal cortex->is a part of->brain->ASSOCIATES->mouse with Alzheimer's disease->brain region->temporal lobe	с 🗡
DALK	Reranked Triples1: entorhinal cortex ->is a part of ->brain Reranked Triples2: entorhinal cortex ->associates ->mouse with Alzheimer's disease Reranked Triples3: temporal lobe ->affected by ->Alzheimer's disease	D 🗸

Table 5: An example for the case study. The question is: "The area of the brain resistant to Neurofibrillary tangles of Alzheimer's disease is: A. Visual association areas B. Entorhinal coex C. Temporal lobe D.Lateral geniculate body"

sults in Figure 4. We show the accuracy score on MedQA, MedMCQA, QA4MRE and AVG with different k. We find when k is small, an increment to it can lead to a performance enhancement. After the best performance shows up, continually increasing the value of k will cause a smooth decrease in the model accuracy score. This result indicates the knowledge ranked in the top positions is more helpful while the knowledge ranked behind is not very useful, thus successfully validating the capability of LLMs to do fine-grained knowledge reranking.

527

528

529 530

531

532

535

536

538

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

548

550

551

554

Moreover, we find the best k value is correlated with the averaged query length. For example, the best performance in MedQA (average query length is 107.4) appears when k = 10 while the best performance in MedMCQA and QA4MRE appears when k = 5 and 3 respectively. This aligns with our previous finding that a longer query corresponds to a larger and noisier sub-knowledge graph.

5.3 Sensitivity Analysis on ADQA Benchmark

		DALK
Benchmark	DALK	w/o self-aware
		knowledge retrieval
ADQA	72.6	70.6
w/o "Alzheimer"	72.1	70.4
w/o "Dementia"	72.4	71.3
w/o "APOE"	73.2	71.2
w/o "Amyloid beta"	73.5	70.7
w/o "Aging"	72.9	71.4
w/o "Lipoprotein"	73.1	71.0
w/o "Microglia"	72.8	70.9

Table 6: Sensitivity analysis for ADQA benchmark with a leave-one-out evaluation on AD-related keywords.

In this section, we conduct a sensitivity analysis for our constructed ADQA by conducting a leaveone-out evaluation on AD-related keywords. We do it by removing the samples with each keyword in our keyword list and calculating the AVG score of the remaining samples. As the result shown in Table 6, we find not all of the keywords are incorporated in our ADQA benchmark. Notably, the keywords "CSF Biomarkers", "Neurogenesis", "PET Amyloid", "PET Tau", "Tau Phosphorylation" lack corresponding samples in ADQA. We believe one critical work in the future for benchmarking AD-related knowledge is to collect QA samples to cover these missing keywords. Moreover, analyzing the performance variation upon removing samples linked to each keyword offers insight into determining the relevance of the keyword to AD.

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

568

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

5.4 Case Study

We put an example in Table 5 to showcase the efficacy of DALK. We notice while the path-based subgraph contains the relevant knowledge to exclude option C, it still involves other irrelevant information and finally fails to prompt the LLMs to produce the correct answer. In contrast, our self-aware knowledge retrieval method successfully chooses the top 3 most relevant triples for the given problem and results in the correct answer D.

6 Conclusion

In this research, we begin by analyzing the main limitations of adopting the existing LLMs-based methods in AD-specific areas. To address these issues, we propose a novel approach in the merging of large language models and knowledge graphs in the context of Alzheimer's Disease. We provide an innovative dynamic co-augmentation framework for the refinement of large language models and knowledge graphs. Initially, our approach extracts structural insights from the unstructured scientific literature, crafting a specialized knowledge graph for AD. Subsequently, we employ a coarse-to-fine sampling technique coupled with a unique selfaware knowledge retrieval strategy to pinpoint relevant information from the knowledge graph. The extensive evaluation conducted in our constructed ADQA benchmark shows the effectiveness of our method and provides further hints into the synergy of LLMs and KG in the context of AD.

618

619

623

624

631

633

635

7 Limitations and Future Work

In the development of our AD-KG, our primary focus lies in the exploration of two distinct meth-596 ods for extracting relationships between associated 597 entities. For entity recognition, we employ a strong PubTator annotator directly, without delving into the utilization of LLMs in this context. However, we have observed that LLMs also exhibit promising entity extraction capabilities in Section 3.2.1. We defer the refinement of methods for extracting entities for KG construction with LLMs to future works. Furthermore, a significant contribution of our work is the establishment of the ADQA benchmark. Nonetheless, the datasets utilized in constructing ADQA primarily consist of medical school exam questions, potentially exhibiting a domain gap from the scientific literature informing 610 AD-KG. One potential remedy is leveraging Pub-611 medQA (Jin et al., 2019); however, it is hindered by limited data amount. In the future, we will keep 613 gathering AD-related QA samples and expanding 614 the size of our ADQA benchmark. In the future, 615 we will do more exploration in adopting and bench-616 marking LLMs in the AD areas. 617

8 Ethics Statement

We have familiarized ourselves with and honour the ethical code set out in the ACL Code of Ethics². The knowledge graphs constructed in the paper are based on published scientific literature from PubMed. The ADQA dataset used in the study is also derived from publicly available medical QA datasets that are properly cited. We strive to ensure our study upholds ethical principles and not cause any kind of safety or privacy concerns. Although not observed in our multiple-choice QA analysis, we recognize the possibility of factual errors and hallucinations when using pre-trained LLMs for medical QA tasks in general, and we do not recommend these models be applied in a practical setting at present.

References

- Felix Agbavor and Hualou Liang. 2022. Predicting dementia from spontaneous speech using large language models. *PLOS Digital Health*, 1:1–14.
- Rohan Anil, Andrew M Dai, Orhan Firat, Melvin Johnson, Dmitry Lepikhin, Alexandre Passos, Siamak Shakeri, Emanuel Taropa, Paige Bailey, Zhifeng

Chen, et al. 2023. Palm 2 technical report. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2305.10403.

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

- Aparna Balagopalan, Benjamin Eyre, Frank Rudzicz, and Jekaterina Novikova. 2020. To BERT or not to BERT: Comparing Speech and Language-Based Approaches for Alzheimer's Disease Detection. In *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, pages 2167–2171.
- Maciej Besta, Nils Blach, Ales Kubicek, Robert Gerstenberger, Lukas Gianinazzi, Joanna Gajda, Tomasz Lehmann, Michal Podstawski, Hubert Niewiadomski, Piotr Nyczyk, et al. 2023. Graph of thoughts: Solving elaborate problems with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09687*.
- Zhen Bi, Jing Chen, Yinuo Jiang, Feiyu Xiong, Wei Guo, Huajun Chen, and Ningyu Zhang. 2024. Codekgc: Code language model for generative knowledge graph construction. *ACM Transactions on Asian and Low-Resource Language Information Processing*, 23(3):1–16.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- Salvatore Carta, Alessandro Giuliani, Leonardo Piano, Alessandro Sebastian Podda, Livio Pompianu, and Sandro Gabriele Tiddia. 2023. Iterative zero-shot llm prompting for knowledge graph construction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01128*.
- Jiawei Chen, Hongyu Lin, Xianpei Han, and Le Sun. 2024. Benchmarking large language models in retrieval-augmented generation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 17754–17762.
- Zeming Chen, Alejandro Hernández Cano, Angelika Romanou, Antoine Bonnet, Kyle Matoba, Francesco Salvi, Matteo Pagliardini, Simin Fan, Andreas Köpf, Amirkeivan Mohtashami, et al. 2023. Meditron-70b: Scaling medical pretraining for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16079*.
- Geesa Daluwatumulle, Rupika Wijesinghe, and Ruvan Weerasinghe. 2023. In silico drug repurposing using knowledge graph embeddings for alzheimer's disease. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Bioinformatics Research and Applications*, ICBRA '22, page 61–66, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Preetha Datta, Fedor Vitiugin, Anastasiia Chizhikova, and Nitin Sawhney. 2024. Construction of hyperrelational knowledge graphs using pre-trained large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11786*.
- Stefan Dernbach, Khushbu Agarwal, Alejandro Zuniga, Michael Henry, and Sutanay Choudhury. 2024. Glam: Fine-tuning large language models for domain

²https://www.aclweb.org/portal/content/acl-code-ethics

750

804

Tianqing Fang, Hongming Zhang, Weiqi Wang, Yangqiu Song, and Bin He. 2021. Discos: bridging the gap between discourse knowledge and commonsense knowledge. In Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021, pages 2648-2659. Yingjie Feng, Xiaoyin Xu, Yueting Zhuang, and Min Zhang. 2023. Large language models improve alzheimer's disease diagnosis using multi-modality data. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Medical Artificial Intelligence (MedAI), pages 61–66. IEEE. Jiuzhou Han, Nigel Collier, Wray Buntine, and Ehsan Shareghi. 2023. Pive: Prompting with iterative verification improving graph-based generative capability of llms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12392. Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. 2021. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. In International Conference on Learning Representations. Daniel Scott Himmelstein, Antoine Lizee, Christine Hessler, Leo Brueggeman, Sabrina L Chen, Dexter Hadley, Ari Green, Pouya Khankhanian, and Sergio E Baranzini. 2017. Systematic integration of biomedical knowledge prioritizes drugs for repurposing. Elife, 6:e26726. Kang-Lin Hsieh, German Plascencia-Villa, Ko-Hong Lin, George Perry, Xiaoqian Jiang, and Yejin Kim. 2023. Synthesize heterogeneous biological knowledge via representation learning for alzheimer's disease drug repurposing. iScience, 26(1):105678. Edward J Hu, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In International Conference on Learning Representations. Jinhao Jiang, Kun Zhou, Zican Dong, Keming Ye, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2023. Structgpt: A general framework for large language model to reason over structured data. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 9237–9251. Di Jin, Eileen Pan, Nassim Oufattole, Wei-Hung Weng, Hanyi Fang, and Peter Szolovits. 2021. What disease does this patient have? a large-scale open domain question answering dataset from medical exams. Applied Sciences, 11(14):6421. Qiao Jin, Bhuwan Dhingra, Zhengping Liu, William W Cohen, and Xinghua Lu. 2019. Pubmedqa: A dataset for biomedical research question answering. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.06146. 10

knowledge graph alignment via neighborhood par-

titioning and generative subgraph encoding. arXiv

preprint arXiv:2402.06764.

697

703

705

709

711

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

723

724

725

726

727

728

730

731

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

- Nikhil Kandpal, Haikang Deng, Adam Roberts, Eric Wallace, and Colin Raffel. 2023. Large language models struggle to learn long-tail knowledge. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pages 15696-15707. PMLR.
- Jiho Kim, Yeonsu Kwon, Yohan Jo, and Edward Choi. 2023. Kg-gpt: A general framework for reasoning on knowledge graphs using large language models. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, pages 9410–9421.
- Yanis Labrak, Adrien Bazoge, Emmanuel Morin, Pierre-Antoine Gourraud, Mickael Rouvier, and Richard Dufour. 2024. Biomistral: A collection of opensource pretrained large language models for medical domains. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10373.
- Dawei Li, Yanran Li, Jiavi Zhang, Ke Li, Chen Wei, Jianwei Cui, and Bin Wang. 2022. C3kg: A chinese commonsense conversation knowledge graph. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022, pages 1369–1383.
- Dawei Li, Yaxuan Li, Dheeraj Mekala, Shuyao Li, Xueqi Wang, William Hogan, Jingbo Shang, et al. 2023a. Dail: Data augmentation for incontext learning via self-paraphrase. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.03319.
- Dawei Li, Zhen Tan, Tianlong Chen, and Huan Liu. 2024. Contextualization distillation from large language model for knowledge graph completion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01729.
- Dawei Li, Hengyuan Zhang, Yanran Li, and Shiping Yang. 2023b. Multi-level contrastive learning for script-based character understanding. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 5995–6013.
- Rumeng Li, Xun Wang, and Hong Yu. 2023c. Two directions for clinical data generation with large language models: Data-to-label and label-to-data. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 7129-7143.
- Yucheng Li, Bo Dong, Frank Guerin, and Chenghua Lin. 2023d. Compressing context to enhance inference efficiency of large language models. In Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 6342-6353.
- Alejandro Lozano, Scott L Fleming, Chia-Chun Chiang, and Nigam Shah. 2023. Clinfo. ai: An open-source retrieval-augmented large language model system for answering medical questions using scientific literature. In PACIFIC SYMPOSIUM ON BIOCOMPUT-ING 2024, pages 8-23. World Scientific.
- Xindi Luo, Zequn Sun, Jing Zhao, Zhe Zhao, and Wei Hu. 2024. Knowla: Enhancing parameter-efficient finetuning with knowledgeable adaptation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14950.

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

Yubo Ma, Yixin Cao, Yong Hong, and Aixin Sun. 2023. Large language model is not a good few-shot information extractor, but a good reranker for hard samples! In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 10572–10601.

808

811

812

813

814

815

816

818

822

829

833

834

835

836

841

849

850

851

853

856

- Chengsheng Mao, Jie Xu, Luke Rasmussen, Yikuan Li, Prakash Adekkanattu, Jennifer Pacheco, Borna Bonakdarpour, Robert Vassar, Li Shen, Guoqian Jiang, Fei Wang, Jyotishman Pathak, and Yuan Luo. 2023. Ad-bert: Using pre-trained language model to predict the progression from mild cognitive impairment to alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 144:104442.
- C L Masters, G Simms, N A Weinman, G Multhaup, B L McDonald, and K Beyreuther. 1985. Amyloid plaque core protein in alzheimer disease and down syndrome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 82(12):4245–4249.
- George A Miller. 1995. Wordnet: a lexical database for english. *Communications of the ACM*, 38(11):39–41.
- Yi Nian, Xinyue Hu, Rui Zhang, Jingna Feng, Jingcheng Du, Fang Li, Yong Chen, and Cui Tao. 2022. Mining on alzheimer's diseases related knowledge graph to identity potential ad-related semantic triples for drug repurposing. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 23.
- OpenAI. 2022. Introducing chatgpt.
 - OpenAI. 2024. New embedding models and api updates.
 - Oded Ovadia, Menachem Brief, Moshik Mishaeli, and Oren Elisha. 2023. Fine-tuning or retrieval? comparing knowledge injection in llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.05934*.
 - Ankit Pal, Logesh Kumar Umapathi, and Malaikannan Sankarasubbu. 2022. Medmcqa: A large-scale multisubject multi-choice dataset for medical domain question answering. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Health, Inference, and Learning,* volume 174 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research,* pages 248–260. PMLR.
 - Liangming Pan, Michael Saxon, Wenda Xu, Deepak Nathani, Xinyi Wang, and William Yang Wang. 2023. Automatically correcting large language models: Surveying the landscape of diverse self-correction strategies. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.03188.
 - Shirui Pan, Linhao Luo, Yufei Wang, Chen Chen, Jiapu Wang, and Xindong Wu. 2024. Unifying large language models and knowledge graphs: A roadmap. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*.
 - Anselmo Peñas, Eduard H. Hovy, Pamela Forner, Álvaro Rodrigo, Richard F. E. Sutcliffe, and Roser Morante. 2013. Qa4mre 2011-2013: Overview of question answering for machine reading evaluation. In *International Conference of the Cross-Language Evaluation Forum for European Languages*, pages 303–320. Springer.

- Yiyuan Pu, Daniel Beck, and Karin Verspoor. 2023. Graph embedding-based link prediction for literaturebased discovery in alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 145:104464.
- Julio C Rangel, Tarcisio Mendes de Farias, Ana Claudia Sima, and Norio Kobayashi. 2024. Sparql generation: an analysis on fine-tuning openllama for question answering over a life science knowledge graph. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04627*.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP), pages 3982–3992.
- Alzheimer's Association Report. 2023. 2023 alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer's* & *Dementia*, 19(4):1598–1695.
- Joseph Romano, Van Truong, Rachit Kumar, Mythreye Venkatesan, Britney Graham, Yun Hao, Nick Matsumoto, Xi Li, Zhiping Wang, Marylyn Ritchie, Li Shen, and Jason Moore. 2023. The alzheimer's knowledge base – a knowledge graph for therapeutic discovery in alzheimer's disease research (preprint). *Journal of Medical Internet Research*.
- Chang Shu, Baian Chen, Fangyu Liu, Zihao Fu, Ehsan Shareghi, and Nigel Collier. 2023. Visual medalpaca: A parameter-efficient biomedical llm with visual capabilities.
- Robyn Speer, Joshua Chin, and Catherine Havasi. 2017. Conceptnet 5.5: An open multilingual graph of general knowledge. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 31.
- Jiashuo Sun, Chengjin Xu, Lumingyuan Tang, Saizhuo Wang, Chen Lin, Yeyun Gong, Heung-Yeung Shum, and Jian Guo. 2023a. Think-on-graph: Deep and responsible reasoning of large language model with knowledge graph. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.07697*.
- Weiwei Sun, Lingyong Yan, Xinyu Ma, Shuaiqiang Wang, Pengjie Ren, Zhumin Chen, Dawei Yin, and Zhaochun Ren. 2023b. Is chatgpt good at search? investigating large language models as re-ranking agents. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 14918–14937.
- Zhen Tan, Alimohammad Beigi, Song Wang, Ruocheng Guo, Amrita Bhattacharjee, Bohan Jiang, Mansooreh Karami, Jundong Li, Lu Cheng, and Huan Liu. 2024. Large language models for data annotation: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.13446*.
- Jiabin Tang, Yuhao Yang, Wei Wei, Lei Shi, Lixin Su, Suqi Cheng, Dawei Yin, and Chao Huang. 2023. Graphgpt: Graph instruction tuning for large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.13023.

1019

1020

969

970

971

Augustin Toma, Patrick R. Lawler, Jimmy Ba, Rahul G. Krishnan, Barry B. Rubin, and Bo Wang. 2023. Clinical camel: An open expert-level medical language model with dialogue-based knowledge encoding.

915

916

917

918

919

924

933

937

939

942

943

944

945

947

950

951

952

953

954

955

957

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

967

- Yongqi Tong, Dawei Li, Sizhe Wang, Yujia Wang, Fei Teng, and Jingbo Shang. 2024. Can llms learn from previous mistakes? investigating llms' errors to boost for reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.20046*.
- Yongqi Tong, Yifan Wang, Dawei Li, Sizhe Wang, Zi Lin, Simeng Han, and Jingbo Shang. 2023. Eliminating reasoning via inferring with planning: A new framework to guide llms' non-linear thinking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12342*.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothee Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. 2023. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*.
- Denny Vrandečić and Markus Krötzsch. 2014. Wikidata: a free collaborative knowledgebase. *Communications of the ACM*, 57(10):78–85.
- Somin Wadhwa, Silvio Amir, and Byron C Wallace. 2023. Revisiting relation extraction in the era of large language models. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 15566– 15589.
- Zhen Wan, Fei Cheng, Zhuoyuan Mao, Qianying Liu, Haiyue Song, Jiwei Li, and Sadao Kurohashi. 2023. Gpt-re: In-context learning for relation extraction using large language models. In *Proceedings of the* 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 3534–3547.
- Xuezhi Wang, Jason Wei, Dale Schuurmans, Quoc V Le, Ed H Chi, Sharan Narang, Aakanksha Chowdhery, and Denny Zhou. 2022. Self-consistency improves chain of thought reasoning in language models. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Chih-Hsuan Wei, Hung-Yu Kao, and Zhiyong Lu. 2013. Pubtator: a web-based text mining tool for assisting biocuration. *Nucleic acids research*, 41(W1):W518– W522.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. 2022. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:24824–24837.

- Yanbin Wei, Qiushi Huang, Yu Zhang, and James Kwok. 2023. Kicgpt: Large language model with knowledge in context for knowledge graph completion. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 8667–8683.
- Yilin Wen, Zifeng Wang, and Jimeng Sun. 2023. Mindmap: Knowledge graph prompting sparks graph of thoughts in large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09729*.
- Siye Wu, Jian Xie, Jiangjie Chen, Tinghui Zhu, Kai Zhang, and Yanghua Xiao. 2024. How easily do irrelevant inputs skew the responses of large language models? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.03302*.
- Chao Yan, Monika Grabowska, Alyson Dickson, Bingshan Li, Zhexing Wen, Dan Roden, C. Stein, Peter Embí, Josh Peterson, Qiping Feng, Bradley Malin, and Wei-Qi Wei. 2024. Leveraging generative ai to prioritize drug repurposing candidates for alzheimer's disease with real-world clinical validation. *npj Digital Medicine*, 7.
- Shunyu Yao, Dian Yu, Jeffrey Zhao, Izhak Shafran, Thomas L Griffiths, Yuan Cao, and Karthik Narasimhan. 2023. Tree of thoughts: Deliberate problem solving with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10601*.
- Wenhao Yu, Hongming Zhang, Xiaoman Pan, Kaixin Ma, Hongwei Wang, and Dong Yu. 2023. Chain-ofnote: Enhancing robustness in retrieval-augmented language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.09210*.
- Weizhe Yuan, Richard Yuanzhe Pang, Kyunghyun Cho, Sainbayar Sukhbaatar, Jing Xu, and Jason Weston. 2024. Self-rewarding language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10020.
- Li Yunxiang, Li Zihan, Zhang Kai, Dan Ruilong, and Zhang You. 2023. Chatdoctor: A medical chat model fine-tuned on llama model using medical domain knowledge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14070*.
- Cyril Zakka, Rohan Shad, Akash Chaurasia, Alex R Dalal, Jennifer L Kim, Michael Moor, Robyn Fong, Curran Phillips, Kevin Alexander, Euan Ashley, et al. 2024. Almanac—retrieval-augmented language models for clinical medicine. *NEJM AI*, 1(2):AIoa2300068.
- Hengyuan Zhang, Yanru Wu, Dawei Li, Zacc Yang, Rui Zhao, Yong Jiang, and Fei Tan. 2024. Balancing speciality and versatility: a coarse to fine framework for supervised fine-tuning large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.10306*.
- Hongming Zhang, Xin Liu, Haojie Pan, Yangqiu Song, and Cane Wing-Ki Leung. 2020. Aser: A large-scale eventuality knowledge graph. In *Proceedings of the web conference 2020*, pages 201–211.
- Kai Zhang, Jun Yu, Zhiling Yan, Yixin Liu, Eashan Adhikarla, Sunyang Fu, Xun Chen, Chen Chen, Yuyin

1023	Zhou, Xiang Li, et al. 2023a. Biomedgpt: A uni-
1024	fied and generalist biomedical generative pre-trained
1025	transformer for vision, language, and multimodal
1026	tasks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.17100.
1027	Susan Zhang, Stephen Roller, Naman Goyal, Mikel
1028	Artetxe, Moya Chen, Shuohui Chen, Christopher De-
1029	wan, Mona Diab, Xian Li, Xi Victoria Lin, et al. 2022.
1030	Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models.
1031	arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01068.
1032	Yichi Zhang, Zhuo Chen, Wen Zhang, and Huajun Chen.
1033	2023b. Making large language models perform bet-
1034	ter in knowledge graph completion. arXiv preprint
1035	arXiv:2310.06671.

A AD-KG Evaluation

1036

We conduct a manual evaluation of our AD-KG to guarantee its quality. We randomly sample 100 triples from AD-KG constructed with generative and RE methods and ask the annotator to check whether the fact in each triple is valid. The annotator is encouraged to find evidence from the original abstract corpus or by searching the web. The results are presented in Table 7. We found that while both AD-KGs achieve acceptable accuracy, the RE construction method produces an AD-KG of higher quality. This further validates our conclusion in Section 4.5 regarding the trade-off between coverage and accuracy.

	Accuracy
AD-KG w/ Generative Construction	91%
AD-KG w/ RE Construction	83%

Table 7: Manual evaluation result on AD-KG.

B Details of LLMs for KG

Table 8 and 9 present examples of our two KG construction methods respectively. For both methods, we adopt a select-or-generate prompt to instruct the LLM whether to choose a relation from hetionet (Himmelstein et al., 2017), a well-built general medical KG, or generate a new one to describe the relationship between two entities. In the RE construction method, we also conduct a type matching (Table 10) for each entity from type name of PubTator to that of Hetionet and ask the LLM to choose from the relation set that corresponds to the two entities' types (Table 11).

Read the following abstract, extract the relationships between each entity. You can Input choose the relation from: (covaries, interacts, regulates, resembles, downregulates, upregulates, associates, binds, treats, palliates), or generate a new predicate to describe the relationship between the two entities. Output all the extract triples in the format of "head | relation | tail". For example: "Alzheimer's disease | associates I memory deficits" Abstract: Thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP) and the activities of thiamine-dependent enzymes are reduced in Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients. In this study, we analyzed the relationship between thiamine deficiency (TD) and amyloid precursor protein (APP) processing in both cellular and animal models of TD. In SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells overexpressing APP, TD promoted maturation of beta-site APP cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1) and increased beta-secretase activity which resulted in elevated levels of beta-amyloid (Abeta) as well as beta-secretase cleaved C-terminal fragment (beta-CTF). An inhibitor of beta-secretase efficiently reduced TD-induced up-regulation of Abeta and beta-CTF. Importantly, thiamine supplementation reversed the TD-induced alterations. Furthermore, TD treatment caused a significant accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS); antioxidants suppressed ROS production and maturation of BACE1, as well as TD-induced Abeta accumulation. On the other hand, exogenous Abeta(1-40) enhanced TDinduced production of ROS. A study on mice indicated that TD also caused Abeta accumulation in the brain, which was reversed by thiamine supplementation. Taken together, our study suggests that TD could enhance Abeta generation by promoting beta-secretase activity, and the accumulation of Abeta subsequently exacerbated TD-induced oxidative stress.Entity: Thiamine (thiamine), deficiency increases betasecretase, TPP (Thiamine pyrophosphate), Alzheimer's disease (AD), patients, amyloid precursor protein (beta-amyloid (Abeta)), neuroblastoma, BACE1 (beta-site APP cleaving enzyme 1), Abeta, ROS (reactive oxygen species), Abeta accumulation, mice. Output: Output Thiamine deficiency | downregulates | TPP, Thiamine deficiency | regulates | Alzheimer's disease, Alzheimer's disease | involves | beta-secretase, Amyloid precursor protein | produces | beta-amyloid, Amyloid precursor protein | in | neuroblastoma, BACE1 | is | beta-secretase, BACE1 | regulates | beta-amyloid, Abeta | is | betaamyloid, Abeta | in | mice, Abeta | causes | reactive oxygen species, Abeta | regulates | ROS

Table 8: An example to extract knowledge triples using generative construction method.

C Details of KG for LLMs

In this section, we provide detailed input and output for adopting KG to augment LLMs, including path-based and neighbor-based sub-graph sampling results (Table 12), self-aware knowledge retrieval (Table 13), describing sub-graphs with LLMs (Table 14) and inference with sampled knowledge (Table 15). The question we showcase here is "The area of the brain resistant to Neurofibrillary tangles of Alzheimer's disease is: A. Visual association areas B. Entorhinal coex C. Temporal lobe D.Lateral geniculate body", which is the same as the one we use in Section 5.4.

1050

1051

Input	Read the following abstract, answer the following question. Abstract: Thiamine
	pyrophosphate (TPP) and the activities of thiamine-dependent enzymes are reduced
	in Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients. In this study, we analyzed the relationship
	between thiamine deficiency (TD) and amyloid precursor protein (APP) process-
	ing in both cellular and animal models of TD. In SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells
	overexpressing APP, TD promoted maturation of beta-site APP cleaving enzyme 1
	(BACE1) and increased beta-secretase activity which resulted in elevated levels of
	beta-amyloid (Abeta) as well as beta-secretase cleaved C-terminal fragment (beta-
	CTF). An inhibitor of beta-secretase efficiently reduced TD-induced up-regulation
	of Abeta and beta-CTF. Importantly, thiamine supplementation reversed the TD-
	induced alterations. Furthermore, TD treatment caused a significant accumulation
	of reactive oxygen species (ROS); antioxidants suppressed ROS production and
	maturation of BACE1, as well as TD-induced Abeta accumulation. On the other
	hand, exogenous Abeta(1-40) enhanced TD-induced production of ROS. A study
	on mice indicated that TD also caused Abeta accumulation in the brain, which
	was reversed by thiamine supplementation. Taken together, our study suggests that
	TD could enhance Abeta generation by promoting beta-secretase activity, and the
	accumulation of Abeta subsequently exacerbated TD-induced oxidative stress.Entity:
	Thiamine (thiamine), deficiency increases beta-secretase, TPP (Thiamine pyrophos-
	phate), Alzheimer's disease (AD), patients, amyloid precursor protein (beta-amyloid
	(Abeta)), neuroblastoma, BACE1 (beta-site APP cleaving enzyme 1), Abeta, ROS
	(reactive oxygen species), Abeta accumulation, mice. Question: predict the relation-
	ship between Disease entity "sclerosis" and Disease entity "multiple sclerosis", first
	choose from the following options: A. resembles B. no-relation C. others, please
	specify by generating a short predicate in 5 words. Answer: Let's think step by step:
Output	1. Sclerosis is a disease of the central nervous system. 2. Multiple sclerosis is
	the most common form of sclerosis. 3. So the relationship between sclerosis and
	multiple sclerosis should be "sclerosis is a kind of multiple sclerosis". So the answer
	is: A. resembles. So the answer is: A. resembles

Table 9: An example to extract the relationship between "sclerosis" and "multiple sclerosis" using RE construction method.

PubTator Type	Hetionet Type
Gene	genes
Chemical	compounds
Disease	diseases

Table 10: Entity type match from PubTator to Hetionet.

Туре-Туре	Relations
genes-genes	["covaries", "interacts", "regulates"]
disease-disease	["resembles"]
compounds-compounds	["resembles"]
genes-diseases	["downregulates","associates","upregulates"]
genes-compounds	["binds", "upregulates", "downregulates"]
compounds-diseases	["treats", "palliates"]

Table 11: Type-type to relation match in Hetionet.

Path-based Su	b-graph	neurofibrillary tangles->FORM BY->microtubule-associated protein tau->BINDS-> (18)F-THK-5117->ADMINISTERED TO->rats->has->Alzheimer's disease -> Alzheimer's disease ->affects->human->has->AD->DISEASE OF->Brain entorhinal cortex->is a part of->brain->ASSOCIATES-> mouse with Alzheimer's disease->brain region->temporal lobe		
Neighbor-based Sub-graph		neurofibrillary tangles->FORM BY->microtubule-associated protein tau Alzheimer's disease ->causes->neuronal death Alzheimer's disease ->associates->cognitive decline Alzheimer's disease ->affects->human Alzheimer's disease ->has subtype->neurodegenerative diseases		
Tab	ole 12: An exa	ample of path-based and neighbor-based sub-graph for the question.		
Th ->< Gr (18 aff eni mo	ere is a questio entity list forma aph: urofibrillary tar 3)F-THK-5117 ects->human-> torhinal cortex- buse with Alzho	n and some knowledge graph. The knowledge graphs follow entity->relationship at. ngles->FORM BY->microtubule-associated protein tau->BINDS-> ->ADMINISTERED TO->rats->has->Alzheimer's disease ->Alzheimer's disease -> -has->AD->DISEASE OF->Brain ->is a part of->brain->ASSOCIATES-> eimer's disease->brain region->temporal lobe}		
Qu Th A. Input B. C. D.	Question: The area of the brain resistant to Neurofibrillary tangles of Alzheimer's disease is: A. Visual association areas B. Entorhinal coex C. Temporal lobe D.Lateral geniculate body			
Ple sol Re Re Re Re	Please rerank the knowledge graph and output at most 5 important and relevant triples for solving the given question. Output the reranked knowledge in the following format: Reranked Triple1: xxx ——>xxx Reranked Triple2: xxx ——>xxx Reranked Triple3: xxx ——>xxx Reranked Triple4: xxx ——>xxx Reranked Triple5: xxx ——>xxx			
Ar	iswer:			
Output Re Re	ranked Triples ranked Triples ranked Triples	1: entorhinal cortex ->is a part of ->brain 2: entorhinal cortex ->associates ->mouse with Alzheimer's disease 3: temporal lobe ->affected by ->Alzheimer's disease		
Tabl	le 13: An exa	mple of our self-aware knowledge retrieval in path-based sub-graph.		
	There are so	ne knowledge graph paths. They follow entity->relationship->entity format.		
Reranked Reranked Reranked Input Use the k Use singl And nam		ples1: entorhinal cortex ->is a part of ->brain ples2: entorhinal cortex ->associates ->mouse with Alzheimer's disease ples3: temporal lobe ->affected by ->Alzheimer's disease		
		vledge graph information. Try to convert them to natural language, respectively. notation marks for entity name and relation name. em as Path-based Evidence 1, Path-based Evidence 2,		
Output	Output: Path-based E Path-based E Path-based E	Evidence 1: 'Entorhinal cortex' is a part of 'brain'. Evidence 2: 'Entorhinal cortex' associates 'mouse' with 'Alzheimer's disease'. Evidence 3: 'Temporal lobe' is affected by 'Alzheimer's disease'.		

Table 14: An example to describe the self-retrieved path-based sub-graph with the LLM.

D ADQA Benchmark

Table 16 shows the detailed prompt of our self-sample method to construct ADQA benchmark. Table 17 gives the statistics of our ADQA benchmark.

1057 1058

	Question: The area of the brain resistant to Neurofibrillary tangles of Alzheimer's disease is:
Input	A. Visual association areas
	B.Entorhinal coex
	C. Temporal lobe
	D.Lateral geniculate body
	You have some medical knowledge information in the following:
	###Path-based Evidence 1: 'Entorhinal cortex' is a part of 'brain'.
	Path-based Evidence 2: 'Entorhinal cortex' associates 'mouse' with 'Alzheimer's disease'.
	Path-based Evidence 3: 'Temporal lobe' is affected by 'Alzheimer's disease'.
	###Neighbor-based Evidence 1: 'neurofibrillary tangles' are 'FORMED BY' '
	microtubule-associated protein tau'.
	Neighbor-based Evidence 2: 'Alzheimer's disease' 'CAUSES' 'neuronal death'.
	Neighbor-based Evidence 3: 'Alzheimer's disease' 'ASSOCIATES' with 'cognitive decline'.
	Neighbor-based Evidence 4: 'Alzheimer's disease' 'AFFECTS' 'human'.
	Neighbor-based Evidence 5: 'Alzheimer's disease' 'HAS SUBTYPE' 'neurodegenerative diseases'.'
	Answer: Let's think step by step:
	In Alzheimer's disease, neurofibrillary tangles are composed of
	abnormal aggregations of tau protein within neurons, leading to
	neuronal dysfunction and eventual cell death. The lateral geniculate
	body is a relay center in the thalamus that processes visual
	information from the retina to the visual cortex. Since the lateral
Output	geniculate body is not directly involved in memory and cognitive
Output	function, it is less likely to be affected by neurofibrillary tangles in
	Alzheimer's disease compared to other brain regions such as the
	entorhinal cortex and temporal lobe which play crucial roles in memory
	and cognitive processing. Therefore, the area of the brain resistant to
	neurofibrillary tangles of Alzheimer's disease is the lateral geniculate
	body (option D)

Table 15: An example of inference with selected knowledge

Input	Judge whether the question below is related to Alzheimer's Disease. Please answer yes or no.
	Question: Treatable causes of dementia are
	a).AD b).Hypothyroidism c).Multi-infarct dementia d).SDH e).Hydrocephalus
	Is the question related to Alzheimer's Disease? Answer:
Output	Yes

Table 16: An example from MedMCQA to self-sample AD-related QA sample with LLMs.

Dataset	MedQA	MedMCQA	MMLU	QA4MRE	Total
Number	152	210	49	35	446

Table 17: Statistics of our ADQA benchmark.

E Further Experiment for RAG

1060

1061

	MedQA	MedMCQA	NMMLU	QA4MRE	AVG
Almanac w/ 256 chunk size	50.0	69.0	67.3	62.9	62.3
Almanac w/ top 10 document	48.7	68.6	65.3	62.9	61.4
Almanac w/ CoT	50.0	65.7	77.6	65.7	64.7
Clinfo.ai w/ 256 chunk size	48.6	66.7	81.6	65.7	65.7
Clinfo.ai w/ top 5 document	43.4	68.1	77.6	68.6	64.4
Clinfo.ai w/ CoT	48.7	68.6	79.6	68.6	65.0

Table 18: Further experiment in RAG methods with different hyper-parameter settings.

F Time Cost for Subgraphs Extraction

	MedQA	MedMCQA	NMMLU	QA4MRE	AVG
AVG Length	107.4	23.8	342.9	17.6	122.9
Time Cost (s)	2.25	0.89	2.25	1.09	1.62

Table 19: Average lengths of questions and time costs to construct the two subgraphs (path-based subgraph and neighbor-based subgraph) for each dataset.