# SMPLY PRIVATE: FROM MASKS TO MESHES IN AC TION RECOGNITION

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce MASK2MESH (M2M), a novel privacy-preserving data augmentation framework that effectively bridges the realism gap seen in syntheticbased action recognition methods. Traditional privacy-enhancing techniques, such as feature masking and synthetic data supplementation, tend to degrade data quality and reduce model performance. In contrast, our method leverages the SMPL-X model to replace real humans with detailed 3D meshes in video data, preserving the subtle nuances of human movement and expressions that are crucial for accurate action recognition. By augmenting real data with superimposed meshes, M2M simplifies both pre-training and fine-tuning processes, without introducing the overheads and biases typically associated with synthetic data. Empirical results show that our approach achieves performance within 0.5% of models trained on unmodified video data, proving that overlaying meshes leads to no significant performance loss in action recognition tasks. This work presents a practical solution for data anonymization without compromising accuracy, offering valuable insights for more efficient and scalable video data processing techniques in computer vision and action recognition.

029

024

004

010 011

012

013

014

015

016

017

018

019

021

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Action recognition, the process of classifying human activities based on video sequences, is crucial for applications such as surveillance, human-computer interaction, and video analytics (Herath et al., 2017). Traditional action recognition systems rely heavily on extensively annotated datasets to achieve optimal performance. With advancements in deep learning and the emergence of vision transformers (ViTs) (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021), pre-training models on large datasets has become standard practice to enhance accuracy and generalization (Pham et al., 2022). However, these datasets often include identifiable individuals, raising significant privacy and ethical concerns (Yoo & Choi, 2013).

038 Data sharing, particularly without obtaining explicit consent from individuals, necessitates robust de-identification methods. Conventional anonymization techniques, such as blurring and pixelation, 040 often degrade data quality, thereby reducing its efficacy for action recognition tasks. Moreover, these 041 methods rely on heuristics and may not effectively balance privacy protection with data utility (Ren 042 et al., 2018). Ensuring individual privacy while sharing video data can significantly advance research 043 and development in action recognition and computer vision, where large datasets are imperative. 044 Privacy-preserving techniques that maintain data quality can enhance the accuracy and reliability of machine learning models, facilitating more robust and fair applications. Nonetheless, these methods do not fully address the realism gap introduced by synthetic methods, nor do they completely 046 safeguard privacy, as variable visuals like skin tone and gender can still be discerned (Zhong et al., 047 2023; Dave et al., 2022; Li et al., 2022a). 048

Our research aims to address these challenges by demonstrating that using mesh bodies can effectively remove biases and close the realism gap in action video recognition while preserving privacy. In particular, we: (1) investigate whether meshes can preserve privacy while approximating real-world data and reducing the realism gap; (2) explore the potential of using meshes to mitigate biases related to background, scene-object interactions, race, and gender, examining whether they can serve as a standardization technique for the human form.



Figure 1: SMPLy Private in Action. Transforming human actions into privacy-preserving 3D
 meshes: videos from the Kinetics dataset are preprocessed using masking, inpainting, and body mesh
 recovery to replace humans with 3D mesh bodies. The M2M-augmented videos are then used for
 pre-training and alignment, with their performance evaluated across various human action recognition
 tasks, demonstrating the framework's ability to maintain high fidelity and ensure ethical data usage.

To do so, we introduced a unified framework, *SMPLy Private*, that combines mesh-based pretraining
 and supervised label-alignment stages to address privacy concerns and maximize action recognition
 performance. Unlike prior methods, our approach integrates mesh representations into both pretrain ing and alignment, ensuring that privacy-preserving augmented features are fully leveraged across
 training phases. Specifically:

\* *Pre-training*: We use mesh-based augmented data for masked autoencoder (MAE) (He et al., 2021)
 pre-training, focusing on reconstructing masked video features while incorporating human motion
 dynamics.

\* Alignment: The same mesh representations are used during the supervised alignment phase to ensure consistency and maximize transferability to downstream tasks.

This dual-stage process, ensures that the benefits of privacy-preserving data extend seamlessly across training phases, addressing the limitations of prior approaches that treat pre-training and alignment as a slightly more disjoint processes.

Our approach is motivated by the premise that mesh bodies can replace humans in video data, maintaining privacy. However, to illustrate our interest in exploring the impact of mesh bodies on mitigating gender and race biases, consider an example. Video footage from the National Basketball Association (NBA) in the 1980s-1990s, predominantly features African-American players. Training models on these videos to predict contemporary players, who are more diverse, could introduce bias by predominantly associating basketball with African-American individuals. This concern extends to gender bias as well (Burns et al., 2019). Employing meshes could standardize player representation across different demographics, thereby reducing biases and promoting ethical model development. 

Our contributions are summarized as follows:

(1) We introduce MASK2MESH (M2M), a framework that replaces real humans in video datasets with detailed 3D meshes using the SMPL-X (Pavlakos et al., 2019) model, effectively preserving privacy without compromising the integrity of action recognition. Our method outperforms existing privacy-preserving benchmarks and rivals non-privacy-preserving methods, closing the realism gap.

(2) By strategically modifying the k-means clustering algorithm (Lloyd, 1982), we introduce K-NEXUS, a dataset sampling strategy designed to eliminate action class bias. This enhancement significantly improves the performance of M2M.

(3) Our targeted study on gender representation in 3D meshes reveals that gender-neutral meshes
 improve average performance in action recognition tasks within gender-biased classes, suggesting
 that neutral representations can effectively mitigate gender biases while maintaining privacy and
 ensuring fairness in automated video analysis.

(4) We demonstrate that models fine-tuned on M2M-augmented data learn representations quicker
 due to consistent mesh depictions, offering a new perspective on bridging the realism gap and serving
 as a standardization technique for ethical model development across diverse demographic groups.

123 124

125

## 2 RELATED WORKS

126 Preservation of Privacy in Action Recognition. Anonymization techniques, such as blurring, down-127 sampling, adversarial augmentations, masking faces and other identifiable features, are commonly 128 adapted strategies to maintain human confidentiality (Dai et al., 2015; Butler et al., 2015; Piergiovanni 129 & Ryoo, 2020; Zhang et al., 2021; Dave et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2020; Pittaluga et al., 2019) but can be left susceptible to revealing an individual's identity based on characteristics such as color and size 130 (Yang et al., 2022; Oh et al., 2016). We eliminate the human form and replace it with 3D meshes, 131 thus curating training data that does not have explicitly identifiable forms or features of the original 132 human. 133

134 Body Mesh Recovery. Estimating 3D human body poses and shapes is a complex challenge addressed 135 by various methods. SMPL-X uses a unified 3D model trained on extensive 3D scans, providing 136 detailed and realistic representations. HybrIK-X (Li et al., 2023) effectively combines 3D keypoint 137 estimation with body mesh reconstruction by converting precise 3D joint locations into relative body-part rotations. However, its application is limited to single individuals, which is not suitable 138 for videos featuring multiple people. In contrast, our approach utilizes OSX (Lin et al., 2023), 139 which excels in multi-human mesh recovery. OSX employs a unified encoder-decoder architecture 140 integrated with a component-aware transformer. This setup not only predicts body parameters but 141 also enhances segmentation, crucial for accurate face and hand estimation. By eliminating the need 142 for separate networks and manual post-processing, OSX provides more natural and plausible 3D 143 meshes. Given its simplicity and effectiveness in handling complex scenes with multiple individuals, 144 OSX is our chosen method for accurate human body mesh recovery for M2M. 145

**Biases and Synthetic Data.** Object-scene bias in video action recognition refers to the tendency 146 of models to rely on static objects or backgrounds rather than the dynamic actions themselves for 147 classification (Yun et al., 2020). To address this issue, various augmentation strategies such as loss 148 augmentation (Choi et al., 2019), action-scene swapping (Zou et al., 2022), and video compositing 149 (Gowda et al., 2022) are prevalent but not privacy-preserving. One method mitigates this bias by first 150 learning background information from real data and then temporal information from entirely synthetic 151 data rather than augmenting components of the actual video (Zhong et al., 2023). Although this 152 approach is privacy-preserving and focuses on learning background and actions, thereby addressing object-scene bias, the use of synthetic videos still leaves a desire for the realism gap to be bridged 153 (Friedman et al., 2023). Instead, our approach returns to augmenting real videos by masking, 154 in-painting, and overlaying appropriate mesh bodies in place of the original human. The plain 155 "mannequin-like" mesh bodies remove any discriminatory bias, unlike the synthetic "video-game-like" 156 humans, which tend to have features such as hair color, gender, skin tone, etc. 157

Self-Supervised Pretraining in Action Recognition. The training scheme for action recognition models is crucial to the performance on downstream tasks, given that most data in nature is unlabeled.
Self-supervised learning (SSL) has proven to be a powerful pretraining mechanism in such schemes (Balestriero et al., 2023). Furthermore, the default choice of encoder has shifted from convolutional neural networks (CNNs) like temporal segment networks (TSNs) (Wang et al., 2016) and inflated

162 3D convolutional networks (I3Ds) (Carreira & Zisserman, 2018) to vision transformers (ViTs) 163 (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021), as they effectively process frames as patch sequences, enabling the capture 164 of long-range dependencies and patterns in videos. SSL is typically categorized into four paradigms: 165 deep metric learning (Chen et al., 2020; Dwibedi et al., 2021; Koohpayegani et al., 2020; Khosla et al., 166 2020), self-distillation (Grill et al., 2020; Chen & He, 2021; Caron et al., 2021), canonical correlation analysis (Bardes et al., 2021; Zbontar et al., 2021; Caron et al., 2020; Ermolov et al., 2021), and 167 masked image modeling (He et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2022; Chang et al., 2023). We chose the latter 168 paradigm, employing a masked autoencoder (MAE) training scheme, specifically using VideoMAE (Wang et al., 2022), which typically incorporates the base vision transformer architecture (ViT-B) 170 and has previously achieved state-of-the-art performance on benchmarks like UCF101 (Soomro et al., 171 2012) and Kinetics (Kay et al., 2017). 172

173 174

175 176

177

## 3 Methods

## 3.1 TRANSITIONING FROM SYNTHETIC TO AUGMENTED

178 SMPLy Private marks a significant transition over current state-of-the-art approaches like SynAPT and PPMA, which rely predominantly on fully synthetic data for privacy preservation (Kim et al., 2022; 179 Zhong et al., 2023). PPMA also follows a two-stage process: MAE pre-training on human-removed 180 data, then supervised alignment using synthetic and human-removed datasets. However, its reliance on 181 video game-like synthetic datasets (i.e, SURREACT, PHAV, ElderSim (Varol et al., 2021; De Souza 182 et al., 2017; Hwang et al., 2023)), which modify entire scenes and objects alongside subjects, limits 183 their ability to capture nuanced, real-world contextual features present in action recognition datasets like Kinetics (Jordon et al., 2024; Hao et al., 2024), thereby hindering downstream transferability. 185 M2M overcomes this limitation by employing mesh-based augmentation to synthesize privacy-186 preserving action data while retaining real-world scenes and objects. Instead of creating entirely 187 new environments, M2M overlays parametric human motion meshes over removed human subjects, 188 preserving contextual and environmental nuances while obfuscating identifiable human features. 189 This approach bridges the realism gap, yielding richer temporal and contextual representations without compromising privacy. The core distinction lies in data treatment. While PPMA integrates 190 synthetic and human-obfuscated real data to enhance temporal and contextual understanding, its 191 dependency on synthetic datasets introduces domain shifts away from real-world distributions. In 192 contrast, M2M maintains real-world contexts by embedding privacy-preserving meshes, achieving 193 balanced representation. Furthermore, M2M extends alignment by integrating mesh representations 194 directly into pretraining, seamlessly incorporating temporal and contextual features for improved 195 downstream transferability.

196 197 198

## 3.2 DATASET CURATION: K-NEXUS

199 We use a subset of the Kinetics-400 (Kay et al., 2017) video dataset as our training dataset where we 200 select 150 classes amongst the 400 along with at most 1,000 videos per class. Previous works (Kim 201 et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2023) have used manual or random splits to curate their custom dataset 202 (Kinetics-150), however, we consider the action class bias in the Kinetics dataset (e.g., actions like 203 playing violin and playing guitar are visually closer than playing volleyball). To obtain discrete 204 classes and reduce the bias, we uniquely deploy a k-means clustering algorithm (Lloyd, 1982) to obtain the final set of classes. Our approach aims to assemble a K-class dataset  $D^*$  of minimal bias 205 from an existing dataset D with C classes. The class labels of D are denoted by  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_C\}$ , 206 where  $l_i$  represents the *i*-th class of *D*. Our objective is to find a subset  $L^* = \{l_1^*, \ldots, l_K^*\}$  such that: 207  $l_i^* \in L, l_i^* \neq l_i^*$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $D^*$  has minimal bias. Specifically, we perform the following steps for 208 label sampling: 209

(1) Encoding action image-label pairs. Let V be the set of all videos and L, previously defined, be the set of all class labels.  $V_{l_j} \subseteq V$  is then the set of all videos with class label  $l_j \in L$ . For each video  $v_i \in V_{l_j}$ , we sample a random frame  $I_{v_i}$  from  $v_i$ 's mid-quartile range. We then construct a set of tuples  $\Theta = \{\theta_{v_i, l_j} \mid v_i \in V, l_j \in L\}$  where  $\theta_{v_i, l_j} = (I_{v_i}, l_j)$ . An embedding function is then defined as  $f : \theta \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , which maps the tuple  $\theta$  to a d-dimensional embedding space using a LLaVA image-text encoder (Liu et al., 2023) to accommodate both the image and its corresponding text label. The embedding of a tuple  $\theta_{v_i, l_j}$  is given by:  $\mathbf{e}_{v_i, l_j} = f(\theta_{v_i, l_j})$ . To compute the average embedding for class  $l_j$ , we aggregate the embeddings for the frame-label pairs from all videos in  $V_{l_j}$ :  $E_{l_j} = \{\mathbf{e}_{v_i, l_j} \mid v_i \in V_{l_j}\}$ . Then, the average embedding  $\mathbf{\bar{e}}_{l_j}$  for class  $l_j$  is given by:  $\mathbf{\bar{e}}_{l_j} = \frac{1}{|V_{l_j}|} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in E_{l_j}} \mathbf{e}$ . The set of average embeddings for all class labels is then given by:  $\bar{E} = \{\mathbf{\bar{e}}_{l_j} \mid l_j \in L\}$ .

(2) **K-means clustering.** We then apply a modified k-means clustering algorithm (see Appendix B.1), that minimizes dataset bias instead of the within-cluster sum of squares, to partition the embeddings in  $\overline{E}$  into K clusters. Let  $\kappa(\overline{E}, K)$  denote the modified clustering operation, resulting in cluster assignments  $\Omega = {\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_C}$ , where each  $\omega_i \in {1, 2, \dots, K}$ .

225 (3) Selecting representative labels. For each cluster  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$ , we identify the labels that 226 belong to cluster k. Let  $L_k = \{l_i \mid \omega_i = k\}$  be the set of labels in cluster k. From each cluster k, 227 we select the representative label  $l_k^*$  with the highest number of video samples within the cluster: 228  $l_k^* = \arg \max_{l_i \in L_k} |V_{l_i}|$  where  $V_{l_i}$  is the set of all videos with class label  $l_i$  and  $|V_{l_i}|$  denotes the number of videos in  $V_{l_i}$ . The final set of labels  $L^*$  is then given by:  $L^* = \{l_k^* \mid k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}\}$ . 229 Thereby obtaining  $D^*$  (Kinetics-150). Our method, termed k-Means Neural Embeddings eXploited 230 for Unified Sampling (K-NEXUS), groups similar actions together and selects representative labels to 231 reduce action class bias in Kinetics<sup>1</sup>. By doing so, K-NEXUS ensures a more balanced set of labels 232 and minimizes representation bias across different action categories. 233

234 235

## 3.3 MASK2MESH AUGMENTATION

236 237

Our proposed M2M augmentation framework is designed to achieve privacy-preserving video data 238 by replacing real humans in videos with 3D mesh representations while preserving essential motion 239 details. The framework consists of two main modules: (1) the masking and inpainting module; (2) 240 the body mesh recovery module. We leverage the Kinetics-150 dataset curated in the aforementioned 241 Section 3.2, resizing all video clips to  $432 \times 240$  to standardize the input data. The first step in our 242 framework involves detecting and removing human figures from the video frames. This process is 243 divided into two sub-tasks: human detection and in-painting. We utilize the Segment Anything Model 244 (SAM) (Kirillov et al., 2023), to generate masks for human figures in each video frame. The generated 245 masks are passed on to the subsequent inpainting module, which involves filling the regions occupied by human figures with plausible background content. We employ  $E^2$ FGVI (Li et al., 2022b), an 246 optical flow-based inpainting method.  $E^2FGVI$  leverages temporal coherence and spatial context to 247 generate high-quality inpainted frames, effectively removing humans while maintaining the integrity 248 of the background. 249

250 After removing humans from the video frames, we focus on reconstructing human motion using 3D 251 mesh models. The body mesh recovery module processes the resized videos to extract detailed 3D meshes of the human figures. We use the SMPL-X model for its comprehensive representation of the body, hands, and facial expressions, and the OSX algorithm for mesh recovery due to its multi-human 253 support. The 3D meshes are then superimposed onto the inpainted frames, replacing real humans 254 while retaining essential motion cues for accurate action recognition and anonymization. We also 255 address occlusion challenges (Appendix B.2: Figure 7) involving peripheral objects in the scene. The 256 augmentation framework (Figure 2) preserves objects typically occluded (on-body and peripheral) by 257 the recovered mesh while maintaining privacy, handling erroneous occlusions and object integrity in 258 pose estimation as follows: 259

- 260 (1) Segment the input image to obtain masks for all objects, including the human subject.
- (2) Use the human mask to inpaint and remove the subject, creating a clean background.
- (3) Do mesh recovery on the original image and overlay the mesh onto the inpainted background.
- 264 (4) Extract objects from the original image using their masks and overlay the composite image.
- 265 266

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We compute the relative entropy (Guo et al., 2016) between adjacent frames and select a frame from a subset with the least change in entropy. However, Kinetics consists of short videos showcasing a single action class, resulting in minimal entropy change between adjacent frames. Hence, selecting a random frame (from the mid-quartile range) per video is justified. The performance difference between the two methods was < 0.1%.</li>



Figure 2: MASK2MESH (M2M) Augmentation. A visual representation of transforming real human actions into 3D mesh models across various activities for enhanced privacy and bias mitigation in action recognition. The figure details the flow from the initial video data of the Kinetics dataset, through masking, inpainting, and body mesh recovery to the final stage of mesh superimposition.

## 

## 3.4 TRAINING PROCEDURE

Pre-training is vital in video action recognition, enabling models to learn generalized spatial and temporal features from large, diverse datasets. This foundation enhances the model's ability to recognize complex actions with less labeled data and improves performance and efficiency in downstream tasks (Schiappa et al., 2023). Our training procedure consists of two stages: (1) self-supervised pre-training utilizing VideoMAE; (2) supervised pre-training to ensure label alignment.

Step 1: Video Masked Autoencoder for Self-Supervised Pre-training. We employ the traditional training methodology for MAEs (He et al., 2021) tailored explicitly for video data. This entails a configuration consisting of an encoder and a decoder, in which the model acquires the ability to approximate masked pixel values within video frames. During this stage, the encoder and decoder undergo joint training. Once the model is sufficiently trained, the decoder is removed, leaving only the encoder.

Step 2: Supervised Pre-training for Alignment of Labels. The pre-trained VideoMAE encoder is then augmented with a linear classification head. The encoder and the linear classifier are trained in tandem, using the action labels for supervision.

Putting it All Together. M2M serves as the basis of our data preparation process across both the pretraining and alignment phases. During pretraining, M2M-augmented videos replace the original dataset, ensuring privacy-preserving inputs to the VideoMAE framework. This augmentation involves detecting human subjects, masking them, inpainting the occluded regions, and superimposing meshes. The resulting anonymized dataset allows VideoMAE to learn spatiotemporal representations in a self-supervised manner. During alignment, the same M2M pipeline is employed to prepare training data, ensuring the VideoMAE encoder aligns with action recognition labels. This two-step process ensures that the M2M augmentation is central to both stages of training, as summarized in Table 1.

## 3.5 DOWNSTREAM EVALUATION

The evaluation of our SMPLy Private models is conducted on six distinct action-recognition tasks.
The UCF101 dataset (Soomro et al., 2012) comprises 13,320 YouTube videos spanning 101 action classes, showcasing notable diversity in activities performed and camera movement. The HMDB51 (Kuehne et al., 2011) dataset consists of 6,849 movie clips, categorized into 51 distinct action classes.
The Diving48 dataset (Li et al., 2018) is a highly specialized data collection designed for competition diving. It consists of 18,000 video clips that cover 48 different categories. This dataset aims to evaluate our models' ability to handle the challenges posed by the identical background and object properties commonly found in competitive diving scenarios. Ikea Furniture (Han et al., 2017; Toyer

324 et al., 2017) Assembly provides a collection of 111 movies with 14 actors demonstrating assembling 325 and disassembling furniture. These videos are filmed using the same camera and scenario settings, 326 ensuring consistency. The videos are categorized into 12 different action categories. The UAV-Human 327 dataset (Li et al., 2021) comprises 22,476 films recorded by unmanned aerial vehicles, such as drones, 328 featuring 155 distinct action categories and 119 individuals. Note that from UCF101 to UAV-Human, the scene-object bias generally decreases.

#### 4 EXPERIMENTS

330 331

332 333

334

335

336

337 338

339

349

For technical specifications and training information related to our experiments, see Appendix A. Note: SMPLy Private refers to our complete end-to-end pipeline, encompassing segmentation, mesh recovery, inpainting, VideoMAE pre-training, alignment, and downstream evaluation. M2M denotes the data augmentation method used to generate the meshed dataset (M2M Kinetics).

## 4.1 MASK2MESH PERFORMANCE

340 Table 1: M2M Performance Evaluation. Top-1 downstream task accuracy for linear probing 341 (LP) and finetuning (FT) is reported. The mean FT and LP accuracy over all downstream tasks 342 across datasets is represented in the final column. The results show that our SMPLy Private model 343 outperforms, on average, prior benchmarks by at least 0.7% in FT and 0.1% in LP. If K-NEXUS is 344 used (in teal), the improvement increases to at least 2.8% in FT and 1.4% in LP due to enhanced 345 feature learning from class discretization. SMPLy Private rivals the VideoMAE trained with real human data (baseline in violet), reducing the realism gap by 0.5% in FT and 0.2% in LP. With 346 K-NEXUS, SMPLy Private surpasses this baseline. All other scores are from (Kim et al., 2022; Zhong 347 et al., 2023). Our choice of alignment was selected based on results from Table 4. 348

350	Pre-trained Model	Privacy	Step 1: MAE	Step 2: Align		UCF101		HMDB51		ng48	IkeaFA		UAV-Human		Mean	
351			<b>F</b>	FB	FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP
352	VideoMAE-Align w/ Real	×	Kinetics	Kinetics	93.4	91.5	73.5	69.8	66.3	19.9	72.2	58.4	34.8	13.8	68.0	50.7
353	TimeSformer w/ Kinetics	×	-	Kinetics	92.1	89.4	59.5	55.4	46.4	17	61.9	47.7	23.3	8.4	56.6	43.6
354	TimeSformer w/ Synthetic	×	-	Synthetic	89	82.1	54.4	49.2	44.9	19.2	63.6	45.5	25	13.8	55.4	42.0
000	TSN w/ RN50	1	-	Synthetic	83.4	28	54.4	20.9	63.5	10.9	42.7	36	35.6	5.7	55.9	20.3
300	I3D w/ RN50	1	-	Synthetic	82.1	27.6	55.7	22.6	55.0	10.1	42.7	33.2	35.1	5.8	54.2	19.9
356	OmniMAE-Align w/ Synthetic	1	Synthetic	Synthetic	80	26.4	53.3	22.2	57.3	10	41.5	35.7	31.8	5.5	52.8	20.0
357	PPMA	1	No Human Kinetics	No Human Kinetics + Synthetic	92.5	88.4	71.2	64.9	64.0	21.9	67.9	57.7	38.5	19.3	66.8	50.4
358	SMPLy Priv. (Ours)	1	M2M Kinetics	M2M Kinetics	93.2	90.9	72.6	69.2	66.0	19.7	71.3	58.2	34.6	14.3	67.5	50.5
359	SMPLy Priv. w/ K-NEXUS (Ours)	1	M2M Kinetics	M2M Kinetics	94.2	91.6	74.3	70.8	69.0	21.6	72.9	59.5	36.4	15.3	69.6	51.8

360 361

362

363

364

366

367

368

Table 1 shows the average downstream performance on various classification tasks<sup>2</sup> with multiple pretrained models, including our proposed SMPLy Private<sup>3</sup> and SMPLy Private with K-NEXUS. Models pre-trained on conventional large-scale real video data with humans typically have a performance edge over models trained with synthetic data due to a realism gap. We establish such a baseline by pre-training and then aligning VideoMAE with Kinetics-150 (first row in violet). Other privacypreserving baselines present a significant realism gap (non-bolded and non-colored). However, with SMPLy Private and the use of our M2M-augmented dataset (M2M Kinetics), the average downstream performance gap from the human baseline is reduced to 0.5% with FT and 0.2% with LP.

369 The performance gap is attributed to SMPLy Private performing slightly worse than the human 370 baseline on tasks with high scene-object bias, such as UCF101 and HMDB51. This is likely because 371 the inclusion of humans in the Kinetics videos helps the model better learn both scene-object cues and 372 action features. Compared to the performance of "OmniMAE-Align w/ Synthetic," SMPLy Private 373 narrows the realism gap as it achieves a level of performance comparable to the "VideoMAE-Align 374 w/ Real" baseline. Both "TSN with RN50" and "PPMA" utilize synthetic data for model training, 375 yet they fall short of "VideoMAE-Align with Real" in downstream performance. With K-NEXUS,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For fine-grained classification results, refer to Appendix C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Without K-NEXUS we use the Kinetics-150 classes as outlined by Zhong et al. (2023).

SMPLy Private further improves over "VideoMAE-Align w/ Synthetic" by 1.6% with FT and 1.1% with LP.

While Table 1 also demonstrates the impact of M2M across diverse downstream tasks, highlighting its integration into both pretraining and alignment stages, our approach outperforms PPMA in general. This showcases SMPLy Private's ability to reduce the performance gap with real-human pretraining. The results underscore M2M's contributions in bridging the realism gap, as evidenced by the improved accuracy in high scene-object bias tasks such as UCF101 and HMDB51. This improvement stems directly from the M2M augmentation, which provides a more robust representation of human actions during both MAE pretraining and supervised alignment. By contrast, "PPMA" relies on synthetic data and human-removed videos independently, limiting its ability to fully capture temporal dynamics.

Overall, SMPLy Private with K-NEXUS, which uses M2M Kinetics in both pre-training and alignment steps, achieves the best performance among privacy-preserving models, reducing the performance gap with the human-baseline model to minimal levels and even surpassing it. This shows the effectiveness of our approach in achieving high performance through pre-trained representations for privacy-preserving action recognition without the need for synthetic data.

- 393
- 394 395

## 4.2 AUGMENTATION STRATEGIES

396 397 398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

The SMPL-X model provides a more holistic representation of the person's body than most segmentation strategies. This is due to the inherent property of SMPL-X to fit an entire human mesh to the bodies visible in the videos while preserving structural components. The segmentation methods are only responsible for laying a monocolored film outlining a person without any structural considerations. Unlike using only segmentation, the preservation of fine-grained details in the structure ensures that hand and finger movements remain distinct and traceable, even when they are close to or overlapping with the body (Appendix B.2: Figure 5). The depth information provided by the 3D nature of the mesh allows for a better understanding of spatial relationships between body parts, enhancing the overall representation. Hence, the  $\approx 4\%$  improvement from solely using SAM segmentation as seen in Table 2. We incorporate the E<sup>2</sup>FGVI inpainting technique to remove humans

407 408 409

410

411

Table 2: Analysis of Methods. This table compares the impact of different combinations of methods (Row 1: SAM segmentation, Row 2: OSX mesh recovery, Row 3: E<sup>2</sup>FGVI inpainting) on privacy and downstream accuracy across various datasets.

Segmentation Mesh Recovery Inpainting Privacy Down					stream Accuracy (LP Only)							
~-8				UCF101	HMDB51	Diving48	IkeaFA	UAV-Human	Mean			
1	×	×	1	86.6	61.4	18.9	53.9	13.0	46.8			
×	1	×	×	91.1	69.4	20.0	58.3	14.6	50.7			
$\checkmark$	1	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	90.9	69.2	19.7	58.2	14.3	50.5			

421 from video streams. While direct application of mesh recovery to videos is feasible, integrating an 422 inpainting step markedly improves the privacy-preserving capabilities of our framework. Absent 423 this inpainting process, residual demographic information can still be discerned, compromising 424 both the privacy and the unbiased nature of our framework (Appendix B.2: Figure 6). Although 425 omitting inpainting yields a higher performance (by only 0.2%), applying inpainting ensures that 426 our pipeline remains fully privacy-preserving. We hypothesize that the observed higher performance 427 may stem from the retention of features, which, although insufficiently masked, provide additional 428 discriminative features that aid the learning process of the model. This highlights a trade-off between 429 performance and privacy – yet in this case, the privacy gains significantly outweigh the minuscule performance gains. As an aside, note that in a resource-constrained setting, OpenCV's implementa-430 tion of Navier-Stokes inpainting (Bertalmio et al., 2001; Itseez, 2015) can be used. As inpainting is 431 an intermediate step, any performance loss would be minimal.

Table 3: Gender-Action Bias Analysis. We show the performance on gender-biased tasks using real
human data vs. 3D meshes. Neutral meshes achieve the highest average accuracy, demonstrating
effective mitigation of gender-action bias.

Method	Women in Men-Biased (FT)	Men in Women-Biased (FT)	Mean
VideoMAE w/ real humans	81.4	78.8	80.1
SMPLy Private w/ male meshes	82.3	83.3	82.8
SMPLy Private w/ female meshes	83.4	82.5	82.9
SMPLy Private w/ neutral meshes	83.2	83.1	83.1

## 

#### 

## 4.3 TO GENDER OR NOT TO GENDER?

In this section, we analyze the impact of using 3D meshes on gender-action bias in action recognition tasks<sup>4</sup>. Specifically, we compare the performance of a model trained on real human data from Kinetics-150. with those trained on our augmented meshed data (M2M Kinetics). We conduct experiments on a specifically curated split of the Kinetics dataset in which women perform male-dominated tasks and men perform female-dominated tasks. The results are summarized in Table 3. Training on real human data revealed significant gender-action bias, with lower performance on "men in women-biased" tasks compared to "women in men-biased" tasks. In contrast, models trained on 3D meshes showed improved performance. Male meshes increased accuracy for "men in women-biased" tasks, while female meshes for "women in men-biased" tasks. Neutral meshes performed consistently well across both subsets. Overall, using 3D meshes outperformed the real human data approach, with higher average scores across all mesh-based methods. This indicates that 3D meshes help mitigate gender-action bias by offering a gender-agnostic representation.





Figure 3: **Representation Learning Efficiency Comparison.** In the initial stages of training, the model trained with M2M Kinetics (teal in Table 1) demonstrates faster representation learning compared to the model trained on Kinetics (violet in Table 1), which catches up in the later stages.

Figure 3 demonstrates that our model, fine-tuned from each pre-training checkpoint on M2M-augmented data, learns representations quicker in earlier stages because humans are consistently depicted as meshes. This consistency allows the model to isolate and understand the actions performed by the meshes, whereas, in real data, the varied depictions of humans make representation learning more challenging. It is observed that our model learns representations faster for longer with higher scene-object bias as indicated by the descending size of the shaded areas from UCF101 to UAV-Human (left to right). This showcases another perspective on further closing the realism gap; however,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>See Appendix D for more details on this experiment. We used neutral meshes in all our main experiments.

the "tortoise" (VideoMAE trained on real human data) eventually catches and overtakes the "hare" (SMPLy Private), typically in the latter stages of training.

4.5 IN THE ALIGNMENT ARENA: HUMAN VS. MESH

Table 4: Alignment Study for Humans and Meshes. We present a comparative analysis of various alignment strategies following the pre-training of our model on the M2M Kinetics dataset. The alignment datasets under examination include the original Kinetics, Kinetics with humans removed, and M2M Kinetics. Our findings indicate that the M2M Kinetics dataset exhibits the smallest absolute difference,  $|\Delta|$ , from the Kinetics baseline (in violet), thereby further reducing the realism gap.

Step 1: MAE	Step 2: Align	Privacy	UCF101		HMDB51		Diving48		IkeaFA		UAV-Human		Mean		$ \Delta $ from "real"	
500p 11 11111			FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP	FT	LP
No Human Kinetics	Synthetic	1	91.4	81.9	71.5	62.0	65.3	21.8	67.3	57.7	38.3	20.8	66.7	46.0	1.1	4.7
	No Human Kinetics	1	91.5	87.3	68.5	61.2	63.4	18.4	69.9	51.5	32.3	12.2	65.1	46.1	2.7	4.6
M2M Kinetics	Kinetics	×	93.7	92.1	73.1	69.4	66.2	19.7	71.5	58.4	34.3	13.8	67.8	50.7	0.0	0.0
	M2M Kinetics	1	93.2	90.9	72.6	69.2	66.0	19.7	71.3	58.2	34.6	14.3	67.5	50.5	0.3	0.2

504 In Table 4, we examine the effects of various alignment techniques following pre-training with the 505 M2M Kinetics dataset. Our findings indicate that when the dataset is exposed only to backgrounds (the no-human scenario, involving only inpainting without mesh recovery), performance is significantly 506 lower compared to when actual humans are shown to the model. This approach notably falls short of 507 the Kinetics baseline (in violet) when the model is exposed to real humans during the alignment phase. 508 However, when our pre-trained model is exposed to the M2M Kinetics dataset (with both inpainting 509 and mesh recovery), we approximate the performance when compared to the Kinetics baseline ( $|\Delta|$ 510 is 0.3% and 0.2% for FT and LP respectively). This demonstrates that the mesh recovery technique 511 in videos is effective in understanding real human actions, thus bridging the realism gap. 512

5 CONCLUSION

514 515

513

489

490

This study presents M2M, a framework that replaces humans in videos with detailed 3D SMPL-X
meshes, ensuring privacy while maintaining action recognition accuracy. By augmenting real data,
M2M avoids synthetic biases Kim et al. (2022). Models trained on M2M-augmented data outperform
privacy-preserving benchmarks and rival non-privacy-preserving ones. A gender representation
analysis shows gender-neutral meshes improve performance in biased classes. Additionally, M2Maugmented data accelerates representation learning, bridging the realism gap and standardizing action
recognition datasets.

Limitations & Future Work. We perform image-instance segmentation without considering tempo-523 ral relationships between frames. However, given that our data is video-based, an optimal approach 524 would involve video-instance segmentation. This would likely yield more accurate masks and im-525 proved performance, mitigating issues such as transient mesh disappearances. Lastly, our present 526 method focuses on body mesh recovery for individual video frames, whereas this process could be 527 extended to entire videos to incorporate temporal relations. Most contemporary approaches to body 528 mesh recovery leverage 3D pose estimation from videos, a complex problem (Needham et al., 2021). 529 Accurately recovering 3D meshes in videos is challenging but could significantly reduce glitches 530 and enhance performance. We can better capture and use temporal information by replacing frame-531 by-frame processing with video-level analysis. Lastly, we acknowledge the potential of the MANO model (Romero et al., 2017), which is tailored for hand-specific tasks in egocentric datasets such 532 as Something-Something (Goyal et al., 2017) and EPIC-KITCHENS (Damen et al., 2018). These 533 datasets primarily involve hand and wrist actions, presenting opportunities for further exploration. 534

Ethical Implications. By replacing real individuals in video footage with 3D meshes, M2M addresses
privacy concerns and aligns with regulations like GDPR (Union, 2016) and ADPPA (Congress, 2022).
It mitigates risks of identity theft and privacy invasion, while reducing biases related to race and
gender. However, its capability to generate highly realistic video data could also be repurposed for
more invasive surveillance systems, enhancing monitoring capabilities in workplaces or public areas
and infringing on individual privacy and autonomy.

## 540 REFERENCES

558

559

561

566

567

568

576

577

578

579

542	Randall Balestriero, Mark Ibrahim, Vlad Sobal, Ari Morcos, Shashank Shekhar, Tom Goldstein, Flo-
543	rian Bordes, Adrien Bardes, Gregoire Mialon, Yuandong Tian, Avi Schwarzschild, Andrew Gordon
544	Wilson, Jonas Geiping, Quentin Garrido, Pierre Fernandez, Amir Bar, Hamed Pirsiavash, Yann
545	LeCun, and Micah Goldblum. A cookbook of self-supervised learning, 2023.

- Adrien Bardes, Jean Ponce, and Yann LeCun. Vicreg: Variance-invariance-covariance regularization for self-supervised learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.04906*, 2021.
- M. Bertalmio, A.L. Bertozzi, and G. Sapiro. Navier-stokes, fluid dynamics, and image and video inpainting. In *Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. CVPR 2001*, volume 1, pp. I–I, 2001. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2001.990497.
- Kaylee Burns, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Kate Saenko, Trevor Darrell, and Anna Rohrbach. Women also snowboard: Overcoming bias in captioning models, 2019.
- Daniel J Butler, Justin Huang, Franziska Roesner, and Maya Cakmak. The privacy-utility tradeoff
   for remotely teleoperated robots. In *Proceedings of the tenth annual ACM/IEEE international conference on human-robot interaction*, pp. 27–34, 2015.
  - Mathilde Caron, Ishan Misra, Julien Mairal, Priya Goyal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Unsupervised learning of visual features by contrasting cluster assignments. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2020.
- Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Herve Jegou, Piotr Bojanowski, Armand Joulin,
   Matthijs Douze, Matthieu Cord, and Ivan Laptev. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision
   transformers. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*,
   2021.
  - Joao Carreira and Andrew Zisserman. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset, 2018.
- Huiwen Chang, Han Zhang, Jarred Barber, AJ Maschinot, Jose Lezama, Lu Jiang, Ming-Hsuan Yang,
  Kevin Murphy, William T. Freeman, Michael Rubinstein, Yuanzhen Li, and Dilip Krishnan. Muse:
  Text-to-image generation via masked generative transformers, 2023.
- Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Mohammad Norouzi, and Geoffrey Hinton. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2020.
  - Xinlei Chen and Kaiming He. Exploring simple siamese representation learning. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2021.
  - Jinwoo Choi, Chen Gao, Joseph C. E. Messou, and Jia-Bin Huang. Why can't i dance in the mall? learning to mitigate scene bias in action recognition, 2019.
- United States Congress. American data privacy and protection act, 2022. URL https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/8152/text.
- Ji Dai, Behrouz Saghafi, Jonathan Wu, Janusz Konrad, and Prakash Ishwar. Towards privacypreserving recognition of human activities. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pp. 4238–4242. IEEE, 2015.
- Dima Damen, Hazel Doughty, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Sanja Fidler, Antonino Furnari, Evangelos Kazakos, Davide Moltisanti, Jonathan Munro, Toby Perrett, Will Price, and Michael Wray. Scaling egocentric vision: The epic-kitchens dataset, 2018. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.02748.
- Ishan Rajendrakumar Dave, Chen Chen, and Mubarak Shah. Spact: Self-supervised privacy preserva tion for action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 20164–20173, 2022.

606

623

629

637

638

- 594
   595
   596
   CR De Souza, A Gaidon, Y Cabon, and AM Lopez Pena. Procedural generation of videos to train deep action recognition networks. In *CVPR*, 2017.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas
   Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit,
   and Neil Houlsby. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale.
   In International Conference on Learning Representations, 2021.
- Debidatta Dwibedi, Pavel Tokmakov, Ishan Misra, and Martial Hebert. Little help, big help: Simple unsupervised object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.09084*, 2021.
- Aleksandr Ermolov, Xiangyi Kong, Mikhail Petrov, and Cristian Sminchisescu. Whitening for
   self-supervised representation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.06346*, 2021.
- Eli Friedman, Assaf Lehr, Alexey Gruzdev, Vladimir Loginov, Max Kogan, Moran Rubin, and Orly
   Zvitia. Knowing the distance: Understanding the gap between synthetic and real data for face
   parsing, 2023.
- 610
   611
   612
   612
   614
   615
   615
   616
   617
   618
   619
   619
   610
   610
   611
   612
   612
   612
   614
   615
   615
   616
   617
   618
   619
   619
   619
   610
   610
   610
   610
   610
   611
   612
   612
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
   614
- Raghav Goyal, Samira Ebrahimi Kahou, Vincent Michalski, Joanna Materzyńska, Susanne Westphal, Heuna Kim, Valentin Haenel, Ingo Fruend, Peter Yianilos, Moritz Mueller-Freitag, Florian Hoppe, Christian Thurau, Ingo Bax, and Roland Memisevic. The "something something" video database for learning and evaluating visual common sense, 2017. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/ 1706.04261.
- Jean-Bastien Grill, Florian Strub, Florent Altché, Corentin Tallec, Pierre Richemond, Elena
  Buchatskaya, Carl Doersch, Bernardo Avila Pires, Zhaohan Daniel Guo, Mohammad Gheshlaghi Azar, Bilal Piot, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Remi Munos, and Michal Valko. Bootstrap your own
  latent: A new approach to self-supervised learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2020.
- Yuejun Guo, Qing Xu, Shihua Sun, Xiaoxiao Luo, and Mateu Sbert. Selecting video key frames based on relative entropy and the extreme studentized deviate test. *Entropy*, 18(3):73, 2016. ISSN 1099-4300. doi: 10.3390/e18030073. URL https://doi.org/10.3390/e18030073.
- Tengda Han, Jue Wang, Anoop Cherian, and Stephen Gould. Human action forecasting by learning task grammars. *arXiv:1709.06391*, 2017.
- Shuang Hao, Wenfeng Han, Tao Jiang, Yiping Li, Haonan Wu, Chunlin Zhong, Zhangjun Zhou, and He Tang. Synthetic data in ai: Challenges, applications, and ethical implications, 2024. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.01629.
- Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Masked
   autoencoders are scalable vision learners. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2021.
  - Sanjeewa Herath, Mehrtash T Harandi, and Fatih Porikli. Going deeper into action recognition: A survey. *Image and Vision Computing*, 60:4–21, 2017.
- Hochul Hwang, Cheongjae Jang, Geonwoo Park, Junghyun Cho, and Ig-Jae Kim. Eldersim: A synthetic data generation platform for human action recognition in eldercare applications. *IEEE Access*, 11:9279–9294, 2023. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3051842.
- <sup>643</sup> Itseez. Open source computer vision library. https://github.com/itseez/opencv, 2015.
- James Jordon, Jinsung Yoon, and Mihaela van der Schaar. Real risks of fake data: Synthetic data, diversity-washing and consent circumvention. In *ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (ACM FAccT '24)*, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, June 2024. ISBN 979-8-4007-0450-5/24/06. doi: 10.1145/3630106.3659002.

648 649 650	Will Kay, Joao Carreira, Karen Simonyan, Brian Zhang, Chloe Hillier, Sudheendra Vijayanarasimhan, Fabio Viola, Tim Green, Trevor Back, Paul Natsev, Mustafa Suleyman, and Andrew Zisserman. The kinetics human action video dataset, 2017.
651 652 653 654	Prannay Khosla, Piotr Teterwak, Chen Wang, Aaron Sarna, Yonglong Tian, Phillip Isola, Aaron Maschinot, Ce Liu, and Dilip Krishnan. Supervised contrastive learning. In <i>Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)</i> , 2020.
655 656 657 658	Yo Whan Kim, Samarth Mishra, SouYoung Jin, Rameswar Panda, Hilde Kuehne, Leonid Karlinsky, Venkatesh Saligrama, Kate Saenko, Aude Oliva, and Rogerio Feris. How transferable are video representations based on synthetic data? In <i>Thirty-sixth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track</i> , 2022.
659 660 661 662	Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C. Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Segment anything, 2023. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.02643.
663 664 665	Sareh Koohpayegani, Tim Salimans, Ali Farhadi, and Hamed Javadi. Mean shift for self-supervised learning. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)</i> , 2020.
667 668 669	H. Kuehne, H. Jhuang, E. Garrote, T. Poggio, and T. Serre. Hmdb: A large video database for human motion recognition. In 2011 International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 2556–2563, 2011. doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2011.6126543.
670 671 672	Jiefeng Li, Siyuan Bian, Chao Xu, Zhicun Chen, Lixin Yang, and Cewu Lu. Hybrik-x: Hybrid analytical-neural inverse kinematics for whole-body mesh recovery, 2023.
673 674 675	Ming Li, Xiangyu Xu, Hehe Fan, Pan Zhou, Jun Liu, Jia-Wei Liu, Jiahe Li, Jussi Keppo, Mike Zheng Shou, and Shuicheng Yan. Stprivacy: Spatio-temporal privacy-preserving action recognition. In <i>CVPR</i> , pp. 1502–1511, 2022a.
676 677 678	Tianjiao Li, Jun Liu, Wei Zhang, Yun Ni, Wenqian Wang, and Zhiheng Li. Uav-human: A large benchmark for human behavior understanding with unmanned aerial vehicles, 2021.
679 680 681 682 683	Yingwei Li, Yi Li, and Nuno Vasconcelos. Resound: Towards action recognition without repre- sentation bias. In Vittorio Ferrari, Martial Hebert, Cristian Sminchisescu, and Yair Weiss (eds.), <i>Computer Vision – ECCV 2018</i> , volume 11210 of <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> . Springer, Cham, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-01231-1_32. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-030-01231-1_32.
684 685 686	Zhen Li, Cheng-Ze Lu, Jianhua Qin, Chun-Le Guo, and Ming-Ming Cheng. Towards an end-to-end framework for flow-guided video inpainting, 2022b.
687 688	Jing Lin, Ailing Zeng, Haoqian Wang, Lei Zhang, and Yu Li. One-stage 3d whole-body mesh recovery with component aware transformer, 2023.
689 690	Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning, 2023.
691 692 693	Stuart P. Lloyd. Least squares quantization in pcm. <i>IEEE Transactions on Information Theory</i> , 28(2): 129–137, 1982.
694	Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Sgdr: Stochastic gradient descent with warm restarts, 2017.
696	Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization, 2019.
697 698 699 700	L Needham, M Evans, DP Cosker, L Wade, PM McGuigan, JL Bilzon, and SL Colyer. The accuracy of several pose estimation methods for 3d joint centre localisation. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 11(1):20673, 2021. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-00212-x.
701	Seong Joon Oh, Rodrigo Benenson, Mario Fritz, and Bernt Schiele. Faceless person recognition; privacy implications in social media, 2016. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.08386.

702 703 704 705	Georgios Pavlakos, Vasileios Choutas, Nima Ghorbani, Timo Bolkart, Ahmed AA Osman, Dimitrios Tzionas, and Michael J Black. Expressive body capture: 3d hands, face, and body from a single image. In <i>Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)</i> , 2019.
706 707 708	Hieu H Pham, Louahdi Khoudour, Alain Crouzil, Pablo Zegers, and Sergio A Velastin. Video-based human action recognition using deep learning: A review. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.03775</i> , 2022.
709 710	AJ Piergiovanni and Michael S Ryoo. Avid dataset: Anonymized videos from diverse countries, 2020. URL https://avid-dataset.github.io/.
711 712 713 714	Francesco Pittaluga, Sanjeev Koppal, and Ayan Chakrabarti. Learning privacy preserving encodings through adversarial training. In 2019 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV), pp. 791–799. IEEE, 2019.
715 716	Zhongzheng Ren, Yong Jae Lee, and Michael S. Ryoo. Learning to anonymize faces for privacy preserving action detection. <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.11556</i> , 2018.
717 718 719	Javier Romero, Dimitrios Tzionas, and Michael J. Black. Embodied hands: Modeling and capturing hands and bodies together. <i>ACM Transactions on Graphics, (Proc. SIGGRAPH Asia)</i> , 36(6), November 2017.
720 721 722 723	Madeline Chantry Schiappa, Naman Biyani, Prudvi Kamtam, Shruti Vyas, Hamid Palangi, Vibhav Vineet, and Yogesh Rawat. Large-scale robustness analysis of video action recognition models, 2023.
724 725	Khurram Soomro, Amir Roshan Zamir, and Mubarak Shah. Ucf101: A dataset of 101 human actions classes from videos in the wild, 2012.
726 727 728	Sam Toyer, Anoop Cherian, Tengda Han, and Stephen Gould. Human pose forecasting via deep Markov models. In <i>DICTA</i> , 2017.
729 730	European Union. General data protection regulation, 2016. URL https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32016R0679.
731 732 733	Gül Varol, Ivan Laptev, Cordelia Schmid, and Andrew Zisserman. Synthetic humans for action recognition from unseen viewpoints. <i>IJCV</i> , 2021.
734 735	Limin Wang, Yuanjun Xiong, Zhe Wang, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, Xiaoou Tang, and Luc Van Gool. Temporal segment networks: Towards good practices for deep action recognition, 2016.
736 737 738 720	Zehao Wang, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Lorenzo Torresani, Du Tran, Joao Carreira, and Andrew Zisserman. Videomae: Masked autoencoders are data-efficient learners for self-supervised video pre-training. In <i>Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems</i> , 2022.
739 740 741 742	Zhenyu Wu, Haotao Wang, Zhaowen Wang, Hailin Jin, and Zhangyang Wang. Privacy-preserving deep action recognition: An adversarial learning framework and a new dataset. <i>IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence</i> , 2020.
743 744	Zhenda Xie, Zheng Zhang, Yue Cao, Yutong Lin, Jianmin Bao, Zhuliang Yao, Qi Dai, and Han Hu. Simmim: A simple framework for masked image modeling, 2022.
745 746 747 748	Kaiyu Yang, Jacqueline H Yau, Li Fei-Fei, Jia Deng, and Olga Russakovsky. A study of face obfuscation in imagenet. In <i>International Conference on Machine Learning</i> , pp. 25313–25330. PMLR, 2022.
749 750	Jang-Hee Yoo and Kyoung-Ho Choi. A review on video-based human activity recognition. <i>Computers</i> , 2(2):88–131, 2013.
751 752 753	Sangdoo Yun, Seong Joon Oh, Byeongho Heo, Dongyoon Han, and Jinhyung Kim. Videomix: Rethinking data augmentation for video classification, 2020.
754 755	Jure Zbontar, Li Jing, Ishan Misra, Yann LeCun, and Stéphane Deny. Barlow twins: Self-supervised learning via redundancy reduction. <i>Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)</i> , 2021.

756 757 758	Zhixiang Zhang, Thomas Cilloni, Charles Walter, and Charles Fleming. Multi-scale, class-generic, privacy-preserving video. <i>Electronics</i> , 10(10):1172, 2021.
750	Howard Zhong Samarth Mishra Donghyun Kim SouYoung Jin Rameswar Panda Hilde Kuehne
759	Leonid Karlinsky, Venkatesh Saligrama, Aude Oliva, and Rogerio Feris. Learning human action
761	recognition representations without real humans, 2023.
762	
763	Yuliang Zou, Jinwoo Choi, Qitong Wang, and Jia-Bin Huang. Learning representational invariances
764	for data-efficient action recognition, 2022.
765	
766	
767	
768	
769	
770	
771	
772	
773	
774	
775	
776	
777	
778	
779	
780	
781	
782	
783	
795	
786	
787	
788	
789	
790	
791	
792	
793	
794	
795	
796	
797	
798	
799	
800	
801	
802	
803	
004	
200	
807	
808	
809	

#### APPENDIX

А **TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS** 

General Details. All our model training is distributed over NVIDIA 8×A100-80GB (SXM). In all experiments, we employ the ViT-B backbone and to uphold rigorous privacy preservation, we develop conduct training without pre-trained weights from ImageNet. We pre-train VideoMAE for 200 epochs using a tube masking technique that masks 90% of image patches to enhance learned video representations. After pre-training, we remove the VideoMAE decoder, retaining only the encoder. For label alignment, we conduct supervised pre-training for 50 epochs using the same subset of 150 Kinetics classes as SynAPT (Kim et al., 2022). For downstream evaluation, we fine-tune (FT) the entire network or train a linear probe (LP) for 30 epochs. Both steps use video inputs as 4D tensors (C, T, H, W), with C = 3 (RGB channels), T = 16 frames, and spatial dimensions H and W as the video input is resized to  $224 \times 224$  and normalized.

## Table 5: Summary of Training Details.

	General Specifications
GPU Configuration Model Backbone Input Tensor Shape Normalization	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
	Self-Supervised Pre-Training
Pre-training Method	VideoMAE
Epochs Maglying Strategy	200 (10 warm-up)
Batch Size	1000, ratio = 0.9
Patch Size	$2 \times 16 \times 16$
Loss Function	MSE
Optimizer	AdamW
Learning Rate (Max)	0.0008
Learning Rate Scheduler	Cosine
	Supervised Label Alignment
Epochs	50 (6 warm-up)
Loss Function	Cross-Entropy
Optimizer	AdamW
Learning Rate (Max)	0.002
	Downstream Evaluation
Adjustment Epochs (FT or LP)	30

Step 1. During self-supervised pre-training, we use mean squared error (MSE) pixel reconstruction loss between the original and reconstructed frames. The batch size is 128, and patch sizes are  $2 \times 16 \times 16$ . We use the AdamW optimizer (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2019) with a cosine learning rate scheduler (Loshchilov & Hutter, 2017), a maximum learning rate of 0.0008, and a 10-epoch warm-up. 

Step 2. For supervised label alignment, we add a final linear head to the ViT-B model for supervised training. The model shares an encoder with distinct linear classifiers for each dataset, using crossentropy loss to measure discrepancies between the predicted and actual action categories. We train the model for 50 epochs with the AdamW optimizer and a cosine rate scheduler with a maximum learning rate of 0.002 and a 6-epoch warm-up.

## **B** DATASET AND METHODOLOGY CONSIDERATIONS

#### **B.1** MODIFIED CLUSTERING OPERATION AND DEFINITIONS

The K-NEXUS clustering operation,  $\kappa(\overline{E}, K)$ , aims to minimize the within-cluster bias and pairwise similarity between class embeddings. The clustering operation seeks to minimize the following objective, adapted from (Li et al., 2018), which incorporates both bias and pairwise similarity:

$$\arg\min_{\omega} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( \sum_{\substack{\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{i}, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{j} \in \omega_{k} \\ i \neq j}} \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{i}, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{j}) + \sum_{\bar{\mathbf{e}} \in \omega_{k}} \mathcal{B}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}}) \right)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{B}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}})$  is the bias measurement for a dataset D using class embedding  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$  and is defined as:

$$\mathcal{B}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}}) = \ln(\mathcal{M}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}})) - \ln(\mathcal{M}_{chance})$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}})$  represents the performance of the representation  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$  on dataset D, and  $\mathcal{M}_{chance}$  is the performance at the chance level, defined as:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{chance}} = \min_{\bar{\mathbf{e}}} \mathcal{M}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}})$$

**Pairwise Similarity Calculation.** For each class embedding  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_i$ , we calculate the average pairwise similarity with all other class embeddings  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_j$  (where  $i \neq j$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_i, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_j)$  represent the similarity function (e.g., cosine similarity or entropy) between embeddings i and j. The average similarity for class  $c_i$  is defined as:

$$M_i = \frac{1}{C-1} \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{C} \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_i, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_j)$$

where C is the total number of classes. This value  $M_i$  represents how similar class  $c_i$  is to all other classes in the dataset.

Adjusted Bias Calculation. We adjust the bias  $B_i$  for class  $c_i$  by comparing the pairwise similarity  $M_i$  to a baseline chance value  $M_{\text{chance}}$ . The adjusted bias is given by:

$$B_i = \ln\left(M_i\right) - \ln\left(M_{\text{chance}}\right)$$

This bias  $B_i$  accounts for the relationships between class  $c_i$  and other classes, and it is used to refine the bias measurements in the clustering process.

**Centroid Calculation.** The centroid  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_k$  of cluster  $\omega_k$  is defined as the mean of the bias measurements 906 of all points in  $\omega_k$ : 

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_k = \frac{1}{|\omega_k|} \sum_{\bar{\mathbf{e}} \in \omega_k} \mathcal{B}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}})$$

**Clustering Steps.** This involves the following iterative steps:

1. Assignment step. Assign each point  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_i$  to the cluster with the nearest centroid based on both the pairwise similarity and bias measurements:

$$\omega_k^{(t+1)} = \left\{ \bar{\mathbf{e}}_i : \mathcal{B}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_k^{(t)}) + \sum_{j \neq i} \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_i, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_j) \le \mathcal{B}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_j^{(t)}) + \sum_{l \neq j} \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_j, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_l), \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, K \right\}$$

2. Update step. Calculate the new centroids for each cluster:

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_k^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\omega}_k^{(t+1)}|} \sum_{\bar{\mathbf{e}}_i \in \boldsymbol{\omega}_k^{(t+1)}} \mathcal{B}(D, \bar{\mathbf{e}}_i)$$

Furthermore, the optimization problem to select a subset of classes from the original dataset, as laid out in (Li et al., 2018), presents an exponential time complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$ . It is possible to converge to a solution for the selection of a small number of classes. However, it lacks feasibility for our case (K = 150 to obtain the Kinetics-150 dataset). Our K-NEXUS approach, converges while having the time complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot k \cdot t)$ , where n is the number of classes, k is the number of clusters, t is the number of update steps. Thus, we are able to perform class sampling for larger values with a linear time complexity.



947 (a) Example class clusters excluded by K-NEXUS. The algorithm is designed to identify and exclude 948 categories with overlapping visual cues or semanti-949 cally broad definitions. It systematically excludes 950 classes prone to ambiguity or high overlap within 951 the embedding space (e.g., "answering questions" vs. 952 "news anchoring" or "biking through snow" vs. "riding a mountain bike"). K-NEXUS considers these as 953 "fine-grained" categories. Otherwise, visually distinct 954 and contextually unique categories (e.g., "archery," 955 "yoga") are retained. 956



(b) Example class clusters included by K-NEXUS. The algorithm is designed to identify and include categories with clearly separable visual cues or semantic definitions. It systematically includes classes that are easy to discretize within the embedding space (e.g., "yoga" vs. "archery" or "bowling" vs. "catching fish"). K-NEXUS considers these as "coarse-grained" categories. Otherwise, visually similar and contextually related categories (e.g., "eating watermelon," "eating ice cream") are discarded.

## Figure 4: Examples of class clusters identified and processed by K-NEXUS.

959 In this work, we consider the K-NEXUS-selected classes as "coarse-grained" because they represent 960 distinct, well-separated actions that rely less on subtle pose variations or fine contextual cues. These categories are designed to evaluate whether the our proposed framework can effectively learn high-961 level action semantics without relying on scene or background context. In contrast, "fine-grained" 962 classes involve subtle distinctions, such as variations in hand positioning or object interactions, which 963 present challenges even for fully-supervised models trained on real videos. For this reason, we 964 classify the remaining 250 classes as "fine-grained." Our focus is not on hierarchical annotations or 965 subtle interclass differences across datasets, but rather on the model's ability to handle categories 966 with varying reliance on pose-level distinctions versus scene or temporal context. K-NEXUS classes 967 are intentionally chosen to represent distinct, easily separable actions that primarily depend on human 968 pose, making them coarse-grained for privacy-preserving mesh analysis. See Appendix C for more. 969

970

971

957

958

918

919920921922923

924

925

926

927

928

## B.2 SMPLy Failing



Figure 5: **Only Segmentation Fails.** The action of clapping is visually hidden when using only a segmentation mask but efficiently maintained using 3D mesh recovery post masking and inpainting.



Figure 6: **Only Mesh Recovery Fails.** Employing only meshes exposes a significant chunk of the woman's face, leading to privacy leakage. SMPLy Private, which incorporates both inpainting and 3D meshes, preserves privacy.







Figure 7: **Failure Cases.** SMPL mesh augmentation without M2M suffers when human joints are occluded. The pottery wheel, music stand, and drums are partially obscured by the superimposed mesh, demonstrating the challenges in handling occlusions within the scene.



1039 Figure 8: MASK2MESH is occlusion aware. In our investigation, we had challenges related to 1040 occlusions (Figure 7) using just SMPL meshes. To quantify this issue, we manually reviewed 20 1041 randomly selected videos per class from Kinetics-150. Our findings indicated that occlusion-related 1042 difficulties were present in 15.5% of the videos. In these cases, the occlusions involved informative 1043 objects or backgrounds that contributed to learned features and supervisory signals. An additional 4.2% of cases also had occlusions; however, these did not involve the occlusion of significant objects 1044 or scenes essential to the video's labels (i.e., potentially irrelevant parts of the video were occluded, 1045 not the major components). Upon using the M2M occlusion-aware augmentation framework (Figure 1046 2), both occlusion rates fell to 1.6% and 0.6% respectively (with 100% interrater reliability). 1047

- 1048
- 1049 1050 1051

## C FINE-GRAINED VS. COARSE-GRAINED ACTION CLASSIFICATION

Table 6: Comparison of fine-grained and coarse-grained classification performance on Kinetics.
F-scores are reported as the average of mean cluster F-scores for fine-grained classification and as a simple mean F-score for coarse-grained classification. Refer to the end of Appendix B.1 for definitions on what is considered "fine-grained" and "coarse-grained" in this context.

Method	Fine-grained	Coarse-grained
SMPLy Private w/ K-NEXUS	$53.3 \pm 0.12$	76.9
SMPLy Private	$69.5 \pm 0.08$	75.7

1062 1063 1064

1061

1057 1058

We specifically investigated the performance of our approach on both fine-grained and coarse-grained classification tasks. Given that K-NEXUS is designed to curate a set of classes that are coarse-1066 grained, it was crucial to examine how well our model generalizes across fine-grained action classes 1067 within these broader categories. To this end, we clustered the Kinetics-400 classes into 150 coarse 1068 groups using K-NEXUS and then evaluated the accuracy within these clusters, where the classes are 1069 fine-grained. For the fine-tuning phase, we sampled 10% of these clusters (15 in total, filtering for 1070 clusters with only one class) and separately fine-tuned our core model on these selected clusters. We 1071 report the top-1 mean F-score to account for class imbalance, providing a more nuanced view of the model's performance. 1072

We saw a noticeable performance drop in fine-grained classification when using K-NEXUS splits, with the F-score (53.3). This drop highlights the inherent challenge of fine-grained classification under the K-NEXUS framework. In contrast, when we repeated the experiments using a model pre-trained on random splits (Zhong et al., 2023), the fine-grained classification achieved a significantly higher F-score of 69.5. This suggests that while K-NEXUS is beneficial for coarse-grained classification (F-score > 75), it will introduce limitations for fine-grained tasks. However, it is important to note that for coarse-grained classification, the top-1 F-score on the Kinetics-400 test set for the 150 classes was greater when using K-NEXUS splits compared to random splits. This finding underscores the

strength of K-NEXUS in reducing class bias and enhancing generalization across diverse action
 categories, albeit with some trade-offs in fine-grained classification scenarios.

## D ELABORATION ON GENDER STUDY

### 1086 D.1 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN MESH TYPES

The male, female, and neutral meshes differ primarily in their body shape and proportions, which are modeled to reflect biological and anatomical differences between the genders. The male and female meshes are gender-specific and trained on data tailored to their respective shapes, providing accurate body proportions and capturing gender-specific features like broader shoulders for males or wider hips for females. The neutral mesh is designed to be a compromise between male and female body shapes, enabling its use when the gender is unknown or ambiguous.

## 1094 D.2 HOW BIASED CLASSES WERE SELECTED

We chose women and male-biased classes based the default SMPL-X fitting method as it adapts to
the human form within the real data. For instance, if a female human is seen in the video frame, the
mesh overlayed will be of the female type. Upon manual inspection, during the process we undertook
in Figure 8, we looked at the flipped and neutral cases too just by changing the gender parameter of
the mesh for such mesh-fitted videos. Hence, this allowed us to easily categorize classes within the
context of "Women in Men-Biased" or "Men in Women-Biased".